COMPUTER CRIME LAW

Orin S. Kerr

American Casebook Series®



Thomson/West have created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson/West are not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

American Casebook Series and West Group are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

© 2006 Thomson/West

610 Opperman Drive P.O. Box 64526 St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 1-800-328-9352

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN-13: 978-0-314-14400-3 ISBN-10: 0-314-14400-5





West's Law School Advisory Board

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley

DAVID P. CURRIE

Professor of Law, University of Chicago

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Chancellor, Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

WAYNE R. LaFAVE

Professor of Law, University of Illinois

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, University of California, Los Angeles

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

 $To\ my\ father,\ the\ original\ Professor\ Kerr$

Preface

This book is designed to fill a gap in the modern law school curriculum. Traditional course offerings in criminal law and procedure largely ignore computer crimes. This was understandable a few years ago, when computer crime law was an esoteric specialty. Today, however, computer crime law is emerging as an important area of criminal law practice. A growing number of prosecutors and defense attorneys find themselves working with legal questions unique to digital crimes. The existing curriculum does not cover these topics, except perhaps for brief mention in a "cyberlaw" survey course. This book attempts to correct that situation by offering comprehensive coverage of the new field, assembled together in one place for the first time.

The materials are organized around three questions. First, what conduct involving a computer is prohibited by the criminal law? Second, what legal rules govern the collection of digital evidence in criminal investigations? Third, what powers do state, national, and foreign governments have to investigate and prosecute computer crimes? The first question looks at the substantive law of computer crimes, and is addressed in Chapters 2, 3, and 4. The second question examines the law of criminal procedure for digital evidence, and is covered in Chapters 5 and 6. The third question considers the role of jurisdiction and sovereignty in a world of global online crime, and is addressed in Chapters 7 and 8.

Although the materials are intended primarily for classroom use, my hope is that they will reach a broader audience. First, the materials should be helpful to criminal law practitioners. Computer crime law lacks a treatise that summarizes the field and explains how the pieces fit together. In light of that, I have made special efforts to explain basic doctrine in a way that practitioners should find useful. The law remains complex and sometimes uncertain, and this book makes no effort to pretend otherwise. At the same time, I hope these materials will serve as a reference work for prosecutors and defense attorneys in need of a primer on existing law.

The book also should be a useful resource for scholars. Computer crime law is new and evolving, and many issues remain open. Surprisingly little has been written about the major issues in the field. My hope is that the framework introduced in this book will help encourage and direct future scholarly efforts. To that end, the extensive commentary in these materials attempts both to review existing scholarship and to ask important questions for scholars to answer.

The materials in this book are best suited for classroom use in a onesemester course offered to upper-level law students, either as a threecredit class or a two-credit seminar. I assume that readers have some experience with basic criminal law, such as a first-year law school survey course. On the other hand, I do not assume that readers are familiar with the law of criminal procedure. In addition, I assume that readers have no special background with computers. Students with advanced knowledge of computers or criminal procedure will have a slight advantage understanding a few concepts, but the advantages on the whole are modest. In any event, no such background is assumed or required.

This project began with materials assembled for a computer crime seminar in the Fall 2000 semester, and I have many people to thank for their help during the six-year road to publication. Mark Eckenwiler, Erica Hashimoto, Mike Levy, Paul Ohm, Rick Salgado, and Peter Winn provided feedback on drafts and chapters. GW Law students Noah Falk '05, Kemp Brinson '04, and Tejbir Singh '03 collected materials during in the early stages of the project. In the last year, a small army of GW Law students helped me with formatting, citechecking, and editing: David Luden (who did a great deal of the work), Melissa Colangelo, Mark Knights, Sharmili Hazra, David Kim, Jennifer Best, Adam Schwartz, Erika Ban, Adele Ho, Alejandra Perez, Merel Berling, Claire Ogilvie, and Matt Benz. Dean Fred Lawrence provided resources and welcome encouragement. Finally, I am particularily grateful to my former colleagues at the Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section (CCIPS) of the United States Department of Justice. This book covers issues I first encountered as an attorney at CCIPS from 1998 to 2001, and I could not have written it without the benefit of many illuminating discussions at CCIPS during that period. I am especially indebted to my friend Mark Eckenwiler, who patiently answered countless questions when I was new to the field.

To improve the readability of the materials, I have taken the liberty of removing citations, deleting unrelated portions of opinions, and otherwise streamlining the cases without necessarily indicating that the original material has been altered. Readers in need of greater precision should always consult the original sources.

ORIN KERR WASHINGTON, DC

September 2006

Acknowledgments

Excerpts from the following books and articles appear with the kind of permission of the copyright holders.

- Aldrich, Richard W., How Do You Know You Are at War in the Information Age?, 22 Hous. J. Int'l L. 223 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by the Houston Journal of International Law. Reprinted by permission.
- Bellia, Patricia L., Defending Cyberproperty, 79 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 2164 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by New York University Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Bellia, Patricia L., Surveillance Law Through Cyberlaw's Lens, 72 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 1375 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by The George Washington Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Berg, Terrence, WWW.WILDWEST.GOV: The Impact of the Internet on State Power to Enforce the Law, B.Y.U. L. Rev. 1305 (2000). Copyright © 2000 Brigham Young University Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Brenner, Susan W., Need for Reciprocal Enforcement of Warrants and Subpoenas in Cybercrime Cases, 37 Prosecutor 29 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Brenner, Susan W. and Frederiksen, Barbara A., Computer Searches And Seizures: Some Unresolved Issues, 8 Mich. Telecomm. & Tech. L. Rev. 39 (2001–2002). Copyright © 2002 by Michigan Telecommunications and Technology Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- Demetriou, Christina; Silke, Andrew; A Criminological Internet "Sting": Experimental Evidence of Illegal and Deviant Visits to a Website Trap, 43 Brit. J. Criminology 213 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by Oxford University Press. Reprinted by permission.
- Freiwald, Susan, Online Surveillance: Remembering the Lessons of the Wiretap Act, 56 Ala. L. Rev. 9 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Freiwald, Susan, Uncertain Privacy: Communication Attributes After the Digital Telephone Act, 69 S. Cal. L. Rev. 949 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Moohr, Geraldine Szott, The Problematic Role of Criminal Law in Regulating Use of Information: The Case of the Economic Espionage Act, 80 N.C. L. Rev. 853 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by North Carolina Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

