

ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

YEAZELL

CIVIL PROCEDURE

*Eighth  
Edition*



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business


ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

# CIVIL PROCEDURE

*Eighth Edition*

STEPHEN C. YEAZELL

David G. Price & Dallas P. Price Professor of Law  
University of California, Los Angeles



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

Copyright © 2012 Stephen C. Yeazell.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. ([www.wolterskluwerlb.com](http://www.wolterskluwerlb.com))

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at [www.wolterskluwerlb.com](http://www.wolterskluwerlb.com), or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail [customer.service@wolterskluwer.com](mailto:customer.service@wolterskluwer.com), call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business  
Attn: Order Department  
PO Box 990  
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-4548-0710-0

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Yeazell, Stephen C.

Civil procedure / Stephen C. Yeazell. — 8 th ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-4548-0710-0

1. Civil procedure — United States — Cases. I. Title.

KF8839.Y43 2012

347.73'5—dc23

2012004494



SFI label applies to the text stock

# CIVIL PROCEDURE

John H. ...  
The ...  
The ...

...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

...  
...  
...  
...

## EDITORIAL ADVISORS

### **Vicki Been**

Elihu Root Professor of Law  
New York University School of Law

### **Erwin Chemerinsky**

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law  
University of California, Irvine, School of Law

### **Richard A. Epstein**

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law  
New York University School of Law  
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow  
The Hoover Institution  
Senior Lecturer in Law  
The University of Chicago

### **Ronald J. Gilson**

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business  
Stanford University  
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business  
Columbia Law School

### **James E. Krier**

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law  
The University of Michigan Law School

### **Richard K. Neumann, Jr.**

Professor of Law  
Hofstra University School of Law

### **Robert H. Sitkoff**

John L. Gray Professor of Law  
Harvard Law School

### **David Alan Sklansky**

Professor of Law  
University of California at Berkeley School of Law

### **Kent D. Syverud**

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor  
Washington University School of Law

### **Elizabeth Warren**

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law  
Harvard Law School

# About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, Best Case, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

**CCH** products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

**Aspen Publishers** products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

**Kluwer Law International** products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleaves, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

**Loislaw** is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

**Best Case Solutions** is the leading bankruptcy software product to the bankruptcy industry. It provides software and workflow tools to flawlessly streamline petition preparation and the electronic filing process, while timely incorporating ever-changing court requirements.

**ftwilliam.com** offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

**MediRegs** products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

*For Ruth, Owen, and Emmet*



# Preface

Process lies at the core of our legal system: It expresses many of our culture's basic ideas about the meaning of fairness; it determines the victor in close cases; and it further determines which cases will be close ones. Procedure is also the area of law least understood and most maligned by lay observers. We root for underdogs and insist that rules not be stacked against them. But we are equally quick to condemn a case for having been decided on a "legal technicality," a phrase commonly signifying that a procedural rule has come into operation.

A similar ambivalence pervades debate about the behavior of courts and lawyers. As a society we demonstrate a strong belief in the efficacy of lawsuits to solve social, business, and personal problems, and we extol the rule of law as a distinguishing virtue of our culture. But at the same time we worry about what many believe is an excessive willingness to seek legal solutions. The ensuing debate ranges from the role of courts in restructuring social institutions to the question of whether lawyers exacerbate disputes and waste social resources by reflexively behaving in competitive, adversarial ways.

All these issues are procedural. Lawyers thus need to understand process as a tool of their trade, as a constitutive element of the legal system, and as a focus of debate about social values. Yet civil procedure is, by most accounts, a difficult and frustrating first-year course. Students come to law school with little experience in thinking explicitly about procedure and with an impression that cases simply arrive at the point of decision. Moreover, students sense that procedure may be the area in which lawyers' skill counts most; the notion that meritorious cases can be lost because of bad lawyering outrages their sense of justice even as it creates anxiety.

This book seeks to show procedure as an essential mechanism for presenting substantive questions and as a system that itself often raises fundamental issues regarding social values. I hope that students will begin to appreciate that lawyers move the system and that, to a large extent, clients' fates depend on the wisdom, skill, and judgment of their lawyers. Moreover, although all would agree that cases should not be decided on the basis of "mere" technicalities, fierce debate quickly arises when one tries to distinguish rules that merely direct traffic from those that guard the boundaries of fairness.



In addition to considering such theoretical issues, the book has some practical goals. It seeks to give students a working knowledge of the procedural system and its sometimes arcane terminology. The course also introduces the techniques of statutory analysis. It should give students a better understanding of the procedural context of the decisions they read in other courses. To these ends I have tried to select cases that are factually interesting and do not involve substantive matters beyond the experience of first-year students. The problems following the cases are intended to be answerable by first-year students and to present real-life issues. Finally, the book incorporates a number of dissenting opinions to dispel the notion that most procedural disputes present clear-cut issues.

