

---

# LEADING CONSTITUTIONAL CASES ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

---

2008 EDITION

*Edited by*

**Lloyd L. Weinreb**

FOUNDATION PRESS

75TH ANNIVERSARY

LEADING CONSTITUTIONAL CASES  
ON  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Edited by

LLOYD L. WEINREB

Dane Professor of Law, Harvard University

2008 Edition

FOUNDATION PRESS

75TH ANNIVERSARY

THOMSON  
  
WEST

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

© 1973–2004 FOUNDATION PRESS

© 2005–2007 THOMSON REUTERS/FOUNDATION PRESS

© 2008 By THOMSON REUTERS/FOUNDATION PRESS

395 Hudson Street  
New York, NY 10014  
Phone Toll Free 1–877–888–1330  
Fax (212) 367–6799  
[foundation-press.com](http://foundation-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978–1–59941–488–1

ISSN 0272–2151



TEXT IS PRINTED ON 10% POST  
CONSUMER RECYCLED PAPER



## PREFACE

This book provides in a simple format the texts of leading constitutional cases about the investigation and prosecution of crime. The continuing development of constitutional principles in this area makes it worthwhile to consider the cases explicitly as constitutional law and not only as aspects, more or less important, of the whole structure of the administration of criminal justice. The rhetoric of many of the opinions resounds remote from the “street” or the police station or the courthouse; some of the doctrine elaborated in them is not substantial enough to control practices that depend on more than legal doctrine. If constitutional law is not the whole or from every perspective the most important part of criminal justice, it is nonetheless an important part, and in its constitutional aspect it is distinctive. While I should not look only to the Supreme Court to learn about the criminal process, therefore, I do not believe that the significance of the cases contained here lies entirely in their immediate, concrete consequences.

In the past, I used these cases as they are presented here in a first-year law school course on Criminal Law. Currently, because of curricular changes at the institution where I teach, I use them in an upper level course on the law of criminal investigation, as elaborated in the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments. In order to make the book more usable for others, who have their own ideas about which cases are most important, I have included more cases than I use myself. The book may also serve as an unadorned reference source for people who are professionally engaged in the work of criminal justice. The format has been designed for annual inclusion of significant cases decided in the current Term of the Supreme Court (and exclusion of some that lose significance).

The cases are edited only for economy of space (and sometimes the reader’s time), as neutrally as I was able. For the most part I have eliminated material that is largely irrelevant to criminal justice, material that is repetitious within a case or too much so within a line of connected cases reproduced here, historical material that does not currently have importance for the constitutional development, analyses of prior cases that serve mostly as a polite bow to the past, and separate opinions of the Justices that do not shed light on prevailing constitutional doctrine or (appear to) have much chance of prevailing themselves. I have included concurring and dissenting opinions that make a substantial contribution to discussion of the issue at stake; I have applied that standard generously. Separate opinions that are not reproduced are indicated in a footnote at the end of the case, along with the votes of Justices who did not join one of the reproduced opinions.

## PREFACE

The arrangement of cases is guided by the constitutional focus so far as that makes sense. I could not always accept the Supreme Court's own statement about what constitutional rubric was at issue lest more important patterns disappear. The lineup decisions (*Wade* and *Kirby*), for example, "go off" on the right to counsel; but they are about lineups and have been so arranged. Rather than separate pieces of cases that deal significantly with more than one issue, such as *Schmerber*, I have placed the whole case where it seemed to fit best. In the end, I adopted the arrangement that seemed least likely to intrude on the cases. Rearrangement for the needs of a particular course will not be difficult.

Most footnotes have been deleted without indication. The original numbers are used for those that have been retained. Since the Justices commonly rely on footnotes for citations and similar supporting material, readers should consult the official report if they want to be sure that nothing of that kind is missed. That should not be necessary for most purposes. Citations have been omitted freely, but ellipses have been inserted to indicate their omission as well as all other omissions in the body of an opinion.

