PROPERTY: PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES

THOMAS W. MERRILL
HENRY E. SMITH

FOUNDATION PRESS

PROPERTY: Principles and Policies

bу

THOMAS W. MERRILL Charles Keller Beekman Professor of Law Columbia Law School

HENRY E. SMITH

Fred A. Johnston Professor of Property and Environmental Law Yale Law School

FOUNDATION PRESS

THOMSON

WEST

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Nothing contained herein is intended or written to be used for the purposes of 1) avoiding penalties imposed under the federal Internal Revenue Code, or 2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

© 2007 By FOUNDATION PRESS

395 Hudson Street
New York, NY 10014
Phone Toll Free 1–877–888–1330
Fax (212) 367–6799
foundation–press.com
Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-59941-011-1





UNIVERSITY CASEBOOK SERIES

EDITORIAL BOARD

ROBERT C. CLARK

DIRECTING EDITOR

Former Dean & Distinguished Service Professor Harvard University

DANIEL A. FARBER

Sho Sato Professor of Law University of California at Berkeley

OWEN M. FISS

Sterling Professor of Law Yale University

SAMUEL ISSACHAROFF

Bonnie and Richard Reiss Professor of Constitutional Law New York University

HERMA HILL KAY

Dean & Barbara Nachtrieb Armstrong Professor of Law University of California, Berkeley

HAROLD HONGJU KOH

Dean & Gerard C. & Bernice Latrobe Smith Professor of International Law Yale University

SAUL LEVMORE

Dean & William B. Graham Professor of Law University of Chicago

THOMAS W. MERRILL

Charles Keller Beekman Professor of Law Columbia University

ROBERT L. RABIN

A. Calder Mackay Professor of Law Stanford University

CAROL M. ROSE

Gordon Bradford Tweedy Professor of Law & Organization Yale University

DAVID L. SHAPIRO

William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law Harvard University

KATHLEEN M. SULLIVAN

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law and Former Dean of the School of Law Stanford University To Bob Ellickson and Carol Rose teachers, colleagues, and friends

*

PREFACE

This casebook differs from others in that it regards property law as a single subject unified by core principles. There are many important differences between real property (land and buildings), personal property (movable things), intellectual property (original inventions, expressions, and marks), and natural resources (public lands, water, wildlife). Nevertheless, we believe there are certain basic principles that unite these different manifestations of property, and that these principles can and should be the focus of an introductory course.

The most basic principle is that property at its core entails the right to exclude others from some discrete thing. This right gives rise to a general duty on the part of others to abstain from interfering with the thing. This structure of rights and duties leads to characteristic bundles of rights, unites the various institutional forms we call property, and differentiates property rights from personal rights and contract rights. The basic exclusion strategy also serves as the starting point for further elaborations, refinements, exceptions, and overlays, which govern the use of resources with greater particularity. Together these rights to exclude and governance rules collectively make up the law of property and connect property to adjacent areas of contracts, torts, regulation, and public law.

We do not present our foundational principles as dogma. They serve as organizing devices for the casebook. The materials are designed to challenge each student to decide for him or herself whether property is defined by common principles such as the right to exclude others, or whether any such principle is so riddled with qualifications that property can only be regarded as an ad hoc "bundle of rights" without any distinguishing features.

In keeping with our perspective that property law is a unified subject, the casebook does not focus on one type of property such as land, nor does it organize materials into traditional subfields such as real, personal, intellectual, and natural resources. Instead, we draw on cases and materials from each of these areas throughout the book. No attempt is made to provide comprehensive coverage of any subfield; comprehensiveness is not possible, even in real estate law, the traditional focus. Rather our focus is on design principles common to all subfields of property. This reorientation is also appropriate, we believe, given that intellectual property and environmental issues loom much larger in the law school curriculum – and the practice of law – than was true in earlier generations when real estate law was para-

mount. An introduction to property law should provide a grounding that students can build upon whatever direction their future studies and practice may take.

