ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

GIILLEIRS

REGULAUTON OR LAWMERS Droblems of Law and Eddes

Ninth Edition



Regulation Problems of



vers Ethics

Ninth Edition

Stephen Gillers

Elihu Root Professor of Law New York University School of Law





Copyright © 2012 Stephen Gillers.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

· Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-1-4548-0299-0

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Gillers, Stephen, 1943Regulation of lawyers: problems of law and ethics / Stephen Gillers.—9th ed.
p. cm.—(Aspen casebook series)
Includes index.
ISBN 978-1-4548-0299-0
1. Legal ethics—United States—Cases. 2. Lawyers—United States—Discipline—Cases. 3. Practice of law—United States—Cases. I. Title.
KF306.G55 2012
174'.30973—dc23

2011052846



Certified Sourcing
www.sfiprogram.org

SFI label applies to the text stock

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading global provider of intelligent information and digital solutions for legal and business professionals in key specialty areas, and respected educational resources for professors and law students. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business connects legal and business professionals as well as those in the education market with timely, specialized authoritative content and information-enabled solutions to support success through productivity, accuracy and mobility.

Serving customers worldwide, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business products include those under the Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International, Loislaw, Best Case, ftwilliam. com and MediRegs family of products.

CCH products have been a trusted resource since 1913, and are highly regarded resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers products provide essential information to attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, the product line offers analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International products provide the global business community with reliable international legal information in English. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on Kluwer Law journals, looseleafs, books, and electronic products for comprehensive information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a comprehensive online legal research product providing legal content to law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Best Case Solutions is the leading bankruptcy software product to the bankruptcy industry. It provides software and workflow tools to flawlessly streamline petition preparation and the electronic filing process, while timely incorporating ever-changing court requirements.

ftwilliam.com offers employee benefits professionals the highest quality plan documents (retirement, welfare and non-qualified) and government forms (5500/PBGC, 1099 and IRS) software at highly competitive prices.

MediRegs products provide integrated health care compliance content and software solutions for professionals in healthcare, higher education and life sciences, including professionals in accounting, law and consulting.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a division of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York. Wolters Kluwer is a market-leading global information services company focused on professionals.

Why It's Important: A Preface for Students

You're busy. I know. Reading a preface is not high on your to-do list. But this one is written with you in mind. And short. So give me five minutes. Maybe ten.

Four quick points:

First, this casebook has a personality, a voice: mine. In that way, it may be unlike other casebooks. Its voice is conversational. And here and there, it takes a position directly, not only through the views of others or with rhetorical questions.

Second, this book contains many problems. Some are one paragraph, others a page or more. Many are based on real dilemmas I've heard or read about. Mostly, the problems are dense and messy, like life. They arose yesterday or will arise tomorrow, in one form or another.

Third, the book contains many short essays (a/k/a, notes). The legal ethics world (indeed, Lawyerland generally) must be mapped through stories along with doctrines. Detail offers nuance and variation and a context within which to test doctrine.

Fourth, this is your second most important class. Yeah, right, you think. It's a bold statement, and here's why I make it. Say you become an antitrust lawyer. Your criminal procedure class will fade into a remote corner of memory. Or if you become a criminal defense lawyer, you won't need to know much copyright. But whatever work you do as a lawyer, you will practice what you learn in this book and the class that assigns it every day of your professional life. Other courses teach lessons that bear on a client's legal problems. This course is about you and your work. There are two exceptions: Knowledge of these rules enables you to protect clients against misconduct of other lawyers. And representing law firms in trouble (or needing advice to avoid trouble) is a growing practice area.

As you approach the starting line of your legal career, most important are the rules that constrain your behavior. You will want to know—in such areas as competence, fees, marketing, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, negotiation, and the client-lawyer relationship—what may or must you do or not do with confidence that your conduct will not land you before a disciplinary committee, create civil liability, invite court sanction, forfeit your fee, or damage your reputation. "Ethics," while a

useful term, does not accurately describe these lessons. The law business is heavily regulated, and the regulations have grown more complex in recent decades. This has led to new terms — the law governing lawyers and the law of lawyering—lest anyone be fooled by the word "ethics" into believing that the subject is simply about how to be a good person (although there's some of that too).

