



PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE
SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering

Automatic Target Recognition XII

Firooz A. Sadjadi
Chair/Editor

2–4 April 2002
Orlando, USA

Sponsored and Published by
SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering



Volume 4726

SPIE is an international technical society dedicated to advancing engineering and scientific applications of optical, photonic, imaging, electronic, and optoelectronic technologies.



The papers appearing in this book compose the proceedings of the technical conference cited on the cover and title page of this volume. They reflect the authors' opinions and are published as presented, in the interests of timely dissemination. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors or by SPIE. Papers were selected by the conference program committee to be presented in oral or poster format, and were subject to review by volume editors or program committees.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:

Author(s), "Title of paper," in *Automatic Target Recognition XII*, Firooz A. Sadjadi, Editor, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 4726, page numbers (2002).

ISSN 0277-786X
ISBN 0-8194-4476-6

Published by
SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering
P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA
Telephone 1 360/676-3290 (Pacific Time) • Fax 1 360/647-1445
<http://www.spie.org/>

Copyright© 2002, The Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$15.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at <http://www.directory.net/copyright/>. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 0277-786X/02/\$15.00.

Printed in the United States of America.

Conference Committee

Conference Chair

Firooz A. Sadjadi, Lockheed Martin Corporation (USA)

Program Committee

Farid Amoozegar, Hughes Space and Communications Company (USA)
Mahmood R. Azimi-Sadjadi, Colorado State University (USA)
Belur V. Dasarathy, Dynetics, Inc. (USA)
Frederick D. Garber, Wright State University (USA)
Guillermo C. Gaunaud, Army Research Laboratory (USA)
Izidor Gertner, CUNY/City College (USA)
Patti S. Gillespie, Army Research Laboratory (USA)
Bahram Javidi, University of Connecticut (USA)
Ismail I. Jouny, Lafayette College (USA)
Behzad Kamgar-Parsi, Naval Research Laboratory (USA)
Timothy J. Klausutis, Air Force Research Laboratory (USA)
Aaron D. Lanterman, University of Illinois/Urbana-Champaign (USA)
Abhijit Mahalanobis, Lockheed Martin Corporation (USA)
Arthur W. Mansfield, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (USA)
Randolph L. Moses, The Ohio State University (USA)
Nasser M. Nasrabadi, Army Research Laboratory (USA)
Leslie M. Novak, MIT Lincoln Laboratory (USA)
Joseph A. O'Sullivan, Washington University (USA)
S. Richard F. Sims, U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command
Alan J. Van Nevel, Naval Air Warfare Center (USA)
Edmund G. Zelnio, Air Force Research Laboratory (USA)

Session Chairs

- 1 Radar Processing for ATR I
Guillermo C. Gaunaud, Army Research Laboratory (USA)
- 2 Ladar Signal Processing for ATR
Alan J. Van Nevel, Naval Air Warfare Center (USA)
Timothy J. Klausutis, Air Force Research Laboratory (USA)
- 3 Infrared and Acoustic Processing
Patti S. Gillespie, Army Research Laboratory (USA)
- 4 Issues in ATR System Development
S. Richard F. Sims, U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command
- 5 Hyperspectral Processing for ATR
Nasser M. Nasrabadi, Army Research Laboratory (USA)

- 6 Advanced Algorithms for ATR I
 Abhijit Mahalanobis, Lockheed Martin Corporation (USA)
- 7 Advanced Algorithms for ATR II
 Izidor Gertner, CUNY/City College (USA)
- 8 Advanced Algorithms for ATR III
 Izidor Gertner, CUNY/City College (USA)
- 9 Advanced Algorithms for ATR IV
 Mahmood R. Azimi-Sadjadi, Colorado State University (USA)
- 10 Radar Processing for ATR II
 Firooz A. Sadjadi, Lockheed Martin Corporation (USA)

Introduction

This book is a collection of papers on automatic target recognition (ATR) technology that were presented at the 12th conference on Automatic Target Recognition (ATRXII), held in April 2002.