Although our organizing principles are innovative, the selection of materials is deliberately conventional, as befits a field where stability of expectations plays such a large role. Judicial opinions serve as the primary vehicle for instruction, and we have tried to retain a high proportion of the chestnuts that have traditionally featured in property law materials. The cases are punctuated at intervals with short excerpts from the secondary literature or (in some instances) our own short summary of the secondary literature. These excerpts are designed to supply institutional or historical context, or to raise philosophical or policy questions not expressly addressed by the opinions. The secondary-source excerpts can serve as jumping off points for class discussion, or can be assigned as background reading, as the teacher sees fit.

In editing opinions, we have tried to strike a compromise between, on the one hand, burdening the student with extraneous issues and boilerplate and, on the other hand, reducing opinions to squibs lacking any context. We believe it is important, particularly in an introductory course like property law, to see how the characterization of facts in opinions influences legal conclusions, and how procedural and remedial issues interact with substantive issues. We have tried to leave in enough contextual detail to permit these connections to be explored in class. With respect to secondary materials, the editing is much more drastic, and is designed to capture one or two key points rather than the full nuanced argument. Deletions of text in all materials are indicated by three stars (* * *), but citations have often been omitted without any indication. We have frequently modified the citation form in opinions to conform to modern style. Footnotes have generally been deleted, but where they are retained, we have used their original number. Editor footnotes are indicated by symbols (*, †, etc.).

We have many people to thank for their generous contributions to this book. For their insightful comments on drafts, we would like to thank Richard Briffault, Robert Ellickson, Richard Epstein, Cynthia Estlund, Lance Liebman, Jonathan Nash, Claire Priest, Carol Rose, Sun-Joo Shin, Bruce Smith, Joshua Tate, and the anonymous reviewers for Foundation Press. A special debt of gratitude goes to our students at Columbia, Harvard, and Yale, who participated enthusiastically in our experiments with the earlier versions of this book. For their invaluable assistance tracking down permissions and helping with production, we thank Bradford Connor, P.J. Gach, Monika Piotrowicz, and Sarah Sladen. Our illustrator, Leslie Evans of Seadog Press, provided extensive assistance with illustrations and figures. We have also benefited greatly from the efforts of our research assistants at Columbia and Yale: Mina Farbood, Benjamin Gould, Michael Grisolia, Valerie Jaffee, David Olasky, Matteo Rizzolli, and Mainon Schwartz, Vic Khanna, Alan Schwartz, and the staffs at the Columbia, Harvard, and Yale Law Libraries have also been very kind and helpful in tracking down various materials. We are also deeply appreciative of the many

Preface vii

people who assisted us with their advice and permission in connection with the material listed in the acknowledgments. For their excellent work on the book in all stages of its development, we thank John Bloomquist, Jim Coates, Steve Errick, Tim Payne, and the staff at Foundation Press. Finally, we most of all would like to thank our families for their crucial support in this project.

*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the permission kindly granted to reproduce the textual excerpts and illustrations indicated below.

Books and Articles

Adler, Jonathan H., Legal Obstacles to Private Ordering In Marine Fisheries, 8 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 9 (2002). Reprinted with permission.

Arruñada, Benito, *Property Enforcement as Organized Consent*, Journal of Law, Economics & Organization (2003), Vol. 19, pp. 401-44 by permission of Oxford University Press.

Baird, Douglas, and Thomas Jackson, *Information, Uncertainty and the Transfer of Property*, 13 Journal of Legal Studies 299 (1984). Copyright 1984 by The University of Chicago. All rights reserved.

Gerry W. Beyer, 19A West's Legal Forms, Real Estate Transactions, Residential § 48.3 (3d ed. 2002), West Publishing Co. Reprinted with permission.