- Howell, Beryl A., Real World Problems of Virtual Crime, 7 Yale J. L. & Tech. 103 (2004–2005). Copyright © 2005 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Ingraham, Donald G., On Charging Computer Crime, 2 Computer/L.J. 429 (1980). Copyright © 1980 by John Marshall Journal of Computer & Information Law. Reprinted by permission.
- Katyal, Neal Kumar, Criminal Law In Cyberspace, 149 U. Penn. L. Rev. 1003 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by University of Pennsylvania Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Keller, Bruce P., The Game's The Same: Why Gambling in Cyberspace Violates Federal Law, 108 Yale L.J. 1569 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by the Yale Law Journal. Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 108, pages 1569–1609.
- Kerr, Orin, Cybercrime's Scope: Interpreting "Access" and "Authorization" in Computer Misuse Statutes, 79 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1596 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by New York University Law Review.
- Loren, Lydia Pallas, Digitization, Commodification, Criminalization: The Evolution of Criminal Copyright Infringement and the Importance of the Willfulness Requirement, 77 Wash. U. L.Q. 835 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by Washington University Law Quarterly. Reprinted by permission.
- McPhie, David, Almost Private: Pen Registers, Packet Sniffers, and Privacy at the Margin, Stan. Tech. L. Rev. 1 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Stanford Technology Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Meese, Edwin, Big Brother on the Beat: The Expanding Federalization of Crime, 1 Tex. Rev. L. & Politics 1 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by Texas Review of Law and Politics. Reprinted by permission.
- Mulligan, Deirdre K., Reasonable Expectations in Electronic Communications: A Critical Perspective on the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 72 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 1557 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by The George Washington Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Olivenbaum, Joseph M., Ctrl-Alt-Delete: Rethinking Federal Computer Crime Legislation, 27 Seton Hall L. Rev. 574 (1997).
- Parker, Donn B., Fighting Computer Crime (1983). Copyright © 1983 by Simon & Schuster, Inc. Reprinted by permission.
- Perritt, Henry H., Jr., Jurisdiction in Cyberspace, 41 Vill. L. Rev. 1 (1996). Reprinted by permission.
- Podgor, Ellen S., International Computer Fraud: A Paradigm for Limiting National Jurisdiction, 35 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 267 (2002). Copyright © 2002 by University of California at Davis Law Review. Reprinted by permission.

- Rasch, Mark, Ashcroft's Global Internet Power-Grab, available at http://online.securityfocus.com/Columnists/39 (Nov. 25, 2001). Copyright © 2001 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Robinson, Paul H. and Darley, John M., The Role of Deterrence in the Fromulation of Criminal Law Rules: At Its Worst When Doing Its Best, 91 Geo. L.J. 949 (2003). Copyright © 2003 by Georgetown Law Journal. Reprinted by permission of the publisher, Georgetown Law Journal (2003.
- Rychlak, Ronald J., Legal Problems with On-Line Gambling, Engage: The Journal of the Federalist Society's Practice Groups Volume 6, Issue 1 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Federalist Society. Reprinted by permission from The Federalist Society.
- Salgado, Richard P., Fourth Amendment Search and the Power of the Hash, 119 Harv. L. Rev. F. 38 (2006), available at http://www.harvard-lawreview.org/forum/issues/119/dec05/salgado.pdf. Copyright © 2006 reprinted by permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and William S. Hein Company from The Harvard Law Review, Vol. 119, pages 42–43.
- Savage, Jr., Joseph F.; Martel, Matthew A.; and Zwillinger, Marc J., Conflicting Views of the Economic Espionage Act, Criminal Justice 10 (Fall 2000). Copyright © 2000 by Criminal Justice. Reprinted by permission.
- Shah, Monica R., Note, The Case for a Statutory Suppression Remedy to Regulate Illegal Private Party Searches in Cyberspace, 105 Colum. L. Rev. 250 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by Columbia Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Smith, Bruce, Hacking, Poaching, and Counterattacking: Digital Counterstrikes and the Contours of Self-Help, 1 J.L. Econ. & Pol'y 171 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by the Journal of Law, Economics and Policy. Reprinted by permission.
- Solove, Daniel J., Digital Dossiers and the Dissipation of Fourth Amendment Privacy, 75 Southern California Law Review 1083 (2002). Copyright © 2002 by Southern California Law Review. Reprinted with the permission of the Southern California Law Review.
- Solove, Daniel J., Reconstructing Electronic Surveillance Law, 72 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 1264 (2004). Copyright © 2004 by The George Washington Law Review. Reprinted by permission.
- Stevenson, Dru, Entrapment by Numbers, 16 U. Fla. J. L. & Pub. Pol'y 1 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by University of Florida Journal of Law and Public Policy. Reprinted by permission.
- Sullum, Jacob, Abetting Betting: Is Talking about Online Gambling Illegal?, Reason Online, April 9, 2004. Copyright © 2004 by Creators. Reprinted by permission.

