ROBINSON

CRUMINAL LAW

Case Stilles and Controversies

Third Edition



ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES



CRIMINAL LAW Case Studies & Controversies

Third Edition

Paul H. Robinson Colin S. Diver Professor of Law

Colin S. Diver Professor of Law University of Pennsylvania





Copyright © 2012 Paul H. Robinson.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-1-4548-0702-5

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Robinson, Paul H., 1948-

Criminal law: case studies & controversies / Paul H. Robinson. — 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-1-4548-0702-5 (casebound : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 1-4548-0702-4 (alk. paper)

1. Criminal law—United States. 2. Criminal law—United States—Cases. I. Title.

KF9219.R597 2012 345.73—dc23

2011047469



About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, Best Case, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs family of products.

CCH products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Best Case Solutions is the leading bankruptcy software product to the bankruptcy industry. It provides software and workflow tools to flawlessly streamline petition preparation and the electronic filing process, while timely incorporating ever-changing court requirements.

ftwilliam.com offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

MediRegs products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

To Sarah, Sam, Mandy, Mac, Harry, and Atticus

Table of Problem Cases

Section 1: #1 #2	The Nature of Criminal Law and Its Structure Hypothetical: Fear, Pain, and Bubble Gum Hypothetical: Fear of the Daggers	
Section 2: #3 #4 #5 #6 #7 #8	The Legality Principle The Case of Ray Brent Marsh The Human Puppet Master Is a Fetus Less Human than Human? Gangsters Can't Stop Marsh Continued Is a Judge a Member of His Family?	
Section 3: #9 #10 #11 #12 #13	Theories of Punishment The Case of Sara Jane Olson Hypothetical: Daughters' Mustard Sara Jane Olson—Revisited Stopping Desertions in a Time of War Air Conditioning Fraud as Strike Three	
Section 4: #14 #15 #16 #17 #18 #19 #20 #21	Culpability Requirements The Case of Thomas Fungwe The Case of Raymond Lennard Garnett Hypothetical: Babies and Ditches A Vegan Death Cut! The Weight of Authority Buying Weight Rough Play	
Section 5: #22 #23 #24	Culpability and Mistake The Case of Thomas Laseter Stomach, Kidney, Whatever Life-Saving Necrophilia	
Section 6: #25 #26 #27 #28	Homicide: Doctrines of Aggravation The Case of Sabine Davidson Hypothetical: Visiting a Witness An Exxon Kidnap Gone Bad Fleeing as Murder	

#29 #30	A Robbers' Dispute Killing Your Co-Felon Through a Gun Battle with Police
#30 Section 7: #31 #32 #33 #34	Death Penalty The Case of William King Drunk Driving as Capital Murder Child Rape and the Death Penalty The Original Nuclear Proliferation
Section 8: #35 #36 #37 #38	Homicide: Doctrines of Mitigation The Case of John Gounagias The Vigilante Mother A Mercy Killing as His Last Act Escaping the Aliens
Section 9: #39 #40 #41 #42 #43 #44 #45	Causation The Case of Joe Paul Govan Hypothetical: Manny the Master Two Killers, One Killing Pulling Out His Own Tubes A Patriotic Suicide A Jump to Escape, and Drown Can You Be Your Own Intervening Actor?
Section 10: #46 #47 #48 #49 #50	Attempt Liability The Case of Robert Jackson Hypothetical: A Plan to Kill Second Thoughts on a Holiday Breakout No Risk, No Foul? Killing with AIDS
Section 11: #51 #52 #53 #54 #55	Impossibility The Case of John Henry Ivy Hypothetical: The Smuggler's Deceit Lethal Spitting An Impossible Rape In re "The Nose "
Section 12: #56 #57 #58 #59	Conspiracy The Case of Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman Arranging a Birthday Party Fight, or a Killing? Truck Driver or Drug Smuggler? Call-Girl Phone Service
Section 13: #60 #61 #62 #63	Voluntary Intoxication The Case of Jordan Weaver Hypothetical: Food for Thought Poker Interrupted Blacked Out Drunk, Murderer
Section 14: #64 #65 #66	Complicity The Case of Cardinal Bernard Law Hypothetical: Bib Tries to Help Wrong Place, Wrong Race