The length of the book has increased substantially since the first edition was published in 1973. As I have prepared succeeding editions, I have felt increasingly the need to shorten opinions, omit concurring or dissenting opinions, or omit cases altogether, lest the book become heavy, unwieldy, and expensive. The more of such choices that I have had to make, the more often probably will my omissions surprise and disappoint some of the book's users. I have tried to include the material most likely to be useful to the largest number of readers.

Some new cases that are included in the year after they were decided may prove not to be important enough to be included thereafter. Since I review and edit cases a second time after the opinion appears in final form (usually about two years after the decision is announced), I have an opportunity then to reconsider its inclusion. Users may find it helpful to have new cases included while they are new, even if they are not leading cases. With that in mind, I include cases liberally in the first two editions after the Term in which a decision is announced and reconsider their inclusion thereafter.

In this 2008 edition, I have added one new case, *Virginia v. Moore* (2008), which concerns the application of the exclusionary rule to a search that is unlawful under state law but is not independently a violation of the Fourth Amendment. I have also added several notes: *Indiana v. Edwards* (2008) (right to counsel; self-representation) at the end of *Faretta v. California*; *Rothgery v. Gillespie County, Texas* (2008) (commencement of adversary judicial proceedings) at the end of *Coleman v. Alabama*; *Giles v. California* (Confrontation Clause) at the end of *Crawford v. Washington* and *Kennedy v. Louisiana* (2008) at the end of *Roper v. Simmons*. I have also added, at the end of *Faretta v. California*, a note

## *PREFACE*

about *Indiana v. Edwards* (2008), rejecting a right of self-representation by a person found not to be competent to conduct his own defense. In addition, the review of the whole text that was undertaken for the previous edition, to make the format and style of editing consistent throughout, has been completed. For that large task, I am immeasurably grateful to Melinda Eakin.

As in the past, I should be glad to hear from users of the book about omitted material that they would like to have included in future editions, as well as included material that might be omitted.

LLOYD L. WEINREB

June 2008

\*

## TABLE OF CASES

Cases that are summarized in a note are indicated by an 'n' following the page number.

Acevedo, California v., 162  
 Adams v. Williams, 438  
 Adamson v. California, 8  
 Agurs, United States v., 1058  
 Ake v. Oklahoma, 593n  
 Alabama v. Shelton, 611n  
 Alabama, Coleman v., 878  
 Alabama ex rel. T.B., J.E.B. v., 968  
 Alabama, Powell v., 560  
 Alford, North Carolina v., 947  
 Allen, Illinois v., 987  
 Andresen v. Maryland, 821  
 Apodaca v. Oregon, 54n  
 Argersinger v. Hamlin, 601  
 Arizona v. Hicks, 251  
 Arizona v. Youngblood, 1066  
 Arizona, Mincey v., 776n  
 Arizona, Miranda v., 716  
 Arkansas v. Sullivan, 82n  
 Arkansas, Wilson v., 112  
 Armstrong, United States v., 910  
 Ash, United States v., 873n  
 Ashe v. Swenson, 1078  
 Atwater v. City of Lago Vista, 69

Barker v. Wingo, 929  
 Batson v. Kentucky, 956  
 Belton, New York v., 128n, 143n  
 Benton v. Maryland, 7n  
 Berkemer v. McCarty, 733n  
 Betts v. Brady, 586  
 Booker, United States v., 1144  
 Bordenkircher v. Hayes, 951  
 Bostick, Florida v., 215  
 Brady v. United States, 939  
 Brendlin v. California, 400n  
 Brewer v. Williams, 685  
 Brown v. Mississippi, 658  
 Brown v. Texas, 473  
 Buie, Maryland v., 129  
 Bumper v. North Carolina, 202  
 Burger, New York v., 323

California v. Acevedo, 162  
 California v. Adamson, 8  
 California v. Greenwood, 269  
 California v. Hodari D., 88  
 California, Chimel v., 117  
 California, Douglas v., 594

