


THE AIMS OF ARGUMENT

A Brief Rhetoric

SECOND
EDITION



Timothy W. Crusius ▲ Carolyn E. Channell

The Aims of Argument

A BRIEF RHETORIC

Second Edition

Timothy W. Crusius / Carolyn E. Channell

Southern Methodist University



Mayfield Publishing Company

Mountain View, California

London • Toronto

Copyright © 1998, 1995 by Mayfield Publishing Company

All rights reserved. No portion of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means without written permission of the publisher.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA

Crusius, Timothy W.,

The aims of argument : a brief rhetoric / Timothy W. Crusius,
Carolyn E. Channell.—2nd ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 1-55934-933-6

1. English language—Rhetoric. 2. Persuasion (Rhetoric). 3. Report writing.

I. Channell, Carolyn E. II. Title.

PE1431.C778 1997

808'.042—dc21

97-1161

CIP

Manufactured in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Mayfield Publishing Company

1280 Villa Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Sponsoring editor, Thomas V. Broadbent; production editor, Julianna Scott Fein; manuscript editor, Andrea McCarrick; design and art manager, Susan Breitbard; text designer, David Bullen; cover designer, Susan Breitbard; manufacturing manager, Randy Hurst. The text was set in 10½/12 Bembo by Thompson Type and printed on acid-free 45# Chromatone Matte by Banta Book Group.

Cover art: Kenneth Noland, *Comet*, 1994. Kenneth Noland/VAGA, New York.

Acknowledgments and copyrights continue at the back of the book on pages 275–276, which constitute an extension of the copyright page.

PREFACE

In 1980 an author could justify a new argumentation textbook for first-year college students simply by saying that it filled a void; now prospective authors must ask themselves, Does the profession really need yet another book on argumentation? Moreover, they had better have a good answer to a question that experienced instructors of argument will surely ask: How, specifically, is your text different from—and better than—the one I am using?

People write textbooks for many reasons, but probably the most important reason—the one that keeps authors going long after the initial enthusiasm (and advances) are spent—is the chance of satisfying a need. With over thirty years of teaching experience between us, we have tried most of the argumentation texts currently available. Some of them are quite good, and we have learned from them. However, we found ourselves adopting a text not so much out of genuine enthusiasm but rather because it had fewer liabilities than any of the others under consideration. True, all textbook selection involves comparisons of the “lesser evil” sort. But we wondered why we were so lukewarm about even the best argumentation textbooks. What was it exactly that put us off?

We found many problems, both major and minor. But our dissatisfaction boiled down to a few major criticisms:

- Most treatments were too formalistic and prescriptive.

- Most failed to integrate class discussion and individual inquiry with written argumentation.

- Apart from moving from simple concepts and assignments to more complicated ones, no book offered a learning sequence.

- Despite the fact that argument, like narrative, is clearly a mode or means of development, not an end in itself, no book offered a well-developed view of the aims or purposes of argument.

We thought that these shortcomings had many undesirable results in the classroom, including the following:

- The overemphasis on form confused students with too much terminology, made them doubt their best instincts, and drained away energy and interest from the process of inventing and discovering good

arguments. Informal argumentation is not cut-and-dried but open-ended and creative.

The separation of class discussion from the process of composition created a hiatus (rather than a useful distinction) between oral and written argument so that students had difficulty seeing the relation between the two and using the insights learned from each to improve the other.

The lack of a learning sequence—of assignments that begin by refining and extending what students can do without help and that then build on these capacities with each subsequent assignment—meant that courses in argumentation were less coherent and less meaningful than they could be. Students did not understand why they were doing what they were doing and could not envision what might reasonably come next.

Finally, inattention to what people actually use argument to accomplish resulted in too narrow a view of the functions of argument and thus in unclear purposes for writing. Because instruction was mainly limited to what we call arguing to convince, too often students saw argument only as a monologue of advocacy. Even when their viewpoint was flexible, too often they assumed a pose of dogmatism and ignored any true spirit of inquiry.

We set out consciously to solve these problems—or at least to render them less problematical. The result is a book different in notable respects from any other argument text currently available. In Chapter 1 we define and explain four aims of argument:

Arguing to inquire, the process of questioning opinions

Arguing to convince, the process of making cases

Arguing to persuade, the process of appealing to the whole person

Arguing to negotiate, the process of mediating between or among conflicting positions

We have found that instructors have certain questions about these aims, especially in terms of how they relate to one another. No doubt we have yet to hear all the questions that will be asked but hope that by answering the ones we have heard, we can clarify some of the implications of our approach.

