

INTERNATIONAL COURTS AND TRIBUNALS SERIES

# Provisional Measures in International Law

The International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

SHABTAI ROSENNE

# PROVISIONAL MEASURES IN INTERNATIONAL LAW

The International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

#### SHABTAI ROSENNE



This series has been developed in co-operation with the Project on International Courts and Tribunals



### OXFORD

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford 0x2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. If furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

> Published in the United States by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

> > © Sh. Rosenne, 2005

The moral rights of the author have been asserted Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published 2005

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence Number CO1P0000148 with the permission of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Rosenne, Shabtai.

Provisional measures in international law: the International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea / Shabtai Rosenne. p. cm. – (International courts and tribunals series)

"This series has been developed in cooperation with the

Project on International Courts and Tribunals."

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-19-926806-1 (hard cover : alk. paper)

International Court of Justice.
 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea.
 Provisional remedies.
 Title. II. Series.

KZ6275.R673 2005 341.5′52–dc22

2004023567

ISBN 0-19-926806-1

13579108642

Typeset by Kolam Information Services Pvt. Ltd., Pondicherry, India Printed in Great Britain on acid-free paper by Biddles Ltd., King's Lynn

# INTERNATIONAL COURTS AND TRIBUNALS SERIES

General Editors: Philippe Sands, Ruth Mackenzie, and Cesare Romano

# PROVISIONAL MEASURES IN INTERNATIONAL LAW

## INTERNATIONAL COURTS AND TRIBUNALS SERIES

A distinctive feature of modern international society is the increase in the number of international judicial bodies and dispute settlement and implementation control bodies; in their case-loads; and in the range and importance of the issues that they are called upon to address. These factors reflect a new stage in the delivery of international justice. The International Courts and Tribunals series has been established to encourage the publication of independent and scholarly works which address, in critical and analytical fashion, the legal and policy aspects of the functioning of international courts and tribunals, including their institutional, substantive and procedural aspects.

#### To the memory of my Mentors— Hersch Lauterpacht and Jacob Robinson

Peace be upon them

#### Preface

Since the establishment of the Permanent Court of International Justice in 1921. Article 41 of its Statute, on provisional or interim measures of protection, has been the subject of prolonged controversy. The language of that provision gave rise to the question of whether orders of that Court indicating provisional measures of protection created any legal obligation of compliance on the parties to whom they were addressed. It seems, on good authority, that most writers of that period were of the view that those indications were in the nature of recommendations, to be considered by their addressees in good faith, but not creating binding obligations. This controversy among the writers has continued after the establishment of the International Court of Justice as a principal organ of the United Nations. It was finally put to rest by the clear decision of the present Court in the LaGrand case in 2001 (ICJ Rep. 2001, 466), that an Order indicating provisional measures of protection creates binding obligations on the parties, and that non-compliance with such an Order could give rise to an instance of international responsibility.

Diplomatic practice showed some impatience with the idea that orders indicating provisional measures of protection could not be binding on the parties, and a number of treaties, both bilateral and multilateral, were concluded, even during the days of the League of Nations, providing for compliance with such orders. That diplomatic position was finally consolidated in the complicated settlement of dispute provisions of the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 and the establishment of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, with compulsory jurisdiction over requests for provisional measures in certain cases. That Convention contained a specific provision obliging its parties to comply with any provisional measures of protection prescribed by any court or tribunal having jurisdiction in accordance with that Convention.

In addition to this, both the International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea have been faced with entirely new types of requests for provisional measures. These are bringing the whole machinery of the judicial settlement of international disputes more closely into the broader regime for the peaceful settlement of international disputes and the maintenance of international peace and security, the prime purpose of the United Nations, of which the International Court of Justice is not only a principal organ but also the principal judicial organ, and through which the international community established the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, itself brought into a co-operative working arrangement with the United Nations.

viii Preface

These are a series of radical and far-reaching changes in the standing of international tribunals, especially the two standing tribunals mentioned here, and in the mechanisms available to diplomatic processes for the settlement of disputes. In that context, judicial decisions on provisional measures of protection are beginning to assume a new position and a new importance.