California, Ewing v., 1124  
 California, Faretta v., 651  
 California, Giles v., 1049n  
 California, Griffin v., 13n  
 California, Horton v., 260  
 California, Rochin v., 24  
 California, Schmerber v., 796  
 California, Stoner v., 198  
 Camara v. Municipal Court of City and County of San Francisco, 315  
 Carter, Minnesota v., 407  
 Chadwick, United States v., 155  
 Chambers v. Maroney, 137  
 Chambers v. Mississippi, 1032  
 Chimel v. California, 117  
**City of (see name of city)**  
 Cobb, Texas v., 693  
 Coleman v. Alabama, 878  
 Colorado v. Connelly, 671  
 Colorado, Wolf v., 332  
 Connecticut, Griswold v., 32  
 Connecticut, Palko v., 3  
 Connelly, Colorado v., 671  
**County of (see name of county)**  
 Cox v. New Hampshire, 1028  
 Crawford v. Washington, 1041  
 Cronin, United States v., 621  
 Cupp v. Murphy, 181

Dickerson v. United States, 734n  
 Dickerson, Minnesota v., 461  
 Dionisio, United States v., 512  
 Douglas v. California, 594  
 Doyle v. Ohio, 777  
 Draper v. United States, 55  
 Dunaway v. New York, 500  
 Duncan v. Louisiana, 40, 601n

Edmond, Indianapolis, City of v., 490  
 Edwards, Indiana v., 657n1  
 Edwards, United States v., 171  
 Elstad, Oregon v., 781  
 Escobedo v. Illinois, 706  
 Estelle v. Williams, 1001  
 Ewing v. California, 1124

Faretta v. California, 651  
 Florida v. Bostick, 215



## TABLE OF CASES

- Florida v. J.L., 446  
 Florida, Hayes v., 496  
 Florida, Williams v., 53n  
 Frady, United States v., 1208n  
 Frisbie v. Collins, 421  
  
 Gardner v. Broderick, 833  
 Garrity v. New Jersey, 827  
 Gates, Illinois v., 103  
 Georgia v. McCollum, 976  
 Georgia v. Randolph, 235  
 Georgia, Waller v., 1028n  
 Gerstein v. Pugh, 883  
 Gideon v. Wainwright, 590  
 Giles v. California, 1049n  
 Grayson, United States v., 1103  
 Greenwood, California v., 269  
 Griffin v. California, 13n  
 Griswold v. Connecticut, 32  
  
 Harmelin v. Michigan, 1122n  
 Harris v. New York, 351n, 508, 771  
 Harris, New York v., 508  
 Hass, Oregon v., 776n  
 Hayes v. Florida, 496  
 Hensley, United States v., 454  
 Hicks, Arizona v., 251  
 Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial Dist. Court of Nevada, 476  
 Hodari D., California v., 88  
 Hoffa v. United States, 529n, 530  
 Horton v. California, 260  
 Houghton, Wyoming v., 143n  
 Hubbell, United States v., 843  
 Hudson v. Michigan, 352  
  
 Illinois v. Allen, 987  
 Illinois v. Gates, 103  
 Illinois v. Lafayette, 176  
 Illinois v. McArthur, 193  
 Illinois v. Perkins, 763  
 Illinois v. Rodriguez, 228  
 Illinois v. Somerville, 1089  
 Illinois v. Wardlow, 450  
 Illinois, Escobedo v., 706  
 Illinois, James v., 351n, 776n  
 Illinois, Kirby v., 863  
 Illinois, Rakas v., 391  
 Illinois, Scott v., 611n  
 Illinois, Taylor v., 1050  
 Indiana v. Edwards, 657n1  
 Indianapolis, City of v. Edmond, 490  
 Innis, Rhode Island v., 758  
 Iowa, Knowles v., 152  
  
 James v. Illinois, 351n, 776n  
 J.E.B. v. Alabama ex rel. T.B., 968  
 J.L., Florida v., 446  
 Johnson v. Louisiana, 54n  
  