#67 #68	Helping Lincoln's Assassin Cheering on Rapists	
#69	Cheering on Rapists Murder by Cop?	
Section 15: #70	The Act Requirement and Liability for an Omission or The Case of David Cash	Possession
#71	Hypothetical: Dunning's Deal	
#72 #73 #74	Can a Mother Rape a Daughter Through a Husband? Possessing Cocaine?	
	Stepping Over the Corpse in Aisle 3	
Section 16:	Lesser Evils Defense	
#75 #76	The Case of the Israeli General Security Service	
#77	Hypothetical: A Life-Saving Break-In	
#78	Torture to Save a Kidnap Victim Fight, Submit, or Escape	
#79	No to Nuclear Power	
#80	Burning Out the Local Crack House	
#81	The Bomb Thief	
Castian 17		
Section 17: #82	Public Authority Justifications	
#83	The Case of Officer Elton Hymon	
#84	Aggressive Fishing Keeping Rodney King Down	
	THE STATE OF THE S	
Section 18:	Defensive Force Justifications	
#85	The Case of Bernhard Goetz	
#86	Hypothetical: Rosie's Home Run	
#87	Archery and Arson in the Garage	
#88 #89	A Homemade Burglary System	
	In Defense of an Unborn	
Section 19:	Mistake as to a Justification	
#90	The Case of Richard John Jahnke, Jr.	
#91	Hypothetical: Moro's Mistake	
#92	Killing a Batterer While He Sleeps	
#93	Warm-Up for Watergate	
#94	Executing Abortionists	
Section 20:	Mistake Excuses	
#95	The Case of Julio Marrero	
#96	Hypothetical: Sophie's Stand	
#97	Parking Lot Lottery Ticket	
#98	Cultural Marriage Differences	
Section 21:	Insanity	
#99	The Case of Andrew Goldstein	
#100	Cinema as Life: Assassinating the President	
#101	Multiple Personalities, Same Body	
#102	Saving Her Children from Eternal Damnation by Drow	ning Them
Section 22:	Disability Excuses	
#103	The Case of Patty Hearst	
#104	Hypothetical: The Brothers' Brawl	

#105 #106 #107 #108 #109 #110 #111 #112	Robbing for the I.R.A. Hypnotic Prison Break Inducing Rape for Blackmail Bomb Collar Robbery Gone Bad Chromosome Criminality The Brainwashed Defector The Abused Becomes the Abuser Child Gang Murderer	
Section 23: #113 #114	Nonexculpatory Defenses and Defenses and Defenses The Case of Melvin Ignatow Too Late for Justice	
Section 24: #115 #116 #117 #118 #119	Entrapment at Manda minus and Amazinah caylar The Case of John DeLorean and Amazinah caylar Hypothetical: JJ's Out A Pickpocket Sting Government as Pornographer and Ameeting demod and Undercover Trolling at an AA Meeting demod and	
Section 25: #120 #121	Rape The Case of Eric Steven Carlson of the Dass Model Acquiescence on a Mountain Topolder to making the	
Section 26: #122	Hate Crimes The Case of Todd Mitchelloning of conditional solutions of the Case of Todd Mitchelloning of conditional solutions of the Case of Todd Mitchelloning of conditional solutions of the Case of Todd Mitchelloning of T	
Section 27: #123 #124 #125 #126	Corporate Criminality The Case of Ford Motor Company Hypothetical: Evergreen Greenbacks The Shield that Hurts C.E.O. of the Baltimore Rodents	
	Mistake a to a Justification The Case of Richard planty palates. In Hypothetical Moro's Meroke Killing a barrerer While Ha Storps Warm-Un for Ware, give Evecuting Aboutioning	
	Instity The Case of Andrew Color tan Citoma as Life Assassimone the Provient Multiple Personalines, Same Loov String Her Children From Sternal Damnation by E	
	Disabilit : Excuses The Case of that y Hearn Depotentical: The Bronners' Brawl	

Preface Washington, Wisconstill Work of the Profession of the Preface

Criminal law is different from your other first year courses in several ways, and Criminal Law: Case Studies & Controversies, Third Edition is correspondingly different from typical law school coursebooks.

