

INTERNATIONAL LAW

Edited by
Joseph Weiler and Alan T. Nissel

CRITICAL CONCEPTS IN
LAW

INTERNATIONAL LAW

Critical Concepts in Law

*Edited by Joseph Weiler and
Alan T. Nissel*

**Volume VI
International Law AND**



 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

First published 2011
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada
by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2011 Selection and editorial material Joseph Weiler and Alan T. Nissel;
individual owners retain copyright in their own material

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or
utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now
known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in
any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing
from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or
registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation
without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

International law : critical concepts in law / edited by Joseph Weiler and
Alan T. Nissel.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

I. International law. I. Weiler, Joseph, 1951–II. Nissel, Alan T.

KZ3092.I5794 2011

341–dc22

2010051368

ISBN: 978-0-415-40027-5 (Set)

ISBN: 978-0-415-49546-2 (Volume VI)

Typeset in Times New Roman
by Wearset Ltd, Boldon, Tyne and Wear

Publisher's Note

References within each chapter are as they appear in the original complete
work



Printed and bound in Great Britain by
TJI Digital, Padstow, Cornwall

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publishers would like to thank the following for permission to reprint their material:

American Society of International Law for permission to reprint Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin and Shelley Wright, "Feminist Approaches to International Law," *American Journal of International Law* 85, 1991, pp. 613–645.

University of Chicago Law School for permission to reprint Jack L. Goldsmith & Eric A. Posner, "A Theory of Customary International Law," *University of Chicago Law Review* 66(4), 1999, pp. 1113–1177.

American Society of International Law for permission to reprint Theodor Meron, "Shakespeare's Henry the Fifth and the Law of War," *American Journal of International Law* 86, 1992, pp. 1–45.

Harvard University for permission to reprint James Boyle, "Ideals and Things: International Legal Scholarship and the Prison-House of Language," *Harvard International Law Journal* 26, 1985, pp. 327–359.

Cambridge University Press for permission to reprint Richard Tuck, "The 'Modern' Theory of Natural Law," in Anthony Pagden (ed.) *The Languages of Political Theory in Early-Modern Europe* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987), pp. 99–122.

Israel Law Review for permission to reprint Hans Kelsen, "On the Pure Theory of Law," *Israel Law Review* 1, 1966, pp. 1–7.

Cardozo Law Review for permission to reprint Anthony Carty, "Law and the Postmodern Mind: Interwar German Theories of International Law: The Psychoanalytical and Phenomenological Perspectives of Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt," *Cardozo Law Review* 16, 1995, pp. 1235–1292.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Random House Group for permission to reprint Rosalyn Higgins, *Conflict of Interests: International Law in a Divided World* (London: The Bodley Head, 1965), pp. 9–45.

Barry S. Wimpfheimer for permission to reprint Alan Tzvika Nissel, “Equality or Equivalence: A Very Brief Survey of Lex Talionis as a Concept of Justice in the Bible,” in Barry Wimpfheimer (ed.), *Wisdom of Batsheva: Essays in Memory of Dr. Beth Samuels* (Ktav, 2009), pp. 111–145.

Harvard University for permission to reprint David Kennedy, “Book Review: How Nations Behave,” *Harvard International Law Journal* 21(1), 1980, pp. 301–321.

Oxford University Press for permission to reprint Moshe Hirsch, “The Sociology of International Economic Law: Invitation to Study International Rules in their Social Context,” *European Journal of International Law* 19(2), 2008, pp. 891–939.

Disclaimer

The publishers have made every effort to contact authors/copyright holders of works reprinted in *International Law (Critical Concepts in Law)*. This has not been possible in every case, however, and we would welcome correspondence from those individuals/companies whom we have been unable to trace.

PREFACE

The identity, *raison d'être*, the very ontology of a collection dedicated to Critical Concepts in International Law is not self-evident and in some respects is even self-defeating. It cannot be an *ersatz* 'text book' or a 'manual'. Even the most careful selection of articles for inclusion would, necessarily and inevitably, leave gaps and lacunae and lack the systematic coherence of a well-executed manual. And, we are interested in a discussion that goes way beyond even the most sophisticated of text books. It cannot be the academic equivalent of an artistic 'retrospective' at the MOMA or the Beaubourg, where works are included not because of their intrinsic enduring importance, but because of their biographical importance. It cannot be the equivalent of the most ubiquitous of anthologies – poetry – which is purposefully shaped by the subjective artistic sensibility, even idiosyncrasies, of the anthologist. There must be some objective rationality which would have wide appeal and use.