Calabresi, Guido, and A. Douglas Melamed, *Property Rules, Liability Rules, and Inalienability: One View of the Cathedral*, 85 Harvard Law Review 1089 (1972). Reprinted by permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and William S. Hein Company for *The Harvard Law Review*, Vol. 85, pages 1089, 1090, 1092, and 1093.

Chafee, Jr., Zechariah, and Sidney Post Simpson, Cases on Equity, Jurisdiction and Specific Performance (1934), pages 704-06, 710-11. West Publishing Co. Reprinted with permission.

Coase, Ronald, *The Federal Communications Commission*, 2 Journal of Law & Economics 1 (1959). Copyright © 1959 by the University of Chicago. All rights reserved.

Coase, Ronald, *The Problem of Social Cost*, 3 Journal of Law & Economics 1 (1960). Copyright © 1960 by the University of Chicago. All rights reserved.

Craswell, Richard, Passing on the Costs of Legal Rules: Efficiency and Distribution in Buyer-Seller Relationships, 43 Stanford Law Review 361 (1991), pages 378-79. Reprinted with permission.

Dagan, Hanoch, *Property and the Public Domain*, 18 Yale Journal of Law & the Humanities 84 (2006) (Special Issue). Reprinted by permission of *The Yale Journal of Law & the Humanities*, Vol.18, pages 84-86, 87, 92-93.

Demsetz, Harold, *Toward a Theory of Property Rights*, 57 American Economic Review 347-48, 350-58 (1967) (Papers & Proceedings). The American Economic Review. Reprinted with permission.

Eggertsson, Thráinn, Open Access versus Common Property, in Terry L. Anderson and Fred S. McChesney, Property Rights: Cooperation, Conflict, and Law (2003), pages 74-82, 84-85. Princeton University Press. Reprinted with permission.

Ellickson, Robert, *Property in Land*, 102 Yale Law Journal 1315 (1993). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William C. Hein Company from *The Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 102, pages 1315-1400.

Grey, Tom, *The Disintegration of Property*, NYU Press. Copyright 1980 by New York University. Reprinted with the permission of New York University Press.

Haar, Charles M., and Lance Liebman, *Property and Law* (1985), Little Brown & Co. Reprinted with permission.

Hansmann, Henry, Condominium and Cooperative Housing: Transactional Efficiency, Tax Subsidies, and Tenure Choice, 20 Journal of Legal Studies 25 (1991). Copyright 1991 by The University of Chicago. All rights reserved.

LaFave, Wayne R., Criminal Law, West Publishing Co. Reprinted with permission.

Landes, William M., and Richard A. Posner, *Indefinitely Renewable Copy*right, 70 University of Chicago Law Review 471 (2003). Reprinted with permission. Leach, W. Barton, and James K. Logan, *Perpetuities: A Standard Saving Clause to Avoid Violations of the Rule*, 74 Harvard Law Review 1141 (1961). Reprinted by permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and William S. Hein Company from *The Harvard Law Review*, Vol. 74, pages 1141-42.

Lee, Thomas R., Stare Decisions in Historical Perspective, 52 Vanderbilt Law Review 645 (1999). Reprinted with permission.

Lessig, Lawrence, *Free Culture*, Penguin Books. "Law: Duration," from FREE CULTURE by Lawrence Lessig, copyright © 2004 by Lawrence Lessig. Used by permission of The Penguin Press, a division of Penguin Group (USA) Inc.

Libecap, Gary, Contracting for Property Rights, © Cambridge University Press 1989. Reprinted with the permission of Cambridge University Press.

Penner, J.E., *The Idea of Property* (1997), Oxford University Press. Reprinted with permission.

Radin, Margaret, *Property and Personhood*, 34 Stanford Law Review 957 (1982). Reprinted with permission.

Radin, Margaret Jane, *Market-Inalienability*, 100 Harvard Law Review 1849 (1987), pages 1849, 1909-10, 1912-13, 1915-17. Reprinted with permission.

Rose, Carol, *The Comedy of the Commons: Custom, Commerce, and Inherently Public Property*, 53 University of Chicago Law Review 711 (1986). Reprinted with permission.

