

HUMAN RIGHTS LAW IN PERSPECTIVE

Terrorism and the Limitation of Rights
The ECHR and the US Constitution

Stefan Scuttiaux

Terrorism and the Limitation of Rights
The ECHR and the US Constitution

STEFAN SOTTIAUX



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON
2008

Published in North America (US and Canada) by
Hart Publishing
c/o International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213-3786
USA

Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190
Fax: +1 503 280 8832
E-mail: orders@isbs.com
Website: www.isbs.com

© Stefan Sottiaux 2008

Stefan Sottiaux has asserted his right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988,
to be identified as the author of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart
Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate
reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be
covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, OX1 2JW
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710
E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-763-6

Typeset by Columns Design Ltd, Reading
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

Acknowledgements

This book is based on the doctoral thesis I defended at the University of Antwerp in September 2006. Many people have contributed to this work. First, I want to thank my two supervisors, Professors Mark Bossuyt and Jan Velaers. The other members of my examination commission, Professors David Cole, Jit Peters, Françoise Tulkens, Christine Van Den Wynngaert and Thierry Vansweevelt, deserve mention for the thorough reading and the helpful comments on my thesis. I also wish to express my gratitude to all my colleagues of the Antwerp Law faculty and the Brussels law firm for their support during the last six years. Particular thanks go to Bram Goetschalckx for his comments on an earlier draft and Dajo De Prins and Raymond Coppens for their never-ending moral support. Finally, I wish to thank my friends and family, in particular my parents and my sister. Without them this book could never have been completed.

Campbell and Fell v the United Kingdom Series A no 80 (1984)	333, 345
Campbell v United Kingdom Series A no 233 (1992)	312, 45
Can v Austria Series A no 96 (1984)	377
Capan v Turkey, 25 July 2006	121
Castillo Algar v Spain Reports 1998-VIII (1998)	333
Chahal v United Kingdom Reports 1996-V (1996)	221-4, 227, 230-1, 372-3, 375
Chalkley v United Kingdom, 12 June 2003	275
Chappell v United Kingdom Series A no A-152 (1989)	309
Christian Democratic People's Party v Moldova, 14 February 2006	173
Cetin v Turkey, 20 December 2005	107
Cetin and others v Turkey Reports 2003-III (2003)	128, 135
Ceylan v Turkey Reports 1999-IV (1999)	92-3
Ciraklar v Turkey Reports 1998-VII (1998)	339
Ciulla v Italy Series A no 148 (1989)	234
Colozza v Italy Series A no 89 (1985)	325
Cooper v United Kingdom Reports 2003-XII (2003)	389
Crémieux v France Series A no 256-B (1993)	309
Croissant v Germany Series A no 237-B (1992)	376
Debboub alias Hussein Ali v France, 9 November 1999	220
De Cubber v Belgium Series A no 86 (1984)	333
De Haes and Gijssels v Belgium Reports 1997-I (1997)	325
De Jong, Baljet and Van den Brink v the Netherlands Series A no 77 (1984)	212-13
Delcourt v Belgium Series A no 11 (1970)	324
Demir and others v Turkey Reports 1998-VI (1998)	216, 252, 259-60
Democracy and Change Party and others v Turkey, 26 July 2005 ...	181
De Wilde, Ooms and Versyp v Belgium Series A no 12 (1971)	197
Dicle for The Democratic Party of Turkey (DEP) v Turkey, 10 December 2002	178, 181
Dicle v Turkey, 10 November 2004	97
Dikme v Turkey Reports 2000-VIII (2000)	211, 216
Doerga v the Netherlands, 27 April 2004	275
Doorson v the Netherlands Reports 1996-II (1996)	349, 355-6
Drieman and others v Norway, 4 May 2000	79-80
Dudgeon v United Kingdom Series A no 45 (1981)	15, 55, 267, 271
Düzgören v Turkey, 9 November 2006	89
E v Norway Series A no 181 (1990)	229
Edwards v United Kingdom Series A no 247-B (1992)	361
EK v Turkey, 7 February 2002	110
Elden v Turkey, 9 December 2004	106
Emek Partisi and Senol v Turkey, 31 May 2005	181