1. *What is the relative value of the four aims? Since negotiation comes last, is it the best or most valued?* Our answer is that no aim is “better” than any other aim. Given certain needs or demands for writing and certain audiences, one aim can be more appropriate than another for the task at hand. We treat negotiation last because it involves inquiry, convincing, and persuading and thus comes last in the learning sequence.
2. *Must inquiry be taught as a separate aim?* Not at all. We have designed the text so that it may be taught as a separate aim (the use of argument Plato and Aristotle called *dialectic*), but we certainly do not intend this “may” to be interpreted as a “must.” We do think that teaching inquiry as a distinct aim has certain advantages. Students need to learn how to engage in con-

structive dialogue, which is more disciplined and more focused than class discussion usually is. Once they see how it is done, students seem to enjoy dialogue with one another and with texts. Dialogue helps students think through their arguments and imagine reader reaction to what they say, both of which are crucial to convincing and persuading. Finally, as with the option of teaching negotiation, teaching inquiry offers instructors the option to make assignments in addition to the standard argumentative essay.

3. *Should inquiry come first?* For a number of reasons, inquiry has a certain priority over the other aims. Most teachers are likely to approach inquiry as a prewriting task, preparatory to convincing or persuading. And very commonly we return to inquiry when we find something wrong with a case we are trying to construct, so the relation between inquiry and the other aims is as much recursive as it is a matter of before and after.

However, we think inquiry also has psychological, moral, and practical claims to priority. When we are unfamiliar with an issue, inquiry comes first psychologically, often as a felt need to explore existing opinion. Regardless of what happens in the “real world,” convincing or persuading without an open, honest, and earnest search for the truth is, in our view, immoral. Finally, inquiry goes hand-in-hand with research, which, of course, normally precedes writing in the other aims of argument.

In sum, we would not defend Plato’s concept of the truth. Truth is not simply “out there” in some wordless place waiting to be discovered; rather, our opinion is what we discover or uncover as we grapple with a controversial issue and results largely from how we interpret ourselves and our world. We agree, therefore, with Wayne Booth that truth claims ought to be provisional and subject to revision, held for good reasons until better ones change our minds. Moreover, we agree with Plato that rhetoric divorced from inquiry is dangerous and morally suspect. The truth (if always provisional—some person’s, some group’s, or some culture’s version of the truth) must count for more than sheer technical skill in argumentation.

4. *Isn’t the difference between convincing and persuading more a matter of degree than of kind?* Fairly sharp distinctions can be drawn between inquiry and negotiation and between either of these two aims and the monologues of advocacy: convincing and persuading. But convincing and persuading do shade into one another, so that the difference is only clear at the extremes, with carefully chosen examples. Furthermore, the “purest” appeal to reason—a lawyer’s brief, a philosophical or scientific argument—appeals in ways beyond the sheer cogency of the case being made. Persuasive techniques are typically submerged but not absent in arguing to convince.

Our motivation for separating convincing from persuading is not so much theoretical as pedagogical. Students usually have so much difficulty with case-making that individual attention to the logical appeal by itself is justified. Making students focally conscious of the appeals of character, emotion, and style while they are struggling to cope with case-making is too much to ask and can overburden them to the point of paralysis.

Regardless, then, of how sound the traditional distinction between convincing and persuading may be, we think it best to take up convincing first and then persuasion, especially since what students learn in the former can be carried over more or less intact into the latter. And, of course, it is not only case-making that carries over from convincing into persuading. Since one cannot make a case without unconscious appeal to character, emotional commitments (such as values), and style, teaching persuasion is really a matter of exposing and developing what is already there in arguing to convince.

The central tenets of an approach based on aims of argument may be summarized as follows:

Argumentation is a mode or means of discourse, not an aim or purpose of discourse; consequently, our task is to teach the aims of argument.

The aims of argument are linked in a learning sequence, so that convincing builds on inquiry, persuasion on convincing, and all three contribute to negotiating; consequently, we offer this learning sequence as an aid to conceiving a course or courses in argumentation.

