


H. H. Prell · P. R. Day

# Plant-Fungal Pathogen Interaction

A Classical  
and Molecular View



Springer


---

Hermann H. Prell · Peter R. Day

# Plant-Fungal Pathogen Interaction

A Classical and Molecular View

With 15 Figures and 12 Tables



Springer

---

Professor em. Dr. HERMANN H. PRELL  
Institut für Pflanzenpathologie  
und Pflanzenschutz  
Universität Göttingen  
Grisebachstraße 6  
37077 Göttingen, Germany

Professor Dr. PETER DAY  
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey  
Biotechnology Center for Agriculture  
and the Environment  
Foran Hall, Cook College  
59 Dudley Road  
New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8520, USA

---

*Title of the original German edition.* Hermann H. Prell: Interaktionen von Pflanzen  
und phytopathogenen Pilzen. ISBN 3-437-35028-5; © Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena 1996

*Cover illustration:* Telium with teleutospores of *Puccinia graminis* on a leaf. – Institute for  
Plant Pathology and Plant Protection, University of Göttingen, Dr. Helga Nirenberg.

---

ISBN 3-540-66727-X Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

Die Deutsche Bibliothek – CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Prell, Hermann H.:

Plant fungal pathogen interaction : a classical and molecular view /

Hermann H. Prell; Peter R. Day. – Heidelberg; New York;

Barcelona; Hong Kong; London; Milan; Paris; Singapore; Tokyo:

Springer, 2000

Einheitssacht.: Interaktionen von Pflanzen und phytopathogenen Pilzen <engl.>

ISBN 3-540-66727-X

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

ein Unternehmen der BertelsmannSpringer Science + Business Media GmbH

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001

Printed in Germany

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: Design & Production, Heidelberg

Typesetting: Mitterweger & Partner GmbH, Plankstadt

SPIN 10569959 31/3130 – 5 4 3 2 1 0 – Printed on acid-free paper



---

# Springer

*Berlin*

*Heidelberg*

*New York*

*Barcelona*

*Hong Kong*

*London*

*Milan*

*Paris*

*Singapore*

*Tokyo*

## Preface

This book has been written for scientists and graduate students in plant pathology, biology, biochemistry, genetics and molecular genetics, for practitioners in plant protection and plant breeding, and for the interested layman. We have tried to produce a concise survey of the diversity of interactions between plants and phytopathogenic fungi. Our main objective has been to help the reader to comprehend the complexity of these interactions and the many different approaches used to analyse them. Some recent developments are also presented to demonstrate the close connections between plant pathology and basic research in biology.

Within the last 20 years many exhaustive reviews have been published, that deal with particular aspects of plant-pathogen interactions. However, it is difficult to obtain from them a coherent picture comprising all or most of their important features. Also the technical terms employed in the literature may be unfamiliar to many readers and definitions are often difficult to find. This book provides definitions, attempts to bring together the recent literature on host-parasite interactions, and discusses the range of experimental approaches that have been used to explore them.

We have relied fairly heavily on the review papers listed at the end of each chapter. More recent and particularly interesting results from original papers are also cited in the text and the references given at the ends of chapters under "relevant papers". Since we wished to keep relevant references together at the end of each chapter some references are repeated in other chapters.

The first edition of this book appeared in German in the Fischer-Verlag. (Prell, Hermann, H.: *Interaktionen von Pflanzen und phytopathogenen Pilzen: Parasitierung, und Resistenz, Genetik und molekulare Phytopathologie*. – Jena; Stuttgart: G. Fischer, 1996). This English translation of the German book is a revised and updated version of the German publication. Translation and improvements of this second edition were prepared by both authors, H.P. and P.D.. Both appreciate the revision of the first English draft of H.P. carried out by the Springer-Verlag and the publisher's patience in waiting for the complete manuscript. – H.P. appreciates very much the support given by Dr. B. Koopmann, Inst. for Plant Pathology and Plant Protection, University Göttingen, for some literature searches and for assistance in delivering, receiving and converting emails, and the efforts by Mr. W. Eisenberg, M.A., Institute of

Subtropical Agriculture, University Göttingen, for help in preparing figures on the PC.