Rose, Carol, *Crystals and Mud in Property Law*, 40 Stanford Law Review 577 (1988). Reprinted with permission.

Rose, Carol, Energy and Efficiency in the Realignment of Common-Law Water Rights, 19 Journal of Legal Studies 261 (1990). Reprinted with permission.

Skilton, Robert, *Developments in Mortgage Law*, 17 Temple Law Review (1943). Reproduced with the permission of *Temple Law Review*, Vol. 17 © 1943 Temple University of the Commonwealth System of Higher Education.

Sugden, Robert, *The Economics of Rights, Co-operation and Welfare* (2d ed. 2004), Palgrave Macmillan. Reprinted with permission.

Wyman, Katrina, From Fur to Fish, 80 New York University Law Review 117 (2005). Reprinted with permission.

Illustrations

An Alaskan Fishing Boat, National Geographic photograph courtesy of Getty Images.

Bertaud, Alain, and Bertrand Renaud, graph, Socialist Cities without Land Markets, 41 Journal of Urban Economics 137, 141 (1997).

The Brokaw Mansion, 1 East 79th Street, New York City, photograph, *The Irving Brokaw Mansion Fifth Avenue & 79th Street*. Glass Plate Negative. Undated. Museum of the City of New York. The Underhill Collection. B.1642

The Chrisman Sisters in Front of Lizzie Chrisman's Homestead, Custer County, Nebraska, 1886, photograph, Courtesy Nebraska State Historical Society Photograph Collection.

Clifton Terrace, Washington, D.C., photograph, Courtesy Richard Chused.

Craswell, Richard, Passing on the Costs of Legal Rules: Efficiency and Distribution in Buyer-Seller Relationships, 43 Stanford Law Review 361 (1991), page 378. Figure 5—Low- and high-valuing marginal customers. Reprinted with permission.

Eads and Nelson's Steam Wreck Boat Sub-Marine No. 7, 1858, photograph, Courtesy Missouri Historical Society, St. Louis.

The Empire Mine Today, Courtesy Cleveland-Cliffs Corporation.

The Fontainebleau and Eden Roc Hotels, Miami Beach, Florida (2 images), Courtesy The Bramson Archive, Miami, FL. The top image shows the hotels before the wall, the bottom image after its construction.

Great Onyx Cave, Postcard published by the Great Onyx Cave Hotel (L.P. Edwards, Proprietor). Courtesy Kentucky Library, Western Kentucky University.

Gwernhaylod House, Overton: West Elevation, 1956, photograph, © Crown copyright: Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Wales.

Hamidi, left, and a supporter delivering a message to Intel employees on a floppy disk after the Superior Court had enjoined his emails, photograph, Don Preisler/New York Times.

A Holdout, photograph, © 2006, The Washington Post. Photo by Bill O'Leary. Reprinted with Permission.

House in *Shelley v. Kraemer* with Commemorative Marker, Saint Louis Post-Dispatch.

The Howard County Hunt Club, photograph, Courtesy Howard County Historical Society.

Jenkins, Elliott and Thorne Donnelley, Founders of WDAP, Forerunner of WGN, photograph, Reprinted with permission from WGN Radio, Chicago, Illinois.

Kelo, Susette in Front of Her House, Photo by Isaac Reese, 2004 © Institute for Justice.

The Kelo House and Its Surroundings, Photograph by Tim Martin. Courtesy The Day Publishing Co., New London, Connecticut.

Klamath Falls City Library, ca. 1960, Courtesy Klamath County Museums.

Lakeside Village, Culver City, California, Courtesy Jack and Ginny Sher.

Lucas's Two Lots, November 1994, Courtesy William A. Fischel, Department of Economics, Dartmouth College.

Map of the Area Involved in Lucas, Douglas R. Porter, The *Lucas* Case, Urban Land, Sept. 1992, at 27, 29.