 Kastigar v. United States, 836  
  
 Katz v. United States, 540  
 Kennedy v. Louisiana, 1185n  
 Kentucky, Batson v., 956  
 Kentucky, Rawlings v., 400n  
 Kirby v. Illinois, 863  
 Knowles v. Iowa, 152  
 Kuhlmann v. Wilson, 701  
 Kyllo v. United States, 553  
  
 Lafayette, Illinois v., 176  
 Leon, United States v., 365  
 Lewis v. United States, 527  
 Louisiana, Duncan v., 40, 601n  
 Louisiana, Kennedy v., 1185n  
 Louisiana, Johnson v., 54n  
 Louisiana, Sullivan v., 983  
  
 Malloy v. Hogan, 13n  
 Mapp v. Ohio, 338  
 Marion, United States v., 923  
 Martinez v. Court of Appeal of California, 657n  
 Maryland v. Buie, 129  
 Maryland, Andresen v., 821  
 Maryland, Benton v., 7n  
 Massachusetts v. Sheppard, 382n  
 Massiah v. United States, 681  
 Mathiason, Oregon v., 768  
 Matlock, United States v., 225  
 McArthur, Illinois v., 193  
 McCollum, Georgia v., 976  
 McMann v. Richardson, 946n  
 Michigan, Harmelin v., 1122n  
 Michigan, Hudson v., 352  
 Michigan Dept. of State Police v. Sitz, 482  
 Mincey v. Arizona, 776n  
 Minnesota v. Carter, 407  
 Minnesota v. Dickerson, 461  
 Minnesota v. Olson, 401  
 Missouri v. Seibert, 735  
 Miranda v. Arizona, 716  
 Mississippi, Brown v., 658  
 Mississippi, Chambers v., 1032  
 Moore, Virginia v., 63  
 Moran v. Burbine, 744  
 Muniz, Pennsylvania v., 806  
  
 National Treasury Employees Union v. Von Raab, 314n  
 Nebraska, Victor v., 1070  
 Nebraska Press Ass'n v. Stuart, 1021n  
 Neil v. Biggers, 874  
 New Hampshire, Cox v., 1028  
 New Jersey v. T.L.O., 288  
 New Jersey, Garrity v., 827  
 New York v. Belton, 128n, 143n  
 New York v. Burger, 323  
 New York v. Quarles, 733n  
 New York, Dunaway v., 500  
 New York, Harris v., 351n, 508, 771  
 New York, Payton v., 92



## TABLE OF CASES

New York, Spano v., 664  
 Nix v. Whiteside, 642  
 Nix v. Williams, 383  
 North Carolina v. Alford, 947

Ohio, Doyle v., 777  
 Ohio, Mapp v., 338  
 Ohio, Powers v., 967n  
 Ohio, Terry v., 423  
 Oklahoma, Ake v., 593n  
 Oliver v. United States, 276  
 Olmstead v. United States, 518  
 Olson, Minnesota v., 401  
 Opperman, South Dakota v., 144  
 Oregon v. Elstad, 781  
 Oregon v. Hass, 776n  
 Oregon v. Mathiason, 768  
 Oregon, Apodaca v., 54n  
 Ornelas v. United States, 83

Palko v. Connecticut, 3  
 Patane, United States v., 790  
 Payton v. New York, 92  
 Pennsylvania v. Muniz, 806  
 Perkins, Illinois v., 764  
 Pointer v. Texas, 1029  
 Powell v. Alabama, 576  
 Powers v. Ohio, 967n

Quarles, New York v., 733n

Rakas v. Illinois, 391  
 Randolph, Georgia v., 235  
 Rawlings v. Kentucky, 400n  
 Reed v. Ross, 1201  
 Rhode Island v. Innis, 758  
 Richards v. Wisconsin, 116n  
 Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia, 1022  
 Riverside, County of v. McLaughlin, 890  
 Rochin v. California, 24  
 Rodriguez, Illinois v., 228  
 Roper v. Simmons, 1164  
 Ross v. Moffitt, 612  
 Ross, United States v., 143n  
 Rothgery v. Gillespie County, Tex., 882n  
 Russell, United States v., 564

