

ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

GARDNER
CHARLES

ELECTION LAW
in the American Political System



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Election Law

in the American Political System

James A. Gardner

SUNY Distinguished Professor
Joseph W. Belluck and Laura L. Aswad
Professor of Civil Justice
SUNY-Buffalo Law School

Guy-Uriel Charles

Charles S. Rhyne Professor of Law
Duke Law School



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Copyright © 2012 CCH Incorporated.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-4548-0714-8

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gardner, James A., 1959-
Election law in the American political system / James A. Gardner, Guy-Uriel Charles.
p. cm.
Includes index.
ISBN 978-1-4548-0714-8
1. Election law — United States. I. Charles, Guy-Uriel E., 1970- II. Title.
KF4886.G37 2012
342.73'07 — dc23

2012030612



SUSTAINABLE
FORESTRY
INITIATIVE

Certified Sourcing
www.sfiprogram.org
SFI-01234

SFI label applies to the text stock

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, Best Case, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

CCH products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Best Case Solutions is the leading bankruptcy software product to the bankruptcy industry. It provides software and workflow tools to flawlessly streamline petition preparation and the electronic filing process, while timely incorporating ever-changing court requirements.

ftwilliam.com offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

MediRegs products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

Preface

Democracy does not implement itself. Offices do not spontaneously appear, along with election districts, political parties, polling places, ballots, and administrators. Eligible voters and qualified candidates do not sprout from the ground like mushrooms. A society's commitment to govern itself democratically can be effectuated only through law.

This simple observation is the starting point for our approach to the field of election law for, notwithstanding its banality, it yields several significant insights. First, it demonstrates why the study of law is important to any contemplation of democracy and democratic politics. Democracy does not take place upon some featureless plain of political contestation. To the contrary, it exists only upon landscapes that have been deeply structured by legal rules. Since in a democracy these rules themselves ultimately bear a democratic provenance, a people that aspires to self-rule cannot merely command itself to have democracy; it must also specify for itself the institutions within which the aspiration to democratic self-rule is to be fulfilled. Law and democracy are thus inseparable, and one cannot understand the latter without paying attention to the former. This linkage provides an important reason to study election law, the body of law that structures democracy.

Second, a recognition of the place of law in structuring democracy also helps dispel a common misconception about politics. Politics is often portrayed in the popular media, and even in many professional and academic settings, as a kind of raw struggle for power in which political outcomes are settled by the tactical moves and counter-moves of immediately interested political actors. This view is not entirely inaccurate, but it must be qualified significantly in light of the role that law plays in structuring political contestation. No aspect of democratic politics is unconstrained to some degree by law. There is no arena in which raw power struggles against raw power in an unmediated contest for political ascendancy. Rather, legal rules and legally created institutions powerfully influence the way in which political contestation occurs. Because law establishes the ground rules for success, political actors who wish to be effective must therefore act in the political arena in ways that help them succeed under the particular set of legal rules and constraints that happen to control. Law thus shapes political behavior.

Third, law also shapes the relationships among various democratic actors and institutions. Institutions—legislatures, courts, election officials, political parties, for-profit corporations, public interest groups, and the like—operationalize democratic

practices. They offer competing visions of democracy. It is law that settles the boundary disputes among these institutions. Law directs their roles; it facilitates or hinders their effectiveness. A study of election law is also a study of the rules that govern the interaction of various democratic actors and institutions.

Finally, once it is acknowledged that democracy cannot exist without law, it becomes immediately clear that a society's effort to implement its commitment to democratic self-rule requires it to make significant choices. There is no single way to "do" democracy; societies that wish to rule themselves democratically may choose from among many possible institutions, ground rules, and regulatory regimes. This proposition has an important corollary: If we as Americans do not have the democracy or the democratic politics to which we aspire, it is fair to ask whether our choice of laws and legal institutions might bear some responsibility, and whether altering the law might conduce to better and more desirable outcomes.

Acknowledgment of these simple truths, we believe, casts election law in its appropriate role as neither more nor less than the handmaiden of well-articulated democratic ideals. It reminds us that laws structuring the democratic process are not features of the natural world, to be unquestioningly accepted or venerated, but on the contrary embody a series of contingent choices made by a succession of human beings for a variety of purposes. As we conceive it, election law as a discipline thus bridges the gap between our aspirations for, and the frequently messy reality of, our political lives. It is the middle term in an equation that specifies whether and to what extent we realize perhaps the most significant dream of contemporary human beings: to live well under a just and lasting democracy. That is why we love the field, and we hope our particular brand of affection comes through in these materials.