We believe in the learning sequence as much as we do in the aims of argument. We think that anyone giving it an honest chance will come to prefer this way of teaching argument over any other ordering currently available.

At the same time, we recognize that textbooks are used selectively, as teachers and programs need them for help in achieving their own goals. As with any other text, this one can be used selectively, ignoring some parts, playing up others, designing other sequences, and so on. If you want to work with our learning sequence, it is there for creative adaptation. If not, the text certainly does not have to be taught as a whole and in sequence to be useful and effective.

Some reviewers and users have called our approach innovative. But is it better? Will students learn more? Will instructors find the book more satisfying and more helpful than what they currently use? Our experience—both in using the book ourselves and in listening to the responses of those who have read it or tested it in the classroom for us—is that they will. Students complain less about having to read this book than they do about having to read others used in our program. They do seem to learn more. Teachers claim to enjoy the text and find it stimulating, something to work with rather than around. We hope your experience is as positive as ours has been. We invite your comments and will use them in the process of perpetual revision that constitutes the life of a text and of our lives as writing teachers.

NEW TO THE SECOND EDITION

The major changes from the first edition are the following:

In Chapter 3, Anna Quindlen's "Making the Mosaic" replaces Susan Brownmiller's "Pornography Hurts Women." Less polemical than Brownmiller's, Quindlen's essay should help students retain sharper fo-

cus on the goal of the chapter—learning how to read an argument.

In Chapter 6, we offer now, with commentary designed to highlight crucial aspects of the process, a detailed, phase-by-phase development of a student essay, from initial exploration to final draft. The advantages are obvious for helping students cope successfully with arguing to convince.

In Appendix A, “Researching Arguments,” we have included advice on accessing and evaluating data from on-line services and examples of how to document electronic sources. Clearly students should use computers and use them skillfully and responsibly. This new material should help.

There are, of course, thousands of other changes, small but not unimportant, many of them the result of excellent work by our copyeditor, Andrea McCarrick. Large and small, we think the changes have made *Aims* a better book while not altering its fundamental character.

All authors whose textbooks reach a second edition owe the most to teachers who gave a new and unfamiliar book a chance. Thanks for using *Aims*, for helping to make others aware of it, and for your comments and suggestions.

Here at SMU Gary Kriewald’s advice and student papers were especially helpful. Marcella Stark, of our central library, helped with the material on computer sources in Appendix A.

We wish to acknowledge the work of the following reviewers: Elizabeth Howard Borczon, University of Kansas; Margaret Cullen, Ohio Northern University; Richard Fulkerson, Texas A&M University, Commerce; Matthew Hearn, Valdosta State University; James L. Kastely, University of Houston; William Keith, Oregon State University; and Judith Gold Stitzel, West Virginia University.

At Mayfield the insight, patience, and sagacity of Drake Bush was helpful indeed.

NOTE TO STUDENTS

Our goal in this book is not just to show you how to construct an argument but to make you more aware of why people argue and the purposes that argument serves in our society. Consequently, this book introduces four specific aims that people may have in mind when they make arguments: to inquire, to convince, to persuade, and to negotiate. Preceding the chapters on each specific aim of argument, however, are four relatively short chapters that offer an overview of the four aims and prepare you for working with assignments in the aims.

Chapter 1 explains the aims and how they fit into the larger concept of *rhetoric*, the persuasive use of language.

Chapter 2 explains what a writer's notebook is and how it can help you cope with writing assignments in any college course.

Chapter 3 offers an approach to reading any argument.

Chapter 4 shows you, step-by-step, how to analyze the logic of any argument.

Because critical reading and analysis prepare you for the first aim, arguing to inquire, Chapters 3 and 4 lead directly into Chapter 5, and each subsequent chapter on the aims assumes and builds on the previous one.

This book concludes with two appendixes, each a reference that you will want to consult repeatedly as you work through the assignments in the main parts of the text. Appendix A offers advice about how to do library and field research and how to handle formal documentation. We see such research as a vital component of preparing to write convincingly on any topic, unless you take an extremely personal approach and have had first-hand experiences to draw upon for support. Try not to think of your writing assignments as research papers; instead, think of how even a brief argument can gain strength from facts or opinions taken from one or two well-selected sources. Appendix B focuses on editing, the art of polishing and refining prose, and on proofreading for some common errors.