Avoid two errors. First, do not believe that the right way to act — toward clients, courts, adversaries, or colleagues — will be intuitively obvious. Sure, sometimes it will be. But no one needs to teach you not to lie or steal, and certainly not with hundreds of pages of text. The rules here may be obscure; they may even be counterintuitive, and they can be subtle in application. Application in turn calls for judgment, and judgment is mostly learned through experience. Indeed, much of what lawyers do for clients is make judgments—about where the law is and is likely to go, what a particular judge or court will do in a pending litigation, and the risks of a contemplated strategy or decision. You develop that judgment across years of practice, but it begins now.

Second, you don't want to make the mistake of assuming that your employer will provide all the protection you need. Many law offices do have systems to detect and avoid mistakes and they have people to whom lawyers can turn for advice. But the best systems and resources are still not perfect, and anyway, the professional responsibility of a lawyer cannot be handed to others. Furthermore, you need to know enough about the subject to be aware when you have a problem that requires advice.

A broader perspective from which to view the laws and rules that regulate lawyers looks at their effect on civil society and the administration of justice. These rules and laws help define the nature and work of the entire profession and therefore the behavior of our legal institutions and the quality of our social justice. For example, a rule that restricts how lawyers may advertise will influence the conduct of individual lawyers. But it will also affect public awareness of legal rights and lead to price competition. A rule that prohibits or requires a lawyer to reveal a client's confidential information to protect others from harm will influence a lawyer's own behavior, but it may also affect what clients are willing to reveal. In short, many rules have social and political consequences (sometimes profound ones) beyond any single representation or practice.

As you enter law practice, you may be more interested in such questions as "How do I behave?" and "How can I stay out of trouble?" than in asking the broader question, "What are the consequences to civil society and justice if one or another version of a particular rule is applied to America's one million licensed lawyers?"* Still, the last question is

^{*}I say one million licensed lawyers but estimates vary. Some sources count licensed lawyers, some count practicing lawyers, and others count law school graduates. Some count the total number of licensed lawyers, but double count lawyers licensed in more than one state. A million sounds about right, though. And if the figure is 50,000 more or less, does it matter?

important and, if not as immediate, will surely arise in the course of your professional life. You may someday be in positions to resolve the broader questions—as manager of a law office, member of a bar committee, legislator, government lawyer, or judge.

Asking about the consequences to justice and civil society if a rule is resolved one way rather than another—asking which resolution is best—engenders different, sometimes vehement, responses from practicing lawyers and the public. Why is that? In part, it is because the answers depend on political and moral values more fundamental than the "ethics" that inform various codes. And, of course, the political and moral values of different people differ. In addressing these questions, we should also try to be honest about the interests we mean to protect. Those of society generally? Those of a particular client population? The legal profession's? Our own? Law school and law practice, it is sometimes said, encourage more rather than less self-interest in answering the questions raised here. In transition as you are, your answers may vary from what they would have been before you entered law school, and they will likely be different five years on.

If you are using this book in a law school class, you are likely in Generation Y, whose members came of age after 2010. I believe that you will enter a profession in greater transition than any of your predecessors in U.S. history. Three interrelated forces are buffeting the law industry: technology, globalization, and competition both from abroad and from new sources of legal advice. These forces are upsetting a lawyer regulatory system that served the United States well for a century, a system based on geography. Traditionally and still lawyers are licensed by a place and had their clients and office in the same place. But technology has disturbed the utility of geography as an organizing principle. The Internet does not recognize borders. And technology and globalization have facilitated the efforts of others to make money in the law industry and the ability of businesses here and abroad to profit from U.S. law work by doing work once done by U.S. lawyers at much lower cost.

It is still too soon to know how the changes will play out, so there is not much we can say about them in this edition. But they are acknowledged in Chapters 12B and 14B and you must be aware of them as you plan for the future.

ns endekingtif alayin. Amer soo * * *

This is the ninth edition of the book. I started on the first edition in 1982 shortly before the birth of the first of two amazing daughters to whom all editions have been dedicated. I delivered the manuscript to the publisher a day after the birth of the second daughter in 1984. Since then, I spend an hour or two each week planning the next edition. The daughters are now out in the world but the book still resides at home.