- Sussmann, Michael A., The Critical Challenges From International High-Tech and Computer-Related Crime at the Millennium, 9 Duke J. Comp. & Int'l L. 451 (1999). Copyright © 1999 by the author. Reprinted by permission.
- Volokh, Eugene, Obscenity Crackdown (What Will the Next Step Be?, Cato Institute TechKnowledge, available at http://www.cato.org/tech/tk/040412-tk.html. (Issue #78 April 12, 2004). Copyright © 2004 by Cato Institute. Reprinted by permission.
- Walker, Kent, Federal Criminal Remedies for the Theft of Intellectual Property, 16 Hastings Comm. & Ent. L. J. 681 (1994). Copyright © 1994 by University of California, Hastings College of the Law. Reprinted from the Hastings Communications and Entertainment Law Journal, Volume 16, Number 4, 1994, 681, by permission.
- Walters, Lawrence G., and DeWitt, Clyde, Obscenity in the Digital Age: The Re-evaluation of Community Standards, 10 NEXUS 59 (2005). Copyright © 2005 by NEXUS: A Journal of Opinion. Reprinted by permission.
- Winick, Raphael, Searches and Seizures of Computers and Computer Data, 8 Harv. J.L. & Tech. 75 (1994). Copyright © 1994 by the Harvard Journal of Law & Technology. Reprinted by permission.
- Zagaris, Bruce, Uncle Sam Extends Reach for Evidence Worldwide, 15 Crim. Just. 4 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by Criminal Justice. Reprinted by permission.

Table of Cases

The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.

Adams v. City of Battle Creek, 250 F.3d 980 (6th Cir.2001), 421

Adjani, United States v., 452 F.3d 1140 (9th Cir.2006), 355

Alderman v. United States, 394 U.S. 165, 89 S.Ct. 961, 22 L.Ed.2d 176 (1969), 399, 640

Alkhabaz, United States v., 104 F.3d 1492 (6th Cir.1997), 160

Allen, State v., 260 Kan. 107, 917 P.2d 848 (Kan.1996), 36, 95

Amati v. City of Woodstock, 176 F.3d 952 (7th Cir.1999), 462

Amato, In re, 2005 WL 1429743 (D.Me. 2005), 443

American Booksellers Foundation v. Dean, 342 F.3d 96 (2nd Cir.2003), 561, 562 American Civil Liberties Union v. Johnson, 194 F.3d 1149 (10th Cir.1999), 561

American Libraries Association v. Pataki, 969 F.Supp. 160 (S.D.N.Y.1997), 554

American Lithographic Co. v. Werckmeister, 221 U.S. 603, 31 S.Ct. 676, 55 L.Ed. 873 (1911), 552

America Online, Inc. v. LCGM, Inc., 46 F.Supp.2d 444 (E.D.Va.1998), 61

America Online, Inc. v. National Health Care Discount, Inc., 121 F.Supp.2d 1255 (N.D.Iowa 2000), 41

A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir.2001), 158

Andersen Consulting LLP v. UOP, 991 F.Supp. 1041 (N.D.Ill.1998), 519

Angulo-Hurtado, United States v., 165 F.Supp.2d 1363 (N.D.Ga.2001), 586

Appleby, State v., 2002 WL 1613716 (Del.Super.2002), 327

Application of (see name of party)

Arizona v. Hicks, 480 U.S. 321, 107 S.Ct. 1149, 94 L.Ed.2d 347 (1987), 313, 318 Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union, 542 U.S. 656, 124 S.Ct. 2783, 159

L.Ed.2d 690 (2004), 210

Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union, 535 U.S. 564, 122 S.Ct. 1700, 152 L.Ed.2d 771 (2002), 200

Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition, 535 U.S. 234, 122 S.Ct. 1389, 152 L.Ed.2d 403 (2002), 233

Auler, United States v., 539 F.2d 642 (7th Cir.1976), 473

Bach, United States v., 400 F.3d 622 (8th Cir.2005), 239

Bach, United States v., 310 F.3d 1063 (8th Cir.2002), 434, 445

Balon, United States v., 384 F.3d 38 (2nd Cir.2004), 296

Barona, United States v., 56 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir.1995), 586

Barr, United States v., 605 F.Supp. 114 (S.D.N.Y.1985), 438

Barry, United States v., 853 F.2d 1479 (8th Cir.1988), 408

Barth, United States v., 26 F.Supp.2d 929 (W.D.Tex.1998), 307

Bartnicki v. Vopper, 532 U.S. 514, 121 S.Ct. 1753, 149 L.Ed.2d 787 (2001), 179, 421

Beauford, Commonwealth v., 327 Pa.Super. 253, 475 A.2d 783 (Pa.Super.1984), **566**

Berger v. State of N.Y., 388 U.S. 41, 87 S.Ct. 1873, 18 L.Ed.2d 1040 (1967), 412, 421, 448

Bills v. Aseltine, 958 F.2d 697 (6th Cir. 1992), 320

Bin Laden, United States v., 126 F.Supp.2d 264 (S.D.N.Y.2000), 596

Blackmon, United States v., 839 F.2d 900 (2nd Cir.1988), 543

BMG Music v. Gonzalez, 430 F.3d 888 (7th Cir.2005), 138

Booker, United States v., 543 U.S. 220, 125 S.Ct. 738, 160 L.Ed.2d 621 (2005), 277, 282

Boro v. Superior Court, 163 Cal.App.3d 1224, 210 Cal.Rptr. 122 (Cal.App. 1 Dist. 1985), 62 Bottone, United States v., 365 F.2d 389 (2nd Cir.1966), 119

Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 U.S. 186, 106 S.Ct. 2841, 92 L.Ed.2d 140 (1986), 202

Bow Street Magistrates' Court, Rex. v., 1999 WL 477953 (HL 1999), **622**

Brackett, People v., 117 Ill.2d 170, 109 Ill.
Dec. 809, 510 N.E.2d 877 (Ill.1987), 94

Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444, 89 S.Ct. 1827, 23 L.Ed.2d 430 (1969), 177

