Cases and Materials on Criminal Law and Procedure

FIFTH EDITION

Edited by

M. L. FRIEDLAND

Cases and Materials on Criminal Law and Procedure

FIFTH EDITION

M. L. FRIEDLAND

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO PRESS
Toronto Buffalo London

FIRST EDITION 1967 ©University of Toronto Press 1967

SECOND EDITION 1968
©University of Toronto Press 1968

THIRD EDITION 1970 ©University of Toronto Press 1970

FOURTH EDITION 1974

©University of Toronto Press 1974

Reprinted 1976

FIFTH EDITION 1978
©University of Toronto Press 1978

Toronto Buffalo London

Printed in Canada

ISBN 0-8020-2308-8 (library) ISBN 0-8020-2309-6 (student)

LC 78-57570

Preface to the Fifth Edition

This new edition contains a number of changes, but has not altered the basic structure of the casebook. The purpose of this new edition is simply to keep up with changes in the law as well as to attempt to improve the presentation of the materials. Once again I am grateful to my colleagues across Canada for their many helpful comments. I would also like to record my indebtedness to John Unger, a student in the Faculty of Law, for his able assistance and to Patricia Dawson and Julia Hall for their expert secretarial help.

M.L.F.

Faculty of Law University of Toronto March 1978

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION 1974

A new edition was required because of the many changes in criminal law and procedure since the last edition. There have been new procedures (e.g., on bail and wire-tapping); new proposals (e.g., by the English Law Commission and the Canadian Law Reform Commission); and, not to be minimized, new section numbers brought about by the new edition of the Revised Statutes of Canada. The basic structure of the book has, however, remained the same. In addition to the usual additions and substitutions, the order of a few chapters has been changed and a few sections have been expanded. Once again I am grateful to the many law teachers throughout Canada for their helpful comments and suggestions. In addition to those listed in the previous editions, I would like to thank Professors William Angus, Bernard Green, Daniel Hurley, Allen Linden, Sydney Usprich, and Stephen Waddams. I am also indebted to Leslie Rose and John Zinn who assisted me in preparing this new edition, and my secretary, Patricia Dawson, who so ably coped with typing the many changes required for this edition.

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION 1970

This edition follows the same format as the last one. I have added an index and some new cases, statutes and other materials. Certain sections, in particular the materials on pre-trial procedures and parties to offences, have been expanded. References to the *Ouimet Report*, which many law teachers will use as a teaching aid for the next few years, have been inserted throughout the book. The important Supreme Court of Canada case of *Drybones* has been added as an addendum.

In addition to those law teachers mentioned in the Preface to the last edition, I would like to thank Professors B. M. Barker, P. T. Burns, R. G. Fox,

vi PREFACE

John Hogarth, M. E. Hughes, J. C. Levy, E. F. Ryan, D. E. Sanders, S. A. Schiff, P. C. Weiler, and John Willis for their helpful comments and suggestions. I am also indebted to Robert Bruser and Peter Jewett, both entering their second year in the Faculty of Law, for their help in proofreading, to Donna Day, for her secretarial services, and to the editors of the University of Toronto Press for their editorial assistance.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION 1968

This book, designed for the basic criminal law course given to Canadian law students, is a revised version of a temporary set of materials used last year in a number of Canadian law schools. The teaching and practice of criminal law have undergone substantial change over the past few years. These materials attempt to reflect this development. Such areas as the relationship between law and morality, criminal procedure, and sentencing – formerly often reserved for an optional course in the third year – are examined here. No chapter is devoted to specific substantive offences; rather, an attempt has been made to cover many of the more important offences, such as murder, manslaughter, rape, and robbery, through the selection of cases used throughout the book.

I am greatly indebted to Professors R. J. Delisle, B. A. Grosman, R. S. Mackay, A. W. Mewett, J. D. Morton, Q.C., and R. R. Price for their many helpful comments and suggestions. In addition, I am grateful to Dean R. St. J. Macdonald for his constant support; to Miss Joyce McClennan, the Secretary of the Faculty of Law, for her kind co-operation; to Sydney Usprich and Dan Webster, both recent graduates, and Eric Salsberg, presently attending the Faculty of Law, for their valuable assistance in proofreading; and to Deidre Coote, Gale Fauteux, and Denise Wright for their secretarial assistance.