You think a lot about what a casebook is and can be when you live with one so long. The book's primary function is to provide information, but that's just the beginning. The minimum editorial task would allow me to pick good cases and other materials, edit them, order them logically, add interstitial notes and questions, and put the product between covers. Voila! A casebook. Of course, one must begin this way, but if nothing more were possible (even if not required), I wonder if I would have kept at it so long. Luckily, more is possible while still serving the book's objective—to teach the subject.

For starters, we can strive for humor, variety, clarity, and good writing. The enterprise will not likely support the extended charm of a Hazlitt essay or the quirkiness of a Vonnegut novel — assuming counterfactually that I had the talent to achieve either (in which case I'd probably be in a different line of work) — but a casebook is a book, after all, and it should have an authorial presence in so far as possible. That's what makes the book mine.

The legal profession is a culture of storytellers and stories. Harrison Tweed (1885-1969), a president of the New York City Bar Association, once said: "I have a high opinion of lawyers. With all their faults, they stack up well against those in every other occupation or profession. They are better to work with or play with or fight with or drink with than most other varieties of mankind." These words are inscribed on a wall at the Association's headquarters. As a young lawyer, I thought Tweed was overly effusive, if not downright sanctimonious. At that time, I was inclined to agree with the character in George Bernard Shaw's play *The Doctor's Dilemma* who said that "all professions are conspiracies against the laity." To some extent, I still find Tweed excessive and Shaw's character apt, even if hyperbolic. But now I think Tweed had a point. The profession and its members *are* fascinating to study, and its stories *are* fascinating to hear.

As with the study of any culture, understanding the bar requires density of information. We must know a thousand small details about the actual life within the society of lawyers, not merely a few doctrines and theories, if we are going to understand Lawyerland truly. I have tried to include some of those details here.

I invite your views on the book. What was dull? What worked well? How can the book be improved? Have you encountered a quote or story somewhere (true or fictional) that you think nicely highlights an issue? This edition is indebted to past users who alerted me to interesting sources. Send e-mail to stephen.gillers@nyu.edu. All comments will be gratefully acknowledged.

Okay. My ten minutes are up. Onto Chapter 1.

Acknowledgments

Like the first eight editions of this book, written for law students, this edition is also the fortunate beneficiary of the diligent work of NYU law students. They are:

Abigail E. Belknap, J.D. Class of 2013 Patrick Boyd, J.D. Class of 2013 Marissa Elgrissy, J.D. Class of 2012 Alina Fortson, J.D. Class of 2012 Devina Gupta, LL.M. Class of 2012 Krystan A. Hitchcock, J.D. Class of 2013 Shreyas B. Jhaveri, LL.M. Class of 2012 Terra Judge, J.D. Class of 2012 Akshaya Kamalnath, LL.M. Class of 2012 Mieke M. Krynauw, LL.M. Class of 2012 Eric D. Lawson, J.D. Class of 2013 Brian Leary, J.D. Class of 2012 Uloma Onuma, LL.M. Class of 2012 Kaihli M. Ross, J.D. Class of 2012 Joshua Stager, J.D. Class of 2013 John C. Vazquez, J.D. Class of 2012 Paula M. Vera, J.D. Class of 2013

I have been fortunate beyond words to have the priceless administrative help of a single person—Shirley Gray—starting with the very first (typewritten) word of the very first edition and continuing, meticulously, to the very last word of this one a span of the very last word of this one a span of the very last word of this one a span of the very last word of this one.

to the very last word of this one, a span of some 28 years.

This ninth edition builds on the first eight and the area.

This ninth edition builds on the first eight and, therefore, reflects the work of New York University School of Law students whose energies contributed to its ancestors. They are: Lisa C. Kerr, NYU LL.M. class of 2009 (eighth edition); Anderson T. Bailey, New York University School of Law, J.D., class of 2006 (seventh edition); Howard Anglin, Cindy Hwang, and Eric R. Womack, J.D., class of 2003 (sixth edition); Leonard A. Ho and David F. Levine, class of 1999 (fifth edition); Julie C. Brain and Maria Lopotukhin, class of 1995 and 1996, respectively (fourth edition); Mary E. McDonald, class of 1993 (third edition); Laura Gilbert and Barbara

Quakenbos, class of 1990 and 1988, respectively (second edition); and Patricia C. Hayashi, class of 1983, Virginia L. Richards, class of 1986, and Susan A. Waxenberg, class of 1982 (first edition).