Briggs v. American Air Filter Co., Inc., 630 F.2d 414 (5th Cir.1980), 462

F.2d 414 (5th Cir.1980), 402 Briggs v. State, 348 Md. 470, 704 A.2d 904 (Md.1998), 71, 72

Brooks, United States v., 427 F.3d 1246 (10th Cir.2005), 392

Brunette, United States v., 76 F.Supp.2d 30 (D.Me.1999), 393

Butenko, United States v., 494 F.2d 593 (3rd Cir.1974), 642

Butler, United States v., 151 F.Supp.2d 82 (D.Me.2001), 401

Cabrera, United States v., 208 F.3d 309 (1st Cir.2000), 129

Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523, 87 S.Ct. 1727, 18 L.Ed.2d 930 (1967), 343

Carafano v. Metrosplash.com. Inc., 339 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir.2003), 203

Carmichael, United States v., 326 F.Supp.2d 1267 (M.D.Ala.2004), **169**

Carnes, United States v., 309 F.3d 950 (6th Cir.2002), 533

Carpenter v. United States, 484 U.S. 19, 108 S.Ct. 316, 98 L.Ed.2d 275 (1987), 18 Carroll, United States v., 105 F.3d 740 (1st Cir.1997), 548

Cassiere, United States v., 4 F.3d 1006 (1st Cir.1993), 464

Caymen, United States v., 404 F.3d 1196 (9th Cir.2005), 312

Center For Democracy & Technology v. Pappert, 337 F.Supp.2d 606 (E.D.Pa. 2004), 564

Chapman v. United States, 365 U.S. 610, 81 S.Ct. 776, 5 L.Ed.2d 828 (1961), 342

Civic Center Motors, Ltd. v. Mason Street Import Cars, Ltd., 387 F.Supp.2d 378 (S.D.N.Y.2005), 93

Cohen, United States v., 260 F.3d 68 (2nd Cir.2001), 187, 585

Collins, United States v., 56 F.3d 1416, 312U.S.App.D.C. 346 (D.C.Cir.1995), 19, 23

Commonwealth v. _____ (see opposing party)

Cotroni, United States v., 527 F.2d 708 (2nd Cir.1975), 585

Couch v. United States, 409 U.S. 322, 93
S.Ct. 611, 34 L.Ed.2d 548 (1973), 409
Councilman, United States v., 418 F.3d 67 (1st Cir.2005), 456

Czubinski, United States v., 106 F.3d 1069 (1st Cir.1997), 59, **76**

Darby, United States v., 37 F.3d 1059 (4th Cir.1994), 543

David, United States v., 756 F.Supp. 1385 (D.Nev.1991), 308, 322

Davis v. Gracey, 111 F.3d 1472 (10th Cir. 1997), 365

Deal v. Spears, 980 F.2d 1153 (8th Cir. 1992), 467

DeBeir, United States v., 186 F.3d 561 (4th Cir.1999), 283

Dillon, Ex parte, 225 Mo.App. 280, 29 S.W.2d 236 (Mo.App.1930), 572

Dote, United States v., 371 F.2d 176 (7th Cir.1966), 471

DoubleClick Inc. Privacy Litigation, In re,
154 F.Supp.2d 497 (S.D.N.Y.2001), 510
Dowling v. United States, 473 U.S. 207, 105
S.Ct. 3127, 87 L.Ed.2d 152 (1985), 152

EF Cultural Travel BV v. Explorica, Inc., 274 F.3d 577 (1st Cir.2001), 54, 71 EF Cultural Travel BV v. Zefer Corp., 318 F.3d 58 (1st Cir.2003), 65

Emerson, People v., 196 Misc.2d 716, 766 N.Y.S.2d 482 (N.Y.Sup.2003), 307

Ex parte (see name of party)

Extreme Associates, Inc., United States v., 352 F.Supp.2d 578 (W.D.Pa.2005), 203

Farraj, United States v., 142 F.Supp.2d 484 (S.D.N.Y.2001), 115

Fisher v. United States, 425 U.S. 391, 96
S.Ct. 1569, 48 L.Ed.2d 39 (1976), 438
Francis, United States v., 975 F.Supp. 288 (S.D.N.Y.1997), 543

Fraser v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 135 F.Supp.2d 623 (E.D.Pa.2001), 510

Freedman v. American Online, Inc., 412 F.Supp.2d 174 (D.Conn.2005), 431

Freedman v. America Online, Inc., 303 F.Supp.2d 121 (D.Conn.2004), 523

Freeman, United States v., 524 F.2d 337 (7th Cir.1975), 499

Fugarino v. State, 243 Ga.App. 268, 531 S.E.2d 187 (Ga.App.2000), 72

Gall, People v., 30 P.3d 145 (Colo.2001), 379

Gargiso, United States v., 456 F.2d 584 (2nd Cir.1972), 342

Genovese, United States v., 409 F.Supp.2d 253 (S.D.N.Y.2005), 121

Georgia v. Randolph, ___ U.S. ___, 126 S.Ct. 1515, 164 L.Ed.2d 208 (2006), 332

Geter, United States v., 2003 WL 21254249 (N.M.Ct.Crim.App.2003), 433

Gilbert, United States v., 181 F.3d 152 (1st Cir.1999), 533

Gilboe, United States v., 684 F.2d 235 (2nd Cir.1982), 119

Gines-Perez, United States v., 214 F.Supp.2d 205 (D.Puerto Rico 2002), 402 Girard, United States v., 601 F.2d 69 (2nd Cir.1979), 19, 24 Goldman v. United States, 316 U.S. 129, 62 S.Ct. 993, 86 L.Ed. 1322 (1942), 461 Gorrell, United States v., 360 F.Supp.2d 48