The goal of this conference is, and has been for the past 12 years, to provide an unrestricted medium for presentations and discussions of ATR-related issues. As evidenced by the success of these yearly meetings, the interest in ATR technology, both in its methodologies and specific applications, has been on a steady rise for the past decade.

With the rapid introduction of the unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) and the more advanced avionics onboard of manned air platforms, the need for ATR systems has become more urgent than ever before. This urgency has also created a need for new methodologies to use new sensory outputs in faster and more adaptive ways. The papers contained in these conference proceedings reflect the most current trends and represent the latest ongoing research and development in the field.

I thank the conference committee members, session chairs, authors, and other participants who once again by their efforts and their enthusiasm made this year's meeting a fun and educational gathering for all of us.

Firooz Sadjadi

Contents

vii	<i>Conference Committee</i>
ix	<i>Introduction</i>

SESSION 1 RADAR PROCESSING FOR ATR I

- 1 **Generalization of group velocity and group acceleration [4726-01]**
L. Cohen, CUNY/Hunter College (USA)

- 15 **Influence of soil properties on time-frequency signatures of conducting and dielectric targets buried underground [4726-02]**
H. C. Strifors, Swedish Defense Research Agency; G. C. Gaunaurd, A. J. Sullivan, Army Research Lab. (USA)

- 26 **New results in the use of polarization diversity for classification of radar targets [4726-03]**
F. A. Sadjadi, Lockheed Martin Corp. (USA)

- 35 **Segmentation of small vehicle targets in SAR images [4726-04]**
E. Aitnouri, S. Wang, D. Ziou, Univ. of Sherbrooke (Canada)

SESSION 2 LADAR SIGNAL PROCESSING FOR ATR

- 46 **Feature-based target classification in laser radar [4726-05]**
M. R. Stevens, M. Snorrason, H. Ruda, Charles River Analytics Inc. (USA); S. A. Amphay, Air Force Research Lab. (USA)

- 58 **Issues concerning the generation of synthetic data for use in LADAR ATR for stationary mobile-targets [4726-07]**
D. H. DeKruger, Naval Air Warfare Ctr. (USA)

- 70 **Laser vibrometry for target classification [4726-09]**
M. R. Stevens, M. Snorrason, Charles River Analytics Inc. (USA); D. J. Petrovich, Air Force Research Lab. (USA)

- 82 **Optimal separable correlation filters [4726-10]**
F. E. McFadden, General Dynamics Advanced Information Systems (USA)

SESSION 3 INFRARED AND ACOUSTIC PROCESSING

- 93 **Improved target identification using synthetic infrared images [4726-11]**
B. A. Weber, J. A. Penn, Army Research Lab. (USA)

- 103 **Multivariate regression as a method for FLIR target detection [4726-12]**
D. S. Rosario, Army Research Lab. (USA)

- 114 **Hierarchical target model analysis of tactical thermal imagery [4726-13]**
H. C. Lee, T. L. Olson, J. A. Sefcik, Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control (USA)

SESSION 4 ISSUES IN ATR SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

- 122 **Fusion of automatic target recognition algorithms** [4726-17]
S. A. Rizvi, CUNY/College of Staten Island (USA); N. M. Nasrabadi, Army Research Lab. (USA)
- 133 **Open source tools for ATR development and performance evaluation** [4726-19]
J. M. Baumann, Jacobs Sverdrup Technology, Inc. (USA); R. L. Dilsavor, Air Force Research Lab. (USA); J. Stubbles, J. C. Mossing, Jacobs Sverdrup Technology, Inc. (USA)
- 141 **Automatic target recognition flight prototype for police helicopters** [4726-20]
C. Stahl, European Aeronautics Defence and Space AG (Germany); S. Haisch, European Aeronautics Defence and Space AG (Germany) and Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH (Germany); P. Wolf, Bavarian Police Air Support Unit (Germany)