My debt to members of the professional staff of the NYU School of Law Library also continues. Anyone who produces a book like this knows how important librarians are. Exceptionally useful assistance to this and prior editions was repeatedly available from Ronald Brown, Elizabeth Evans, Gretchen Feltes, Leslie Rich, and Jay Shuman.

My colleague Norman Dorsen was my co-author on the first two editions of this casebook. Other demands on his time caused Professor Dorsen to trust succeeding editions to my sole care. Nevertheless, in countless ways this edition, like its predecessors, benefits from Professor Dorsen's early work and advice.

My understanding of the subject of this book and whatever knowledge it may impart has been immeasurably enhanced by my conversations with one person whom I mention last but am grateful to most: Barbara S. Gillers, Esq., whose decades of professional work on lawyer regulation — in a government office, private practice, and with bar associations local and national — put her on the front lines of most of the developing issues recounted here. She has left private practice, but not the field. She now teaches about the law and ethical rules governing lawyers and judges as an adjunct professor at the law schools of both New York University and Columbia University.

Through the wonders of modern technology, it was possible seamlessly to work on this book in many cities: Singapore, Munich, Berlin, Paris, Toronto, and of course New York. A special thanks to Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP and its Paris office for facilitating international mail shipments when hard copy transport was required.

I would like to thank the following for permission to reprint the identified material:

Robert S. Caine, A Lawyer's View of Being a Litigant, N.Y.L.J., May 16, 1994, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

Marvin E. Frankel, from Partisan Justice by Marvin E. Frankel. Copyright © 1980 by Marvin E. Frankel. Reprinted by permission.

Ronald L. Goldfarb, Lawyers Should Be Judged by the Clients They Keep, Wash. Post, Apr. 6, 1997. Reprinted by permission.

Daniel J. Kornstein, A Tragic Fire—A Great Cross-Examination, N.Y.L.J., Mar. 28, 1986, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

Jonathan R. Macey, Mandatory Pro Bono: Comfort for the Poor or Welfare for the Rich?, 77 Cornell L. Rev. 1115 (1992). Reprinted with permission of the Cornell Law Review.

John B. Mitchell, Reasonable Doubts Are Where You Find Them: A Response to Professor Subin's Position on the Criminal Lawyer's Different Mission, 1 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 339 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Robert C. Post, On the Popular Image of the Lawyer: Reflections in a Dark Glass, 75 Cal. L. Rev. 379, 379-380, 387-389 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Deborah Rhode, Cultures of Commitment: Pro Bono for Lawyers and Law Students, 67 Fordham L. Rev. 2415 (1999). Reprinted by permission. Simon H. Rifkind, The Lawyer's Role and Responsibility in Modern Society, 30 The Record of the Assoc. Of the Bar of the City of N.Y. 534 (1975). Copyright © 1975 by Simon H. Rifkind. Reprinted by permission.

Ann Ruben and Emily Ruben, Letters to the Editor, N.Y.L.J., Apr. 14,

1986, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

Harry I. Subin, Is This Lie Necessary? Further Reflections on the Right to Present a False Defense, 1 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 689, 690-691 (1988). Reprinted by permission.

Harry I. Subin, The Criminal Lawyer's "Different Mission," 1 Geo. J.

Legal Ethics 125 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

A Word About Case Editing

No case is reprinted unedited. Omissions are identified with ellipses or brackets, but there is no identification where only case citations or other authorities are deleted. Case citations do not include subsequent history denying review except that United States Supreme Court denials of certiorari are indicated for principal cases. In a few instances, decisions have been vacated on jurisdictional grounds and these are indicated. Where a holding other than the one for which the case is cited has been overruled or limited by statute or a later case, that is not shown. Internal quotes are sometimes omitted from quotations within cases for ease of reading.