The most obvious special feature of criminal law is its form. The "legality principle," as it is called, which prefers prior written liability rules, applies primarily to criminal rather than civil law for reasons discussed in Section 2. Since the 1960s, two-thirds of the states have adopted comprehensive modern criminal codes. To the extent that court opinions continue to play a role in criminal law, it is most often to interpret ambiguous provisions of codes rather than to make or alter the liability rules as earlier courts commonly did. For these reasons, criminal law typically is the course by which statutory reading and interpretation are taught in the first year of law school.

A second unique feature of criminal law arises from the fact that even laypersons have deeply felt intuitions of justice. A criminal law that seeks to influence conduct must at least take account of these feelings when setting its rules and planning its influence. To ensure that the course addresses this aspect of criminal law, the coursebook includes a series of case studies, each of which gives not only the abstract details that judges and lawyers might find important but also tells the full story of the case leading up to the offense in a way that is likely to trigger people's intuitions of justice.

A final feature of modern criminal law is its tendency toward conceptual cohesiveness and theoretical consistency. Modern American criminal codes are typically built on several interacting base principles. Understanding those underlying principles and how they are expressed through criminal law doctrine is necessary for effective lawyering in criminal law more than in many other areas of law. Accordingly, the coursebook examines not only the doctrinal rules and their major variations but also the underlying principles that drive them.

Section Template. The sections of the coursebook commonly follow a template of sorts:

Principal Case. The coursebook gives two or three pages of factual detail about the people and events leading up to the offense. (A "liability scale" at the conclusion of each case asks you to provide your own intuitive judgement about what punishment, if any, the offender deserves. The scale is aimed at putting your own analytic wheels in motion.)

The Law. The relevant statutes as they existed in the jurisdiction at the time of the offense are then presented. Where the criminal code is incomplete, as is often the case in non-modern code jurisdictions, the statutes are supplemented with one-paragraph summaries of the controlling cases that provide the legal rule

missing from the statutes. Criminal law is constitutionally within the power of the states rather than the federal government. There are 52 American criminal codes. The coursebook's Principal Cases are drawn from a wide number of jurisdictions, including Alaska, Arizona, California, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nevada, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and the federal system, as well as Israel. By the end of the course you will have a familiarity with the standard American criminal law rule as well as its major variations.

Overview Notes. Following the Principal Case and its relevant law is a treatise-like presentation of the law in that subject area, and its underlying theory. These Notes are meant to present the basics as efficiently as possible. They put the Principal Case's legal rules into the larger legal picture. The Notes often will begin with a Hypothetical that will then be analyzed throughout the following text. It is worth mastering, not just reading, the Notes, for they will be central in your studying for the course.

Core Case Opinion. The treatise-like Notes commonly are a more efficient means of conveying information than reading a collection of cases, typical in other coursebooks, but cases remain important even in criminal law. And case reading remains an important lawyering skill. Each Section contains a Core Case Opinion that has special historical, theoretical, or doctrinal significance in the subject area.

Problem Cases. Following the Overview Notes and Core Case Opinion are a series of Problem Cases by which you can check your comprehension of the Overview material and that your instructor may use as a vehicle to raise additional issues. If you develop confidence in your liability analysis of all the Principal, Hypothetical, and Problem cases, you will have mastered the central elements of the course. These cases are numbered consecutively throughout the coursebook to make it easy for you to keep track of them.