This has led me to re-examine in greater detail the present position of provisional measures of protection in the context of the judicial settlement of international disputes. The former controversies of whether such measures are binding and whether they are an example of a general principle of law recognized by the nations of the world within the meaning of Article 38, paragraph 1 (c), of the Statute of the International Court of Justice have lost much if not all of their importance (except perhaps as a matter of legal theory). While this book concentrates on the present position, the historical evolution, especially between the *Alabama* arbitration of 1872 and the establishment of the League of Nations in 1919, cannot be ignored, as it is there that the germs of the institution of provisional measures are to be found.

I wish to thank the Center for Oceans Law and Policy of the University of Virginia and Martinus Nijhoff Publishers for permission to include extracts from their *United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea: A Commentary* (1989–2002); Martinus Nijhoff Publishers for permission to include extracts from my articles originally published in their journal *Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* and in different collections of essays which that House has published; and Oxford University Press for permission to use extracts from my article in *State, Sovereignty and International Governance*, edited by Gerard Kreijen (2000).

I owe a special debt of gratitude to the Registrars of the International Court of Justice and of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea for helping to keep me supplied with relevant materials. Professor Myron Nordquist of the University of Virginia assisted me in obtaining some obscure documents of the United States Senate relating to the Bryan Treaties. Dr Cesare Romano of New York University and one of the managers of the Project on International Courts and Tribunals gave me valuable assistance regarding the activities of the short-lived and controversial Central American Court of Justice, the first international tribunal to exercise its power to order provisional measures. Dr Chester Brown of St John's College, Cambridge, unearthed for me the details of the discussions in the Institute of International Law in 1871, when the first code of international arbitration procedure was drawn up. I have also had the advantage of being able to use the manuscript of Dr Silvina S. González Napolitano of the University of Buenos Aires, Las Medidas provisionales en derecho internacional ante las Cortes v Tribunales internacionales (2002), a work crowned with the Rolin-Jaequemyns Prize of the Institute of International Law in 2003. Finally, I Preface ix

wish to thank John Louth and all his colleagues at Oxford University Press for seeing this book through the press.

This manuscript was completed on 30 April 2004.

Sh. R. Jerusalem 2004

#### Abbreviations and Note on Documentation

adv. op. advisory opinion

AJIL American Journal of International Law BYIL British Year Book of International Law

CTS Consolidated Treaty Series

GAOR General Assembly Official Records

ICSID International Centre for the Settlement of Investment

Disputes

ILR International Law Reports

Law and Practice Sh. Rosenne, Law and Practice of the International

Court, 1920-1996 (The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1997)

LNTS League of Nations Treaty Series

Recueil Academy of International Law, Recueil des Cours/

Collected Courses

SCOR Security Council Official Records

UNCIO United Nations Conference on International Organiza-

tion (The San Francisco Conference, 1945)

UNRIAA United Nations Reports of International Arbitral

Awards

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

YBILC Yearbook of the International Law Commission

As far as possible documents of international organizations, of the International Court of Justice, and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, are taken from their official publications. However, many documents and cases are not yet available in printed form. These have been taken from their respective websites and are indicated in this book by their dates and, if relevant, their case numbers. Published arbitral awards are taken from the United Nations *Reports of International Arbitral Awards* or from the International Law Reports. Some unpublished awards have been taken from the website of the Permanent Court of Arbitration. The most commonly used websites are as follows:

United Nations: www.un.org (in all the official languages of the UN) International Court of Justice (including all the publications of the Permanent Court of International Justice): www.icj-cij.org, also available through the UN website

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: www.itlos.org (English), www.tidm.org (French), also available through the UN website

Permanent Court of Arbitration: www.pca-cpa.org

The International Seabed Authority has published in three CD-ROM discs all the records of the Law of the Sea Conferences 1968–94 as follows: Disc 1: Peaceful Uses of the Seabed and the Ocean Floor beyond the Limits of National Jurisdiction (1968–73); Disc 2: Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea (1973–82); Disc 3: Preparatory Commission for the International Seabed Authority and for the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (1983–94).