This collection, part of a wide-ranging series on Critical Legal Concepts in Law published by Routledge can be none of the above, but in some ways, to be useful and meaningful, has to have a bit of all of them. Not an easy task – which is made more delicate by two other factors. English – the language of the publisher – is one such factor. *International law* – only English? Is that not, in and of itself, an oxymoron, or some other kind of moronic linguistic conceit? And, finally, there is the personal human element. Scholarship is but the reified expression of the toil, creativity and passion of individuals, flesh and blood. Choosing scholarship is inevitably choosing among individuals – a fraught and thankless task. So there is an inevitable apologia to any such enterprise; it is impossible that our selection will satisfy everyone. It is possible that it will satisfy no one. But we console ourselves that that would be the fate of anyone in our shoes – a structural risk.

In this short introduction, we want to explain how we went about navigating the impossible mission and addressing the various choices that had to be made.

What are the critical concepts of international law?

Do we go the dictionary or encyclopedic way, starting with Abuse of Rights, visiting Good Faith, have a big chunk on *Pact Sunt Servanda* and ending in the

vicinity of Voluntarism? It has been done, it has been done well, and we did not think it would be helpful to do that again. We chose, in some respects, the Kings Way – trying to think of the table of contents of, yes, an international law teaching manual. Its principal headings and subheadings would in some way capture the critical concepts of international law. What *has* to be taught is critical. Critical are the concepts without which the overall picture would be incomplete. In some ways, this collection is organized around such an imaginary table of contents.

Surprisingly, or not so surprisingly, since the Second World War we have seen a homogenization of the tables of contents of the textbooks on international law. Typically, the table of contents begins with an introduction on the history and nature of international law. We then find a discussion on the sources, subjects, state responsibility and dispute resolution. The relationship between international law and municipal law is somewhere at the beginning or the end. In between, the table of contents continues with synopses of substantive fields such as the use of force, international humanitarian law, human rights, international economic law, etc. This generalization holds true for many English, French, Italian, German and other languages textbooks. It is classic and it constituted our starting point for defining the critical concepts we would examine. But only a starting point. In making determinations, we found ourselves employing pre-modern notions, modern as well as post-modern approaches to the textbook on international law. We will illustrate very briefly.

Volume I offers a systemic overview of the field and addresses the central questions *on* (rather than *of*) international law. The next two volumes concentrate on the *physiognomy* of international law – *how does international law work on a day-to-day basis?* In the choice of topics, the structure of these volumes resembles a traditional table of contents: historical introduction, institutional aspects and the substance of international law.

Volumes IV and V depart from the traditional structure by resurrecting the ‘pre-modern’ or classical categorization of the international law of peace and of war that has gone out of fashion but that we found strangely useful. This may look a bit outdated – retrogressive more than progressive. We believe that this classical perspective adds value by drawing our attention to the distinct (related but often conflicting) natures of international law. To be sure, there are not two international laws – one of peace and the other of war. But, similarly, there is no one international law. The nature and operations of some fields of international law are categorically distinct – such as the rules on alien protection as compared to self-defence. This is not to say that they are unrelated to each other (e.g. may a state use force to protect its nationals abroad?), only that there is a hermeneutical gain in adopting the classical distinction between peace and war. The former is the daily bread of international law – such that Volume IV, *International Law in and of Peace*, as mentioned above, is a study of the *physiognomy* of international life regulated by law; the latter, in contrast, pertains to those special events that test the ‘limits’ of the system – such that Volume V, *International Law in and of War*, can be described as pertaining to the *pathology* of international life regulated by law.

The case for resurrection also rests on the huge contemporary expansion of international legal norms and regimes to myriad areas of human endeavour, arguably eclipsing not only in volume but also in importance the law on the use of force, once the reigning queen of the subject.

Volume VI is where we take our cue from contemporary sensibilities, from the critical approach to science in general and from a healthy dose of epistemic scepticism. It is titled *International Law And*. It is here that the critical often becomes critical and thus, the final volume serves to unpack as much as to conclude this Critical Concepts series on International Law. In the introductions to the individual volumes and, especially, in the tables of contents of the individual volumes, these choices will become more transparent. Let us produce, now, the standard apologia – we are sure that others would make different selections, on the margin and even at the centre. But we do hope that we have achieved a certain systematic coherence which is both interesting and useful.

Dealing with critical concepts: methodology

The choice of critical concept was informed by the traditional notion of the textbook table of contents. Our substantive methodology is equally traditional: a selection of scholarly articles, the reading of which we decided would be illuminating. As indicated, critical concepts cannot replace a textbook or manual, but for the very reason that it cannot – because of its diversity of approach, methodology and intellectual and moral sensibility – it can constitute a precious, even indispensable companion.

To reach that intended illumination, several choices were made. First, we decided not to opt for a contemporary photograph, but for an evolutionary cinematographic approach. We chose and organized articles generationally, in order to give a feel for the motion of international law over the past century or so. Articles, thus, were placed together not just for historical purposes but for their landmark value in an evolutionary or in a contrasting sense. Accordingly, we often chose articles in pairs, mixing classical and critical perspectives.