(D.D.C.2004), 392

Gorshkov, United States v., 2001 WL 1024026 (W.D.Wash.2001), 314, 317, 598

Gourde, United States v., 440 F.3d 1065 (9th Cir.2006), 366

Grand Jury Proceedings, In re, 827 F.2d 301 (8th Cir.1987), 421

Gray, United States v., 78 F.Supp.2d 524 (E.D.Va.1999), 381

Griffin v. Wisconsin, 483 U.S. 868, 107 S.Ct. 3164, 97 L.Ed.2d 709 (1987), 351

Griggs-Ryan v. Smith, 904 F.2d 112 (1st Cir.1990), 464

Grimmett, United States v., 2004 WL 3171788 (D.Kan.2004), 393

Guest v. Leis, 255 F.3d 325 (6th Cir.2001), 423

Hall, United States v., 142 F.3d 988 (7th Cir.1998), 306

Hambrick, United States v., 225 F.3d 656 (4th Cir.2000), 427

Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507, 124 S.Ct. 2633, 159 L.Ed.2d 578 (2004), 655

Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 102 S.Ct. 2727, 73 L.Ed.2d 396 (1982), 354 Harvey, United States v., 540 F.2d 1345

(8th Cir.1976), 473 Heckel, State v., 143 Wash.2d 824, 24 P.3d

404 (Wash.2001), 563 Heilman, United States v., 614 F.2d 1133

(7th Cir.1980), 148

Henriques, United States v., 234 F.3d

263 (5th Cir.2000), 545 Hernandez, United States v., 183 F.Supp.2d

468 (D.Puerto Rico 2002), 392 Hill, People v., 269 Mich.App. 505, 715

N.W.2d 301 (Mich.App.2006), 232

Hill, United States v., 2006 WL 2328721 (9th Cir.2006), 376, 391

Hill, United States v., 322 F.Supp.2d 1081 (C.D.Cal.2004), 376

Hoffa v. United States, 385 U.S. 293, 87
S.Ct. 408, 17 L.Ed.2d 374 (1966), 406
Hornaday, United States v., 392 F.3d 1306 (11th Cir.2004), 533

Horowitz, United States v., 806 F.2d 1222 (4th Cir.1986), 395

Horton v. California, 496 U.S. 128, 110 S.Ct. 2301, 110 L.Ed.2d 112 (1990), 387 Hsu, People v., 99 Cal.Rptr.2d 184 (Cal. App. 1 Dist.2000), 562

Hudspeth, United States v., ___ F.3d ___, 2006 WL 2456370 (8th Cir. 2006), 333

Ickes, United States v., 393 F.3d 501 (4th Cir.2005), 338

Illinois v. Caballes, 543 U.S. 405, 125 S.Ct. 834, 160 L.Ed.2d 842 (2005), 314

Illinois v. Gates, 462 U.S. 213, 103 S.Ct. 2317, 76 L.Ed.2d 527 (1983), 353

Illinois v. Rodriguez, 497 U.S. 177, 110 S.Ct. 2793, 111 L.Ed.2d 148 (1990), 334

In Matter of Application of United States
For an Order Authorizing the Installation and Use of a Pen Register and a
Trap & Trace Device on E-Mail Account, 416 F.Supp.2d 13 (D.D.C.2006),
496

In re (see name of party)

International Airport Centers, L.L.C. v. Citrin, 440 F.3d 418 (7th Cir.2006), 69, 71, 97

Ivanov, United States v., 175 F.Supp.2d 367 (D.Conn.2001), **576**

Jackson, Ex parte, 96 U.S. 727, 24 L.Ed. 877 (1877), 408

Jacobsen, United States v., 466 U.S. 109, 104 S.Ct. 1652, 80 L.Ed.2d 85 (1984), 299, 306, 316

James, United States v., 353 F.3d 606 (8th Cir.2003), 334

Jandak v. Village of Brookfield, 520 F.Supp. 815 (N.D.Ill.1981), 468

Jarrett, United States v., 338 F.3d 339 (4th Cir.2003), 299

Jeronimo-Bautista, United States v., 425 F.3d 1266 (10th Cir.2005), **534**

Jetblue Airways Corp. Privacy Litigation, In re, 379 F.Supp.2d 299 (E.D.N.Y. 2005), 507

John Doe Proceeding, In re, 272 Wis.2d 208, 680 N.W.2d 792 (Wis.2004), 435, 443

Johnson v. United States, 333 U.S. 10, 68 S.Ct. 367, 92 L.Ed. 436 (1948), 597

Johnson, People v., 148 Misc.2d 103, 560 N.Y.S.2d 238 (N.Y.City Crim.Ct.1990), 19, 109, 134

Kammersell, United States v., 196 F.3d 1137 (10th Cir.1999), **540**

Karo, United States v., 468 U.S. 705, 104
S.Ct. 3296, 82 L.Ed.2d 530 (1984), 313
Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347, 88

S.Ct. 507, 19 L.Ed.2d 576 (1967), 308, 319, 399, 412, 448, 631

Keller, United States v., 14 F.3d 1051 (5th Cir.1994), 19

Kennedy, United States v., 81 F.Supp.2d 1103 (D.Kan.2000), 306, 511

Kennison v Daire, 1985 WL 310753 (HCA 1986), 53

King, United States v., 55 F.3d 1193 (6th Cir.1995), 408

Kochanowski, People v., 186 Misc.2d 441, 719 N.Y.S.2d 461 (N.Y.Sup.App.Term 2000), 167

Konop v. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., 236 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir.2001), 517

Korogodsky, United States v., 4 F.Supp.2d 262 (S.D.N.Y.1998), 603

Kyllo v. United States, 533 U.S. 27, 121 S.Ct. 2038, 150 L.Ed.2d 94 (2001), 402 LaMacchia, United States v., 871 F.Supp. 535 (D.Mass.1994), 152