Salerno, United States v., 899  
 Schmerber v. California, 796  
 Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 72n, 205  
 Scott v. Illinois, 611n  
 See v. City of Seattle, 322n  
 Seibert, Missouri v., 735  
 Sell v. United States, 993  
 Sharpe, United States v., 467  
 Shelton v. Alabama, 611n

Sheppard v. Maxwell, 1005  
 Sheppard, Massachusetts v., 382n  
 Simmons v. United States, 868  
 Sitz, Michigan Dept. of State Police v., 482  
 Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives' Ass'n, 302  
 Solem v. Helm, 1110  
 Somerville, Illinois v., 1089  
 South Dakota v. Opperman, 144  
 Spano v. New York, 664  
 Stack v. Boyle, 896  
 Steagald v. United States, 102n  
 Stoner v. California, 198  
 Strickland v. Washington, 627  
 Sullivan v. Louisiana, 983  
 Sullivan, Arkansas v., 82n

Taylor v. Illinois, 1050  
 Terry v. Ohio, 423  
 Texas v. Cobb, 693  
 Texas, Brown v., 473  
 Texas, Pointer v., 1028  
 Thornton v. United States, 143n  
 T.L.O., New Jersey v., 288

### **United States v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**

Victor v. Nebraska, 1070  
 Virginia v. Moore, 63  
 Virginia, Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v., 1022

Wade, United States v., 851, 873n  
 Wainwright v. Sykes, 118, 1208n  
 Walder v. United States, 351n  
 Waller v. Georgia, 1028n  
 Warden v. Hayden, 184  
 Wardlow, Illinois v., 450  
 Washington, Crawford v., 1041  
 Watson, United States v., 59  
 Welsh v. Wisconsin, 102  
 White, United States v., 546  
 Whren v. United States, 77  
 Williams v. Florida, 53n  
 Williams, United States v., 917  
 Wilson v. Arkansas, 112  
 Wilson, United States v., 1083  
 Winston v. Lee, 815  
 Wisconsin, Richards v., 116n  
 Wisconsin, Welsh v., 102n  
 Witte v. United States, 1097  
 Wolf v. Colorado, 332  
 Wong Sun v. United States, 415  
 Wyoming v. Houghton, 143n

Youngblood, Arizona v., 1066

\*

LEADING CONSTITUTIONAL CASES  
ON  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE

\*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE .....	iii
TABLE OF CASES .....	xi
1. The Constitution of the United States: Selected Provisions .....	1
2. Due Process of Law .....	3
Palko v. Connecticut .....	3
Adamson v. California .....	8
Rochin v. California .....	24
Griswold v. Connecticut .....	32
Duncan v. Louisiana .....	40
3. The Fourth Amendment: Arrest and Search and Seizure .....	55
Draper v. United States .....	55
United States v. Watson .....	59
Virginia v. Moore .....	63
Atwater v. City of Lago Vista .....	69
Whren v. United States .....	77
Ornelas v. United States .....	83
California v. Hodari D. ....	88
Payton v. New York .....	92
Illinois v. Gates .....	103
Wilson v. Arkansas .....	112
Chimel v. California .....	117
Maryland v. Buie .....	129
Chambers v. Maroney .....	137
South Dakota v. Opperman .....	144
Knowles v. Iowa .....	152
United States v. Chadwick .....	155
California v. Acevedo .....	162
United States v. Edwards .....	171
Illinois v. Lafayette .....	176
Cupp v. Murphy .....	181
Warden v. Hayden .....	184
Illinois v. McArthur .....	193
Stoner v. California .....	198
Bumper v. North Carolina .....	202
Schneckloth v. Bustamonte .....	205
Florida v. Bostick .....	215
United States v. Matlock .....	225
Illinois v. Rodriguez .....	228
Georgia v. Randolph .....	235
Arizona v. Hicks .....	251
Horton v. California .....	260
California v. Greenwood .....	269
Oliver v. United States .....	276
New Jersey v. T. L. O. ....	288
Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives' Association .....	302