Table of Cases

I. COUNCIL OF EUROPE

A. European Court of Human Rights

Abdülsamet Yaman v Turkey, 2 November 2004	253
Ahmet Mete v Turkey, 25 April 2006	381
Aksoy v Turkey Reports 1996-VI (1996) ...	53, 198, 216, 251–2, 258–9
Algür v Turkey, 22 October 2002	339
Allan v United Kingdom Reports 2002-IX (2002)	275
Al-Nashif v Bulgaria, 20 June 2002	231
Amann v Switzerland Reports 2000-II (2000)	275, 285
Appleby and others v United Kingdom Reports 2003-VI (2003)	15
Ari v Turkey, 11 January 2000	182–3
Arslan v Turkey, 8 July 1999	92–3
Ashingdane v United Kingdom, 25 May 1985	47–8
Ashingdane v UK Series A no 93 (1985)	329
Ashingdane Matznetter v Austria Series A no 10 (1969)	218
Assenov and others v Bulgaria Reports 1998-VIII (1998)	212
Averill v United Kingdom Reports 2000-VI (2000)	380–1
Baranowski v Poland, 28 March 2000	200–201
Barberà, Messegué and Jabardo v Spain Series A no 146 (1988)	338, 361
Barfod v Denmark Series A no 149 (1989)	70
Baskaya and Okçuoglu v Turkey Reports 1999-IV (1999)	92, 339
Bilen v Turkey, 21 February 2006	253
Birutis and others v Lithuania, 28 March 2002	355
Bladet Tromso and Stensaas v Norway Reports 1999-III (1999)	117
Bordovskiy v Russia, 8 February 2005	221, 223
Bowman v United Kingdom Reports 1998-I (1998)	68
Bozano v France Series A no 111 (1984)	221
Brannigan and McBride v United Kingdom Series A no 258 (1993)	52, 216, 249–51, 252 258–9
Brennan v United Kingdom Reports 2001-X (2001)	376–7, 379, 381–2
Brogan and others v United Kingdom Series A no 145-B (1988)	206, 212, 214–16, 248–9
Burghartz v Switzerland Series A no 280-B (1994)	267
Calogero v Italy Reports 1996-V (1996)	311
Camenzind v Switzerland Reports 1997-VIII (1997)	309, 310, 314, 319

Engel and others v the Netherlands Series A no 22 (1976)	199, 326, 345
Erdagöz v Turkey Reports 1997-VI (1997)	204
Erdem v Germany Reports 2001-VII (2001)	314, 381–2
Erdogu and Ince v Turkey Reports 1999-IV (1999)	92–3, 109–10
Ergin v Turkey (No 6), 4 May 2006	388
Ernst and others v Belgium, 15 July 2003	138, 140, 309, 311
Ezelin v France Series A no 202 (1991)	155
Fatma Tunç v Turkey, 20 October 2005	233
Filiz and Kalkan v Turkey, 20 June 2002	216
Findlay v United Kingdom Reports 1997-I (1997)	389
Fox, Campbell and Hartley v United Kingdom Series A no 182 (1990)	204, 206–12
Freedom and Democracy Party (ÖZDEP) v Turkey Reports 1999-VIII (1999)	166, 170
Friedl v Austria Series A no 305-B (1995)	267–8
Funke v France Series A no 256-A (1993)	309–10, 325
Garaudy v France Reports 2003-IX (2002)	36
Gerger v Turkey, 8 July 1999	92–3
Gestoras Pro-Amnistia and others v 15 States of the European Union Reports 2002-V (2002)	160
Glasenapp v Germany Series A no 104 (1986)	184
Golder v United Kingdom Series A no 18 (1975)	47, 271, 311, 325, 329
Goodwin v United Kingdom Reports 1996-II (1996)	137–8
Grande Oriente d'Italia di Palazzo Giustiniani v Italy Reports 2001-VIII (2001)	184
Greek case, 5 November 1969, 2 Yearbook 72 (1969)	51
Grigoriades v Greece Reports 1997-VIII (1997)	78, 88
Gorzelik and others v Poland Reports 2004-I (2004)	155, 172
Groppera Radio AG and others v Switzerland Series A no 173 (1990)	43, 129
Gümüs and others v Turkey, 15 March 2005	100
Günes v Turkey, 25 September 2001	388
Guzzardi v Italy Series A no 39 (1980)	199
Hadjianastassiou v Greece Series A no 252 (1992)	325
Halford v United Kingdom Reports 1997-III (1997)	268, 275
Halis v Turkey, 11 January 2005	110
Halis Dogan v Turkey (No 2), 25 July 2006	121
Halis Dogan v Turkey (No 3), 10 October 2006	105
Halis Dogan and others v Turkey, 10 January 2006	128
Handyside v United Kingdom Series A no 24 (1976)	44, 53–5, 69–70, 270–1
Hocaogullari v Turkey, 7 March 2006	105