### Table of Cases

(All cases are from the PCIJ or the ICJ except where otherwise indicated)

Access to Information under Art. 9 of the OSPAR Convention, arbitration (Ireland v U.K.),
Administration of the Prince von Pless
(Germany v Poland),
Aegean Sea Continental Shelf (Interim Measures)
(Greece v Turkey),
Aerial Incident of 3 July 1988 (Iran v U.S.A.),
Aerial Incident of 10 August 1999 (Pakistan v India),
Alabama arbitration (U.K./U.S.A.),
Ambatielos (U.K. v Greece),
Anglo-Iranian Oil Co.
(U.K. v Iran),
Interim Measures,
Preliminary Objection,
Applicability of the Obligation to Arbitrate under Section 21
of the United Nations Headquarters Agreement of
26 June 1947 adv. op.,
Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment
of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia-Herzegovina v Yugoslavia),
Counter-claims,
Further Provisional Measures,
Preliminary Objections,
Provisional Measures,
198, 199, 219, 229
Revision of Preliminary Objection Judgment,
Arbitral Award of 31 July 1989 (Guinea-Bissau v Senegal),
Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (New Application: 2000)
(Congo v Rwanda),
41, 117, 140, 170, 198, 200–01, 230
Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Congo v Belgium),
133, 140, 170, 191, 230
Avena and other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v U.S.A.),
36, 41, 86, 166, 202
Provisional Measures,
Merits,

Barbados/Trinidad & Tobago Annex VII arbitration,
Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v Honduras),
Boundary Markers (Egypt/Israel) arbitration,
Breard v Greene (U.S.A.),
Certain Criminal Proceedings in France (Congo v France),
Certain Phosphate Lands in Nauru (Preliminary Objections) (Nauru v Australia),
Chorzów Factory (Indemnities) (Germany v Poland),
Commission (European) v Ireland (ECJ),
Corfu Channel (Preliminary Objection) (U.K. v Albania),
Costa Rica v Nicaragua (Central American Court of Justice),
China and Belgium (Belgium v China),
El Salvador v Nicaragua (Central American Court of Justice),
Electricity Company of Sofia and Bulgaria (Belgium v Bulgaria),
3, 33, 67, 85, 171, 227
Eritrea-Ethiopia Boundary Commission (arbitration),
Fisheries Jurisdiction (Federal Republic of Germany v Iceland,
U.K. v Iceland – heard in common), 74, 98, 154, 164, 178, 188, 206–8, 228
Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex
(France/ Switzerland),
Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Mali),
Gabĉíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia),
(Central American Court of Justice),
Honduras v Nicaragua (Central American Court of
Justice [2000]),
Interhandel (Switzerland v U.S.A.),
Interpretation and Application of the Montreal Convention
of 1971 arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie
(:Libya v U.K., Libya v U.S.A., paired cases),
Discontinuance,
Preliminary Objections, 8, 109, 219
Provisional Measures,
LaGrand (Germany v U.S.A.),
Provisional Measures,
Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria
(Cameroon v Nigeria),
Preliminary Objections,

Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute
(El Salvador/Honduras),9, 19
—Application for Revision (El Salvador v Honduras),
Land Reclamation Activities by Singapore in and around the Straits
of Johor (Malaysia v Singapore) (ITLOS),
Land Reclamation in the Straits of Johor Annex VII arbitration
(Malaysia/Singapore),
Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia
(South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970) adv.
op.,
Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the
Occupied Palestinian Territory adv. op.,
Legal Status of the South-Eastern Territory of Greenland
(Denmark/Norway),
Legality of Use of Force cases Yugoslavia
(ten cases, linked),
Serbia-Montenegro v:
—Belgium,
—Canada,
—France,
—Germany,
—Italy,73, 89, 116, 170
—Netherlands,
—Portugal,
—Spain,
—U.K, 73, 89, 91,112, 114, 170
—U.S.A.,
Letelier and Moffit (Chile/U.S.A.) arbitration,
Maritime Delimitation and Territorial Questions between
Qatar and Bahrain,
Maritime Delimitation between Nicaragua and Honduras
in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v Honduras),
Marffezini v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID),
Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions (U.K. v Greece),
Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua
(Nicaragua v U.S.A.),
Provisional Measures, 73, 91, 92, 104-106, 122, 132-33, 139, 167, 218, 228
MOX Plant (Ireland v U.K.) (ITLOS),
MOX Plant Annex VII Arbitration (Ireland v U.K.),
Northern Cameroons (Cameroon v U.K.),
Nottebohm (Preliminary Objections) (Liechtenstein v Guatemala),
Nuclear Tests (Australia v France, New Zealand v France,
paired cases) 3. 72. 73. 97. 123. 138. 149. 155. 165. 167. 188. 208. 228
THE LEAST LAND ASSESSMENT OF THE THE TAIL A LOCAL TRANSPORT AND LAND L