Permission to reproduce material has been kindly given by the following: Dean Francis A. Allen; H. H. Bull, Q.C., (the chart in chapter one is based on a design by Mr. Bull); Professor John Hogarth; Harry B. Kohl; Professor J. D. Morton, Q.C.; Butterworths; The Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology; Canada Law Book Co. Ltd.; Canadian Broadcasting Corporation; Clarendon Press, Oxford; The Law Book Co. Ltd., Australia; Law Guardian (for the cartoon by "Z"); Law Society Gazette; Melbourne University Law Review; Macmillan and Co. Ltd., London; The Macmillan Co., N.Y.; The Macmillan Company of Canada Ltd. (for an excerpt from Crime and its Treatment in Canada edited by W. T. McGrath, published by The Macmillan Company of Canada Limited); Oxford University Press, London; The Times, London; Toronto Daily Star; Sweet and Maxwell, Ltd.; University of Chicago Press (for an excerpt from Allen, The Borderland of Criminal Justice, by permission of the University of Chicago Press, © 1964 by the University of Chicago); Victor Gollancz Ltd.; and West Publishing Co.

I also express my appreciation to Miss Francess Halpenny and Miss Prudence Tracy of the University of Toronto Press for their editorial assistance. Finally, I thank my wife for her continuing interest and encouragement.

This book is dedicated to the memory of a great teacher and scholar, Dean C. A. Wright.

Table of Cases

Abbate v. U.S. (1959), 861	Bratty v. AG. for Northern Ireland
Abbott v. The Queen (1976), 709	(1963), 263
Adams (1946), 748	Brawner (1972), 633
Allen v. Whitehead (1930), 533	Brezack (1949), 59
Andrews Weatherfoil Ltd. (1971),	Broadhurst v. The Queen (1964),
542	547
Apodaca v. Oregon (1972), 403	Brown (1972), 25
Archer v. The Queen (1955), 202	Brownridge v. The Queen (1972),
Ashe v. Swenson (1970), 847	108
AG. of Quebec v. Begin (1955), 75	Burgess (1970), 506
Auerswald (1975), 864	
Avon v. The Queen (1971), 782	
	Caccamo v. The Queen (1975), 744
Bailey (1800), 511	Cadwallader (1966), 711
Bainbridge (1959), 358	Cali (1923), 272, 275
Balogh v. Crown Court at St. Albans	Campbell and Mlynarchuk (1972),
(1974), 329	519
Baltzer (1974), 595	Carbone (1972), 49
Barker (1924), 317	Carey (1972), 817
Barnard (1970), 399	Carker (No. 2) (1967), 703
Barron (1914), 812	Carlson (1970), 818
Bartkus v. Illinois (1959), 861	Chapin (1909), 24
Beard (1920), 546, 547	Charlson (1955), 652
Beardsley (1907), 268	Chernecki (1971), 350
Beaudette (1957), 44	Chic Fashions (West Wales) Ltd. v.
Beaudry (1967), 809	Jones (1968), 56
Beaver v. The Queen (1957), 462	Churchman and Durham (1954),
Bedder v. Director of Public Prose-	736
cutions (1954), 673	Cline (1956), 322
Binus (1966), 428	Codere (1916), 612
Binus v. The Queen (1967), 433	Connelly v. D.P.P. (1964), 832
Biron (1975), 42	Cooper (1971), 812
Bishop (1970), 180	Cooper (1977), 397
Blackburn (No. 3) (1973), 23	Corrier (1972), 255
Blair and Karashowsky (1975), 812	Côté (1974), 854
Blaue (1975), 287	Couture (1976), 510
Bleta v. The Queen (1965), 640	Cox and Paton v. The Queen
Boomhower (1974), 598	(1963), 201
Booth v. State of Oklahoma (1964),	Cracknell (1931), 608
333	Craig (1975), 863
Borg (1969), 621	Crooker v. California (1958), 87
Boucher (1962), 564	Cunningham (1951), 398
Boudreau v. The King (1949), 81	Cunningham (1958), 682
Bourne (1938), 220	Curtis (1972), 574

Dalley (1957), 516
Davey v. Lee (1967), 329
Davidson (1975), 401
De Clercq (1966), 96
Demeter (1975), 86
DeWolfe (1976), 451
Doran (1971), 879
Draskovic (1971), 26
Driver v. Hinnant (1966), 576
Drybones (1969), 913
Dudley and Stephens (1884), 691
Dunbar v. The King (1936), 414
Durham v. United States (1954), 630