Second, the significance of our choices, successful or otherwise, will come by reading the entire selection pertaining to a topic – at time half a dozen articles – rather than dipping in for this or that selection. *Nota bene*: our list makes no effort at being a complete list of the ‘best’ articles ever written on international law; indeed, some of the articles included in this series are decidedly not. We looked for paradigms, paradigm breakers, paradigm shifters, etc. Because of this methodological approach, some of the articles chosen are not the best but the most interesting or most telling of a certain moment in the field, which is important for illumination of the present. And some of the best articles were not chosen because they did not fit with the holistic narrative we were trying to create. Likewise, we did not make choices based on ‘There has to be at least one Lauterpacht’ reasoning. It is precisely in this sense that we are not an anthology of poets. We made our choices based on a substantive decision as to which

selection of pieces taken together would be most illuminating to the concept in question. The ‘placing’ of articles was itself the subject of considerable reflection and was never haphazard. To illustrate: some readers may wonder why Eyal Benvenisti’s article on ‘Reclaiming Democracy’ was in the section on the relationship between domestic and international law rather than the section on democracy, legitimacy and pluralism. Some of the articles we have selected – such as Benvenisti’s ‘Reclaiming Democracy’ – could easily fit into several sections contained in this collection. We decided where to place such articles not just based on their subject-matter, but on their relationship to the other articles in that section. Accordingly, we chose to juxtapose Benvenisti’s article to Mattias Kumm’s ‘International Law in National Courts’ rather than to Susan Mark’s ‘International Law, Democracy and the End of History’. Similarly, Benedict Kingsbury, Nico Krisch and Richard B. Stewart’s ‘The Emergence of Global Administrative Law’ could have been included in Volume III’s section on globalization. However, in our view, the importance of Kingsbury *et al.*’s article to an overall understanding of international law merited its inclusion in the first volume of the series.

This, then, will become our Cato’s cry throughout these volumes: our own intellectual input has been mostly in the groupings of articles together. It is on this issue that we spent most time, consulted most widely and, consequently, advocate most passionately that the richest rewards will result from reading the groups as a whole rather than individual samples.

The best way to understand our roles is by analogy to that of a museum or exhibition curator: the works of art take, of course, pride of place. It will be the identity of the curator who explains why and how one museum or exhibition will differ from another even if concerned with the same subject matter. So back to the apologia: we cannot escape the shackles of situatedness. Naturally, our *weltanschauung*, our education, our cultural context, etc. will have shaped the sensibilities by which we made our final selections. We bothered many friends and colleagues with requests to review our lists as they evolved, and comment and make suggestions. It would be indelicate to mention names in this context. Ultimately responsibility for the final selection is ours.

Language, gender and other biases

This is a project by an English-language publisher, and translation was essentially not an option. It inevitably colours the selection. There is no point being precious about this. English, more than ever, is the most commonly used *second* language by a huge margin, and it is not surprising how many international law journals are published in English, or accept English language articles even in jurisdictions in which English is not the spoken language. But still, the choice of English involves an important cultural and at times even political bias, and this troubled us. We tried to address this by carefully selecting articles from non-English-speaking jurisdictions – about 30 per cent of our selection falls under this rubric. We were

P R E F A C E

troubled by what appears to be the low number of contributions from women that feature in our final selection – about 10 per cent. In some respects this is not surprising: the male voice dominates the major journals which were the source of our selections, and since we adopted a historical, evolutionary approach, this aggravated matters even more. But the situation is changing with an increased, though still minority, share in more recent years. Should an exercise like this be undertaken 20 years from now, the picture, we are confident would be very different. Though the nationality of an author can be a very poor proxy to academic and intellectual sensibility, the field from which we made our selection is still dominated by what once would be called a Euro-centric population, and that domination is reflected in the selections that found their way to these pages. In this respect we, and the readers, are certainly victims by the Anglophone bias – we believe a bigger voice to developing-country sensibilities would have, justifiably, been present if we could use French and Spanish literatures. Still, those voices are present in these volumes in some of the very finest pieces.

Let us dispense with the apologia – and wish our readers a rewarding, even exciting, intellectual, academic and professional treasure.

Joseph Weiler
University Professor, New York University School

Alan Nissel
Doctoral Candidate, University of Helsinki
Registered In-House Counsel, Wilshire Skyline, Inc.