Hogefeld v Germany, 20 January 2000	110–11, 142
Holm v Sweden Series A no 279-A (1993)	333
Hulki Günes v Turkey Reports 2003-VII (2003)	353–4, 359
Huvig v France Series A no 176-B (1990)	275, 277–8, 283, 285, 287, 294
Igdeli v Turkey, 20 June 2002	216, 233
Ikincisoy v Turkey, 15 December 2004	209
Imakayeva v Russia, 9 November 2006	209
Imbrioscia v Switzerland Series A no 275 (1993)	376
Incal v Turkey Reports 1998-IV (1998)	91–2, 106–7, 339–41
IPSD and others v Turkey, 25 October 2005	180
Ireland v United Kingdom Series A no 25 (1978)	3, 18, 52, 236–8, 251, 259
James and others v United Kingdom Series A no 98 (1986)	45, 54
Jasper v United Kingdom, 16 February 2000	362
Jecius v Lithuania Reports 2000-IX (2000)	234
Jersild v Denmark Series A no 298 (1994)	70, 117, 122, 129
Kadubec v Slovakia Reports 1998-VI (1998)	326
Karatas v Turkey Reports 1999-IV (1999)	92–3, 99–100, 339
Karner v Austria, 24 July 2003 Reports 2003-IX	54
Khan v United Kingdom Reports 2000-V (2000)	275
Klass and others v Germany Series A no 28 (1978)	7, 22, 278, 284, 286, 288–92, 294 314–15
Kiliç v Turkey, 8 July 2003	183
Kizilöz v Turkey, 11 January 2000	182
Kizilöz v Turkey, 25 September 2001	388
Koçak, Yavas, Özyurda v Turkey, 3 July 2003	183
Kok v the Netherlands Reports 2000-VI (2000)	355
Kokkinakis v Greece Series A no 260 (1993)	45
Kopp v Switzerland Reports 1998-II (1998)	275
Korkmaz and others v Turkey, 21 March 2006	209, 212
Kosiek v Germany Series A no 105 (1986)	184
Kostovski v the Netherlands Series A no 166 (1989) ...	326, 348–9, 356
Kruslin v France Series A no 176-B (1990)	275, 277–8, 283, 285, 287, 294
Lambert v France Reports 1998-V (1998)	275, 278
Lamy v Belgium Series A no 151 (1989)	229
Lawless v Ireland Series A no 3 (1961)	22, 48–52, 201, 234, 235–6, 258
Leander v Sweden Series A no 116 (1987)	55, 142
Lehideux and Isorni v France Reports 1998-VII (1998)	71
Letellier v France Series A no 207 (1991)	218
Lewis v United Kingdom, 25 November 2003	275
Lingens v Austria Series A no 103 (1986)	68, 70

Loizidou v Turkey Series A no 310 (1995)	17
Lucas v United Kingdom, 18 March 2003	79
Lüdi v Switzerland Series A no 238 (1992)	320, 349
Maaouia v France Reports 2000-X (2000)	369
Magee v United Kingdom Reports 2000-VI (2000)	380–1
Malone v United Kingdom Series A no 82 (1984)	42, 275–8, 285, 294
Mamaç and others v Turkey, 20 April 2004	381
Marshall v United Kingdom, 10 July 2001	253, 258
Mathieu-Mohin and Clerfayt v Belgium Series A no 113 (1987)	47
McBride v United Kingdom, 5 July 2001	79
Medya FM Reha Radyo Ve İletisim Hizmetleri A S v Turkey, 14 November 2006	135
Mehmet Emin Yildiz and others v Turkey, 11 April 2006	128
Mentes v Turkey, 6 February 2007	354
Miailhe v France Series A no 256-C (1993)	309–10
Mitap and Müftüoğlu v Turkey Reports 1996-II (1996)	387–8
Murray v the United Kingdom Series A no 300-A (1994) ...	204, 207–9, 212, 267, 315, 319 322
Murray v the United Kingdom Reports 1996-I (1996)	376–7, 379–81, 385
Neumeister v Austria Series A no 8 (1968)	325
Nicol and Selvanayagam v United Kingdom, 11 January 2001	79
Niemietz v Germany Series A no 251-B (1992)	267, 309–10
Oberschlick v Austria Series A no 204 (1991)	46
Observer and Guardian v United Kingdom Series A no 216 (1991) ..	88, 126
Öcalan v Turkey, 12 March 2003 (First Section)	231, 339–41, 381–2
Öcalan v Turkey, 12 May 2005 (Grand Chamber) ...	231–2, 381–2, 339
Odabasi v Turkey, 10 November 2004	106
O’Hara v United Kingdom Reports 2001-X (2001)	208–9, 216
Okçuoğlu v Turkey, 8 July 1999	92–3
Olsson v Sweden Series A no 130 (1988)	44, 46
Osman v United Kingdom Reports 1998-VIII (1998)	4
Özgür Gündem v Turkey Reports 2000-III (2000)	106, 120–21, 124, 145
Özgür Radyo-Ses Radyo Televizyon Yayın Yapım Ve Tanıtım A S v Turkey, 30 March 2006.	122, 135
Öztürk v Turkey Reports 1999-VI (1999)	95–100, 106, 109, 170, 175
Pakelli v Germany Series A no 64 (1983)	376
Palusinski v Poland, 3 October 2006	115–16
Partidul Comunistilor (Nepeceristi) and Ungureanu v Romania, 3 February 2005	171, 180