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Camara v. Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco.....	315
New York v. Burger.....	323
Wolf v. Colorado.....	332
Mapp v. Ohio.....	338
Hudson v. Michigan.....	352
United States v. Leon.....	365
Nix v. Williams.....	383
Rakas v. Illinois.....	391
Minnesota v. Olson.....	401
Minnesota v. Carter.....	407
Wong Sun v. United States.....	415
Frisbie v. Collins.....	421
Terry v. Ohio.....	423
Adams v. Williams.....	438
Florida v. J.L.....	446
Illinois v. Wardlow.....	450
United States v. Hensley.....	454
Minnesota v. Dickerson.....	461
United States v. Sharpe.....	467
Brown v. Texas.....	473
Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District Court of Nevada.....	476
Michigan Department of State Police v. Sitz.....	482
City of Indianapolis v. Edmond.....	490
Hayes v. Florida.....	496
Dunaway v. New York.....	500
New York v. Harris.....	508
United States v. Dionisio.....	512
4. Electronic Surveillance, Agents and Informers, and Entrapment.....	518
Olmstead v. United States.....	518
Lewis v. United States.....	527
Hoffa v. United States.....	530
Katz v. United States.....	540
United States v. White.....	546
Kyllo v. United States.....	553
United States v. Russell.....	564
5. The Right to Counsel.....	576
Powell v. Alabama.....	576
Betts v. Brady.....	586
Gideon v. Wainwright.....	590
Douglas v. California.....	594
Argersinger v. Hamlin.....	601
Ross v. Moffitt.....	612
United States v. Cronin.....	621
Strickland v. Washington.....	627
Nix v. Whiteside.....	642
Faretta v. California.....	651
6. The Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.....	658
Brown v. Mississippi.....	658
Spano v. New York.....	664

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Colorado v. Connelly .....	671
Massiah v. United States .....	681
Brewer v. Williams .....	685
Texas v. Cobb .....	693
Kuhlmann v. Wilson .....	701
Escobedo v. Illinois .....	706
Miranda v. Arizona .....	716
Missouri v. Seibert .....	735
Moran v. Burbine .....	744
Rhode Island v. Innis .....	758
Illinois v. Perkins .....	764
Oregon v. Mathiason .....	768
Harris v. New York .....	771
Doyle v. Ohio .....	777
Oregon v. Elstad .....	781
United States v. Patane .....	790
Schmerber v. California .....	796
Pennsylvania v. Muniz .....	806
Winston v. Lee .....	815
Andresen v. Maryland .....	821
Garrity v. New Jersey .....	827
Gardner v. Broderick .....	833
Kastigar v. United States .....	836
United States v. Hubbell .....	843
7. Lineups .....	851
United States v. Wade .....	851
Kirby v. Illinois .....	863
Simmons v. United States .....	868
Neil v. Biggers .....	874
8. Preliminary Examination .....	878
Coleman v. Alabama .....	878
Gerstein v. Pugh .....	883
County of Riverside v. McLaughlin .....	890
9. Bail .....	896
Stack v. Boyle .....	896
United States v. Salerno .....	899
10. Prosecution .....	910
United States v. Armstrong .....	910
11. Indictment .....	917
United States v. Williams .....	917
12. The Right to a Speedy Trial .....	923
United States v. Marion .....	923
Barker v. Wingo .....	929
13. Plea-Bargaining .....	939
Brady v. United States .....	939
North Carolina v. Alford .....	947
Bordenkircher v. Hayes .....	951
14. Trial by Jury .....	956
Batson v. Kentucky .....	956
J.E.B. v. Alabama ex rel. T.B. ....	968