Map of Boston and Environs, *Source*: Octavius Pickering, Case of the proprietors of Charles River bridge against the proprietors of Warren bridge: argued and determined in the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, 54 & plate (Boston, Hilliard, Gray, Little, and Wilkins 1830). Courtesy of Special Collections Department, Harvard Law School Library.

Map of Land Claims in *Johnson v. M'Intosh*, From the Law and History Review. Copyright 2001 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Used with permission of the University of Illinois Press.

Map of Land at Issue in *Delfino v. Vealencis*, Manel Baucells and Steven A. Lippman, Justice Delayed is Justice Denied: A Cooperative Game Theoretic Analysis of Hold-Up in Co-Ownership, 22 Cardozo L. Rev. 1191, 1222 (2001).

Mayor Ed J. Howard, Ann E. Hodges, and Chief W.D. Ashcraft, the afternoon of the fall, Courtesy Alabama Museum of Natural History, The University of Alabama.

The Mexican, Marischal Murray, Ships and South Africa (1933).

The Moakley Mural and the Grace Bible Church, East Bridgewater, Massachusetts, photograph by Craig Murray. Courtesy Craig Murray.

The Mobile Home at Its Final Resting Place after Being Dragged across the Jacques' Field, photograph, Courtesy Patrick A. Dewane, Jr., attorney for Harvey and Lois Jacque.

Myatt, John in His Studio, Photo: Jean-Philippe Defaut/The New York Times/Redux.

Nowlin Jewelry Website, *Source*: http://www.nowlinjewelry.com/body-pageintro2.htm Courtesy John Nowlin.

One of the Former Lucas Lots after Construction, March 2000, Courtesy William A. Fischel, Department of Economics, Dartmouth College.

Organ Donor Card, Courtesy New York Organ Donor Network.

Pennsylvania Railroad Station, New York City, Museum of the City of New York.

PETA Bumper Sticker, Courtesy PETA.

Plaque and Bicycle Path at the Site Involved in *Dolan v. City of Tigard*, Courtesy Dan Dolan.

Professor Longhair, 1977, photograph by Leni Sinclair. Courtesy Leni Sinclair.

Rothko, Mark in his 69th Street Studio, Photograph by Hans Namuth. Courtesy Center for Creative Photography, University of Arizona ©1991 Hans Namuth Estate.

Sanborn Fire Insurance Map of Area in Sanborn v. McLean, Source: 9 Sanborn Map Co., Insurance Maps of Detroit, Michigan, No. 12 (1925).

The St. Vrain Creek, photograph by Susan Thomas, Courtesy Susan Thomas.

Timetable for Pacific Air Transport, February 1, 1928, photograph, From the Collection of Craig Morris.

Title Page of the First of the Bamford Manuscripts, Courtesy Princeton University Library.

A View of Navy Pier from the Shoreline in Chicago, photograph, David Bjorgen. This image is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike License v. 2.5: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.5/

Zoning of Properties near the Ambler Realty Tract, Timothy Alan Fluck, *Euclid v. Ambler*: A Retrospective, 52 J. Am. Planning Ass'n 326, Map 2 (Summer 1986).

*

TABLE OF CASES

Principal cases are in bold type. Non-principal cases are in roman type. References are to Pages.