Eccles v. Bourque (1974), 46
Epping and Harlow JJ., ex parte
Massaro (1973), 743
Esop (1836), 513
Ewing and Kearney and The Queen,
Re (1974), 124

Fagan v. Commissioner of Metropolitan Police (1969), 260
Fain v. Kentucky (1879), 645
Family Tire Centres Ltd. (1974),
865
Fane Robinson Ltd. (1941), 538
Feeley, McDermott and Wright
(1963), 824, 832
Fisher v. The Queen (1961), 556
Frank (1970), 588
Frey v. Fedoruk (1950), 156

Galgay (1972), 681 Gamracy (1973), 46 Garrigan (1937), 555 George (1960), 419, 559 Gordon and Gordon (1937), 356 Gould (1968), 501 Green (1971), 708 Gross (1972), 53 Gushue (1973), 825 Gushue (No. 5) (1975), 827 Guzzo (1972), 824

Haig (1970), 735 Hampton (1976), 354 Harper (1950), 851 Harrison v. The Queen (1974), 401 Hartley (1966), 830 Hartridge (1966), 644, 664 Haughton v. Smith (1974), 344

Hawinda Taverns Ltd. (1955), 535 Healy (1972), 884 Hepworth and Fearnley (1955), 389 Hill v. Baxter, (1958), 649 Hill v. The Queen (1973), 483 Hill v. The Queen (No. 2) (1975), Hilson (1958), 547 Hinch and Salanski (1968), 887 Hogan v. The Queen (1974), 116 Holmes (1842), 685 Horsley v. MacLaren (1971), 276 Howson (1966), 529 Hubbert (1975), 754 Hudson and Taylor (1971), 707 Hughes (1942), 448 Humphrys (1976), 827 Hyam v. D.P.P. (1974), 447

Instan (1893), 272

James. (1970), 327 Jean Talon Fashion Center Inc. (1975), 189 Jenkins (1973), 856 John (1970), 88 Johnson v. Louisiana (1972), 403 Johnson v. New Jersey (1966), 107 Jones (1956), 874 Jordan (1956), 277 Jumaga v. The Queen (1976), 123

K (1971), 664 Karpinski (1957), 804 Karpuk (1962), 4 Kemp (1957), 655 Kennedy (1972), 695 Kienapple v. The Queen (1974), 854 King (1963), 498 Kirzner v. The Queen (1977), 352 Kisinger and Voszler (1972), 198 Kissick (1942), 859 Kitching and Adams (1976), 284 Knuller v. Director of Public Prosecutions (1972), 166 Koechlin v. Waugh & Hamilton (1957), 46Kowbel v. The Queen (1954), 191 Kulbacki (1966), 360

Lachance (1963), 390 Ladue (1965), 503

Kundeus (1974), 507

Laister (1969), 525 Lajoie (1973), 308 Lalonde (1971), 741 Lanza (1922), 861 Laporte and The Queen (1972), 56 Larsonneur (1933), 263 Latour v. The King (1951), 400 Lavell (1974), 925 Layton, ex parte Thodas (1970), 182 Leary v. The Queen (1977), 571 Leblanc v. The Queen (1975), 427 Lemieux v. The Queen (1967), 345 Lepage (1941), 356 Letendre (1975), 121 Lever (Finance) Ltd. v. Westminster Corporation (1970), 526 Levitz v. Ryan (1972), 68 Lewis (1899), 283 Long v. State (Delaware) (1949), 528 Lynch (1975), 709

McAskill v. The King (1931), 546 McAuslane (1968), 502 McAuslane (1971), 191 MacLean (1974), 528 McLeod (1970), 357 M'Naghten's Case (1843), 604 Madigan (1969), 364 Maier and Clark (1968), 371 Majewski (1976), 560 Maki (1971), 715 Malanik v. The Queen (1952), 547 Mancini v. D.P.P. (1942), 386, 676 Mann v. The Queen (1966), 428 Martin Linen Supply Co. (1977), 856 Mealey and Sheridan (1974), 352 Meneses (1974), 892 Meston (1975), 369 Miranda v. Arizona (1966), 100