Peck v United Kingdom Reports 2003-I (2003)	45
Perry v United Kingdom Reports 2003-IX (2003)	275
PG and JH v United Kingdom Reports 2001-IX (2001)	267–8, 275–6, 278
Polat v Turkey, 8 July 1999	92–3, 97–8, 109, 121
Powell and Rayner v United Kingdom Series A no 172 (1991)	267
Prado Bugallo v Spain, 18 February 2003	275
Pretto v Italy Series A no 71 (1983)	330, 344
Quinn v France Series A no 311 (1995)	221
Rasmussen v Denmark, 28 November 1984, Series A no 87	56
Rees v UK, 17 October 1986 Series A no 106	55
Refah Partisi (the Welfare Party) and others v Turkey, 31 July 2001	22, 163, 166–8, 170, 172
Refah Partisi (the Welfare Party) and others v Turkey Reports 2003-II (2003)	166–8, 169–73 176–7, 179, 407
Riepan v Austria Reports 2000-XII (2000)	345
Ringeisen v Austria Series A no 13 (1971)	332
Roemen and Schmidt v Luxembourg Reports 2003-IV (2003)	138, 140
Rotaru v Romania Reports 2000-V (2000)	265
Rowe and Davis v United Kingdom Reports 2000-II (2000)	361
Sadak v Turkey, 8 April 2004	253
Sadak and others v Turkey (No 1) Reports 2001-VIII (2001)	353
Sahin and Sürgeç v Turkey, 31 October 2006	216
Sahiner v Turkey, 11 January 2000	182
Sahiner v Turkey Reports 2001-IX (2001)	387–8
Saïdi v France Series A no 261-C (1993)	354
Sakik and others v Turkey Reports 1997-VII (1997)	216, 233, 253
Sanchez-Reisse v Switzerland Series A no 107 (1986)	229
Sarikay v Turkey, 22 April 2004	381
Satik, Camli, Satik, Marasli v Turkey, 13 March 2001	183
Schenk v Switzerland Series A no 140 (1988)	361
Schiesser v Switzerland Series A no 34 (1979)	204, 212–13
Schöps v Germany Reports 2001-I (2001)	229, 370
Segi and others v 15 States of the European Union Reports 2002-V (2002)	160–1
Sen v Turkey, 17 June 2003	253
Sener v Turkey, 18 July 2000	107
Sidiropoulos and others v Greece Report 1998-IV (1998)	157
Silver v United Kingdom Series A no 61 (1983)	42, 270–1
Singh v Czech Republic, 15 January 2005	221
SN v Sweden Reports 2002-V (2002)	354–5
Socialist Party and others v Turkey Reports 1998-III (1998)	165–6, 176