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Georgia v. McCollum .....	976
	Sullivan v. Louisiana .....	983
15.	Trial .....	987
	Illinois v. Allen .....	987
	Sell v. United States .....	993
	Estelle v. Williams .....	1001
	Sheppard v. Maxwell .....	1005
	Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia .....	1022
	Pointer v. Texas .....	1029
	Chambers v. Mississippi .....	1032
	Crawford v. Washington .....	1041
	Taylor v. Illinois .....	1050
	United States v. Agurs .....	1058
	Arizona v. Youngblood .....	1066
	Victor v. Nebraska .....	1070
16.	Double Jeopardy .....	1078
	Ashe v. Swenson .....	1078
	United States v. Wilson .....	1083
	Illinois v. Somerville .....	1089
	Witte v. United States .....	1097
17.	Sentence .....	1103
	United States v. Grayson .....	1103
	Solem v. Helm .....	1110
	Ewing v. California .....	1124
	United States v. Booker .....	1144
	Roper v. Simmons .....	1164
18.	Collateral Attack .....	1186
	Wainwright v. Sykes .....	1186
	Reed v. Ross .....	1201

# **1. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES: SELECTED PROVISIONS**

## **The Bill of Rights (Amendments 1–10) and the Fourteenth Amendment §§ 1, 5**

### **AMENDMENT I**

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

### **AMENDMENT II**

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.

### **AMENDMENT III**

No Soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the Owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

### **AMENDMENT IV**

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

### **AMENDMENT V**

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

### **AMENDMENT VI**

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and



district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.

#### AMENDMENT VII

In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

#### AMENDMENT VIII

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

#### AMENDMENT IX

The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

#### AMENDMENT X

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

#### AMENDMENT XIV

Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

. . .

Section 5. The Congress shall have power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article.

## 2. DUE PROCESS OF LAW

### PALCO v. CONNECTICUT

302 U.S. 319, 58 S.Ct. 149, 82 L.Ed. 288 (1937)

MR. JUSTICE CARDOZO delivered the opinion of the Court.

A statute of Connecticut permitting appeals in criminal cases to be taken by the state is challenged by appellant as an infringement of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. Whether the challenge should be upheld is now to be determined.

Appellant was indicted in Fairfield County, Connecticut, for the crime of murder in the first degree. A jury found him guilty of murder in the second degree, and he was sentenced to confinement in the state prison for life. Thereafter the State of Connecticut, with the permission of the judge presiding at the trial, gave notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of Errors. This it did pursuant to an act adopted in 1886 which is printed in the margin.<sup>1</sup> Public Acts, 1886, p. 560; now § 6494 of the General Statutes. Upon such appeal, the Supreme Court of Errors reversed the judgment and ordered a new trial. *State v. Palko*, 186 Atl. 657. It found that there had been error of law to the prejudice of the state (1) in excluding testimony as to a confession by defendant; (2) in excluding testimony upon cross-examination of defendant to impeach his credibility, and (3) in the instructions to the jury as to the difference between first and second degree murder.

Pursuant to the mandate of the Supreme Court of Errors, defendant was brought to trial again. Before a jury was impaneled and also at later stages of the case he made the objection that the effect of the new trial was to place him twice in jeopardy for the same offense, and in so doing to violate the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. Upon the overruling of the objection the trial proceeded. The jury returned a verdict of murder in the first degree, and the court sentenced the defendant to the punishment of death. The Supreme Court of Errors affirmed the judgment of conviction, 191 Atl. 320 . . . . The case is here upon appeal. 28 U.S.C. § 344.

1. Sec. 6494. *Appeals by the state in criminal cases.* Appeals from the rulings and decisions of the superior court or of any criminal court of common pleas, upon all questions of law arising on the trial of criminal cases, may be taken by the state, with the

permission of the presiding judge, to the supreme court of errors, in the same manner and to the same effect as if made by the accused.