Mitchell (1965), 393 Moore (1973), 50 More v. The Queen (1963), 591 Morgan (1975), 501 Morgentaler (1973), 227, 697 Morrissette (1970), 870 Motuz and Motuz (1964), 863 Moyer (1947), 291

Nelson (1953), 714 Newton and Stungo (1958), 226 O'Grady v. Sparling (1960), 428 Orban and Douglas (1972), 37 Orlovich (1972), 50 Ormerod (1969), 353 Osborn (1970), 842

Paquette v. The Queen (1976), 415
Palomba (No. 3) (1975), 750
Parker v. The Queen (1963), 447
Parnerkar v. The Queen (1973), 676
Partington v. Williams (1975), 344
Patterson v. The Queen (1970), 738
Pearce (1974), 880
Peda v. The Queen (1969), 435
Phillips (1947), 48
Pierce Fisheries Ltd. (1970), 472
Ping Yuen (1921), 457
Poitras v. The Queen (1973), 366
Pordage (1975), 547
Powell (1967), 579
Prince (1875), 491

Quaranta (1975), 450 Quick (1973), 660

Rabey (1977), 669 Rafael (1972), 198 Redline (1958), 294 Rees (1956), 495 Regina Cold Storage & Forwarding Co. Ltd. (1923), 460 Reynen v. Antonenko (1975), 62 Richard v. The Queen (1957), 750 Riezebos (1975), 377 Rinnie (1970), 815 Roberts (1954), 585 Robinson (1915), 313 Rochin v. California (1952), 63 Roe v. Wade (1973), 234 Rose (1973), 750 Ross (1944), 513 Rothman (1966), 809 Rourke v. The Queen (1977), 847 Rubenstein v. State of Texas (1966), 601 Russell (1973), 354

Saggar (1972), 52 Salajko (1970), 262 Salamon v. The Queen (1959), 670 Salmon (1972), 773 Schwartz v. The Queen (1976), 623 Scofield (1784), 254 Scott (1964), 331 Segal (1976), 832

Shaw (1938), 647 Shaw v. Director of Public Prosecutions (1962), 158 Sheets (1971), 806 Sheehan and Moore (1975), 547 Shipley (1970), 346 Shymkowich (1954), 531 Simpson (1977), 591, 608, 628 Smith (1959), 280 Smith (1961), 444 Smith (1967), 587 Smith (1970), 296 Smith (Roger) (1973), 339 Smith (1977), 547 Smithers (1975), 285 Sokoloski v. The Queen (1977), 370 Spence and Scott (1955), 853 Spicer (1974), 864 Squire (1976), 680 Stapleton v. The Queen (1952), 547 Steane (1947), 410 Stonehouse (1977), 317 Stones (1955), 555 Summers (1952), 388 Sweet v. Parsley (1969), 472, 487 Szymusiak (1972), 652

Tennant and Naccarato (1975), 448 Titchner (1961), 425 Tolson (1889), 404 Toth (1959), 199 Tousignant v. The Queen (1960), 306 Trinneer (1970), 374 Truscott (1959), 731 Truscott (1967), 722ff Turner (1970), 27 Turner (1970), 735

V. K. Mason Construction Ltd. (1968), 487 Vallieres (1970), 786 Vandervoort (1961), 567 Vezeau v. The Queen (1976), 785, 856 Vlcko (1972), 505

Ward (1972), 750 Warner v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner (1968), 472 Waterloo Mercury Sales Ltd. (1974), 544 Webb (1974), 864 Willaert (1953), 865

Wilson (1970), 443 Wilson (1975), 856 Wilson, Biggs, James, Hussey, Wisbey and Welch (1964), 886 Windle (1952), 609 Winning (1973), 277

Woolmington v. D.P.P. (1935), 380, 547 Wray (1970), 78

Wright, McDermott and Feeley v. The Queen (1964), 180

Yensen (1961), 724

Table of Contents

Pr	eface to the Fifth Edition	V
Pr	eface to the Fourth Edition	V
Pr	eface to the Third Edition	V
Pr	eface to the Second Edition	vi
Ta	able of Cases	xi
1		
Introdu	ction to Procedure	3
I	Scheme of the Criminal Code	3
II	Classification of Offences	3
III	Hierarchy of Courts	6
IV	Appeals	6
V	Provincial Offences	7
2		
Pre-Tri	al and Related Procedures	17
I	Discretion	18
	A Invoking the criminal process	20
	B Plea bargaining	23
II	Compelling the Accused's Appearance	34
	A Appearance notice and summons B Arrest with a warrant	34
	C Arrest with a warrant	36 36
	D Release by police	47
	E Judicial interim release	47
III	Search	54
	A Search with a warrant	54
	B Search without a warrant	59
	C Stop and frisk laws D Writs of assistance	63 66
	E Effect of illegality	75
	F Wiretapping and electronic surveillance	82