Socialist Party of Turkey (STP) and others v Turkey, 12 November 2003	180
Stankov and the United Macedonian Organisation Ilinden v Bulgaria Reports 2001-IX (2001).	180
Steel and others v United Kingdom Reports 1998-VIII (1998)	79–80, 201
Stögmüller v Austria Series A no 9 (1969)	218
Storck v Germany, 16 June 2005	199
Süleyman Erdem v Turkey, 19 September 2006	209, 212
The Sunday Times v United Kingdom Series A no 30 (1979) ..	42–3, 70, 201, 270
Sürek and Özdemir v Turkey, 8 July 1999	93, 120–1, 135, 172
Sürek v Turkey (No 1) Reports 1999-IV (1999)	45, 92, 94–5, 98, 102, 105
Sürek v Turkey (No 2), 8 July 1999	92, 123
Sürek v Turkey (No 3), 8 July 1999	92, 94–5, 98, 121
Sürek v Turkey (No 4), 8 July 1999	92–3
S v Switzerland Series A no 220 (1991)	377, 382
Talat Tepe v Turkey, 21 December 2004	209
Tanrikulu and others v Turkey, 6 October 2006	253
Taylor-Sabori v United Kingdom, 22 October 2003	275
Uçar v Turkey, 11 April 2006	216
United Macedonian Organisation Ilinden—Pirin and others v Bulgaria, 20 October 2005	172, 180
United Communist Party of Turkey and others v Turkey Reports 1998-I (1998)	5, 44, 155 163–5, 176, 180
Valenzuela Contreras v Spain Reports 1998-V (1998)	275, 277
Van de Leer Series A no 170 (1990)	210
Van Mechelen and other v the Netherlands Reports 1997-III (1997)	349
Van Rossem v Belgium, 9 December 2004	309, 311
Vereinigung Demokratischer Soldaten Österreichs and Gubi v Austria Series A no 302 (1994)	88
Vereniging Weekblad Bluf! v the Netherlands Series A no 306-A (1995)	126
Vidal v Belgium Series A no 235-B (1992)	349
Vogt v Germany Series A no 323 (1995)	184
Weber and Saravia v Germany, 29 June 2006	140–1, 285–6, 288–90, 293–4
Wemhoff v Germany Series A no 7 (1968)	217–18
Winterwerp v the Netherlands Series A no 33 (1979)	200–201
Wloch v Poland Reports 2000-XI (2000)	229
X and Y v the Netherlands Series A no 91 (1985)	267
Yagci and Sargin v Turkey Series A no 319 (1995)	218

Yagmurdereli v Turkey, 4 June 2002	106
Yakis v Turkey, 25 September 2001	339
Yazar, Karatas, Aksoy and the People's Labour Party (HEP) v Turkey, 9 April 2002	177–8 181
Yurttas v Turkey, 27 May 2004	253
Zana v Turkey Reports 1997-VII (1997)	70, 88, 90–1, 95, 98, 109, 175

B. European Commission of Human Rights

A, B, C and D v Germany Application no 8290/78, 18 DR 176 (1979)	288
AM v United Kingdom Application no 20657/92, 15 EHRR 113 (1992)	346–7
Arrowsmith v the United Kingdom Application no 7075/75, 19 DR 5 (1978)	88–9
Brind and others v United Kingdom Application no 18714/91, 77 DR 42 (1994)	129, 132–4 135
Christie v United Kingdom Application no 21482/93, 78 DR 119 (1994)	287–8, 292–4
Cyprus v Turkey (First and Second Applications) Application nos 6780/74 and 6950/75, 2 DR 125 (1975)	199
De Courcy v United Kingdom Application no 2749/66, 10 Yearbook 388 (1967)	47
Eccles and others v Ireland Application no 12839/87, 59 DR 212 (1988)	336–7
Esbester v United Kingdom Application no 18601/91, 18 EHRR 72 (1993)	287, 292–4
Greece v United Kingdom, Application No 176/56, 2 Yearbook 174 (1958–9)	52
Hazar, Hazar and Acik v Turkey Application no 16311–13/90, 72 DR 200 (1991)	183–4
Hazar, Hazar and Acik v Turkey Application no 16311–13/90, 73 DR 111 (1992)	184
Hewitt and Harman Application no 12175/86, 67 DR 88 (1993) ...	287
KPD v FRG Application no 250/57, 1 YBECHR 222 (1957)	163
Lawless v Ireland Application no 332/57, Series B no 1 (1959)	201
L v Norway Application no 13564/88, 65 DR 210 (1990)	291, 294
McLaughlin v United Kingdom Application no 18759/91, 77 DR 42 (2005)	134
MS and PS v Switzerland Application no 10628/83, 44 DR 175 (1985)	291
Mersch and others v Luxembourg Application nos 10439–41/83, 10452/83 and 10512–3/83, 43 DR 78 (1985)	286, 291, 294

Mitap and Müftüoğlu v Turkey, 8 December 1994, Application nos 15530/89 and 15531/89	387
Purcell and others v Ireland Application no 15404/89, 70 DR 262 (1991)	129–32, 133 135–6, 176
Redgrave v United Kingdom, 1 September 1993, Application no 20271/92	287
Spillmann v Switzerland Application no 11811/85, 55 DR 182 (1988)	291
W v United Kingdom Application no 9348/81, 32 DR 190 (1983)	4
W v Ireland Application no 9360/81, 32 DR 211 (1983)	4
Waldberg v Turkey Application no 22909/93, 82 DR 29 (1995)	182
X and Y v Ireland Application no 8299/78, 22 DR 51 (1980)	336–7
X v Italy Application no 250/57, 5 DR 83 (1976)	163
X v United Kingdom Application no 6084/73, 3 DR 62 (1975)	88–9
X v United Kingdom Application no 7215/75, 3 EHRR 63 (1978) ...	78