Arguing well is difficult for anyone. For many college students it is especially challenging because they have had little experience writing arguments. We have tried to write a text that is no more complicated than it has

to be, and we welcome your comments so that we may improve future editions. Please write us at the following address:

The Rhetoric Program
Dallas Hall
Southern Methodist University
Dallas, Texas 75275

You may also e-mail your comments to the following address:

cchannel@post.cis.smu.edu.

CONTENTS

Preface vii

Note to Students xiii

1. An Overview of Key Terms 3

What Is Argument? 3

What Are the Aims of Argument? 3

Arguing to Inquire 4

Arguing to Convince 5

Arguing to Persuade 6

Arguing to Negotiate 7

The Aims of Argument: A Summary 8

What Is Rhetoric? 8

2. Keeping a Writer's Notebook 11

Why Keep a Notebook? 11

Ways of Using a Notebook 12

To Explore Issues You Encounter in and out of Class 12

To Copy down and Analyze Assignments 12

To Work out a Timetable for Completing an Assignment 12

To Make Notes as You Research 12

To Respond to Arguments You Hear or Read 13

To Write a Rhetorical Prospectus 13

To Record Useful Feedback 13

To Assess a Graded Paper 14

3. Reading an Argument 16

Before You Read 16

Recalling Prior Knowledge 16

Considering the Rhetorical Context 17

As You Read 18

The First Reading 18

ANNA QUINDLEN, "Making the Mosaic" 19

The Second Reading 20

Analyzing Structure 20

Working through Difficult Passages 22

Reading Arguments Critically 25

The Third Reading 25

After You Read 27

4. Analyzing an Argument: A Simplified Toulmin Method	28
A Preliminary Critical Reading	28
WILLIAM F. MAY, "Rising to the Occasion of Our Death"	29
A Step-by-Step Demonstration of the Toulmin Method	31
Analyzing the Claim	31
<i>Identify the Claim</i>	31
<i>Look for Qualifiers</i>	31
<i>Find the Exceptions</i>	32
<i>Summarize the Claim</i>	32
Analyzing Reasons	32
<i>List the Reasons</i>	32
<i>Examine the Reasons</i>	33
Analyzing Evidence	35
<i>List the Evidence</i>	35
<i>Examine the Evidence</i>	35
Noting Refutations	35
Summarizing Your Analysis	36
Student Sample: An Argument for Analysis	36
AMBER YOUNG, "Capital Punishment: Society's Self-Defense"	36
From Analysis to Inquiry	41
5. Preparing to Write: Arguing to Inquire	42
The Importance of Inquiry	43
Questions for Inquiry	44
Inquiry and Written Arguments: The Process of Dialogue	46
A Preliminary Critical Reading	46
MICHAEL LEVIN, "The Case for Torture"	46
A Sample Dialogue	48
Evaluating an Argument: An Analysis Based on Inquiry	53
Preparing to Write	53
From Dialogue to Draft	54
A Sample Analysis	54
"Michael Levin's 'The Case for Torture': A Dangerous Oversimplification"	54
WILLIAM MURCHISON, "City Shouldn't Ignore Morality"	56
Student Sample: An Analysis Based on Inquiry	58
CINDY TARVER, "An Appeal to Prejudice"	58
Inquiring into a Range of Positions	59
The Exploratory Essay	61
Three Opposing Positions	61
WILLIAM F. MAY, "Rising to the Occasion of Our Death"	62
SIDNEY HOOK, "In Defense of Voluntary Euthanasia"	64
MATTHEW E. CONOLLY, "Euthanasia Is Not the Answer"	66
A Sample Exploratory Essay	69