The organization of the book adapts it to the most common sequences in contemporary procedure courses. After a brief overview of the procedural system in Chapter 1, some courses will initially consider the materials in Part A, which covers jurisdiction and choice of law. Other courses will begin with discussion of remedies, pleading, discovery, resolution without trial, identifying the trier, trial, appeal, and former adjudication, which are addressed in Part B. Part C, on joinder and complex litigation, recapitulates much of the material in Parts A and B and can be used either as a culmination of the course or as an insertion that follows pleading.

Cases have been severely edited to eliminate citations (without indicating their omission), and they read somewhat differently from real case reports; I hope they err in the direction of smoothness. Citations are retained only when they seem significant. Footnotes have been eliminated without indication. Those that survive retain their original numbers, while the editor's footnotes employ symbols. We have used several special citation forms: F. James, G. Hazard, and J. Leubsdorf, *Civil Procedure* (5th ed. 2001), is cited as James, Hazard, and Leubsdorf; C. Wright, *Federal Courts* (5th ed. 1994), is cited as Wright, *Federal Courts*; J. Moore, *Federal Practice and Procedure* (1969), is cited as Moore; C. Wright, A. Miller, and E. Cooper, *Federal Practice and Procedure* (1969), is cited as Wright and Miller.

We gratefully acknowledge the permissions granted to reproduce the following materials. The excerpts from Kamp, *The History Behind Hansberry v. Lee*, 20 U.C. Davis L. Rev. (1987), are reprinted by permission of the author and the Regents of the University of California (copyright © 1987 by the Regents of the University of California). The excerpts from *The Augusta Chronicle* are reprinted from *Whistle-blower wins case*, December 18, 1999, and *Judge sets attorney's fee in case*, March 31, 2000, by permission of *The Augusta Chronicle*.

Those whose assistance was acknowledged in the preface of earlier editions built the foundations on which this book rests. This revision has incurred additional debts of its own, including the suggestions received from teachers and students using the book. This edition has continued to benefit greatly from the perceptive comments of Allen M. Katz, Esq. A distinguished litigator, Mr. Katz has shared his insights about the way in which doctrine matters in the shaping of a lawsuit and has offered at many points the perspective of an experienced litigator. Without giving him any responsibility for the errors that doubtless still remain, I also want to thank him for helping keep the book connected with the world of

practice. I want also to thank many teachers and students who have used previous editions for detailed, thoughtful, and constructive suggestions. At the head of that list stands Prof. David Hricik, whose numerous suggestions have increased the book's clarity and accuracy, as well as its insight into practice. As with past editions, this one has been greatly improved by the library staff at UCLA's Hugh & Hazel Darling Law Library, whose ingenuity is exceeded only by their helpfulness.

This edition bears the name of only one author. In important respects, however, it grows from the work of Jonathan Landers and the late James Martin, on whose intellectual framework and approach I have built, though neither bears any responsibility for errors of judgment or detail.

Stephen C. Yeazell

March 2012

# CIVIL PROCEDURE



# Summary of Contents

*Contents xi*

*Preface xxv*

1. An Overview of Procedure 1

## **PART I: THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR U.S. LITIGATION**

---

2. Personal Jurisdiction 65
3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts 191
4. State Law in Federal Courts: *Erie* and Its Entailments 239

## **PART II: THE PROCESS OF LITIGATION**

---

5. Incentives to Litigate 279
6. Pleading 365
7. Discovery 457
8. Resolution Without Trial 523
9. The Trier and the Trial 597
10. Appeal 673
11. Respect for Judgments 715

## **PART III: PROBING THE BOUNDARIES: ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND PARTIES**

---

12. Joinder 793

*Table of Cases 911*

*Table of Citations to the Judicial Code (28 U.S.C.) 915*

*Table of Citations to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 917*

*Table of Authorities 919*

*Index 921*



# Contents

*Preface* xxv

## **Chapter 1: An Overview of Procedure 1**

### **A. The Idea and the Practice of Procedure 1**

1. Locating Procedure 1
2. Clients, Lawyers, Procedure, and Strategy 2

### **B. Where Can the Suit Be Brought? 4**

1. Personal Jurisdiction 5
2. Subject Matter Jurisdiction 6  
*Hawkins v. Masters Farms, Inc.* 6  
Notes and Problems 9
3. Service of Process 12

### **C. Stating the Case 12**

1. The Lawyer's Responsibility 12  
*Bridges v. Diesel Service, Inc.* 13  
Notes and Problems 14  
Note: Reading the Rules 15
2. The Complaint 17  
*Bell v. Novick Transfer Co.* 18  
Notes and Problems 19
3. The Response—Motions and Answer 20  
Pre-Answer Motions 21  
Notes and Problems 22  
The Answer 23  
Notes and Problems 25
4. Amendment of Pleadings 26