SESSION 5 HYPERSPECTRAL PROCESSING FOR ATR

- 149 **Hyperspectral imagery and segmentation** [4726-22]
M. C. Wellman, N. M. Nasrabadi, Army Research Lab. (USA)
- 162 **K-means reclustering: an alternative approach to automatic target cueing in hyperspectral images** [4726-23]
R. S. Wong, G. E. Ford, Univ. of California/Davis (USA); D. W. Paglieroni, Lawrence Livermore National Lab. (USA)
- 173 **Target detection in hyperspectral images based on independent component analysis** [4726-24]
S. A. Robila, P. K. Varshney, Syracuse Univ. (USA)

SESSION 6 ADVANCED ALGORITHMS FOR ATR I

- 183 **Efficient methods for correlation-based automatic target recognition** [4726-26]
B. V. K. Vijaya Kumar, K. Venkataramani, M. Savvides, Carnegie Mellon Univ. (USA)
- 193 **Boosting algorithm for LVQ ATR classifiers** [4726-27]
S. Lim, N. M. Nasrabadi, R. M. Mersereau, Army Research Lab. (USA)
- 203 **Automatic-target-recognition-assisted image coding** [4726-28]
L. Ma, M.-A. Q. Vong, Arizona State Univ. (USA); G. P. Abousleman, General Dynamics Decision Systems (USA); J. Si, Arizona State Univ. (USA)
- 214 **Unified interpretation of feature values for object recognition** [4726-29]
T. Kölzow, DaimlerChrysler AG (Germany); L. Schöpfer, Univ. Stuttgart (Germany)

SESSION 7 ADVANCED ALGORITHMS FOR ATR II

- 222 **Kernel-based feature extraction and its application on HRR signatures** [4726-30]
H. Li, Y. Zhao, J. Ma, S. C. Ahalt, The Ohio State Univ. (USA)
- 230 **Adaptive classification for image segmentation and target recognition** [4726-31]
B. Bargel, K.-H. Bers, K. Jäger, G. Schwan, FGAN-Forschungsinstitut für Optronik und Mustererkennung (Germany)

- 241 **Using local correction and mutation with memory to improve convergence of evolutionary algorithm in image registration** [4726-32]
I. Gertner, CUNY/City College (USA) I. V. Maslov, CUNY/Graduate Ctr. (USA)
- 253 **Discrimination, tracking, and recognition of small and fast-moving objects** [4726-33]
J. Wei, I. Gertner, CUNY/City College (USA)

SESSION 8 **ADVANCED ALGORITHMS FOR ATR III**

- 267 **Image enhancement for automatic target detection** [4726-34]
R. R. Muise, A. Mahalanobis, Lockheed Martin Corp. (USA)
- 273 **Using wavelets to learn pattern templates** [4726-35]
C. D. Scott, R. D. Nowak, Rice Univ. (USA)
- 285 **Glint-induced false alarm reduction in signature adaptive target detection** [4726-36]
F. J. Crosby, Naval Surface Warfare Ctr. (USA)
- 295 **Compact schematic of high-resolution Fourier telescopic imaging in a strongly inhomogeneous atmosphere** [4726-37]
V. I. Mandrossov, Institute of Physics and Technology (Russia)

SESSION 9 **ADVANCED ALGORITHMS FOR ATR IV**

- 304 **Corner detection for identification of man-made objects in noisy aerial images** [4726-38]
I. N. Bankman, E. W. Rogala, Johns Hopkins Univ. (USA)
- 310 **Problem set guidelines to facilitate ATR research, development, and performance assessments** [4726-39]
L. A. Westerkamp, T. J. Wild, Air Force Research Lab. (USA); D. Meredith, U.S. Army Night Vision & Electronic Sensors Directorate; S. A. Morrison, J. C. Mossing, Jacobs Sverdrup Technology, Inc. (USA); R. K. Avent, MIT Lincoln Lab. (USA); A. Bergman, Naval Air Warfare Ctr. (USA); A. Bruckheim, Private Consultant (USA); D. A. Castanon, Boston Univ. (USA); F. J. Corbett, Textron Inc. (USA); D. Hugo, NIMA (USA); R. A. Hummel, DARPA (USA); J. M. Irvine, Science Applications International Corp. (USA); B. Merle, Boeing Co. (USA); L. Otto, BAE Systems (USA); R. Reynolds, Science Applications International Corp. (USA); C. Sadowski, Veridian Inc. (USA); B. J. Schachter, Northrop Grumman Corp. (USA); K. M. Simonson, G. Smit, Sandia National Labs. (USA); C. P. Walters, U.S. Army Night Vision & Electronic Sensors Directorate
- 316 **Estimating the limitations that image resolution and contrast place on target recognition** [4726-40]
R. T. Kessel, Defence Research Establishment Atlantic (Canada)