H.P. is especially indebted to his wife for her many helpful and elucidating discussions, and for her patience and encouragement during the years when writing the book.

Göttingen and New Brunswick,  
December 2000

Hermann H. Prell  
Peter R. Day

# Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Recognition, the First Step in Interaction Between Plant and Pathogen</b>                   | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Activities of Phytopathogenic Fungi when Colonizing Their Host Plants</b>                   | <b>17</b> |
| 3.1      | Putative Evolution of Fungal Phytopathogenicity  | 25        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Taxonomy of Phytopathogenic Fungi</b>   | <b>29</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Plant Defense Strategies Against Phytopathogenic Fungi</b>                                  | <b>31</b> |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Basic Resistance: The Absence of Parasitism of Non-Host Plants by Phytopathogenic Fungi</b> | <b>41</b> |
| 6.1      | The Origins of Basic Resistance  | 48        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Basic Compatibility: The Colonization of Host Plants by Pathogenic Fungi</b>                | <b>51</b> |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Phytotoxins: The Weapons of Necrotrophic Phytopathogenic Fungi</b>                          | <b>57</b> |
| 8.1      | Non-Host-Selective Toxins  | 58        |
| 8.2      | Host-Selective Toxins  | 60        |
| 8.3      | Genetics of Toxin Biosynthesis and Toxin Resistance  | 65        |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Host Plant Resistance: Cultivar- or Parasite-Specific Resistance</b>                        | <b>71</b> |
| 9.1      | Race-Specific, or Vertical, Resistance   | 75        |
| 9.1.1    | The “Gene-for-Gene” Hypothesis   | 79        |
| 9.1.2    | The Properties of Race-Specific Resistance   | 99        |
| 9.1.3    | Hypothetical Mechanisms Responsible for Triggering Race-Specific Resistance                    | 102       |
| 9.1.3.1  | The Elicitor-Receptor Model  | 103       |
| 9.1.3.2  | The Dimer Model  | 118       |



|         |  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
| 9.1.3.3 | The Ion Channel Defense Model .....  | 120 |
| 9.1.3.4 | The Suppressor-Receptor Model .....  | 128 |
| 9.1.4   | Plant Genes Conditioning Receptor Function .....   | 129 |
| 9.1.5   | Coevolution of Resistant Host Plants and Their<br>Specific Virulent Pathogens in Agriculture .....                     | 151 |
| 9.1.6   | Preservation of <i>Avr</i> Genes in Pathogen Populations<br>and Their Possible Function .....                          | 157 |
| 9.1.7   | The Evolution of Race-Specific Resistance<br>in Natural Ecosystems .....   | 161 |
| 9.1.8   | Deviations from Strict Gene-for-Gene Relationships .....   | 165 |
| 9.2     | Race-Non-Specific, or Horizontal, Resistance .....   | 174 |
| 10      | Host Plant Tolerance .....   | 183 |
| 11      | Induced Resistance .....   | 185 |
| 12      | Evolution of Plant-Pathogen Interaction – Its Influence<br>on Breeding of Disease Resistant Crops in Agriculture ..... | 195 |
| 13      | Glossary: Explanation of some Terms Utilized in Biology<br>and Plant Pathology .....                                   | 197 |
|         | Subject Index .....  | 205 |