Lamb, United States v., 945 F.Supp. 441 (N.D.N.Y.1996), 370, 434

Lanoue, United States v., 71 F.3d 966 (1st Cir.1995), 468

Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558, 123 S.Ct. 2472, 156 L.Ed.2d 508 (2003), 202

Lee, United States v., 296 F.3d 792 (9th Cir.2002), 270

Leon, United States v., 468 U.S. 897, 104
S.Ct. 3405, 82 L.Ed.2d 677 (1984), 354
Leventhal v. Knapek, 266 F.3d 64 (2nd

Cir.2001), 343

Lifshitz, United States v., 369 F.3d 173 (2nd Cir.2004), 351

Longoria, United States v., 177 F.3d 1179 (10th Cir.1999), 406

Lopez, United States v., 514 U.S. 549, 115S.Ct. 1624, 131 L.Ed.2d 626 (1995), 533

MacEwan, United States v., 445 F.3d 237 (3rd Cir.2006), 549

Mainard, United States v., 5 F.3d 404 (9th Cir.1993), 275

Maisonet, United States v., 484 F.2d 1356 (4th Cir.1973), 168

Malley v. Briggs, 475 U.S. 335, 106 S.Ct. 1092, 89 L.Ed.2d 271 (1986), 354

Marchand, United States v., 308 F.Supp.2d 498 (D.N.J.2004), 240

Maryland v. Garrison, 480 U.S. 79, 107 S.Ct. 1013, 94 L.Ed.2d 72 (1987), 353 MasterCard International Inc., In re, 313 F.3d 257 (5th Cir.2002), 191

Matlock, United States v., 415 U.S. 164, 94 S.Ct. 988, 39 L.Ed.2d 242 (1974), 326, 331

Matter of (see name of party)

Maxwell, United States v., 1996 WL 812982 (U.S. Armed Forces 1996), 431, 435

McClelland v. McGrath, 31 F.Supp.2d 616 (N.D.Ill.1998), 479

McConney, United States v., 728 F.2d 1195 (9th Cir.1984), 321

McFadden, Commonwealth v., 850 A.2d 1290 (Pa.Super.2004), 59

McGraw, State v., 480 N.E.2d 552 (Ind. 1985), 20

McKamey v. Roach, 55 F.3d 1236 (6th Cir. 1995), 420

McNulty, United States v., 47 F.3d 100 (4th Cir.1995), 420, 436

McVeigh v. Cohen, 983 F.Supp. 215 (D.D.C. 1998), 524

Middleton, United States v., 231 F.3d 1207 (9th Cir.2000), 86, 285

Miller v. California, 413 U.S. 15, 93 S.Ct. 2607, 37 L.Ed.2d 419 (1973), 193, 233 Miller, United States v., 425 U.S. 435, 96 S.Ct. 1619, 48 L.Ed.2d 71 (1976), 408 Millot, United States v., 433 F.3d 1057 (8th

Cir.2006), 92 Mincey v. Arizona, 437 U.S. 385, 98 S.Ct. 2408, 57 L.Ed.2d 290 (1978), 321 Mitra, United States v., 405 F.3d 492 (7th Cir.2005), 73, 96, 97, 533, 549

Mohrbacher, United States v., 182 F.3d 1041 (9th Cir.1999), 214

Moller, State v., 2002 WL 628634 (Ohio App. 2 Dist.2002), 407

Moran, United States v., 757 F.Supp. 1046 (D.Neb.1991), 141

Morris, United States v., 928 F.2d 504 (2nd Cir.1991), 46

Most, United States v., 876 F.2d 191, 278 U.S.App.D.C. 6 (D.C.Cir.1989), 408

Moulton v. VC3, 2000 WL 33310901 (N.D.Ga.2000), 41, 97

Mount, United States v., 757 F.2d 1315, 244 U.S.App.D.C. 320 (D.C.Cir.1985), 596

Mullins, United States v., 992 F.2d 1472 (9th Cir.1993), 470

Munn, People v., 179 Misc.2d 903, 688 N.Y.S.2d 384 (N.Y.City Crim.Ct.1999), 166

Nardone v. United States, 302 U.S. 379, 58 S.Ct. 275, 82 L.Ed. 314 (1937), 447

Neuman, People v., 2002 WL 800516 (Cal. App. 2 Dist.2002), 177

Newfield v. Ryan, 91 F.2d 700 (5th Cir. 1937), 422

New York v. Ferber, 458 U.S. 747, 102 S.Ct. 3348, 73 L.Ed.2d 1113 (1982), 211

New York Telephone Co., United States v., 434 U.S. 159, 98 S.Ct. 364, 54 L.Ed.2d 376 (1977), 320

Nexans Wires S.A. v. Sark-United StatesA, Inc., 319 F.Supp.2d 468 (S.D.N.Y.2004), 93

North Texas Preventive Imaging L.L.C. v. Eisenberg, 1996 WL 1359212 (C.D.Cal. 1996), 98

O'Brien v. O'Brien, 899 So.2d 1133 (Fla. App. 5 Dist.2005), **451**

O'Connor v. Ortega, 480 U.S. 709, 107 S.Ct. 1492, 94 L.Ed.2d 714 (1987), 342, 430, 468

Ojomo, United States v., 149 F.3d 1195 (11th Cir.1998), 628

Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438, 48 S.Ct. 564, 72 L.Ed. 944 (1928), 410, 447, 631

Olson, State v., 47 Wash.App. 514, 735 P.2d 1362 (Wash.App. Div. 1 1987), 59

Orozco-Santillan, United States v., 903 F.2d 1262 (9th Cir.1990), 168

Ortiz, United States v., 422 U.S. 891, 95
S.Ct. 2585, 45 L.Ed.2d 623 (1975), 338
Osborne v. Ohio, 495 U.S. 103, 110 S.Ct. 1691, 109 L.Ed.2d 98 (1990), 211, 233