SESSION 10 **RADAR PROCESSING FOR ATR II**

- 328 **Image-based topology for sensor gridlocking and association (Invited Paper)** [4726-47]
C. J. Stanek, ANZUS (USA); B. Javidi, Univ. of Connecticut (USA); P. Yanni, ANZUS (USA)

- 343 **Synthetic aperture radar for search and rescue: evaluation of advanced capabilities in preparation for RADARSAT-2 [4726-44]**
T. I. Lukowski, Natural Resources Canada; F. Khellah, Noetix Research Inc. (Canada);
F. J. Charbonneau, Isosceles Information Solutions Inc. (Canada); B. Yue, Noetix Research Inc. (Canada)
- 353 **Nonlinear feature extraction for MMW image classification: a supervised approach [4726-45]**
G. T. Maskall, A. R. Webb, QinetiQ (UK)
- 364 **Detection of variable-depth shallow nonmetallic mines [4726-46]**
I. I. Jouny, A. Wesmiller, G. Berman, Lafayette College (USA)
- 374 *Addendum*
375 *Author Index*

Generalization of Group Velocity and Group Acceleration

LEON COHEN¹

City University of New York, 695 Park Ave., New York, NY 10021 USA

Group velocity is typically defined as the derivative of the dispersion relation. However, we show that definition is not appropriate in general and is only applicable to wave equations with constant coefficients. We generalize the concept of group velocity and also show that in general one also has group acceleration. Explicit expressions are derived and examples are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical method for solving a wave equation with constant coefficients,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N a_n \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial t^n} = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x^m} \quad (1)$$

is to substitute $e^{ikx-i\omega t}$ into it to obtain a relation between k and ω ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N a_n (-i\omega)^n = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m (ik)^m \quad (2)$$

One then solves for ω in terms of k to obtain the dispersion relation

$$\omega = W(k) \quad (3)$$

and typically there will be more than one solution. Each solution to Eq. (3) is called a mode and the solution to the wave equation for each mode is [5, 8],

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int S(k) e^{ikx-iW(k)t} dk \quad (4)$$

where $S(k)$ is the initial spatial spectrum which is obtained from the initial pulse by way of

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int u(x, 0) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (5)$$

From the dispersion relation one obtains the group velocity defined by

$$v_g(k) = \frac{dW(k)}{dk} \quad (6)$$

Group velocity is interpreted in a variety of ways and we will discuss this at length in subsequent sections. But we emphasize here that while indeed $v_g(k)$ is called the group velocity in the literature, in the opinion of the author, it is not an descriptive terminology for what it represents because it is definitely not the velocity of a pulse or wave packet. As we will see in the next section the velocity of a group of waves is the average of the group velocity.

Examples of wave equations with constant coefficients are many, the classical wave equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad (7)$$

¹Work supported by the Air Force Information Institute Research Program (Rome, NY) and the NSA HBCU/MI program.

being the best known and studied. The dispersion relation and group velocity are respectively

$$\omega = \pm ck \quad v_g(k) = \pm c \quad (8)$$

which shows that all wave numbers travel with the same velocity and therefore there is no dispersion. Another example is the beam equation[7, 8],