Discussion Issue. Each Section ends with a Discussion Issue, usually the most important controversy in the topic area. Excerpts from the legal literature discussing each side of the issue appear in Appendix A.

Appendix A: Discussion Materials & Advanced Issues—In addition to the Discussion Issue Materials, Appendix A contains materials, such as Notes and Problem Cases, on a variety of advanced issues that your instructor may wish to cover.

Appendix B: Model Penal Code—This appendix reproduces Parts I and II of the Model Penal Code, which serves as the foundation for the majority of American criminal codes and therefore is a useful point of comparison to the statutes appearing after each Principal Case. Have a great time with these materials! Criminal law is a wonderful and special subject that has the potential to tell us much about law, our society, and ourselves.

Paul H. Robinson

December 2011

^{1.} The paragraph headings in the Notes Section signal the paragraph's importance in relation to other paragraphs. In descending order of superiority the heading formats are: **HEADING**, **Heading**, Heading.

Acknowledgments

This Third Edition was made possible by the contributions of many people, in particular the research assistance of Melissa Krain of the University of Pennsylvania Law School Class of 2013. I also thank again the many people who helped in obtaining facts and documents about the stories reported here, as detailed in previous editions.* Special thanks also go to Michael Cahill, my co-author on the new Second Edition of my general treatise, Criminal Law (Aspen 2012), from which much of the Overview material contained herein has been taken.

Thanks are also in order for permission to reprint the following books and articles:

Adlestein, Alan L., Conflict of the Criminal Statute of Limitations with Lesser Offenses at Trial, 37 William & Mary Law Review, 199 (1995). Copyright 2000 by the William and Mary Law Review.

Ager, Susan, The Incident, Detroit Free Press Magazine 17 (March 22, 1992). Reprinted by permission of Detroit Free Press in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.

Amar, Akhil Reed, Fourth Amendment First Principles, 107 Harvard Law Review 757 (1994). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Law Review in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.

American Law Institute, Model Penal Code Sections 1.01-251.4, Commentary for Sections 1.06, 2.02, 2.04, 2.06, 210.2, 210.3, 210.5, 2.13, 3.04, 3.06, 3.09(2), 5.01, and 5.03. Copyright 1985 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

Andenaes, Johannes, The General Preventive Effects of Punishment, 114 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 949, 981-983 (1966). Reprinted with permission of University of Pennsylvania Law Review in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.

Ashworth, Andrew, A New Form of Corporate Liability? Principles of Criminal Law 86-88 (Oxford Univ. Press 1991). Reprinted by permission.

Ashworth, Andrew, Criminal Attempts and the Role of Resulting Harm under the Code and in the Common Law, 19 Rutgers Law Journal 725, 750-753 (1988). Copyright © 1988, Rutgers Law Journal. Reprinted with permission.

Ashworth, Andrew J., Defences of General Application: The Law Commission's Report No. 83: (3) Entrapment, [1978] Criminal Law Review 137-138. Reprinted by permission.

^{*} N.B. — The facts recounted in the principle case stories are true as best as we can determine from our research of court documents, newspaper articles, personal interviews, and other available sources. In places, we have added what we think are reasonable speculations about a person's motivation or state of mind as it appears from the person's conduct and circumstances.