II. UNITED STATES

A. Supreme Court of the United States

Abrams v United States, 250 US 616 (1919)	23, 26, 69, 83, 94
ACLU v United States, 123 S Ct 1615 (2003)	306
Allen v Illinois, 478 US 364 (1986)	328
Application of Yamashita, 327 US 1 (1946)	255, 394–5, 402–3
Baldwin v New York, 399 US 66 (1970)	334
Barenblatt v United States, 360 US 109 (1959)	56, 71
Beck v Ohio, 379 US 89 (1964)	205
Berger v New York, 388 US 41 (1967)	281–3, 301
Boos v Barry, 485 US 312 (1988)	137
Bose Corporation v Consumers Union, 466 US 485 (1984)	69
Boyd v United States, 116 US 616 (1886)	266, 312
Brady v Maryland, 373 US 83 (1963)	362–3, 365, 367–8
Brandenburg v Ohio, 395 US 444 (1969)	86–7, 94, 97, 99–101, 103–5, 108–9, 112–13 115–16, 122, 136–7, 148–51, 171, 188, 408–9
Branzburg v Hayes, 408 US 665 (1972)	137–8, 141
Brinegar v United States, 338 US 160 (1949)	205, 281
Buckley v Valeo, 424 US 1 (1976)	154
Camara v Municipal Court, 387 US 523 (1967)	272–3
Carlson v Landon, 342 US 524 (1952)	222
Chaplinsky v State of New Hampshire, 315 US 568 (1942)	72–3
CIA v Sims, 471 US 159 (1985)	367
City of Renton v Playtime Theatres, Inc, 475 US 41 (1986)	73
County of Riverside v McLaughlin, 500 US 44 (1991)	213–14, 217

xxii *Table of Cases*

Coy v Iowa, 487 US 1012 (1988)	351
Craig v Boren, 429 US 190 (1976)	59
Crawford v Washington, 541 US 36 (2004)	331, 350, 358, 360, 402, 409
Dalia v United States, 441 US 238 (1979)	280
Dallas v Stanglin, 490 US 19 (1989)	154
Delaware v Prouse, 440 US 648 (1979)	272
Debs v United States, 249 US 211 (1919)	83
Demore v Kim, 533 US 678 (2003)	222
Dennis v United States, 341 US 494 (1951)	28–30, 85–7, 99, 112
Dunaway v New York, 442 US 200 (1979)	210, 221
Duncan v Louisiana, 391 US 145 (1968)	333–4
Duren v Missouri, 439 US 357 (1979)	334
Dow Chemical Co v United States, 476 US 227 (1986)	269
Elfbrandt v Russel, 384 US 11 (1966)	187
Ex parte Bollman, 8 US (4 Cranch) 75 (1807)	230
Ex parte Endo, 323 US 283 (1944)	243, 260
Ex parte Jackson, 96 US 727 (1877)	312
Ex parte Milligan, 71 US 2 (1866)	1, 19–20, 63, 254, 342, 390–3, 401–403, 408, 410
Ex parte Quirin, 317 US 1 (1942)	255, 392–4, 399, 401, 408
Faretta v California, 422 US 806 (1975)	377
FCC v Pacifica Foundation, 438 US 726 (1978)	129
Frohwerk v United States, 249 US 204 (1919)	83
Gerstein v Pugh, 420 US 103 (1975)	205, 213
Gibson v Berryhill, 411 US 564 (1973)	335
Gideon v Wainwright, 372 US 335 (1963)	377
Gitlow v New York, 268 US 652 (1925)	84, 108
Global Newspapers Co v Superior Court, 457 US 596 (1982)	143
Goldberg v Kelly, 397 US 254 (1970)	329
Goldman v United States, 316 US 129 (1942)	279
Griffin v Wisconsin, 483 US 868 (1987)	273
Griswold v Connecticut, 381 US 479 (1965)	266
Haig v Agee, 453 US 280 (1981)	124
Hamdan v Rumsfeld, 126 S Ct 2749 (2006)	343, 358–60, 368, 398–400, 402–403
Hamdi v Rumsfeld, 542 US 507 (2004)	245–8, 257, 260–1, 263, 360, 407
Hess v Indiana, 414 US 105 (1973)	87
Korematsu v United States, 320 US 81 (1944)	243, 260
Hoffa v United States, 385 US 293 (1966)	320
Home Bldg & Loan Ass'n v Blaisdell, 290 US 398 (1934)	20
Hudson v Palmers, 468 US 517 (1984)	313