General approach of the book

These basic premises have fundamentally shaped our approach to the field of election law, and to the design and structure of this book. Because the study of election law, as we understand the subject, requires contemplation of the choices that our laws have made in seeking to structure a workable system of democratic self-rule, it is necessary for students of the subject to have the tools they need to identify, understand, and evaluate those choices. For that reason, we have committed ourselves in this book to embedding the field of election law in a broader context that reveals its connection to two fields with which it is very closely allied: democratic theory and empirical political science.

Democratic theory provides at the front end an account (actually numerous competing accounts) of what democracy is, the benefits it is capable of providing, and the forms in which those benefits may be delivered. At the back end, empirical political science provides tools that, in the right circumstances, may be used to test the extent to which some particular legal regime or institution actually achieves the goals it was created to accomplish. Indeed, if this book has a single aim, it is primarily to help ameliorate the frequently underdeveloped ability of legal actors to identify, articulate, and evaluate the assumptions upon which they base their political opinions and actions. It does so by providing students with a vocabulary and a stock of concepts

drawn from democratic theory and empirical political science, and by setting the legal doctrine at every opportunity in its theoretical and practical contexts.

We also begin from the assumption that somewhere between none and virtually none of our students will actually practice election law. Notwithstanding the recent growth in election-related litigation, few practicing lawyers will ever have a candidate or political party for a client, or will ever advise or represent a voter challenging some electoral law or a government defending one. This assumption has two important consequences for the shape of the course. First, it permits us throughout the book to focus on the most general (and also, in our view, the most interesting) aspects of the field. Second, it means that the function of the course in the law school curriculum, in our view, is less to prepare students for the actual field of practice they will enter than it is to build a more general kind of legal and democratic citizenship. Our main goal in presenting the material is to help our students become savvy consumers and users — and informed judges and critics — of democratic laws and practices.

In this sense, our approach to Election Law is not all that different from our approach to the basic course in Constitutional Law, which both of us also teach. Few lawyers will ever come within sniffing distance of a constitutional issue. For all but a very few, the course serves instead as a building block of general legal citizenship by educating lawyers in the larger structures of their universe and inculcating the values of sound legal citizenship. Indeed, Election Law is in most respects essentially an advanced, very challenging, upper-level course in Constitutional Law. Although some significant subfields, such as the Voting Rights Act, revolve around statutory issues, most of the recurring problems in the field — franchise restrictions, redistricting, ballot access, candidacy requirements, and the regulation of political parties, campaign speech, and campaign finance — all involve issues of constitutional law. The complexity of these issues and the controlling judicial analyses is what makes the field so endlessly challenging and stimulating.

Specific choices

Every casebook author faces a fundamental choice: include more cases, aggressively edited, or include fewer cases, more lightly edited. We have opted for the latter, and in consequence many of the cases in the book (as well as some of the non-case readings) are on the longer side. We have done this because we believe that this approach, on balance, provides (1) a more realistic picture of the state of advocacy and judicial analysis in the field; (2) a richer and more sophisticated environment for exploring the details of arguments and analyses that have been made and accepted or rejected, and in consequence the kinds of considerations to which courts are sensitive; and (3) a better and more complete overall comprehension for students, whose attention is necessarily focused on working intensively through a small number of rich analyses instead of being spread over many cases that have been edited down to sometimes misleadingly superficial distillations.


Consistent with a preference for fewer but longer cases, we also have chosen to include a good deal of original, direct exposition where, in our view, that provides a better and more efficient vehicle of instruction than reading cases. Casebook authors

sometimes seem to believe that because a work is denominated a “casebook” it must therefore contain essentially nothing but cases. Sometimes this leads authors to accomplish what are essentially expository or narrative tasks by excerpting from cases. We think that tactic is unproductive. Where a good deal of information must be conveyed compactly, we have simply written it up ourselves. If this means giving brief descriptions of cases in lieu of providing the cases themselves, we have done so.

Consistent with our aims, the book also contains a wider variety of reading materials than is found in most casebooks. Although most of the contents of the book consist of traditional, core legal materials such as cases and statutes, we have included readings drawn from political philosophy, democratic theory, political science, and history, as well as the standard commentary from secondary legal sources. Some of this material is presented in the form of featured readings; much is presented in a more condensed form in order to present a large amount of information as compactly and efficiently as possible.