- Bedau, Hugo A., Arguments For and Against Capital Punishment, Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice 138-141 (S. Kadish ed., 1983). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- Bedau, Hugo A., Innocence and the Death Penalty, The Death Penalty in America: Current Controversies 344, 345, 350-359 (H. Bedau ed., Oxford Univ. Press 1997). Reprinted by permission.
- Bell, Jeannine, Behind This Mortal Bone: The (In)Effectiveness of Torture, Indiana Law Review (2008). Reprinted by permission of the author and Indiana Law Review.
- Bryden, David P., Redefining Rape, 3 Buffalo Criminal Law Review 317, 373-385 (2000). Reprinted by permission of University of California Press Journals.
- Callahan, Lisa, et al., Insanity Defense Reform in the United States—Post-Hinckley, 11 Mental and Physical Disability Law Reporter 54-60 (1987). Reprinted by permission of Mental and Physical Disability Law Reporter in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Coffee, John, Corporate Criminal Responsibility, 1 Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice 253, 256-261 (S. Kadish ed., Oxford Univ. Press 1983). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- Crocker, Lawrence, Justice in Criminal Liability: Decriminalizing Harmless Attempts, 53 Ohio State Law Journal 1057, 1069-1072 (1992). Originally published in 53 Ohio St. Law Journal 1057, 1069-1072 (1972). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- Crump, David & Susan Waite Crump, In Defense of Felony Murder, 8 Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 359, 362-364, 367-371 (1985). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Delgado, Richard, Ascription of Criminal States of Mind: Toward a Defense Theory for the Coercively Persuaded ("Brainwashed") Defendant, 63 Minnesota Law Review 1, 1-11 (1978). Reprinted by permission of Minnesota Law Review.
- Dershowitz, Alan, Should the Ticking Bomb Terrorist Be Tortured?, Why Terrorism Works: Understanding the Threat, Responding to the Challenge (Yale Univ. Press 2002). Copyright 2002 Alan M. Dershowitz. Reprinted by permission of Yale Univ. Press.
- Dressler, Joshua, Professor Delgado's "Brainwashing" Defense: Courting a Determinist Legal System, 63 Minnesota Law Review 335, 335-336, 339-340, 351-360 (1979). Reprinted with permission of Minnesota Law Review.
- Dressler, Joshua, Some Brief Thoughts (Mostly Negative) About "Bad Samaritan" Laws, 40 Santa Clara Law Review 971-975, 980-988 (2000). Reprinted by permission.
 - Dressler, Joshua, Where We Have Been, and Where We Might be Going: Some Cautionary Reflections on Rape Law Reform, 46 Cleveland State Law Review 409, 430-439 (1998). Reprinted by permission.
 - English, Jodie, The Light Between Twilight and Dusk: Federal Criminal Law and the Volitional Insanity Defense, 40 Hastings Law Journal 1, 45-52 (1988). Reprinted with permission of the Hastings Law Journal.
 - Epps, Garrett, Any Which Way But Loose: Interpretive Strategies and Attitudes Toward Violence in the Evolution of the Anglo-American "Retreat Rule," 55 Law and Contemporary Problems 303-305, 327-331 (1992). Reprinted by permission of the author.
 - Erb, Todd D., A Case for Strengthening School District Jurisdiction to Punish Off-Campus Incidents of Cyberbullying, 40 Arizona State Law Journal 257 (2008). Reprinted by permission of the author.
 - Estrich, Susan, Rape, 95 Yale Law Journal 1087, 1096-1097, 1098-1101, 1102-1105 (1986). Reprinted by permission of Yale Law Journal.

- Fischel, Daniel R. and Alan O. Sykes, Corporate Crime, 25 Journal of Legal Studies 320 (1996). Reprinted by permission of The University of Chicago Press.
- Fletcher, George P., A Crime of Self Defense: Bernhard Goetz and the Law on Trial 64-67 (Free Press 1988). Reprinted by permission.
 - Fletcher, George P., Arguments for Strict Liability: Mistakes of Law, Rethinking Criminal Law 731-736 (Oxford Univ. Press 1978). Reprinted by permission.
 - Fletcher, George P., The Theory of Criminal Negligence: A Comparative Analysis, 119 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 401, 426-427, 429-430, 433-434 (1971). Reprinted by permission of University of Pennsylvania Law Review in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
 - Friedman, Lawrence, In Defense of Corporate Criminal Liability, 23 Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 833 (1999-2000). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
 - Gellman, Susan, Hate Crime Laws Are Thought Crime Laws, 1992/1993 Annual Survey of American Law 509, 509-513, 518-520, 528-531. Reprinted by permission of New York University.
 - Gordon, Margaret T., & Stephanie Riger, The Female Fear: The Social Cost of Rape 2, 26-28, 32-36 (Univ. of Illinois Press 1991). Reprinted by permission.
 - Gorsuch, Neil M., The Right to Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia, 23 Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 599 (2000). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Hardaway, Robert M. et al., The Right to Die and the Ninth Amendment: Compassion and Dying After Glucksberg and Vacco, 7 George Mason Law Review 313 (1999).