Provisional Measures,
Passage through the Great Belt (Provisional Measures)
(Finland v Denmark)3, 90, 136, 138, 139, 166, 184, 212–13, 219–20, 221, 229
Polish Agrarian Reform and the German Minority
(Germany ν Poland),
Prosecutor v Krstić (ICTY),
Request for an Examination of the Situation in accordance with
Paragraph 63 of the Court's Judgment of 20 December 1974
in the Nuclear Tests (New Zealand v France) case
(New Zealand v France), 44, 71, 86, 91, 97, 100, 121, 149, 169, 208, 229
Request for the Interpretation of the Judgment of 11 June 1998 in the
case concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary between
Cameroon and Nigeria, Preliminary Objections (Nigeria v Cameroon), 9
Right of Passage over Indian Territory (Preliminary Objections)
(Portugal v India),
Right to Information on Consular Assistance in the Framework
of the Guarantees of Due Process (Inter-American Court of
Human Rights) adv. op.,
Saiga (No. 2) (St. Vincent and the Grenadines v Guinea)
(ITLOS) 41, 80, 96, 126, 127, 131, 214, 216, 231
Southern Bluefin Tuna (Australia and New Zealand v Japan,
joined cases) (ITLOS)
Southern Bluefin Tuna Annex VII Arbitration
(Australia and New Zealand/Japan),
Sovereignty over Pulau Litigan and Pulau Sipadan (Application of the
Philippines for permission to Intervene) (Malaysia v Indonesia),
Territorial and Maritime Dispute between Nicaragua and Colombia, 64
Trial of Pakistani Prisoners of War
(Pakistan v India),
United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran
(U.S.A. v Iran),
Provisional Measures,
Vienna Convention on Consular Relations [Breard]
(Paraguay v II S A ) 169 178 202 203 236

#### Contents

Abbreviations and Note on Documentation Table of Cases	xv xvii
Part I Origins: Basic Texts	1
1. Introducing the Topic	3
1.1. What Are Provisional Measures of Protection?	3
1.2. Provisional Measures as a Feature of Incidental Jurisdiction	9
1.3. The Beginnings	12
1.4. Arbitration: The Hague Conferences of 1899 and 1907 and Later Arbitrations	13
1.5. The Central American Experience	17
1.6. The Bryan Treaties	20
1.7. Preparing for the Permanent Court of International Justice (1919–20)	21
2. The Statutes	22
I. The International Court of Justice	22
2.1. The Advisory Committee of Jurists (1920)	22
2.2. The Adoption of the Statute and Protocol of Signature (1920)	26
2.3. The 1929 Committee of Jurists	29
2.4. The Washington Committee of Jurists and the San Francisco Conference (1945)	30
2.5. The Binding Force of Provisional Measures in the International Court of Justice	34
2.6. The New Form of the Operative Clause of an Order Indicating Provisional Measures	40
II. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	44
<ol> <li>Article 290 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea</li> </ol>	44

xii	Contents

2.8. The Legislative History of Article 290	51
2.9. Annex VI, Article 25	56
2.10. The Extension of the Convention's Part XV	58
3. The Rules	62
I. The International Court of Justice	62
3.1. Statute and Rules	62
3.2. The Rules of the International Court	63
3.3. The Rules of 1922	64
3.4. The Rules of 1931	65
3.5. The Rules of 1936, 1946, and 1972	66
3.6. The Rules of 1978	68
II. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	74
3.7. Convention and Rules	74
3.8. The Rules of 1997	75
3.9. Provisional Measures in Annex VII Arbitrations	80
Part II Jurisdiction and Procedure	83
4. Jurisdiction	85
4.1. Jurisdiction to Order Provisional Measures	85
4.2. The Origin of Prima Facie Jurisdiction	91
4.3. Initial Developments in the International Court of Justice	94
4.4. The International Court of Justice since 1978	102
4.5. Conclusions regarding the International Court of Justice	121
4.6. The UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	123
4.7. Removing a Case from the List	132
5. Urgency	135
5.1 Urgency in the Circumstances	135