This brings us to the role of these non-case materials in the pedagogical structure of the book, and the course it is designed to support. Even where such materials are presented in a condensed or highly excerpted format between cases, we most emphatically do *not* think of them as “notes” in the sense in which the term is generally used. Often the notes in the standard legal casebook read like a series of unrelated observations or afterthoughts, stuffed into the spaces between cases, which in such books are often presented implicitly as the only material worth focusing on, for students and professors.

In contrast, we do not understand the teaching of election law to consist of the teaching of cases “enriched by” “note materials.” Insights gleaned from democratic theory, empirical political science, historical accounts, and even descriptions of developments following judicial decisions are *part* of the course. We think of the book as containing instructional material of many types, requiring different kinds of teaching, as appropriate. That said, it is certainly possible for an instructor to teach only the cases, or to teach the material as though it were a collection of cases strung together with some awfully weird connective tissue, but we think that would be a mistake.

To this end, we have distinguished our non-case material from standard casebook notes in two ways. First, we’ve used this symbol  to indicate the introduction of a significant concept, whatever its topic and whatever its source. Second, we have generally given these interpolations subject headings so that students know what’s coming, why they should be reading it, and how to approach it. In this way, we hope to defend against what we believe to be the predisposition of some upper-level law students to treat numbered notes as a random assembly of afterthoughts that can safely be ignored.

Organization

The book begins with some introductory material exploring several overarching themes. This material is designed to set the stage, and to introduce a vocabulary and a stock of concepts that can then be invoked as the course progresses. The introductory subjects include democratic theory; the sometimes distinctly anti-democratic, republican structure of government under the U.S. Constitution; the prominent and

recurring problem of race in the field of election law; and the unusual distribution of power to regulate elections under the federal structure of U.S. government.

From there, the book is organized according to a very simple conceptual heuristic. It begins at the place where all contemplation of politics must necessarily begin: with the political subject, the citizen and voter, the one who is democratically represented. It then goes on to consider the nature and legal significance of political representation; turns its attention to the official and candidate — the one doing or offering to do the representation; and then proceeds to examine three institutions that play a hugely important role in the way that the representation relationship is established: political parties, campaigns, and electoral administration. To put this progression in the terminology of legal doctrine, the substantive coverage begins with a thorough examination of the right to vote and the bases on which it may be restricted. It then examines the constitutional framework governing representation of voters in legislative bodies. Coverage includes the nature and basis of political representation, how the law defines the community represented, the problem of virtual representation, the many questions associated with drawing legislative districts, and constitutional treatment of discrimination in representation through racial or partisan manipulation of the methods of election or the boundaries of election districts. Before leaving representation, the book devotes significant coverage to federal statutory regulation of the representation relationship in the form of the Voting Rights Act.

From there, the book moves on to consider the legal treatment of candidates for office, including the establishment of qualifications for and conditions upon the holding of elected office. This section also devotes attention to the unusual nature of candidates for judicial office, and the special rules that apply to judicial elections. Coverage moves next to the party system, including principles of ballot access, the constitutional status of the two-party system, regulation of primary elections, and political patronage. It then proceeds to consider election campaigns in chapters covering constitutional treatment of the regulation of campaign speech — a feature we believe is unique to this text — and issues relating to campaign finance. The book concludes with a chapter devoted to the newly emerged topic of election administration, which it considers alongside the body of law governing remedies for electoral mistakes and maladministration.

James A. Gardner
Guy-Uriel Charles

August 2012

Acknowledgments

No project of this magnitude can be brought off without the contributions and assistance of many people. For able research assistance, we are indebted to Jenn Bandy, Briana Brake, Michele Huang, Lynn King, Laura Pisoni, Scott Ptak, and Nick Proukou. Tim Conti provided valuable administrative support. We profited greatly from research undertaken in Jim Gardner's seminar in Campaign Speech and Finance by Amanda Dermady, Mary Saitta, and Kyle D. Taylor. Lisa Mueller provided outstanding help in designing diagrams. Luis Fuentes-Rohwer, Heather Gerken, and several anonymous reviewers provided valuable feedback on the manuscript. Most of all, we are indebted to Sue Martin for diligent and constant assistance in preparing and updating the manuscript over many years, and especially during the push toward publication, as well as for her management of the process of obtaining copyright permissions.