- Abercrombie & Fitch Co. v. Hunting World, Inc., 537 F.2d 4 (2nd Cir.1976), 391
- Abel's Case, Y.B. 18 Edw. 2, f.577 (1324), 632
 Adams v. Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Co., 237
 Mich.App. 51, 602 N.W.2d 215 (Mich.App. 1999), 938
- Agins v. City of Tiburon, 447 U.S. 255, 100 S.Ct. 2138, 65 L.Ed.2d 106 (1980), 1285 Agins v. Tiburon, 157 Cal.Rptr. 372, 598 P.2d 25 (Cal.1979), 1349
- Albert M. Greenfield & Co. v. Kolea, 475 Pa. 351, 380 A.2d 758 (Pa.1977), 694
- Alexander v. Boyer, 253 Md. 511, 253 A.2d 359 (Md.1969), 656
- Allen v. Hyatt Regency-Nashville Hotel, 668 S.W.2d 286 (Tenn.1984), 497
- **Alliance Against IFQs v. Brown,** 84 F.3d 343 (9th Cir.1996), **1127**
- Allison v. Smith, 695 P.2d 791 (Colo.App. 1984), 947
- American Nat. Bank & Trust Co. v. City of Chicago, 209 Ill.App.3d 96, 154 Ill.Dec. 25, 568 N.E.2d 25 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1990), 1007
- Amphitheaters, Inc. v. Portland Meadows, 184 Or. 336, 198 P.2d 847 (Or.1948), 953
- Anderson v. Anderson, 560 N.W.2d 729 (Minn.App.1997), 643 **Anderson v. Gouldberg,** 51 Minn. 294, 53
- N.W. 636 (Minn.1892), **224** Anderson, In re Estate of, 541 So.2d 423
- Anderson, In re Estate of, 541 So.2d 423 (Miss.1989), 618, 630
- Andrus v. Allard, 444 U.S. 51, 100 S.Ct. 318, 62 L.Ed.2d 210 (1979), 1285
- Apfel v. Prudential-Bache Securities Inc., 600 N.Y.S.2d 433, 616 N.E.2d 1095 (N.Y. 1993), 164
- Appleby v. City of New York, 271 U.S. 364, 46 S.Ct. 569, 70 L.Ed. 992 (1926), 323 Armory v. Delamirie, 1 Strange 505
- (K.B.D. 1722), **220** Armstrong v. United States, 364 U.S. 40, 80 S.Ct. 1563, 4 L.Ed.2d 1554 (1960), 1268,
- Aronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co., 440 U.S. 257, 99 S.Ct. 1096, 59 L.Ed.2d 296 (1979),
- Ashby v. White, 92 Eng. Rep. 126 (K.B. 1703), 8
- Associates Commercial Corp. v. Rash, 520 U.S. 953, 117 S.Ct. 1879, 138 L.Ed.2d 148 (1997), 875

- **Attorney General v. Desilets,** 418 Mass. 316, 636 N.E.2d 233 (Mass.1994), **471**
- Aurora Business Park Associates v. Michael Albert, Inc., 548 N.W.2d 153 (Iowa 1996),
- Austin Hill Country Realty, Inc. v. Palisades Plaza, Inc., 948 S.W.2d 293 (Tex.1997), 741
- BAC, Inc. v. Board of Sup'rs of Millcreek Tp., 534 Pa. 381, 633 A.2d 144 (Pa.1993), 1076
- Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U.S. 219, 31 S.Ct. 145, 55 L.Ed. 191 (1911), 243
- Baker v. Howard County Hunt, 171 Md. 159, 188 A. 223 (Md.1936), 42
- Barron v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, 32 U.S. 243, 7 Pet. 243, 8 L.Ed. 672 (1833), 1348
- Barrows v. Jackson, 346 U.S. 249, 73 S.Ct. 1031, 97 L.Ed. 1586 (1953), 463
- Barry v. Barchi, 443 U.S. 55, 99 S.Ct. 2642, 61 L.Ed.2d 365 (1979), 1171
- Barton Enterprises v. Tsern, 928 P.2d 368 (Utah 1996), 729
- Baseball Pub. Co. v. Bruton, 302 Mass. 54, 18 N.E.2d 362 (Mass. 1938), 972
- Becker v. IRM Corp., 213 Cal.Rptr. 213, 698 P.2d 116 (Cal.1985), 731
- Bell v. Burson, 402 U.S. 535, 91 S.Ct. 1586, 29 L.Ed.2d 90 (1971), 1189
- Bell v. Maryland, 378 U.S. 226, 84 S.Ct. 1814, 12 L.Ed.2d 822 (1964), 465
- Bennis v. Michigan, 516 U.S. 442, 116 S.Ct. 994, 134 L.Ed.2d 68 (1996), 1318
- Berg v. Wiley, 264 N.W.2d 145 (Minn.1978), 428
- Berman v. Parker, 348 U.S. 26, 75 S.Ct. 98, 99 L.Ed. 27 (1954), 1222
- Bernardo Villas Management Corp. v. Black, 190 Cal.App.3d 153, 235 Cal.Rptr. 509 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1987), 791
- Betsey v. Turtle Creek Associates, 736 F.2d 983 (4th Cir.1984), 469
- Blackett v. Olanoff, 371 Mass. 714, 358 N.E.2d 817 (Mass.1977), **703**
- Block v. Hirsh, 256 U.S. 135, 41 S.Ct. 458, 65 L.Ed. 865 (1921), 770
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 116 S.Ct. 1589, 134 L.Ed.2d 809 (1996), 9, 1165