 Reprinted by permission of George Mason Law Review.
 - Hart, H.L.A., Punishment and Responsibility 153-154 (1968). Reprinted with permission of Clarendon Press (imprint of Oxford University Press).
- Harvard Law Review, Developments in the Law: Criminal Conspiracy, 72 Harvard Law Review 920, 922-925 (1959). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Law Review in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
 - Henderson, Lynne N., Review Essay: What Makes Rape a Crime? Review of Estrich, Real Rape, 3 Berkeley Woman's Law Journal 193, 211-219 (1987-88). Reprinted by permission of Berkeley Woman's Law Journal in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
 - Jacobs, James B., & Kimberly Potter, Hate Crime Laws, Hate Crimes: Criminal Law & Identity Politics 29-44 (Oxford Univ. Press 1998). Reprinted by permission.
 - Kahan, Dan M., Ignorance of the Law Is an Excuse But Only for the Virtuous, 96 Michigan Law Review 127, 131, 133, 141-142 (1997). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- Keiter, Mitchell, Just Say No Excuse: The Rise and Fall of the Intoxication Defense, 87 Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology 482, 482-483, 518-519 (1997).

 Reprinted with permission of the Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology.
- LaFave, Wayne R., Rape Overview; Act and Mental State Substantive Criminal Law \$7.18 (2d ed. 2003). Reprinted by permission of West, a Thomson Reuters Business.
- LaFave, Wayne, Jerold Israel & Nancy King, An Overview of the Criminal Justice Process: The Steps in the Process, Criminal Procedure \$1.3 (3d ed. 2000).

 Reprinted with permission of West, a Thomson Reuters Business.
 - La Fond, John Q., The Case for Liberalizing the Use of Deadly Force in Self-Defense, 6 University of Puget Sound Law Review 237, 237-238, 274-284 (1983). Reprinted by permission.
 - Lawrence, Frederick M. Reprinted by permission of the publisher from Punishing Hate: Bias Crimes Under American Law by Frederick M. Lawrence, pp 58-63, 161-163, 167-169, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, Copyright © 1999 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