On the subject of such permissions, we are grateful to the following for permission to reprint excerpts of their work:

- Abrams, Kathryn. "Raising Politics Up": Minority Political Participation and Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 63 NYU L. Rev. 449. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Aldrich, John and David W. Rohde. Member Ideology Charts: The Logic of Conditional Party Government in Congress Reconsidered by Lawrence C. Dodd; C. Oppenheimer. Copyright © 2001 by CQ Press. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Bailyn, Bernard. *The Ideological Origins of the American Revolution*. Copyright © 1967, 1992 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher, The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Baker, Jean H. "The Ceremonies of Politics: Nineteenth-Century Rituals of National Affirmation." In William J. Cooper, Jr., Michael F. Holt, John McCardell, eds. *A Master's Due: Essays in Honor of David Herbert Donald*. Copyright © 1985 Louisiana State University Press. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Barber, Benjamin R. *Strong Democracy: Participatory Politics for a New Age*. Copyright © 1984 by University of California Press Books. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Behr, Roy L., Edward H. Lazarus and Steven J. Rosenstone. *Third Parties in America: Citizen Response to Major Party Failure*. Copyright © 1984 by Princeton

- University Press, 1996 revised and expanded edition. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Bender, Thomas. *Community and Social Change in America*. Copyright © 1978 by Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Bensel, Richard. *The American Ballot Box in the Mid-Nineteenth Century*. Copyright © Richard Franklin Bensel 2004. Reprinted with the permission of Cambridge University Press.
- Branch, Taylor. Reprinted with the permission of Free Press, a Division of Simon & Schuster, Inc., from *Parting the Waters: America in the King Years, 1954-1963* by Taylor Branch. Copyright ©1988 by Taylor Branch. All rights reserved.
- Cain, Bruce. *The Reapportionment Puzzle*. Copyright © 1984 by the University of California Press. Reprinted with the permission of Bruce Cain.
- Charles, Guy-Uriel. *Understanding Citizens United*. Working paper reprinted with the permission of Guy-Uriel Charles.
- Cohen, Joshua. *Citizens United v. Democracy?* Working paper reprinted with the permission of Joshua Cohen.
- _____. "Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy," in *The Good Polity: Normative Analysis of the State*, eds. Alan Hamlin and Phillip Petit. Copyright © 1989 by Wiley-Blackwell. Reprinted with the permission of Wiley-Blackwell.
- Downs, Anthony. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*, 1st edition, © 1965. Adapted by permission of Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- Dworkin, Ronald. *The Decision that Threatens Democracy*. Copyright © 2010 by Ronald Dworkin. Reprinted with the permission of The New York Review of Books.
- Etcheson, Nicole. *Bleeding Kansas: Contested Liberty in the Civil War Era*. Copyright © 2006 by University Press of Kansas. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Hasen, Richard L. *Beyond the Margin of Litigation: Reforming U.S. Election Administration to Avoid Electoral Meltdown*, 62 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 937, 974, 977, 978, 983, 984, 986 (2005).
- Huckfeldt, Robert and John Sprague. *Citizens, Politics and Social Communication*. Copyright © 1995 Cambridge University Press. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Keyssar, Alexander. *The Right to Vote: The Contested History of Democracy in the United States*. Copyright © 2009 by Alexander Keyssar. Reprinted with the permission of Basic Books, a member of the Perseus Books Group.
- Leonard, Gerald. *The Invention of Party Politics: Federalism, Popular Sovereignty, and Constitutional Development in Jacksonian Illinois*. Copyright © 2002 by the University of North Carolina Press. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.
- Phillips, Anne. *The Politics of Presence*. Copyright © 1998 by Oxford University Press. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher.

- Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel. *The Concept of Representation*. Copyright © 1972 by University of California Press. Reprinted with the permission of the author (electronic version) and the publisher (print version).
- Schudson, Michael. Reprinted with the permission of Simon & Schuster, Inc., from *The Good Citizen: A History of American Civic Life* by Michael Schudson. Copyright © 1998 by Michael Schudson. All rights reserved.
- Shklar, Judith. *American Citizenship: The Quest for Inclusion*. Copyright © 1991 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted with the permission of the publisher, Harvard University Press.
- Swain, Carol M. *Black Faces, Black Interests: The Representation of African Americans in Congress*. Copyright © 2006 by Carol M. Swain. Reprinted with the permission of the University Press of America.
- Wiebe, Robert. *The Search for Order, 1877-1920*. Excerpt from "Revolution in Values." Copyright © 1967 by Robert H. Wiebe. Reprinted by permission of Hill and Wang, a division of Farrar, Straus and Giroux, LLC (print) and author (electronic).