- **Board of Regents v. Roth,** 408 U.S. 564, 92 S.Ct. 2701, 33 L.Ed.2d 548 (1972), **1165,** 1321
- Board of Trade of Chicago v. Dow Jones & Co., 98 Ill.2d 109, 74 Ill.Dec. 582, 456 N.E.2d 84 (Ill.1983), 145
- **Bolotin v. Rindge,** 230 Cal.App.2d 741, 41 Cal.Rptr. 376 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1964), **1042**
- Bonnichsen v. United States, 357 F.3d 962 (9th Cir.2004), 291
- Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co., 309 N.Y.S.2d 312, 257 N.E.2d 870 (N.Y.1970), 956
- Borax Consolidated v. Los Angeles, 296 U.S. 10, 56 S.Ct. 23, 80 L.Ed. 9 (1935), 340
- Borland v. Sanders Lead Co., 369 So.2d 523 (Ala.1979), 947
- Bosley Medical Institute v. Kremer, 403 F.3d 672 (9th Cir.2005), 391
- Bove v. Donner-Hanna Coke Corp., 236 A.D. 37, 258 N.Y.S. 229 (N.Y.A.D. 4 Dept. 1932), 964
- Bowen v. Georgetown University Hosp., 488 U.S. 204, 109 S.Ct. 468, 102 L.Ed.2d 493 (1988), 1197
- Bragg v. Weaver, 251 U.S. 57, 40 S.Ct. 62, 64 L.Ed. 135 (1919), 1257
- Bredell v. Kerr, 242 Mo. 317, 147 S.W. 105 (Mo.1912), 555
- Bridge Proprietors v. Hoboken Co., 68 U.S. 116, 17 L.Ed. 571 (1863), 1161
- Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc., 441 U.S. 1, 99 S.Ct. 1551, 60 L.Ed.2d 1 (1979), 1298
- **Broadway Nat. Bank v. Adams,** 133 Mass. 170 (Mass.1882), **804**
- Brokaw v. Fairchild, 135 Misc. 70, 237 N.Y.S. 6 (N.Y.Sup.1929), **596**
- Brookfield Communications, Inc. v. West Coast Entertainment Corp., 174 F.3d 1036 (9th Cir.1999), 392
- Brooks v. Chicago Downs Ass'n, Inc., 791 F.2d 512 (7th Cir.1986), 453
- Broome v. Biondi, 17 F.Supp.2d 211 (S.D.N.Y.1997), 801
- Brown v. Artery Organization, Inc., 654 F.Supp. 1106 (D.D.C.1987), 469
- Brown v. Board of Ed. of Topeka, Shawnee County, Kan., 347 U.S. 483, 74 S.Ct. 686, 98 L.Ed. 873 (1954), 456
- Brown v. Legal Foundation of Washington, 538 U.S. 216, 123 S.Ct. 1406, 155 L.Ed.2d 376 (2003), 1333
- Brown v. Southall Realty Co., 237 A.2d 834 (D.C.App.1968), 728
- Brown v. Voss, 105 Wash.2d 366, 715 P.2d 514 (Wash.1986), 1013
- Brulotte v. Thys Co., 379 U.S. 29, 85 S.Ct. 176, 13 L.Ed.2d 99 (1964), 496
- Buchanan v. Warley, 245 U.S. 60, 38 S.Ct. 16, 62 L.Ed. 149 (1917), 1050
- Bystricky v. Bystricky, 177 Misc.2d 914, 677 N.Y.S.2d 443 (N.Y.Sup.1998), 673