- Levenson, Laurie L., Good Faith Defenses: Reshaping Strict Liability Crimes, 78 Cornell Law Review 401, 419-427 (1993). Reprinted by permission.
- Levin, Michael, The Case for Torture, Newsweek (1982). From Newsweek June 7, 1982 © 1982 The Newsweek/Daily Beast company LLC. All rights reserved. Used by permission and protected by the Copyright Laws of the United States. The printing, copying, redistribution, or retransmission of the Material without express written permission is prohibited.
- Levmore, Saul & Ariel Porat, Bargaining with Double Jeopardy, Journal of Legal Studies (2011). Reprinted by permission of The University of Chicago Press.
- Luban, David, Liberalism, Torture, and the Ticking Bomb, 91 Virginia Law Review 1425 (October 2005). Reprinted by permission of Virginia Law Review in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Luna, Erik, In Support of Restorative Justice, Criminal Law Conversations 586-595 (Oxford Univ. Press 2009). Reprinted by permission.
- Lynch, Jennifer, Identity Theft in Cyberspace: Crime Control Methods and Their Effectiveness in Combating Phishing Attacks, 20 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 259 (2005). Reprinted by permission of Berkeley Technology Law Journal in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- MacKinnon, Catharine, A Rally Against Rape, Feminism Unmodified 81-83 (1987). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- McCurdy, Jessica L., Computer Crimes, 47 American Criminal Law Review 287 (Spring 2010). Reprinted by permission of the author and the American Criminal Law Review.
- Moore, Michael S., The Independent Moral Significance of Wrongdoing, 5 Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues 237, 253-258 (1994). Reprinted by permission of Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues.
- Morse, Stephen J., Fear of Danger, Flight From Culpability, 4 Psychology, Public Policy, and the Law 250, 253-256 (1998). Reprinted by permission of the American Psychological Association.
- New York Times, 37 Who Saw Murder Didn't Call Police. From the New York Times, March 27, 1964 © 1964 The New York Times. All rights reserved. Used by permission and protected by the Copyright Laws of the United States. The printing, copying, redistribution, or retransmission of the Material without express written permission is prohibited.
- Parker, Lori J., Validity, Constructions, and Application of Federal Enactments Proscribing Obscenity and Child Pornography or Access Thereto on the Internet, 7 American Law Reports Federal 2d 1 (2005). Reprinted with permission from A.L.R., © 2005-2011 Thomson Reuters.
- Pillsbury, Samuel H., Crimes of Indifference, 49 Rutgers Law Review 105-106, 150-151 (1996). Reprinted by permission.
- Remick, Lani Anne, Read Her Lips: An Argument for a Verbal Consent Standard in Rape, 141 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 1103-1105 (1993). Reprinted with permission of University of Pennsylvania Law Review in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Rudstein, David S., Retrying the Acquitted in England, Part I: The Exception to the Rule Against Double Jeopardy for "New and Compelling Evidence," 8 San Diego International Law Journal 387 (2007). Reprinted by permission of San Diego International Law Journal.
- Sayre, Francis B., Criminal Attempts, 41 Harvard Law Review 821, 845-847 (1928). Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review.
- Schulhofer, Stephen J., Rape: Legal Aspects, Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice 1306-1309 (2d ed., Cengage 2002). From *Encyclopedia of Crime & Justice*, 2E. © 2001 Gale, a part of Cengage Learning, Inc. Reproduced by permission. www.cengage.com/permissions

- Seidman, Louis Michael, The Supreme Court, Entrapment, and Our Criminal JusticeDilemma, 5 The Supreme Court Review 111, 127-133, 135-137, 139-142, 145-146 (1981). Reprinted by permission of The University of Chicago Press.
- Simpson, Gerry J., Didactic and Dissident Histories in War Crimes Trials, 60 Albany Law Review 801, 801-803, 804, 814-819 (1997). Reprinted by permission.
- Steiker, Carol S., Second Thoughts about First Principles, 107 Harvard Law Review 820 (1994). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Law Review in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Sunstein, Cass R., Why They Hate Us: The Role of Social Dynamics, 25 Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 429, 429-433, 439-440 (2002). Reprinted by permission of Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy in format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Tennenbaum, Abraham N., The Influence of the Garner Decision on Police Use of Deadly Force,85 Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology 241-242,257-260 (1994). Reprinted with permission of the Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology.
- van den Haag, Ernest, Punishing Criminals 219-220 (1975). Reprinted with permission of Perseus Books Group in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- von Hirsch, Andrew, Incapacitation in Principled Sentencing 101-108 (von Hirsch & Ashworth eds., 1992). Reprinted by permission of the author.
- Wechsler, Herbert & Jerome Michael, The Rationale of the Law of Homicide, 37 Columbia Law Review 701, 736 (1937). Reprinted with permission of Columbia Law Review in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Weisberg, Robert, Deregulating Death, The Supreme Court Review 303, 386-387 (1983). Reprinted by permission of The University of Chicago Press.
- White, Thomas W., Reliance on Apparent Authority as a Defense to Criminal Prosecutions, 77 Columbia Law Review 775, 779, 801 (1977). Reprinted with permission of Columbia Law Review in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Williams, Gregory Howard, Controlling the Use of Non Deadly Force: Policy and Practice, 10 Harvard BlackLetter Law Journal 79, 79-80, 82-93, 95-96, 102-104 (1993). Reprinted by permission of Harvard BlackLetter Law Journal in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Wilson, James Q., Thinking About Crime 145-158 (Vintage rev. ed. 1983). Reprinted by permission of Vintage in the format Textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Yeager, Daniel B., A Radical Community of Aid: A Rejoinder to Opponents of Affirmative Duties to Help Strangers, 71 Washington University Law Quarterly 1-8, 13-38 (1993). Reprinted by permission.