## List of Tables

|          |  |     |
|----------|--|-----|
| Table 1  | Comparison of physiological properties of necrotrophs, biotrophs, and their host plants . . . . .  | 20  |
| Table 2  | Comparison of basic and host resistance . . . . .  | 32  |
| Table 3  | Concerted action of pathogenicity genes . . . . .  | 52  |
| Table 4  | Quadratic check for toxin sensitivity . . . . .  | 62  |
| Table 5  | Comparison of host-selective toxins of <i>Cochliobolus</i> spp. . .  | 67  |
| Table 6  | Quadratic check for race-specific resistance . . . . .   | 86  |
| Table 7  | Independent interaction of corresponding gene pairs for race specific resistance and epistasis of incompatibility over compatibility . . . . . | 91  |
| Table 8  | Dominance of genes determining race-specific resistance and avirulence . . . . .   | 93  |
| Table 9  | Reciprocal check demonstrating independent expression of racespecific resistance . . . . .   | 94  |
| Table 10 | Quadratic check demonstrating dominance, epistasis, and independence among different gene pairs . . . . .                                      | 96  |
| Table 11 | Constant ranking of race-non-specific (horizontal) and differential ranking of race-specific (vertical) resistant cultivars . . . . .          | 176 |
| Table 12 | Comparison of race-non-specific (horizontal) and race-non-specific (vertical) resistance . . . . .   | 177 |



## List of Figures

|              |  |     |
|--------------|--|-----|
| Figure 1     | Basic incompatibility . . . . .  | 46  |
| Figure 2     | Basic compatibility . . . . .  | 53  |
| Figure 3     | <i>Melampsora lini</i> : Life cycle . . . . .  | 81  |
| Figure 4a,b  | Gene-for-gene recognition . . . . .  | 89  |
| Figure 5     | Inducible plant defense reactions . . . . .  | 101 |
| Figure 6     | Elicitor-receptor model . . . . .  | 104 |
| Figure 7     | Dimer model . . . . .  | 119 |
| Figure 8     | Ion channel defense model . . . . .  | 122 |
| Figure 9     | Pathogen-induced signal cascades . . . . .   | 126 |
| Figure 10    | Receptor proteins for race-specific resistance . . . . .                                     | 145 |
| Figure 11    | Coevolution of race-specific resistance and specific virulence . . . . .                     | 153 |
| Figure 12    | Gene-for-gene pathway . . . . .  | 167 |
| Figure 13a,b | Gene-for-gene pathway with dominant suppressor . . . . .                                     | 169 |
| Figure 14    | Gene-for-gene pathway with recessive suppressor . . . . .                                    | 170 |
| Figure 15    | Connection between race-specific resistance and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) . . . . . | 189 |



The interactions between plants and phytopathogenic fungi are complex. The first studies of the processes involved pursued two questions: first what is the physiological and biochemical nature of the interaction; and second how are the interactions genetically determined? The latter approach was particularly important for breeding crops resistant to attack by phytopathogenic fungi. Different experimental systems were employed depending on the view-point and the questions emerging from it. Numerous observations and experiments with many different host-pathogen systems led to the discovery of a great many singular causal connections. Assembling these facts to make a rational and homogeneous picture of the processes going on during plant-pathogen interactions required a series of working hypotheses, some of which still have to be proved or disproved.

During the last twenty years it has become increasingly clear that substantial progress in understanding plant-pathogen interactions can only be achieved if the action of the genes involved can be characterized as precisely as possible, not only formally in terms like “suppression”, “activation” or “recognition”. From this type of analysis, plant pathologists were able to incorporate experimental results into working hypotheses that were derived from genetic analyses and physiological and biochemical investigations. This has led to the formulation of many new questions, which could be tackled by molecular biology and molecular genetics with newly designed experimental systems. The same new tools were also applied to reconsider the older working hypotheses.

Although we are still far from having answers to all of the open questions, our present knowledge and derived hypotheses offer a basis for attempting to integrate systematically many observations and conclusions. This book describes the complex network of plant-pathogen interactions with a general picture in mind. Some especially interesting new findings, and the conclusions and hypotheses emerging from them, will be described in more detail. Well established experimental systems, and their genetic backgrounds, are emphasized in the hope of inspiring the reader to ask further questions and/or to think about novel experimental systems.