Summary of Contents

| Contents | | xi |
|--------------|--|--------|
| | rtant: A Preface for Students | XXV |
| Acknowledgme | | xxix |
| A Word About | Case Editing | xxxiii |
| Chapter I | Where Do "Ethics" Rules Come From? | 1 |
| | | |
| Part One | r XV Free Speech Rights of Lawsers and | |
| THE ATTOR | RNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP | 19 |
| Chapter II | Defining the Attorney-Client Relationship | 21 |
| Chapter III | Protecting the Attorney-Client Relationship | |
| 103 | Against Invasion | 97 |
| Chapter IV | Lawyers, Money, and the Ethics of Legal Fees | 123 |
| Part Two | | |
| CONFLICTS | OF INTEREST | 183 |
| Chapter V | Concurrent Conflicts of Interest | 185 |
| Chapter VI | Successive Conflicts of Interest | 281 |
| | | 401 |
| Part Three | | |
| SPECIAL LAV | WYER ROLES | 323 |
| Chapter VII | Ethics in Advocacy | 325 |
| Chapter VIII | Special Issues in Criminal Prosecutions | 423 |
| Chapter IX | Negotiation and Transactional Matters | 459 |
| Chapter X | Lawyers for Companies and Other | 459 |
| | Organizations | 485 |
| Chapter XI | Judges | 523 |

| Part Four AVOIDING AND REDRESSING PROFESSIONAL FAILURE 583 | | | |
|--|---|-------|--|
| | | | |
| | of Professional Failure | 585 | |
| Chapter XIII | Control of Quality: Remedies for Professional Failure | 649 | |
| Chapter XIV | Control of Quality: Nonlawyers in the Law | | |
| loc 1 | Industry and Related Issues | 761 | |
| | | | |
| Part Five | | | |
| FIRST AMENI | DMENT RIGHTS OF LAWYERS | hyado | |
| AND JUDICIA | L CANDIDATES | 791 | |
| Chapter XV | Free Speech Rights of Lawyers and | | |
| | Judicial Candidates | 793 | |
| Chapter XVI | Marketing Legal Services | 847 | |
| Table of Cases | | 881 | |
| Table of Codes, Rules, and Restatement Provisions | | 893 | |
| Index | | 895 | |

Contents

| Why It's Important: A Preface for Students Acknowledgments A Word About Case Editing | | xxv xxix xxxiii | | | | |
|--|--|--|----|--|--|--|
| Chapt | Chapter I Where Do "Ethics" Rules Come From? | | | | | |
| Part C | Part One | | | | | |
| THE A | TTORNEY-CL | IENT RELATIONSHIP | 19 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Chapt | er II Defini | ng the Attorney-Client Relationship | 21 | | | |
| A. | Is There a Cli | ent Here? | 22 | | | |
| B. | What Do Law | yers Owe Clients? | 25 | | | |
| | 1. Competen | | 25 | | | |
| | 2. Confident | | 26 | | | |
| | | Case of the Innocent Lifer" | 26 | | | |
| | | ient Is HIV Positive" | 27 | | | |
| | | Not Well" | 27 | | | |
| | Perez v. | Kirk & Carrigan | 29 | | | |
| | | and Ethically Protected Information: | | | | |
| | | he Difference? | 32 | | | |
| | Policies Be | hind the Privilege and Confidentiality Rules | 35 | | | |
| | Entity Clie | | 36 | | | |
| | "Slip a | nd Fall" | 36 | | | |
| | Upjohn | Co. v. United States | 39 | | | |
| | Samarit | an Foundation v. Goodfarb | 42 | | | |
| | The Restat | ement's Position | 47 | | | |
| | What's the | Right Policy? | 47 | | | |
| | Is There a | Government Attorney-Client Privilege? | 49 | | | |
| | | to the Privilege or the Ethical Duty | 51 | | | |
| | 3. Agency | Secretary devices and the | 63 | | | |
| | | o. Illinois | 64 | | | |
| | Bakery 1 | Machinery & Fabrication, Inc. v. | | | | |
| | Tradi | tional Baking, Inc. | 66 | | | |