“Exploring the Issue of Voluntary Euthanasia”	69
Using Inquiry by Peers in Writing an Argument	71
6. Making Your Case: Arguing to Convince	74
The Nature of Convincing: Structure and Strategy	75
Case Structure	75
Case Strategy	75
<i>Thinking about Audience</i>	76
<i>Formulating the Thesis</i>	77
<i>Choosing Reasons</i>	77
ANNE MARIE O’KEEFE, “The Case against Drug Testing”	78
<i>Arranging Reasons</i>	81
<i>Using Evidence</i>	82
<i>Introducing and Concluding the Argument</i>	83
The Process of Convincing	84
Preparing a Brief	84
<i>Working toward a Position</i>	85
<i>Analyzing the Audience</i>	87
<i>Developing a Thesis</i>	88
<i>Analyzing the Thesis</i>	90
<i>Finding Reasons</i>	91
<i>Selecting and Ordering Reasons</i>	95
<i>Using Evidence</i>	98
From Brief to Draft	100
<i>The Introduction</i>	100
<i>Presenting Reasons and Evidence</i>	102
<i>The Conclusion</i>	104
Revising the Draft	105
<i>Read Your Own Writing Critically</i>	106
<i>Get Feedback from Other Readers</i>	107
<i>Reader’s Checklist for Revision</i>	108
Editing and Proofreading	108
Student Sample: An Essay to Convince	109
JUSTIN SPIDEL, “Who Should Have the Right to Marry?”	109
7. Appealing to the Whole Person: Arguing to Persuade	113
A Matter of Emphasis: When to Convince and When to Persuade	113
Analyzing Your Readers	114
Who Is the Audience, and How Do They View the Topic?	114
What Are Our Differences?	115
What Do We Have in Common?	116
Reading a Persuasive Essay	116
Background	116
The Basic Message	118

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., "Letter from Birmingham Jail"	119
King's Analysis of His Audience: Identification and Overcoming Difference	131
<i>Assumptions</i>	131
<i>Principles</i>	131
<i>Hierarchy of Values</i>	132
<i>Ends and Means</i>	132
<i>Interpretation</i>	132
<i>Implications or Consequences</i>	132
Using the Forms of Appeal	132
The Appeal to Reason	132
The Appeal to Character	134
The Appeal to Emotion	135
The Appeal through Style	139
Drafting a Persuasive Essay	140
Conceiving a Readership	141
Discovering the Resources of Appeal	142
<i>Appealing through Reason</i>	142
<i>Appealing through Character</i>	143
<i>Appealing to Emotion</i>	145
<i>Appealing through Style</i>	146
Reading a Draft of a Persuasive Essay with an Eye toward Revision: A Checklist	148
Student Sample: An Essay to Persuade	148
JOEY SHANKS, "An Uncomfortable Position"	149
The Appeals of Persuasion in Visual Arguments	152
An Example from Advertising	152
Two Examples from Public Art	154
Two Editorial Cartoons	156
8. Negotiation and Mediation: Resolving Conflict	159
Negotiation and the Other Aims of Argument	160
The Process of Negotiation and Mediation	161
Understanding the Spirit of Negotiation	161
Understanding the Opposing Positions	161
MARGARET LIU MCCONNELL, "Living with <i>Roe v. Wade</i> "	162
ELLEN WILLIS, "Putting Women Back into the Abortion Debate"	170
Locating the Areas of Disagreement	177
<i>Differences over Facts</i>	177
<i>Differences in Interests</i>	178
<i>Questions about Difference</i>	179
Defining the Problem in Terms of the Real Interests	182

Inventing Creative Options	183
Gathering More Data	183
Reaching a Solution Based on Agreed-upon Principles	184
The Mediatorial Essay	185
ROGER ROSENBLATT, "How to End the Abortion War"	185
Analyzing a Mediatorial Essay	193
<i>Understanding the Spirit of Negotiation</i>	193
<i>Understanding the Opposing Positions</i>	194
<i>Locating Areas of Disagreement over Facts</i>	194
<i>Locating Areas of Disagreement in Interests</i>	195
<i>Defining the Problem in Terms of the Real Interests</i>	196
<i>Inventing Creative Options</i>	196
<i>Gathering More Data</i>	197
<i>Reaching a Solution Based on Agreed-upon Principles</i>	197
Writing a Mediatorial Essay	199
<i>Prewriting</i>	199
<i>Drafting</i>	200
<i>Revising</i>	200
Student Sample: A Mediatorial Essay	201
ANGI GRELLHESL, "Mediating the Speech Code Controversy"	201