Ohio v Roberts, 448 US 56 (1980)	350
Olmstead v United States, 277 US 438 (1928)	266, 279–80
Organization for a Better Austin v Keefe, 402 US 415 (1971)	125
Patterson v New York, 432 US 197 (1977)	327–8
Pell v Procunier, 417 US 817 (1974)	141–2
Pennsylvania v Ritchie, 480 US 39 (1987)	352, 363
Perry v Sindermann, 408 US 593 (1972)	329
Pinkerton v United States, 328 US 640 (1946)	186
Powell v Alabama, 287 US 45 (1932)	377
Press-Enterprise Co v Superior Court, 478 US 1 (1986)	143
Printz v United States, 521 US 898 (1997)	23
Rakas v Illinois, 439 US 128 (1978)	269
Rasul v Bush, 542 US 466 (2004)	256
RAV v City of Saint Paul, 505 US 377 (1992)	39, 102
Red Lion Broadcasting Co v FCC, 395 US 367 (1969)	129
Richards v Wisconsin, 520 US 385 (1997)	313
Richmond Newspapers, Inc v Virginia, 448 US 555 (1980)	143, 344
Rice v Paladin Enterprises, 118 S Ct 1515 (1998)	115
Roberts v United States Jaycees, 468 US 609 (1984)	77–8, 155–8
Roth v United States, 354 US 476 (1957)	36
Roviaro v United States, 353 US 53 (1957)	366–7, 369
Rumsfeld v Padilla, 542 US 426 (2004)	245
Saxbe v Washington Post Co, 417 US 843 (1974)	141
Scales v United States, 367 US 203 (1961)	186–91, 193–4, 408
Schenck v United States, 249 US 47 (1919)	82–3, 94
Schmerber v California, 384 US 757 (1966)	272
Shadwick v City of Tampa, 407 US 345 (1972)	280
Shaughnessy v Mezei, 345 US 206 (1953)	371, 373
Silverman v United States, 365 US 505 (1961)	312
Skinner v Railway Labor Executives' Assn, 489 US 602 (1989)	273
Smith v Illinois, 390 US 129 (1968)	351
Smith v Maryland, 442 US 735 (1979)	269, 280
Spence v Washington, 418 US 405 (1974)	76
Stack v Boyle, 342 US 1 (1951)	218
Steele v United States, 267 US 498 (1925)	313
Strickler v Greene, 527 US 263 (1999)	363
Stromberg v California, 283 US 359 (1931)	76
Taylor v Louisiana, 419 US 522 (1975)	334
Terry v Ohio, 392 US 1 (1968)	200, 205, 210, 272
Thornburgh v Abbott, 490 US 401 (1989)	313
Tumey v Ohio, 273 US 510 (1927)	327, 335
United States v Agurs, 427 US 97 (1976)	363
United States v Bagley, 473 US 667 (1985)	363
United States v Miller, 425 US 435 (1976)	269

Immigration and Naturalization Service v ST Cyr, 533 US 289 (2001)	230
Indianapolis v Edmond, 531 US 32 (2000)	273, 306
Ingraham v Wright, 430 US 651 (1977)	200
In re Murchison et al, 349 US 133 (1955)	335
In re Winship, 397 US 358 (1970)	327
Irvin v Dowd, 366 US 717 (1961)	335
Jay v Boyd, 351 US 345 (1956)	371–2, 376
Jencks v United States, 353 US 657 (1957)	362
Johnson v Eisentrager, 339 US 763 (1950)	256–7
Johnson v United States, 333 US 10 (1948)	281
Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee v McGrath, 341 US 123 (1951)	324, 331
Kansas v Hendricks, 521 US 346 (1997)	328
Katz v United States, 389 US 347 (1967)	266, 268–9, 279–80, 282, 296, 320
Kennedy v Mendoza-Martinez, 372 US 144 (1963)	328
Knauff v Shaughnessy, 338 US 537 (1950)	370–1, 373
Koningsberg v State Bar, 366 US 36 (1961)	56, 71–2
Korematsu v United States, 323 US 214 (1944)	31, 63
Kyles v Whitley, 514 US 419 (1995)	363, 368
Landmark Communications, Inc v Virginia, 435 US 829(1979)	68
Lawrence v Texas, 123 S Ct 2472 (2003)	15
Loving v Virginia, 388 US 1 (1967)	59
Ludecke v Watkins, 335 US 160 (1948)	241–2
Manusi v DeForte, 392 US 364 (1968)	270
Marsh v Alabama, 326 US 501 (1946)	15
Maryland v Craig, 497 US 836 (1990)	62, 331, 351
Mathews v Eldridge, 424 US 319 (1976)	61–2, 203, 247, 263, 327, 331, 374–6
Mattox v United States, 156 US 237 (1895)	348
Medina v California, 505 US 437 (1992)	327
Minneapolis and St Louis R Co v Bombolis, 241 US 211 (1919)	325
Miranda v Arizona, 384 US 436 (1966)	211, 228, 378–9, 386, 402
NAACP v Alabama ex rel Patterson, 357 US 449 (1958)	156, 158
NAACP v Claiborne Hardware Co, 458 US 886 (1982)	77, 104, 179
Near v State of Minnesota ex rel Olson, 283 US 697 (1931)	124–7
Nebraska Press Assn v Stuart, 427 US 539 (1976)	125
New Jersey v TLO, 469 US 325 (1985)	272
New York v Ferber, 458 US 747 (1982)	58–9, 73
New York Times Co v Sullivan, 376 US 254 (1964)	58, 99
New York Times Co v United States, 403 US 713 (1971)	125, 127
N Jersey Media Group, Inc v Ashcroft, 124 S Ct 2215 (2003)	144
Noto v United States, 367 US 290 (1961)	108–9