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \quad (9)$$

and one has

$$\omega = \pm ck^2 \quad v_g(k) = \pm 2ck \quad (10)$$

However, what do we do if the wave equation has variable coefficients? For example suppose we consider

$$\frac{1}{c^2(x)} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad (11)$$

where $c(x)$ is position dependent, what is the group velocity then? Or, consider the general string equation[7]

$$\varepsilon(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[T(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] \quad (12)$$

where $\varepsilon(x)$ and $T(x)$ are position dependent density and tension. What is the group velocity? Another, example is the Schrodinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\psi \quad (13)$$

where $V(x)$ is the potential, and the same question may be asked. More generally what is the meaning of group velocity for wave equations of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^N a_n(t) \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial t^n} = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m(x) \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x^m} \quad (14)$$

Our aim is to address this question.

2. WHAT IS GROUP VELOCITY?

In discussions of group velocity a statement such as this is typically made: group velocity is the velocity with which a pulse propagates, and, arguments are then made to make Eq. (3) plausible. Typically, these arguments are made independent of any particular wave equation and the implication is that the arguments apply in general. We will argue that it is not the case that group velocity is the velocity with which a pulse propagates. In fact the velocity with which a pulse propagates will be seen to be the average of the group velocity, where the averaging is done with the initial spectrum and therefore the velocity of the pulse for a given group velocity varies dramatically with the initial spectrum! Second, we will argue that the concept of group velocity as usually discussed applies to pulses governed by linear differential equations with constant coefficients although sometimes it does apply in other situations. We first examine exact results for equations with constant coefficients and that will lead us to a way to generalize the concepts.

Motion of a pulse governed by wave equations with constant coefficients

It is a remarkable fact that for any wave equation with constant coefficients the velocity of the center of mass moves with a constant velocity. Explicitly, suppose we have an initial pulse $u(x, 0)$ and we evolve it using any equation of the form given by Eq. (1) to obtain $u(x, t)$. We then ask for the center of mass motion for a node defined by

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \int x |u(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (15)$$

One can show that, exactly, [4]

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \langle x \rangle_0 + Vt \quad (16)$$

where

$$V = \int v_g(k) |S(k)|^2 dk \quad (17)$$

and where $S(k)$ is the initial spatial spectrum which obtained from the initial pulse,

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int u(x, 0) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (18)$$

We emphasize that Eq. (15) is exact and applies to any wave equation with constant coefficients. We see that the velocity of the pulse is the average of the group velocity, the averaging being done with $|S(k)|^2$ and therefore the velocity of the center of mass varies dramatically because we can choose $|S(k)|^2$ at will. In addition we emphasize that there are no higher terms, that is, there is no acceleration! Why is it that $v_g(k)$ is sometimes called the group velocity? The reason is as follows. In many derivations that appear in the literature a narrowband pulse is assumed and that can be thought of as a pulse with a spectrum that is very peaked at some value of k say k_0 . Then indeed $V \sim v_g(k_0)$, as can be seen from Eq. (15). However we emphasize that no such approximation is needed as Eq. (17) is exact.

3. APPROACH

It is our aim to generalize the concept of group velocity for wave equations with non-constant coefficients. The approach we will take is as follows. From the wave equation we will obtain the center of mass motion. The center of mass motion will not in general be of constant velocity but nonetheless one will be able to expand it in terms of a Taylor series,

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \langle x \rangle_0 + V(0)t + \frac{1}{2}a(0)t^2 \dots \quad (19)$$

From the derivation we will be able to see how the velocity, $V(0)$, depends on wave numbers or other physical quantities and therefore we will be able to define group velocity for the general case. Also, it will allow us to define group acceleration. The approach we will take is the one developed in quantum mechanics for the Schrodinger equation but we will be dealing with a general linear wave equation with non-constant coefficients.