xii

| xii | | Contents |
|--------|--|----------|
| | Vicarious Admissions | 68 |
| | Confidentiality Duties in Agency Law | 69 |
| | 4. Fiduciary | 70 |
| | 5. Loyalty and Diligence | 71 |
| | 6. The Duty to Inform and Advise | 72 |
| | Nichols v. Keller | 72 |
| | Robert S. Caine, A Lawyer's View of Being a | 12 |
| | Litigant | 73 |
| | The Client's Right to Know | 75 |
| | "In a Box" | 76 |
| | "What Is the Risk?" | 77 |
| (| 2. Autonomy of Attorneys and Clients | 77 |
| | 1. The Lawyer's Autonomy | 77 |
| | "Ms. Niceperson" | 78 |
| | "I Don't Plea Bargain" | 79 |
| | Jones v. Barnes | 80 |
| | The Scope of the Lawyer's Autonomy | 83 |
| | 2. The Client's Autonomy | 84 |
| | "Memorandum from General Counsel: | 04 |
| | Diversity in Staffing" | 0.1 |
| | "I'd Rather Die" | 84 |
| | "Accept the Offer" | 85 86 |
| | Olfe v. Gordon | 87 |
| | The Scope of the Client's Autonomy | 88 |
| | Clients with Diminished Capacity | |
| D | . Terminating the Relationship | 90 |
| | 1. Termination by the Client | 92 |
| | 2. Termination by the Lawyer | 92 |
| | 3. Termination by Drift | 93 |
| | Paragraph Roya, Coli Consignal | 94 |
| Chapte | er III Protecting the Attorney-Client Relationship | |
| | Against Invasion | 97 |
| | Policies Pedrard the Privates and Cammer States | 97 |
| A. | Communicating with Another Lawyer's Clients | 98 |
| | 1. Civil Matters | 101 |
| | "Slip and Fall Redux" | 101 |
| | "Checking References" | 101 |
| | Niesig v. Team I | 102 |
| | How Large the Circle of Secrecy? | 102 |
| | When the Government Is the Adversary | 108 |
| | Testers | 108 |
| | 2. Criminal Matters | 111 |
| | "The Case of the Cooperating Target" | 111 |
| | United States v. Carona | 111 |
| | | TIT |

| Contents | | xiii | |
|----------|------|--|-----|
| | В. | Improper or Accidental Acquisition of Confidential | 118 |
| | | Information | |
| | | "Something You Should Know" | 120 |
| | | Inadvertent Disclosure | 120 |
| Cha | apte | r IV Lawyers, Money, and the Ethics of | |
| | | Legal Fees | 123 |
| | Α. | The Role of the Marketplace | 126 |
| | 1 4. | Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison v. Telex Corp. | 126 |
| | | Time or Value (or Does Value = Time)? | 131 |
| | В | Unethical Fees | 133 |
| | ۵. | "What Are You Worth?" | 133 |
| | | In re Laurence S. Fordham | 135 |
| | | Postretainer Fee Agreements | 141 |
| | | Should a Lawyer Be Required to Put Fee | |
| | | Agreements in Writing? | 142 |
| | | Inflating Bills | 143 |
| | C. | | 143 |
| | | Contingent Fees and Conflicts of Interest | 145 |
| | | Prohibitions on Contingent Fees in Criminal | |
| | | and Matrimonial Cases | 146 |
| | | "A Radical Proposal or Wise Consumer | |
| | | Protection?" | 147 |
| | D. | Minimum Fee Schedules | 149 |
| | | Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar | 150 |
| | E. | Court-Awarded Fees | 153 |
| | | 1. What's the Right Amount? | 153 |
| | | 2. Settlement Conditioned on Fee Waiver | 155 |
| | | Evans v. Jeff D. | 155 |
| | | Questions About Evans v. Jeff D. | 164 |
| | | 3. Who May Receive Court-Ordered Fees? | 165 |
| | F. | Mandatory Pro Bono Plans | 166 |
| | | "Should We Adopt Mandatory Pro Bono?" | 166 |
| | | Deborah L. Rhode, Cultures of Commitment: | |
| | | Pro Bono for Lawyers and Law Students | 169 |
| | | Jonathan R. Macey, Mandatory Pro Bono: | |
| | | Comfort for the Poor or Welfare for | |
| | | the Rich? | 172 |
| | | Dennis Jacobs, Pro Bono for Fun and Profit | 176 |
| | G. | Who Gets the Money? | 178 |
| | | Dividing Money (or Clients) Within a Firm | 178 |
| | | Division of Fees Between Firms | 181 |
| | | | |