Appendix A: Researching Arguments 204

Finding an Issue	205
Understand That an Issue Is More Than Just a Topic	205
Keep Abreast of Current Events	205
Research the News	205
Research Your Library's Periodicals Indexes	205
Inquire into the Issue	206
Finding Sources	206
Field Research	206
<i>Observations</i>	207
<i>Questionnaires and Surveys</i>	207
<i>Interviews</i>	207
Library Research	208
<i>Library of Congress Subject Headings</i>	208
<i>The Card or Computerized Catalog</i>	209
<i>Indexes to Periodicals</i>	210
<i>Reference Books</i>	213
<i>Bibliographies</i>	213
Internet Research	213
<i>The World Wide Web</i>	214
<i>GopherSpace</i>	215
<i>Listservs and Usenet Newsgroups</i>	215
Evaluating Sources	216

Eliminate Inappropriate Sources	216
Carefully Record Complete Bibliographic Information	217
Read the Source Critically	218
<i>Who Is the Writer and What Is His or Her Bias?</i>	218
<i>When Was This Source Written?</i>	219
<i>Where Did This Source Appear?</i>	219
<i>Why Was the Book or Article Written?</i>	219
<i>What Is the Author's Aim?</i>	219
<i>How Is the Source Organized?</i>	219
Inquire into the Source	219
Consider How You Might Use the Source	220
Using Sources	220
Taking Notes	220
<i>Suggestions for Taking Notes</i>	221
Paraphrasing	221
<i>Suggestions for Paraphrasing</i>	221
Summarizing	224
<i>Suggestions for Summarizing</i>	224
JAMES RACHELS, from <i>The End of Life</i>	225
PATRICK PUGH, Summary of Excerpt from <i>The End of Life</i>	229
Creating an Annotated Bibliography	230
<i>Sample Annotated Bibliography Entry</i>	230
Incorporating and Documenting Source Material in the Text of Your Argument	231
Different Styles of Documentation	231
MLA Style	232
APA Style	232
<i>Guidelines for Using MLA and APA Style</i>	233
Direct Quotations	233
MLA Style	234
APA Style	234
<i>Altering Direct Quotations with Ellipses and Brackets</i>	234
<i>Using Block Quotations</i>	235
Indirect Quotations	235
MLA Style	236
APA Style	236
In-Text References to Electronic Sources	237
Creating a Works-Cited or Reference List	237
Books	237
<i>Book by One Author</i>	237
<i>Two or More Works by the Same Author</i>	238
<i>Book by Two or Three Authors</i>	238
<i>Book by Four or More Authors</i>	238
<i>Book Prepared by an Editor or Editors</i>	239
<i>Work in an Edited Collection</i>	239
<i>Translated Book</i>	239

Periodicals	240
<i>Article in a Journal with Continuous Pagination</i>	240
<i>Article in a Journal Paginated by Issue</i>	240
<i>Article in a Magazine</i>	240
<i>Anonymous Article in a Newspaper</i>	240
<i>Editorial in a Newspaper</i>	241
Nonprint Sources	241
<i>Interview</i>	241
<i>Sound Recording</i>	241
<i>Film</i>	241
Electronic Sources	242
<i>An Entire Work</i>	242
<i>World Wide Web Site</i>	242
<i>Article in an Electronic Journal</i>	243
<i>Encyclopedia Article on CD-ROM</i>	243
<i>Encyclopedia Article On-Line</i>	243
<i>E-Mail, Listserv, and Newsgroup Citations</i>	243
A Student Research Paper (MLA Style)	244
Appendix B: Editing and Proofreading	256
Editing	256
Editing for Clarity and Conciseness	257
<i>Express Main Ideas Forcefully</i>	257
<i>Choose Carefully between Active and Passive Voice</i>	258
Editing for Emphasis	259
<i>Emphasize Main Ideas by Subordinating Less Important Ones</i>	259
<i>Vary Sentence Length and Pattern</i>	263
<i>Use Special Effects for Emphasis</i>	264
Editing for Coherence	266
<i>Move from Old Information to New Information</i>	266
<i>Use Transitions to Show Relationships between Ideas</i>	268
Proofreading	269
Spelling Errors	269
Omissions and Jumbled Passages	269
Punctuation Problems	270
<i>Apostrophes</i>	270
<i>Commas</i>	270
<i>Semicolons</i>	271
<i>Colons</i>	272
Grammatical Errors	272
<i>Introductory Participial Phrases</i>	272
<i>Paired Coordinators</i>	273
<i>Split Subjects and Verbs</i>	273
Credits	275
Index	277