New York, 29 November 2010

CONTENTS

VOLUME VI INTERNATIONAL LAW AND

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi

Introduction	1
---------------------	---

PART 31	
The Other	3

82 Feminist approaches to international law	5
HILARY CHARLESWORTH, CHRISTINE CHINKIN AND SHELLEY WRIGHT	

PART 32	
Economics	45

83 Economic analysis of international law	47
JEFFREY L. DUNOFF AND JOEL P. TRACHTMAN	

84 A theory of customary international law	104
JACK L. GOLDSMITH AND ERIC A. POSNER	

CONTENTS

PART 33	
Literature	161
85 Shakespeare's Henry the Fifth and the law of war	163
THEODOR MERON	
86 Ideals and things: international legal scholarship and the prison-house of language	219
JAMES BOYLE	
PART 34	
Philosophy	249
87 The 'modern' theory of natural law	251
RICHARD TUCK	
88 On the pure theory of law	269
HANS KELSEN	
PART 35	
Politics	275
PART 36	
Psychology	277
89 Interwar German theories of international law: the psychoanalytical and phenomenological perspectives of Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt	279
ANTHONY CARTY	
PART 37	
Religion	329
90 International law and the conflict of religious interests	331
ROSALYN HIGGINS	

CONTENTS

91 Equality or equivalence: a very brief survey of <i>Lex Talionis</i> as a concept of justice in the Bible	355
ALAN TZVIKA NISSEL	
 PART 38	
Sociology	381
 92 Introduction	383
LOUIS HENKIN	
 93 Book review: <i>How Nations Behave</i>	423
DAVID KENNEDY	
 94 The sociology of international economic law: invitation to study international rules in their social context	442
MOSHE HIRSCH	
 <i>Index</i>	488

INTRODUCTION

International Law And underscores not only the open-ended nature of this series, but that of the field as well. The volume may be described as *multi-inter-disciplinarity*. We begin with one of the most biting critiques of international law written to date, Charlesworth, Chinkin and Wright's 'Feminist Approaches to International Law?' The article by Charlesworth *et al.* is important not only for its substantive content, but its methodological audacity.

Viewing international law through the prism of economics means different things to different lawyers. Dunoff and Trachtman (believers) face Goldsmith and Posner (heretics) in this dual of lawyer-economists.

Law and literature arrived fully in international legal studies with the publication by Theodor Meron, the consummate international practitioner – of his Shakespeare work. We juxtapose that with 'Ideals and Things: International Legal Scholarship and the Prison-House of Language' by James Boyle, who reminds international law scholars that their articulations can never transcend their ability to articulate.

There may always be natural law and positive law philosophers explaining away international law. Richard Tuck's and Hans Kelsen's writings are representative of this perpetual debate. Where one sits and stands on the Politics of international law, as this volume implies, depends on many factors. Indeed, this question is so fundamental to any work on international law that we have chosen to insert the section on politics, not in in Volume VI, but in Volume I.

There are so many ways in which psychology can provide insight into international law. Examples that immediately come to mind include transference, terrorism and non-state actors; sublimation, blame and state responsibility. Yet there is disproportionately sparse scholarship focusing on this relationship. Anthony Carty provides a rare opportunity to consider the psychoanalytical and phenomenological perspectives of two twentieth-century giants of legal thought, Hans Kelsen and Carl Schmitt.

It seems somehow anathematical to view international law in terms of religion. However, Dame Rosalyn Higgins reminds us that, in a very basic sense, competing interpretations of international law may boyle (pun intended) down to religious divisions. Alan Nissel takes this theme further by arguing that the most

basic unit of justice – an *eye* for an *eye* – is and has always been a cliché that is open to simultaneously reinforcing and contradictory interpretations.

In many ways, recent scholarship on international law has been sociological in nature. If we can't explain what international law *is*, then, surely we can at least explain what international lawyers *do*! The three articles in the next section are, thus, a fitting finale to this series. Louis Henkin and David Kennedy square off in the debate on how nations (and diplomats) behave. Harold Koh has written that Henkin's oft-quoted claim, that 'almost all nations observe almost all principles of international law and almost all of their obligations almost all the time' is the 'sentence that launched a thousand articles'. One such article is David Kennedy's sharp review of Henkin's book – one of the most illuminating contributions to the field not least impressive for its admirable economy. In the final piece, Moshe Hirsch reminds us that international economic activity is a *social* phenomenon and international law should also be conceived as a specific type of social interaction.

This volume is enticing since at one and the same time it exemplifies the dynamism of the field – can one imagine a selection such as this even 50 years ago? – and yet it undermines it at the same time. On the one hand, there is simply no denying the existence of international law. The hundreds of international lawyers who are flying business class to meetings with government, corporate and other officials are doing something (*right?*). On the other hand, describing or explaining that phenomenon is problematic. The thrust behind our final volume is that *there is no non-critical approach to international law*. It is not that international law is, at once, both real and imagined. The way international law is imagined is a powerful reality.

Part 31

THE OTHER