Patten, United States v., 397 F.3d 1100 (8th Cir.2005), 262

Paul, United States v., 274 F.3d 155 (5th Cir.2001), 289

Peeples, People v., 155 Ill.2d 422, 186 Ill. Dec. 341, 616 N.E.2d 294 (Ill.1993), 64 People v. ____ (see opposing party)

Peterson, United States v., 812 F.2d 486 (9th Cir.1987), 596

Pharmatrak, Inc., In re, 329 F.3d 9 (1st Cir.2003), 459

Phillips, United States v., 1979 WL 1505 (M.D.Fla.1979), 604

Planned Parenthood of Columbia/Willamette, Inc. v. American Coalition of Life Activists, 41 F.Supp.2d 1130 (D.Or. 1999), 178

Poehlman, United States v., 217 F.3d 692 (9th Cir.2000), 251

Presler, United States v., 610 F.2d 1206 (4th Cir.1979), 408

Price v. Turner, 260 F.3d 1144 (9th Cir. 2001), 420

Price, United States v., 383 U.S. 787, 86 S.Ct. 1152, 16 L.Ed.2d 267 (1966), 485 Proetto, Commonwealth v., 771 A.2d 823

(Pa.Super.2001), 472 PSINet, Inc. v. Chapman, 362 F.3d 227 (4th

Cir.2004), 561

Rakas v. Illinois, 439 U.S. 128, 99 S.Ct. 421, 58 L.Ed.2d 387 (1978), 401, 478

Ramsden v. United States, 2 F.3d 322 (9th Cir.1993), 393

Ramsey, United States v., 431 U.S. 606, 97 S.Ct. 1972, 52 L.Ed.2d 617 (1977), 341, 642

Register.com, Inc. v. Verio, Inc., 126 F.Supp.2d 238 (S.D.N.Y.2000), 70

Reidel, United States v., 402 U.S. 351, 91 S.Ct. 1410, 28 L.Ed.2d 813 (1971), 201

Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union, 521 U.S. 844, 117 S.Ct. 2329, 138 L.Ed.2d 874 (1997), 159, 210

Re, United States v., 313 F.Supp. 442 (S.D.N.Y.1970), 442

Reyes, United States v., 922 F.Supp. 818 (S.D.N.Y.1996), 322

Riccardi, United States v., 405 F.3d 852 (10th Cir.2005), 364

Richardson, United States v., 238 F.3d 837 (7th Cir.2001), 221

Riley, State v., 121 Wash.2d 22, 846 P.2d 1365 (Wash.1993), 40

Robbins v. California, 453 U.S. 420, 101 S.Ct. 2841, 69 L.Ed.2d 744 (1981), 308 Roberts, United States v., 86 F.Supp.2d 678

(S.D.Tex.2000), 340 Rodriguez, United States v., 968 F.2d 130

(2nd Cir.1992), 553 Romero-Garcia, United States v., 991 F.Supp. 1223 (D.Or.1997), 322

Romm, Ûnited States v., 455 F.3d 990 (9th Cir.2006), 229

Ropp, United States v., 347 F.Supp.2d 831 (C.D.Cal.2004), 551

Ross, United States v., 456 U.S. 798, 102 S.Ct. 2157, 72 L.Ed.2d 572 (1982), 308, 375

Roth v. United States, 354 U.S. 476, 77 S.Ct. 1304, 1 L.Ed.2d 1498 (1957), 193 Runyan, United States v., 290 F.3d 223 (5th Cir.2002), 548

Runyan, United States v., 275 F.3d 449 (5th Cir.2001), 307

Sablan, United States v., 92 F.3d 865 (9th Cir.1996), **99**

Sandstrom v. Montana, 442 U.S. 510, 99 S.Ct. 2450, 61 L.Ed.2d 39 (1979), 73

Saucier v. Katz, 533 U.S. 194, 121 S.Ct. 2151, 150 L.Ed.2d 272 (2001), 355

Scarfo, United States v., 180 F.Supp.2d 572 (D.N.J.2001), 372, 551, 662

Scavo, United States v., 593 F.2d 837 (8th Cir.1979), 191

Schandl, United States v., 947 F.2d 462 (11th Cir.1991), 376

Schwartz v. Texas, 344 U.S. 199, 73 S.Ct. 232, 97 L.Ed. 231 (1952), 447

Schwartz, State v., 173 Or.App. 301, 21 P.3d 1128 (Or.App.2001), 59

Scott, United States v., 316 F.3d 733 (7th Cir.2003), 296

Sealed Case, In re, 310 F.3d 717 (Foreign Int.Surv.Ct.Rev.2002), 645, 653

Search of Kitty's East, Matter of, 905 F.2d 1367 (10th Cir.1990), 393

Search of 3817 W. West End, First Floor
 Chicago, Illinois 60621, In re, 321
 F.Supp.2d 953 (N.D.Ill.2004), 390

Seidlitz, United States v., 589 F.2d 152 (4th Cir.1978), 15, 469, 478

Senters, State v., 270 Neb. 19, 699 N.W.2d 810 (Neb.2005), 222

Shabazz, United States v., 724 F.2d 1536 (11th Cir.1984), 149

Sherman & Co. v. Salton Maxim Housewares, Inc., 94 F.Supp.2d 817 (E.D.Mich. 2000), 51, 68

Shi Yan Liu, United States v., 239 F.3d 138 (2nd Cir.2000), 380

Shurgard Storage Centers, Inc. v. Safeguard Self Storage, Inc., 119 F.Supp.2d 1121 (W.D.Wash.2000), 69, 97