- Calder v. Bull, 3 U.S. 386, 3 Dall. 386, 1 L.Ed. 648 (1798), 1194
- Canova v. Shell Pipeline Co., 290 F.3d 753 (5th Cir.2002), 1220
- Carpenter v. Ruperto, 315 N.W.2d 782 (Iowa 1982), 203
- Carrington v. Taylor, 11 East 571, 103 Eng. Rep. 1126 (K.B. 1809), 95
- Carroll v. Hurst, 103 Ill.App.3d 984, 59 Ill. Dec. 587, 431 N.E.2d 1344 (Ill.App. 4 Dist. 1982), 947
- Carruth v. Easterling, 247 Miss. 364, 150 So.2d 852 (Miss.1963), 165
- Carter v. Helmsley-Spear, Inc., 71 F.3d 77 (2nd Cir.1995), 284
- Casino Reinvestment Development Authority v. Banin, 320 N.J.Super. 342, 727 A.2d 102 (N.J.Super.L.1998), 1223
- Castle Rock, Colo., Town of v. Gonzales, 545 U.S. 748, 125 S.Ct. 2796, 162 L.Ed.2d 658 (2005), 1174
- Causby, United States v., 328 U.S. 256, 66 S.Ct. 1062, 90 L.Ed. 1206 (1946), 15, 313
- Central Delaware County Authority v. Greyhound Corp., 527 Pa. 47, 588 A.2d 485 (Pa.1991), 630
- Certain Scholarship Funds, In re, 133 N.H. 227, 575 A.2d 1325 (N.H.1990), 826
- Charles E. Burt, Inc. v. Seven Grand Corp., 340 Mass. 124, 163 N.E.2d 4 (Mass.1959), 706
- Charles River Bridge v. Warren Bridge, 36 U.S. 420, 11 Pet. 420, 9 L.Ed. 773 (1837), **1144**
- Chergosky v. Crosstown Bell, Inc., 463 N.W.2d 522 (Minn.1990), 923
- Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma, United States v., 480 U.S. 700, 107 S.Ct. 1487, 94 L.Ed.2d 704 (1987), 313, 1318
- Chicago Bd. of Realtors v. City of Chicago, 819 F.2d 732 (7th Cir.1987), 772
- Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R.R. Co. v. Chicago, 166 U.S. 226, 17 S.Ct. 581, 41 L.Ed. 979 (1897), 1220, 1349
- Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520, 113 S.Ct. 2217, 124 L.Ed.2d 472 (1993), 1083
- City of (see name of city)
- Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3, 3 S.Ct. 18, 27 L.Ed. 835 (1883), 447
- Clark v. Maloney, 3 Del. 68 (Del.Super.1840), 222
- **Clow v. Woods,** 9 Am.Dec. 346, 5 Serg. & Rawle 275 (Pa.1819), **834**
- Coffee v. William Marsh Rice University, 408 S.W.2d 269 (Tex.Civ.App.-Houston 1966), 826
- Coffin v. Left Hand Ditch Co., 6 Colo. 443 (Colo.1882), **355**
- Coggs v. Bernard, 2 Ld. Raym. 909 (1704), 505
- College Sav. Bank v. Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Education, 527 U.S. 666, 119 S.Ct. 2219, 144 L.Ed.2d 605 (1999), 1190, 1323