### **D. Parties to the Lawsuit 26**

- Larson v. American Family Mutual Ins. Co.* 27  
Notes and Problems 29

- E. Factual Development—Discovery 29**
  - Butler v. Rigsby* 31
  - Notes and Problems 34
- F. Pretrial Disposition—Summary Judgment 35**
  - Houchens v. American Home Assurance Co.* 36
  - Notes and Problems 40
- G. Trial 41**
  - Norton v. Snapper Power Equipment* 42
  - Notes and Problems 45
- H. Former Adjudication 46**
  - Rush v. City of Maple Heights* 47
  - Notes and Problems 52
- I. Appeals 52**
  - Apex Hosiery Co. v. Leader* 53
  - Notes and Problems 54
  - Note on Appellate Structure and Jurisdiction 56
  - Note: Civil Procedure in Your Substantive Courses 57

## PART I: THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR U.S. LITIGATION

---

- A. Approaching Civil Procedure 59**
- B. Constitutional Limits in Litigation 60**
  - 1. The Idea of Jurisdiction 60
  - 2. Jurisdiction and the Constitution 61
  - 3. The Constitution and Choice of Law 63

### Chapter 2: Personal Jurisdiction 65

- A. The Origins 65**
  - Pennoyer v. Neff* 66
  - Notes and Problems 72
  - Note on the Mechanics of Jurisdiction: Challenge and Waiver 76
  - Notes and Problems 77
- B. The Modern Constitutional Formulation of Power 79**
  - 1. Redefining Constitutional Power 79
    - International Shoe Co. v. Washington* 80
    - Notes and Problems 86

	<i>McGee v. International Life Insurance Co.</i>	89
	<i>Hanson v. Denckla</i>	90
	Notes and Problems	91
2.	Absorbing <i>In Rem</i> Jurisdiction	91
	<i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	93
	Notes and Problems	100
3.	Specific Jurisdiction: The Modern Cases	103
	<i>World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson</i>	103
	Notes and Problems	109
	<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i>	112
	Notes and Problems	117
	<i>Pavlovich v. Superior Court</i>	118
	Notes and Problems	123
	<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicaastro</i>	124
	Notes and Problems	131
4.	General Jurisdiction	132
	<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i>	132
	Notes and Problems	138
	<i>Burnham v. Superior Court</i>	139
	Notes and Problems	145
<b>C.</b>	<b>Consent as a Substitute for Power</b>	<b>148</b>
	<i>Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute</i>	149
	Notes and Problems	152
<b>D.</b>	<b>The Constitutional Requirement of Notice</b>	<b>154</b>
	<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank &amp; Trust Co.</i>	156
	Notes and Problems	162
	Notes and Problems on Service of Process	164
<b>E.</b>	<b>Self-Imposed Restraints on Jurisdictional Power: Long-Arm Statutes, Venue, and Discretionary Refusal of Jurisdiction</b>	<b>170</b>
1.	Long-Arm Statutes as a Restraint on Jurisdiction	170
	<i>Gibbons v. Brown</i>	171
	Notes and Problems	173
2.	Venue as a Further Localizing Principle	174
	Notes and Problems	175
	<i>Dee-K Enterprises, Inc. v. Heveafil Sdn. Bhd.</i>	176
	Notes and Problems	178
3.	Declining Jurisdiction: Transfer and <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	180
a.	<i>Forum Non Conveniens</i>	181
	<i>Piper Aircraft v. Reyno</i>	181
	Notes and Problems	186



## Chapter 3: Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts 191

### A. The Idea and the Structure of Subject Matter Jurisdiction 191

### B. Federal Question Jurisdiction 195

*Louisville & Nashville Railroad v. Mottley* 197

Notes and Problems 199

Note: Challenging Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction 203

Notes and Problems 204

### C. Diversity Jurisdiction 207

*Redner v. Sanders* 207

Notes and Problems 209

*Hertz Corp. v. Friend* 214

Notes and Problems 216

Note: Amount in Controversy 217

### D. Supplemental Jurisdiction 221

Notes and Problems 222

*In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices  
Litigation* 224

*Szendrey-Ramos v. First Bancorp* 226

Notes and Problems 228

### E. Removal 229

Notes and Problems 230

*Caterpillar, Inc. v. Lewis* 232

Notes and Problems 235

## Chapter 4: State Law in Federal Courts: *Erie* and Its Entailments 239

### A. State Courts as Lawmakers in a Federal System 241

1. The Issue in Historical Context 241

2. Constitutionalizing the Issue 242

*Erie Railroad v. Tompkins* 244

Notes and Problems 248

### B. The Limits of State Power in Federal Courts 251

1. Interpreting the Constitutional Command of *Erie* 251

*Guaranty Trust Co. v. York* 251

Notes and Problems 253

*Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electric Cooperative* 255

Notes and Problems 257