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxix</i>

chapter 1

Democracy: Theoretical Frameworks	1
Michael Schudson, <i>The Good Citizen</i> (1998)	1
Richard Bense, <i>The American Ballot Box in the Mid-Nineteenth Century</i> (2004)	2
A. Introduction: Why Democracy?	4
Plato, <i>The Republic</i>	5
B. Theories of Democracy	8
1. Protective Democracy	8
John Locke, <i>Second Treatise of Government</i> (1690)	9
The Historical Influence of Protective Democracy	11
2. Developmental Democracy	12
John Stuart Mill, <i>Considerations on Representative Government</i> , ch. III (1861)	12
Benjamin Barber, <i>Strong Democracy</i> (1984)	14
3. Pluralist Democracy	15
Anthony Downs, <i>An Economic Theory of Democracy</i> (1957)	16
The Deliberative Democracy Backlash	18
4. Democracy as a Social Practice	20
Democratic Participation as Social Inclusion	20
Walt Whitman, <i>Election Day, November, 1884</i>	20
Walt Whitman, <i>By Blue Ontario's Shore</i>	21
Judith Shklar, <i>American Citizenship</i> (1991)	21
Social Influences on Democratic Practices	22
Jean H. Baker, <i>The Ceremonies of Politics: Nineteenth-Century Rituals of National Affirmation</i> (1985)	22

	Robert Huckfeldt and John Sprague, Citizens, Politics, and Social Communication (1995)	24
C.	Collective Decision Making: The Problem of Majority Rule	26
1.	What Justifies Majority Rule?	26
	John Locke, The Second Treatise of Government (1690)	26
	Jean-Jacques Rousseau, The Social Contract (1762)	27
	The Sociology of Majority Rule	28
	Pluralist Justifications for Majority Rule	29
	Condorcet's Jury Theorem	30
2.	What Counts as Majority Rule?	30
	Scope of the Franchise	30
	Majority of What Kinds of Votes?	31
	Plurality Decision Making	31
	Low Voter Turnout	32
	Rational Non-Participation?	33
	A Duty to Vote?	34
	Which Majority? Cycling and Indeterminacy Problem	34

chapter 2

	Introduction to the Constitutional Structure of American Democracy	37
A.	The Republican Form	37
1.	The Forms of Government	37
2.	The Roots of Republicanism	40
	Edmund Burke, Speech to the Electors of Bristol (1774)	40
	The Right to Instruct Representatives in America	41
	The Federalist, No. 10 (Madison) (1787)	43
	The Decline of Republican Ideology	47
	Contemporary Political Behavior in the United States	49
	Angus Campbell et al., The American Voter (1960)	49
	Rehabilitating Voter Competence	51
	Rational Ignorance?	52
	Anthony Downs, An Economic Theory of Democracy (1957)	53
	Rational Irrationality?	54
3.	Republicanism and the Constitutional Structure	54
	The Federalist, No. 39 (Madison) (1788)	55
	The Republican Presidency	56
	The Federalist, No. 68 (Hamilton) (1788)	57
	The Transformation of the Electoral College	58
	Ray v. Blair	59
	The Republican Senate	61
	Republicanism on the Ropes? The National Popular Vote Plan	62

B.	The Problem of Race	63
	Racial Subordination and Democratic Theory	63
	The Three-Fifths Clause	64
	Garry Wills, <i>The Negro President</i> (2003)	65
	The Reconstruction Amendments	67
	<i>Guinn v. United States</i>	68
	<i>Lane v. Wilson</i>	70
	Disfranchisement and the Civil Rights Movement	73
	Taylor Branch, <i>Parting the Waters: America in the King Years, 1954-63</i> (1988)	73
C.	Introduction to the Distribution of Regulatory Power over Democratic Processes	80
1.	The Common Law	80
	<i>Commonwealth v. Hoxey</i>	80
2.	The Guarantee Clause	82
	Alexander Keyssar, <i>The Right to Vote</i> (2000)	82
	<i>Luther v. Borden</i>	86
3.	Federalism	89