Detroit Free Press v Ashcroft, 303 F.3d 681 (6th Cir 2002)	143–4
Ex parte Merryman, 17 F Cas 144 (CCD Md 1861)	254
Hamdan v Rumsfeld, 344 F Supp 2d 152 (DDC 2004)	358
Humanitarian Law Project v Reno, 9 F Supp 2d 1205 (CD Cal 1998)	191
Humanitarian Law Project v Reno, 205 F.3d 1130 (9th Cir 2000)	190–2
Humanitarian Law Project v US Dept of Justice, 352 F 3d 382 (9th Cir 2003)	193
In re Guantánamo Detainee Cases, 355 F Supp 2d 443 (DDC 2005)	257
In re Sealed Case, 310 F.3d 717 (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review 2002)	303, 308, 317
Khalid v Bush, 355 F Supp 2d 311 (DDC 2005)	257
Kiareldeen v Reno, 71 F Supp 2d 402 (DNJ 1999)	375
Lanthrip v State, 235 Ga 10, 218 SE2d 771 (1975)	101
Masson v Slaton, 320 F Supp 669 (ND Ga 1970)	101
Masses Publishing Co v Patten, 244 Fed. 535 (SDNY 1917)	86, 97
Masses Publishing Co v Patten, 246 Fed 24 (2d Cir 1917)	86, 97
Najjar v Reno, 97 F Supp 2d 1329 (SD Fla 2000)	375
N Jersey Media Group, Inc v Ashcroft, 308 F.3d 198 (3d Cir 2002)	143–4
Olivia N v NBC, 74 Cal App 3d 383 (Cal App, 1977)	136
People v Lopez, 74 Cal App 4th 678, 88 Cal Rptr 2d 252 (2d Dist 1999)	101
Planned Parenthood of the Columbia/Willamette, Inc v Am Coalition of Life Activists, 290 F.3d 1058, 1072 (9th Cir 2002)	101
Rafeedie v INS, 795 F Supp 13 (DDC 1992)	374
Rice v Paladin Enterprises, 940 F Supp 836 (D Md 1996)	113
Rice v Paladin Enterprises, 128 F.3d 233 (4th Cir 1997)	114
Thomas v Com, 574 SW 2d 903 (Ky Ct App 1978)	101
United States v Afshari, 426 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir 2005)	190
United States v Barnett, 667 F.2d 835 (9th Cir 1982)	114
United States v Biasucci, 786 F.2d 504 (2d Cir 1986)	280
United States v Bin Laden, 58 F Supp.2d 113 (SDNY 1999)	384
United States v Cuevas-Sanchez, 821 F.2d 248 (5th Cir 1987)	280
United States v Duggan, 743 F.2d 59 (2d Cir 1984)	300–301, 308
United States v Edwards, 498 F.2d 496 (2d Cir 1974)	318
United States v Ehrlichman, 376 F Supp 29 (DDC 1974)	316–7
United States v Falvey, 540 F Supp 1306 (EDNY1982)	300
United States v Fernandez, 913 F.2d 148 (4th Cir 1990)	367
United States v Hammoud, 381 F.3d 316 (4th Cir 2004)	190
United States v Johnson, 952 F.2d 565 (1st Cir 1991)	302