IV	Confessions	86
V	Some Bill of Rights Cases	108
VI	Legal Aid	124
VII	Regional Detention Centres	146
3		
	ty: Codification of Offences; Common-Law	150
Crime a	and Conspiracy	153
4		201
Moralit	y and the Criminal Law	204
5		
_	minal Act: External Circumstances of the	254
Offence		254
	Omissions	265
	Causation	277
III	Constructive Murder	289
6		-0.6
_	t and Related Problems	306
I	Mens Rea for an Attempt	306
II	Actus Reus for an Attempt	313
III	Impossibility	331
IV	Entrapment	345
V	Other Forms of Involvement: Incitement,	255
	Conspiracy, Aiding and Abetting, Etc. A Incitement	355 356
	B Aiding and abetting	358
	C Common intention	371
7		
Quantu	im and Burden of Proof	380
I	Burden of Proof	380
II	Reasonable Doubt	387
III	Presumption of Innocence	392
IV	Circumstantial Evidence	392
V	Jury Unanimity	398
8		
The M	ental State: Requirements of Culpability	404
Ι	Model Penal Code and Other Legislative	
	Solutions	405
II	Intent	410
III	Recklessness	424

	CONTENTS	ix
IV	Negligence	428
	Presumed Intent	444
9 Strict R	esponsibility	457
10 Mistake	of Fact	491
11 Ignoran	ce of the Law	511
12		
	us and Corporate Liability	533
13		
Drunke		546
I	Expert Evidence on Issue of Drunkenness	556 559
II	Drunkenness and Specific Intent	574
	Effect of Drugs on Mental Capacity	575
IV	Public Drunkenness	313
14		582
Insanity		302
I	Stages of Criminal Process in which Insanity may be Relevant	582
	A Certification before trial	582
	B Fitness to stand trial	583
	C Insanity as a defence	587
	D Who can raise the insanity issue? E Effect on a requisite mental state	588 591
	F Sentence	596
	G Transfer from penal institution to	500
	mental hospital H Executive clemency	599 600
II		601
III	Alternatives to M'Naghten	630
IV	Form of Expert Testimony	640
15		
Automatism		644
I	Conviction for Causing Death?	645
II	Proving Automatism	649
III	Relation to Insanity	652
IV	Provocation	670

X CONTENTS

16		
Some A	spects of Excusable Conduct: Necessity,	
Duress,	and Self-Defence	685
I	Necessity	685
II	Duress	702
III	Self-Defence	711
17		
	ial Process	722
Ι	Pre-Trial Procedures	723
II	The Trial of a Juvenile in Adult Court	731
III	The Preliminary Inquiry	736
IV	0.1.1.1.80	748
V	Choosing the Jury	750
VI	Opening Statements	755
VII	Evidentiary Problems	767
	A The use of photographs of the victim B The use of similar fact evidence	768
	B The use of similar fact evidence C Failure of the accused to testify	774 781
VIII	Addresses to the Jury	785
IX	The Charge to the Jury	790
18		
Double	Jeopardy	804
I	Early Termination	804
II	When will a Dismissal be a Bar?	809
III	The Special Pleas	812
IV	Issue Estoppel	818
V		832
VI		851
VII	Appeals and New Trials	855
VIII		859
19		
Sentend	cing	863
I	Problems	863
II	Cases on Sentencing	86
III	Readings on Sentencing	890
Sı	applementary Material	913
In	ndex	92

Cases and Materials on Criminal Law and Procedure



Introduction to Procedure

I. SCHEME OF THE CRIMINAL CODE

The Canadian Criminal Code, a federal statute, came into force on April 1, 1955 and is found in the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, chapter C-34, as amended. The Code contains 25 Parts, which in general can conveniently be divided into the following major categories:

- A. General Principles, Part 1;
- B. Offences, Parts 2-11;
- C. Procedure, Parts 12-25.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENCES

All offences under the Criminal Code can be categorized according to the procedure employed for trying the case: "summary" (an offence triable by the summary conviction procedure) or "indictable" (an offence triable by indictment) or both, for certain offences, with the Crown having the choice of procedure. The distinction between felony and misdemeanour was abolished in Canada in the 19th century. (The United States still maintains the distinction; England has recently eliminated it.)