Sibron v. New York, 392 U.S. 40, 88 S.Ct. 1889, 20 L.Ed.2d 917 (1968), 445

Signore, State v., 2001 WL 1682396 (Conn.Super.2001), **571**

Simone, Commonwealth v., 2003 WL 22994245 (Va. Cir. Ct.2003), 230

Simons, United States v., 206 F.3d 392 (4th Cir.2000), 351, 430

Sinclair, United States v., 321 F.Supp. 1074 (E.D.Mich.1971), 640

Smith v. Maryland, 442 U.S. 735, 99 S.Ct. 2577, 61 L.Ed.2d 220 (1979), 307, 413, 448, 488

Smith, United States v., 27 F.Supp.2d 1111 (C.D.Ill.1998), 334

Smith, United States v., 1997 WL 616685 (N.M.Ct.Crim.App.1997), 550

Sofsky, United States v., 287 F.3d 122 (2nd Cir.2002), 293

Squillacote, United States v., 221 F.3d 542 (4th Cir.2000), 656

- Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557, 89 S.Ct. 1243, 22 L.Ed.2d 542 (1969), 200
- Staples v. United States, 511 U.S. 600, 114 S.Ct. 1793, 128 L.Ed.2d 608 (1994), 105 State v. _____ (see opposing party)
- Steve Jackson Games, Inc. v. United States Secret Service, 816 F.Supp. 432 (W.D.Tex.1993), 381
- Syphers, United States v., 296 F.Supp.2d 50 (D.N.H.2003), 393
- Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1, 88 S.Ct. 1868, 20 L.Ed.2d 889 (1968), 322, 515
- Theofel v. Farey-Jones, 359 F.3d 1066 (9th Cir.2004), 62, 503, 510
- Thomas, United States v., 74 F.3d 701 (6th Cir.1996), 203
- Thomas, United States v., 613 F.2d 787 (10th Cir.1980), 319
- Thorn, United States v., 375 F.3d 679 (8th Cir.2004), 350
- Thousand, People v., 465 Mich. 149, 631 N.W.2d 694 (Mich.2001), 263
- Tomeo, United States v., 459 F.2d 445 (10th Cir.1972), 191
- Triumph Capital Group, Inc., United States v., 211 F.R.D. 31 (D.Conn.2002), 321
- Trulock v. Freeh, 275 F.3d 391 (4th Cir. 2001), 333
- Truong Dinh Hung, United States v., 629 F.2d 908 (4th Cir.1980), 643
- Tucker, United States v., 150 F.Supp.2d 1263 (D.Utah 2001), 224
- Tyler v. Berodt, 877 F.2d 705 (8th Cir. 1989), 420
- United Kingdom v. United States, 238 F.3d 1312 (11th Cir.2001), 628
- United States v. _____ (see opposing party)
- United States, Application of, 396 F.Supp.2d 747 (S.D.Tex.2005), 497
- United States for an Order Authorizing Installation and Use of a Pen Register and Trap and Trace De-

- **vice, In re,** 846 F.Supp. 1555 (M.D.Fla. 1994), **489**
- United States of America for Order Authorizing Installation of Pen Register or Touch-Tone Decoder and Terminating Trap, Application of, 610 F.2d 1148 (3rd Cir.1979), 488
- United States for an Order Authorizing use of A Pen Register and Trap On (XXX) Internet Service Account/User Name, (xxxxxxx@xxx.com), In re Application of, 396 F.Supp.2d 45 (D.Mass.2005), 458, 496
- United States Telecom Ass'n v. F.C.C., 227 F.3d 450, 343 U.S.App.D.C. 278 (D.C.Cir.2000), 459
- Upham, United States v., 168 F.3d 532 (1st Cir.1999), 378, 391
- U.S. District Court, United States v., 407 U.S. 297, 92 S.Ct. 2125, 32 L.Ed.2d 752 (1972), **632**
- Van Leeuwen, United States v., 397 U.S. 249, 90 S.Ct. 1029, 25 L.Ed.2d 282 (1970), 316
- Veliz, United States v., 2004 WL 964005 (S.D.N.Y.2004), 543
- Verdugo-Urquidez, United States v., 494 U.S. 259, 110 S.Ct. 1056, 108 L.Ed.2d 222 (1990), 593, 641
- Walter v. United States, 447 U.S. 649, 100 S.Ct. 2395, 65 L.Ed.2d 410 (1980), 408 Weinberg v. United States, 126 F.2d 1004 (2nd Cir.1942), 552
- Whren v. United States, 517 U.S. 806, 116 S.Ct. 1769, 135 L.Ed.2d 89 (1996), 340
- X-Citement Video, Inc., United States v.,513 U.S. 64, 115 S.Ct. 464, 130 L.Ed.2d372 (1994), 222, 240
- Young, United States v., 932 F.2d 1510, 290 U.S.App.D.C. 10 (D.C.Cir.1991), 276
- Zurcher v. Stanford Daily, 436 U.S. 547, 98 S.Ct. 1970, 56 L.Ed.2d 525 (1978), 380

Table of Statutes

UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
	This Work
	Amend. Page
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION	4408
This Work	4410
Art. Page I, § 8, Clause 3533	4
1, § 8, Clause 3 533	4
Amend.	4
1613	4420
1620	4421
42	4422
4298	4
4	4
4	4
4	4 430
4	4431
4	4433
4313	4434
4	4435
4316	4436
4	4
4	4
4	4 443
4338	4
4340	4445
4341	4447
4	4448
4350	4468
4	4
4354	4
4	4586
4371	4
4	4594
4	4595
4	4596
4	4597
4390	4598
4393	4599 4604
4394	4630
4	4631
4	4640
4401	4641
4402	4642
4403	4643
4404	4644
4405	5
4	0438 14