Thanks are also in order for permission to reprint the following photographs and illustrations:

- Cash case, Iverson on surveillance tape. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of the Las Vegas Sun.
- Cash case, Primadonna Resort. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Frank Reynolds, www.vegasgallery.com
- Cash case, surveillance tape of Strohmeyer. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of the Las Vegas Sun.
- Davidson case, Christopher Wilson. Photograph. Kansas State Collegian. Reproduced with permission of James Hurla.
- Davidson case, Davidsons' home with 6-foot fence. Photograph. Kansas State Collegian. Reproduced with permission of James Hurla.
- Davidson case, Guard Dog Training Center. Photograph. Reproduced with permission.

- Davidson case, neighbors visiting site of mauling. Photograph. Kansas State Collegian. Reproduced with permission of James Hurla.
- DeLorean case, DA's evidence. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Associated Press.
- Delorean case, DeLorean at bankruptcy conference. Photograph. Bettmann/CORBIS. Reproduced with permission of Corbis.
- DeLorean case, DeLorean seated inside car. Photograph. © Hulton-Deutsch Collection/CORBIS. Reproduced with permission of Corbis.
 - DeLorean case, John DeLorean in his Manhattan office. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Anthony Howarth.
 - Ford Motor Company case, accident scene. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
 - Ford Motor Company case, Donna Ulrich. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
- Ford Motor Company case, Henry Ford II announcing restructuring. Photograph.

 Bettmann/CORBIS. Reproduced with permission of Corbis.
- Ford Motor Company case, Judy Ulrich. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
- Ford Motor Company case, Lyn Ulrich. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
 - Ford Motor Company case, 1973 Ford Pinto. Photograph provided by fordpinto. com. Reproduced with permission of fordpinto.com.
 - Ford Motor Company case, Prosecutor Mike Consentino. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
 - Ford Motor Company case, van and Pinto. Photograph. Reproduced with permission from Reckless Homicide, And Books.
- Garnett case, Frazier's house. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Catherine McAlpine.
 - Goetz case, Bernhard Goetz at his home. Photograph. Bettmann/CORBIS. Reproduced with permission of Gorbis.
- Goldstein case, Andrew Goldstein escorted by police. Photograph. AP Photo/Marty Lederhandler. Reproduced with permission of Associated Press.
 - Gounagias case, Camas Mill, circa 1918. Postcard. Reproduced with permission of the Camas-Washougat Historical Society.
- Hearst case, closet where Hearst was held. San Francisco Examiner, Bob McLeod.

 Reproduced with permission of the San Francisco Examiner.
- Hearst case, Hearst posing before an SLA banner. Bettmann/CORBIS. Reproduced with permission of Corbis.
 - Hearst case, Hibernia Bank. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Associated Press.
 - Hearst case, lines of people at the food giveaway. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of United Press International.
 - Hearst case, Patricia Hearst and Steven Weed's apartment. Photograph. San Francisco Examiner, Florence Fang. Reproduced with permission of the San Francisco Examiner.
 - Hearst case, Patty Hearst in the Hibernia Bank. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Associated Press.
 - Hearst case, Steven Weed. Photograph. San Francisco Examiner, Florence Fang. Reproduced with permission of the San Francisco Examiner.
 - Hearst case, William Randolph Hearst. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of Associated Press.
 - Hymon case, Edward Garner. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of NCJRS.
 - Hymon case, Officer Elton Hymon. Photograph. Reproduced with permission of NCJRS.