chapter 3

The Vote	93
A. Citizenship	93
<i>Minor v. Happersett</i>	95
Alienage and Voting	100
Voting in the District of Columbia and the Territories	102
The Equality of Citizens: Multiple Votes?	103
B. The Right to Vote	105
C. Non-Electoral Appointments to Office	107
<i>Fortson v. Morris</i>	107
Nonelective Local Offices	108
D. Voter Qualifications	108
1. Residency	108
<i>Carrington v. Rash</i>	108
Durational Residency Requirements	111
Durational Residency Requirements in Presidential Elections	113
Recent Developments in the Right to Interstate Travel	113
Students as State Residents	114
Citizenship and Residency	114
Multiple Residency and Voting Eligibility	115
Voting by Nonresidents	116
2. Literacy	117
<i>Lassiter v. Northampton County Board of Elections</i>	117
Discriminatory Administration of Literacy Tests	119

	<i>United States v. Louisiana</i>	119
	<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	121
	Congressional Extension of the Literacy Test Ban	125
	The Vitality of <i>Morgan</i>	126
	Disenfranchisement for Mental Disability	127
3.	Age	127
4.	Wealth	129
	Property Qualifications	129
	Franklin's Position on Property Qualifications	130
	<i>Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections</i>	131
5.	Felony Convictions	134
	<i>Richardson v. Ramirez</i>	134
	Justifications for Felon Disenfranchisement	139
	Felon Disenfranchisement Abroad	139
	<i>Hunter v. Underwood</i>	140
	Felon Disenfranchisement and Race	142
	Felon Disenfranchisement and Partisan Politics	143
	Disenfranchisement for Election Crimes	144
	Restoration of Voting Rights	144

chapter 4

Representation	147
A. Introduction	147
1. Principles of Representation	147
Reciprocity of Representation and Interests?	149
Representation of Minorities	150
Positive Political Theory: Alignment of Preferences and Policy	151
The Institutions of Representation	151
2. Entitlement to Representation	152
<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District No. 15</i>	152
3. Defining the Community Represented	157
<i>Hunter v. City of Pittsburgh</i>	157
<i>Milliken v. Bradley</i>	158
The Significance of Local Government Boundaries	162
4. The Problem of Virtual Representation	163
Bernard Bailyn, The Ideological Origins of the American Revolution (1967)	164
<i>Holt Civic Club v. City of Tuscaloosa</i>	169
B. Apportionment	176
1. The "Political Thicket"	177
2. General Districts	179
Malapportionment of Congress	179
Malapportionment of State Legislatures	182
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>	182

What Is the Harm from Malapportionment?	190
How Equal Is Equal?	191
Local Governments	193
Judicial Elections	194
The Relevant Population Base	194
The Strange Case of Counting Incarcerated Non-Voters	197
Counting Relevant "Persons": The Problem of Minority Undercounts	198
The Remedy: Developing a Redistricting Plan	200
The Judicial Role in Redistricting	201
Redistricting and Local Community	202
3. Nationwide Congressional Apportionment	202
4. Special Districts	204
<i>Salyer Land Co. v. Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District</i>	206
The Variety of Special Districts	213
Voting Equality Abroad	215
C. Discriminatory Manipulation of Representation	216
1. Methods of Election	216
Origins: <i>Whitcomb v. Chavis</i>	218
<i>White v. Regester</i>	219
Disparate Impact vs. Disparate Treatment	221
<i>City of Mobile v. Bolden</i>	221
The Demise of Multimember Districts	233
2. Gerrymandering	233
<i>Gomillion v. Lightfoot</i>	234
<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>	237
Partisan Gerrymandering as a "Self-Limiting Enterprise"	252
Partisan Gerrymander Claims in the Courts	254
The Court Tries Again: <i>Vieth v. Jubilizer</i>	254
The Plot Thickens: One-Person, One-Vote Meets Partisan Gerrymandering	257
Re-Redistricting	258
What Is So Bad About Partisan Gerrymandering?	260
Distortion of Policy	260
Decline in Electoral Competitiveness	261
Lack of Governmental Accountability	261
Lack of Legislator Responsiveness	262
Voter Alienation	263
Legislative Polarization	264
Is One-Person, One-Vote to Blame?	267
The Call for Independent Districting Commissions	267
Public Participation and Transparency as a Solution?	270
Discerning the Popular Will: The Aggregation Problem	270