Mathematical Preliminaries and Notation

We define the time dependent spatial spectrum, $S(k, t)$, by

$$S(k, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int u(x, t) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (20)$$

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int S(k, t) e^{ikx} dk \quad (21)$$

The position operator is denoted by \mathcal{X} and in the k representation it is

$$\mathcal{X} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad (22)$$

Also, the wave number operator is denoted by \mathcal{K} and in the x representation it is

$$\mathcal{K} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (23)$$

In general the moments of a pulse are defined by

$$\langle x^n \rangle_t = \int x^n |u(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (24)$$

and they can be calculated from the spectrum by way of

$$\langle x^n \rangle_t = \int S^*(k, t) \mathcal{X}^n S(k, t) dk \quad (25)$$

This of course is nothing more than the momentum representation as usually defined in quantum mechanics.

We will need certain commutation relations between position and wave number. The basic commutation relation is ²

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K}] = i \quad (26)$$

Other important relations that we will need are [1, 6, 3]

$$[\mathcal{X}^n, \mathcal{K}] = in\mathcal{X}^{n-1} \quad (27)$$

$$[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K}^n] = in\mathcal{K}^{n-1} \quad (28)$$

Also for any function of $x, f(x)$, we have

$$[\mathcal{K}^n, f(x)] = \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{n}{l} (\mathcal{K}^l f(x)) \mathcal{K}^{n-l} \quad (29)$$

Functions of Operators

Suppose we have a Hermitian operator $H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ made up of the operators \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{K} . Since it is Hermitian the eigenvalue problem

$$H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})u_\gamma(x) = \gamma u_\gamma(x) \quad (30)$$

produces a complete set of functions that satisfy

$$\int u_{\gamma'}^*(x) u_\gamma(x) dx = \delta(\gamma - \gamma') \quad (31)$$

$$\int u_{\gamma'}^*(x') u_\gamma(x) d\gamma = \delta(x - x') \quad (32)$$

²Commutators will be denoted by the usual notation, $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

Of particular interest is the construction of functions of operators. For a function $F(x)$ one can construct the operator $F(H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K}))$ by replacing x with $H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$. The operator $F(H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K}))$ has the same eigenfunctions as $H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ but with eigenvalue $F(\gamma)$. That is,

$$F(H)u_\gamma(x) = F(\gamma)u_\gamma(x) \quad (33)$$

An arbitrary operator can be written in the following explicit form

$$H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K}) = \int \int \gamma u_\gamma(x) u_\gamma^*(\gamma, x + x') e^{ix' \mathcal{K}} d\gamma dx' \quad (34)$$

and also,

$$F(H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})) = \int \int F(\gamma) u_\gamma(x) u_\gamma^*(\gamma, x + x') e^{ix' \mathcal{K}} d\gamma dx' \quad (35)$$

These are useful forms because one can write an arbitrary operator explicitly in terms of the position and wave number operators.[4]

4. SOLUTION TO THE WAVE EQUATION

We consider wave equations of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^N a_n(t) \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial t^n} = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m(x) \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x^m} \quad (36)$$

Our aim is to obtain a symbolic solution to Eq. (36) and then calculate the expectation value of position to pick out the velocity term. We write the wave equation as

$$T(t)u = H(x)u \quad (37)$$

with³

$$T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n(t) \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \quad H(x) = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m(x) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} \quad (38)$$

Following the usual separation of variables procedure we write

$$u = A(t)B(x) \quad (39)$$

to obtain

$$\frac{T(t)A}{A} = \frac{H(x)B}{B} = \gamma \quad (40)$$

which results in two eigenvalue equations

$$T(t)A_\gamma(t) = \gamma A_\gamma(t) \quad (41)$$

$$H(x)B_\gamma(x) = \gamma B_\gamma(x) \quad (42)$$

³We assume both T and H are Hermitian and assume that the operators have the properties needed to manipulate as we do. In a future publication we will consider the mathematical issues involved.