To help the reader facts or ideas presented in other chapters are often repeated. Cross references are also given to chapter(s), where a topic is discussed in more detail or in a different context. The glossary and index are a further guide to facts and definitions. Suggestions for further reading are given at the end of

each chapter and are divided into “reviews” and “relevant papers”. Only “relevant papers” are cited in the text and refer to particular experimental work. The reviews cited are of a more general nature and are generally not cited within the text.

The following synopsis was prepared for readers who prefer an overview before becoming immersed in details. It contains commonly used technical terms (boldface printed in this chapter) with short, clear definitions and examples of their use. Unequivocal communication or description of phenomena and their connections requires clearly defined terms. Readers who find this dry and abstract can skip this section and start with chapter 2. In all the chapters new terms are defined and explained where they are first used. Should the reader get lost he can go back to the overview for re-orientation. Definitions of technical terms can also be obtained via the index. Page numbers in italics refer to the glossary.

How do plants and phytopathogenic fungi behave if they meet each other? Ordinarily, plants reject attacking phytopathogenic fungi. Parasitism is an exception to the rule. The result of the interaction between both partners, parasitic colonization of the plant by the pathogen, or failure of parasitism because the pathogen is repelled by the plant’s defenses, depends first of all on the genetic determinants of the plant and the pathogen. Most plants are not normally colonized and parasitized by most pathogens. They are **non-host plants** for these pathogens. Non-host plants were sometimes described as exhibiting **immunity** against pathogen attack. The reasons why plants are non-hosts may differ in detail, but in general the term designates a situation where the pathogen is unable to surmount barriers that prevent colonization of the plant. These various barriers comprise the **basic resistance** or **basic incompatibility** of the plant. The underlying mechanism of basic incompatibility may depend either on the plant, on the pathogenic fungus, or on both. Plant mechanisms may also protect against attack by quite different plant pathogens such as arthropods, nematodes, phytopathogenic bacteria or viruses. Occasionally the term **avoidance** is used when a plant manages to resist a pathogen attack, irrespective of the mechanism involved.

As mentioned above, successful attack of a plant by a pathogenic fungus is a rare exception and not the rule. Successful parasitism by the fungus depends on the production of **pathogenicity factors** acquired during evolution. As result of successful attack by a pathogenic fungus the plant becomes a **host plant** for that pathogen. Between both partners there exists a so called **basic compatibility**. This term embodies all physiological and biochemical requirements for colonizing and parasitizing a particular plant by a particular pathogenic fungus. During the process of colonization the pathogen withdraws nutrients from its host plant and lives and multiplies at its expense. This leads to biochemical changes within the plant that result in more or less conspicuous **disease symptoms** like yellowing of leaves, wilting, necrosis or distortions of plant form which reduce the vitality of the plant and, with time, may finally end in the death of plant parts or even the whole plant. – Basic compatibility is a highly specific phenomenon referring to

only a particular plant species and the appropriate pathogen species or *forma specialis*. On the contrary, basic resistance and basic incompatibility are rather unspecific phenomena observed among plants in contact with almost all pathogens.

Even though plant and pathogen may have evolved basic compatibility, the host plant may deploy defense strategies to limit pathogen attack. Two basically different defense strategies can be distinguished in terms of the physiological and biochemical mechanisms that result in resistance:

- (a) The plant cell(s) injured by the invading pathogen dies very rapidly causing necrosis of immediately adjacent tissue. In this way the pathogen is cut off from its nutritional substrate, is thus prevented from attacking further plant cells, and finally dies by starvation. This is the so called **hypersensitive reaction (HR)** or **hypersensitive cell death**. It is a rapid, and in many cases, macroscopically observable necrotic response of plant cells to pathogen attack.
- (b) The plant cell survives the pathogen attack and is induced to build up new defense barriers by *de novo* synthesis of mRNA and protein. This is called a **non-hypersensitive reaction**. HR can also lead to this response in adjacent tissues.