In the summary cases, which are less serious than the indictable ones, the magistrate tries the accused according to a procedure set out in Part 24 of the Code. An indictable offence may be one of three types: offences over which the magistrate has absolute jurisdiction (because these offences cannot normally be tried by indictment, it is somewhat anomalous to call them indictable offences); offences over which the magistrate has jurisdiction only with the consent of the accused — if this consent is not given, a preliminary hearing is held by the magistrate to see if the case is substantial enough to warrant committal for trial to a higher court; and, finally, cases such as murder and treason, over which the magistrate has no jurisdiction.

The indictable offences over which the magistrate has absolute jurisdiction (i.e., the accused *cannot* elect to be tried by a higher court) are set out in section 483 of the Code. Consider whether there are any offences in s. 483 which you think should allow the accused the right to a trial by jury. Assaulting a police officer was removed from section 483 in 1972. Why?

At the other end of the scale are those serious indictable offences set out in s. 427 of the Code which *must* be tried by a jury presided over by a judge of the Supreme Court of the Province, trial division. Consider whether there are

any offences which should be added to or taken away from s. 427. In 1972 certain offences such as rape and manslaughter were removed from the exclusive jurisdiction of a Supreme Court judge with a jury; note, however, that an accused who elects to be tried by jury will have a trial by a Supreme Court judge and jury in these cases unless he elects otherwise. (See s. 429. 1.)

For the remainder of the indictable offences (i.e., those not set out in s. 483 or 427) the accused may elect to be tried in one of three ways: by a magistrate; by a judge without a jury, most often a County Court judge without a jury (normally referred to as the County Court Judges Criminal Court), but it may in certain provinces be a Supreme Court judge without a jury (see s. 482); or by a judge with a jury (usually a County Court judge, but occasionally a Supreme Court judge — e.g. in certain complicated securities cases, or in certain cases if an accused is in custody awaiting trial by a County Court judge and jury, the sitting of which may not take place for some time).

The right of an accused to change his election is set out in sections 490-492 of the Code.

Section 484 (2) of the Code sets out the following words which are put to the accused before he elects: "You have the option to elect to be tried by a magistrate without a jury; or you may elect to be tried by a judge without a jury; or you may elect to be tried by a court composed of a judge and jury. How do you elect to be tried?" In Karpuk (1962) 133 C.C.C. 108 the Ontario Court of Appeal quashed a conviction because the accused had been given the election in these words: "Upon this charge you have the option to elect to be tried forthwith by the Magistrate, or you may elect to be tried by a judge without a jury, or you may elect to be tried by a court composed of a judge and jury. How do you elect to be tried? By a Magistrate this morning or at a later date by a judge or judge and jury?" Is such a result overly technical?

If the accused elects to be tried by a judge or by a judge and jury (or if he must be so tried under s. 427) the magistrate will hold a preliminary hearing (in accordance with Part 15, Procedure on Preliminary Inquiry) and decide whether there is sufficient evidence to justify a committal for trial (see s. 484 (3)). Note that under s. 485 the magistrate may decide to hold a preliminary hearing even though the offence is within the absolute jurisdiction of the magistrate or the accused elects to be tried by a magistrate. Can you think of any cases where he might do this?

In some cases a preliminary hearing can be by-passed; section 505 of the Code allows the Crown to avoid a preliminary hearing by bringing a charge directly to trial. Is this a desirable provision?

In the past a case which was to be tried by a jury first went before a "grand jury." The grand jury has been eliminated in England, and, as set out in s. 507, is not now necessary in any province except Nova Scotia. (Of course the grand jury is still an important institution in the United States.) Briefly, the procedure followed was (and still is in Nova Scotia) for the prosecutor to prefer what was referred to at this stage as a "bill of indictment" before a grand jury (see s. 504); the grand jury heard witnesses and if they decided that there was a sufficient case to warrant a trial they declared that it was a