We assume that the eigenvalues are continuous; the discrete case can be obtained by a simple rewriting of the equations obtained. Very often the eigenvalue will be a function of parameters, say, η and ℓ and in those cases we write

$$T(t)A_\eta(t) = \alpha(\eta)A_\eta(t) \quad (43)$$

$$H(x)B_\ell(x) = \beta(\ell)B_\ell(x) \quad (44)$$

and for this situation we must have that

$$\alpha(\eta) = \beta(\ell) = \gamma \quad (45)$$

We now show that the solution, $u(x, t)$, can be written as

$$u(x, t) = A_H(t)u(x, 0) \quad (46)$$

where $A_H(t)$ means that we have substituted the operator H for γ . First, we point out that symbolically

$$T(t)A_H(t) = HA_H(t) \quad (47)$$

To show that Eq. (46) is correct, operate on both sides with $T(t)$

$$T(t)u(x, t) = T(t)A_H(t)u(x, 0) \quad (48)$$

$$= HA_H(t)u(x, 0) \quad (49)$$

$$= Hu(x, t) \quad (50)$$

which is the governing wave equation, Eq. (37).

Now consider the expectation value of position

$$\langle x \rangle_t = \int x |u(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (51)$$

$$= \int [A_H(t)u(x, 0)]^* x A_H(t)u(x, 0) dx \quad (52)$$

$$= \int u^*(x, 0) \mathcal{X}(t)u(x, 0) dx \quad (53)$$

where we have defined the time dependent position operator by

$$\mathcal{X}(t) = A^\dagger(t, H)\mathcal{X}(0)A_H(t) \quad (54)$$

and where A^\dagger is the Hermitian adjoint. We expand $\mathcal{X}(t)$ as a power series up to the second order in t . We have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(0)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial A^\dagger(0, H)}{\partial t} \mathcal{X}(0)A(0, H) + A^\dagger(0, \beta)\mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A(0, H)}{\partial t} \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{X}(0)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial A^{\dagger+2}(0, H)}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{X}(0)A(0, H) + A^\dagger(0, \beta)\mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A^2(0, H)}{\partial t^2} \quad (56)$$

$$+ 2 \frac{\partial A^\dagger(0, H)}{\partial t} \mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A(0, H)}{\partial t} \quad (57)$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{X}(t) = \mathcal{X}(0) + V(0)t + \frac{1}{2}a(0)t^2 \dots \quad (58)$$

with

$$V(0) = \frac{\partial A^\dagger(0, H)}{\partial t} \mathcal{X}(0) A(0, H) + A^\dagger(0, \beta) \mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A(0, H)}{\partial t} \quad (59)$$

$$a(0) = \frac{\partial A^{+2}(0, H)}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{X}(0) A(0, H) + A^\dagger(0, \beta) \mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A^2(0, H)}{\partial t^2} + 2 \frac{\partial A^\dagger(0, H)}{\partial t} \mathcal{X}(0) \frac{\partial A(0, H)}{\partial t} \quad (60)$$

Special case 1

Of particular interest is the equation of the form

$$i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = H u \quad (61)$$

with

$$H(x) = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m(x) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} = \sum_{m=0}^M i^m b_m(\mathcal{X}) \mathcal{K}^m \quad (62)$$

Solving the eigenvalue problem

$$T(t) A_\gamma(t) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_\gamma(t) = \gamma A_\gamma(t) \quad (63)$$

gives

$$A_\gamma(t) = e^{-i\gamma t} \quad (64)$$

and therefore

$$u(x, t) = A_H(t) u(x, 0) = e^{-iHt} u(x, 0) \quad (65)$$

Now, as for the quantum case we have that [1, 6]⁴

$$\mathcal{X}(t) = e^{itH} \mathcal{X} e^{-itH} \quad (66)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} [\mathcal{X}, H]_n \left(\frac{t}{i} \right)^n, \quad (67)$$

$$= \mathcal{X} + [\mathcal{X}, H] \frac{t}{i} + \frac{1}{2!} [[\mathcal{X}, H], H] \left(\frac{t}{i} \right)^2 \dots \quad (68)$$

Therefore the velocity and acceleration operators are

$$v(0) = -i[\mathcal{X}, H] \quad (69)$$

$$a(0) = -[[\mathcal{X}, H], H] \quad (70)$$

⁴For notational simplicity when we write \mathcal{X} we shall mean $\mathcal{X}(0)$.