The interaction of a **host plant** with a basically compatible pathogen is called a **homologous interaction**. The pathogen able to parasitize this plant is an **homologous pathogen**. The interaction of a **non-host plant** with a pathogen is designated a **heterologous interaction**. In this case the pathogen is a **heterologous pathogen**, the plant exhibits basic resistance, and no colonization can ensue. However, when a pathogen interacts with certain non-host plants, a hypersensitive reaction may be triggered which then is termed a **heterologous hypersensitive reaction** or **heterologous HR**.

The homologous interaction of a pathogen with its host plant, and its colonization, is also called a **compatible** interaction of both partners. However, under certain conditions, such as the host plant has a gene for resistance against a particular homologous pathogen, the interaction becomes **incompatible**. This means the pathogen is rejected by its host plant although there is basic compatibility between both partners. This so called **host resistance** comes into action because the infecting pathogen induces defense reactions in the host plant. These block or restrain growth and reproduction of the parasite thereby restricting colonization of the plant. Host resistance presupposes, at least by definition, the existence of basic compatibility between the partners.

In the plant pathology literature, the terms compatible and incompatible are usually applied only to homologous interactions, i.e. to the interactions of a host plant with homologous pathogens. **Homologous compatibility** leads to colonization of the host plant and survival of the pathogen. On the other hand, **homologous incompatibility** means that the pathogen can neither parasitize its host



plant nor survive. An exception to this is the so called **heterologous incompatibility** which designates a **heterologous HR**, i.e. the appearance of an HR reaction between pathogens and certain non-host plants. – In cases where a compatible interaction does not result in the appearance of disease symptoms on the plant, or a significant reduction of crop yield, one speaks of **tolerance** of the plant towards the pathogen.

Phytopathogenic fungi follow two different strategies to ensure their nutrition; necrotrophy and biotrophy. **Necrotrophs** first kill their host cells before they can colonize them. Killing is brought about either by changes in the cell metabolism after infection, or is due to the action of **toxins** or **extracellular enzymes** produced by the infecting pathogen. (Necrotrophy of fungal pathogens is sometimes also called **perthotrophy** thus emphasizing these pathogens first kill their host cells before parasitizing them. In that case the term necrotrophy is confined to saprophytic, non-pathogenic fungi unable to kill plant cells and only feeding on already dead plant materials.) According to the range of activities of toxins synthesized by plant pathogens one distinguishes between **host specific toxins** or **host-selective toxins** on one side and **non-host-specific toxins** or **non-host-selective toxins** on the other.

**Biotrophs** depend on the metabolism of the infected host cells and surrounding plant tissue. This means that injury leading to host and tissue death is usually delayed until reproduction of the pathogen has been completed. Some biotrophs display during their life cycle first a biotrophic phase and later on, when the plant tissue collapses and dies, a saprophytic phase that exploits the metabolites present in the dead tissue.

As mentioned already, phytopathogenic fungi attack only particular plant species, i.e. they exhibit a limited **host range**. This means a pathogen can overcome the basic resistance of a plant within its host range and establish with it a basic compatibility. The host range of a pathogen is determined by the activities of its **pathogenicity genes** and their **pathogenicity factors**, respectively, “tuned” for this very host plant. In other words, distinct pathogenicity factors determine the specificity of the pathogen for a particular plant. However, pathogens such as *Botrytis* or several *Phytophthora* spp. exhibit little or no specificity for distinct host plants. Some pathogen species can be subdivided into **formae speciales** (f.sp.), comparable to the subspecies of a plant. The members of a *forma specialis* are generally confined to a single plant species (**species specificity**), or more rarely to several species.

The different defense mechanisms employed by plants against attacking pathogens can also be distinguished by the level at which they come into action: A pathogen is first faced with basic resistance (non-host resistance, general resistance, basic incompatibility, broad resistance or parasite non-specific resistance) which represents the **first level** of **pathogen defense** in a plant. When basic resistance is effective, the pathogenic fungus succeeds at best in establishing a transient contact with the plant, but is unable to colonize it. As mentioned above,