

Case Studies for

ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE, CONTEMPLATING MY FIRST YEAR, Joy Hart CHANGE, COALITIONS, AND

CONFLICT, Sherry W. White, "regretic" by David L. T. NAWING, DONE

COLLUSION, The Last Days of the Ship, DO, "KING OF THE HILL," 30, "The Good Day"

THE "ROCK" School of Journalism, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby

DO, THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby

CHALLENGES OF RADICAL CHANGE, Andrew Flanagan KNOWLEDGE IS POWER, Melinda Morris Villagran

and Mary Hoffman LEFT OUT OF THE LOOP, Nicunjala Wierakody TEAMWORK AND GROUP

PROCESSES, Christy Gaudin, Christy Gaudin, "Carolyn M. Anderson and Heather L. Walter, EXERCISES ON

ORGANIZATIONS, THE "Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

GOOD THING GOES BAD, Anne R. Hubbell BOB'S DILEMMA, Erika L. Kirby WORKING WITHOUT PAPERS,

Shawn D. Long CORPORATE COUNSELING, Steven May MANAGING MULTIPLE ROLES, Caryn E. Medved and

THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

ORGANIZATIONS, THE "Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

GOOD THING GOES BAD, Anne R. Hubbell BOB'S DILEMMA, Erika L. Kirby WORKING WITHOUT PAPERS,

Shawn D. Long CORPORATE COUNSELING, Steven May MANAGING MULTIPLE ROLES, Caryn E. Medved and

THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

ORGANIZATIONS, THE "Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

GOOD THING GOES BAD, Anne R. Hubbell BOB'S DILEMMA, Erika L. Kirby WORKING WITHOUT PAPERS,

Shawn D. Long CORPORATE COUNSELING, Steven May MANAGING MULTIPLE ROLES, Caryn E. Medved and

THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

ORGANIZATIONS, THE "Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

GOOD THING GOES BAD, Anne R. Hubbell BOB'S DILEMMA, Erika L. Kirby WORKING WITHOUT PAPERS,

Shawn D. Long CORPORATE COUNSELING, Steven May MANAGING MULTIPLE ROLES, Caryn E. Medved and

THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

ORGANIZATIONS, THE "Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

GOOD THING GOES BAD, Anne R. Hubbell BOB'S DILEMMA, Erika L. Kirby WORKING WITHOUT PAPERS,

Shawn D. Long CORPORATE COUNSELING, Steven May MANAGING MULTIPLE ROLES, Caryn E. Medved and

THE "MAH" HILL, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby and Erika L. Kirby, "The Good Day" by Erika L. Kirby

Understanding Communication Processes

Joann Keyton

Pamela Shockley-Zalabak

CASE STUDIES FOR ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION

UNDERSTANDING COMMUNICATION PROCESSES

University of Kansas

Pamela Shockley-Zalabak

University of Colorado, Colorado Springs



Roxbury Publishing Company
Los Angeles, California

**Note to Instructors: A comprehensive
Instructor's Manual is available on request.**

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Case studies for organizational communication: understanding communication processes / [editors] Joann Keyton, Pamela Shockley-Zalabak.

p. cm.

ISBN 1-931719-12-8

I. Communication in organizations—Case studies. I. Keyton, Joann. II. Shockley-Zalabak, Pamela.

HD30.3CS367 2004

302.3'5—dc21

2003043192

CIP

**CASE STUDIES FOR ORGANIZATIONAL COMMUNICATION:
UNDERSTANDING COMMUNICATION PROCESSES**

Copyright © 2004 Roxbury Publishing Company. All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without prior written permission of the publisher.

Publisher: Claude Teweles
Managing Editor: Dawn VanDercreek
Production Editor: Jim Ballinger
Production Assistant: Joshua Levine
Copy Editor: Pauline Piekarz
Cover Design: Marnie Kenney
Proofreader: Scott Oney
Typography: SDS Design info@sds-design.com

Printed on acid-free paper in the United States of America. This book meets the standards of recycling of the Environmental Protection Agency.

ISBN 1-931719-12-8

ROXBURY PUBLISHING COMPANY

P.O. Box 491044

Los Angeles, California 90049-9044

Voice: (310) 473-3312 • Fax: (310) 473-4490

Email: roxbury@roxbury.net

Website: www.roxbury.net

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the following colleagues for their insightful reviews of our book: Janie Harden Fritz (Duquesne University), Dennis Gouran (Pennsylvania State University), Teresa Harrison (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute), Rosanne Hartman (State University of New York, Geneseo), Sandra L. Herndon (Ithaca College), Michele Jackson (University of Colorado at Boulder), Krishna P. Kandath (University of New Mexico), Michael Kramer (University of Missouri), John Llewellyn (Wake Forest University), Marie Mater (Houston Baptist University), Peter Monge (University of Southern California), Tracy Russo (University of Kansas), Craig R. Scott (University of Texas at Austin), Timothy Sellnow (North Dakota State University), Christina Stage (Arizona State University), William Todd-Mancillas (California State University, Chico), Robert Ulmer (University of Arkansas at Little Rock), and Heather L. Walter (University of Akron). ♦

Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Teacher Introduction:	
The Case Study Method as a Pedagogical Technique.	1
Student Introduction:	
Using Cases to Learn About Organizational Communication	5
Example Case: Just Part of the Crop, <i>Joann Keyton</i>	11
Example Case Analysis	26

Section I: Organizational Culture

Briefing Paper	32
1. Contemplating My First Year	36
<i>Joy L. Hart</i> A new employee excited about her first job finds herself in the midst of a negative organizational culture wondering what to do next.	
2. Change, Coalitions, and Coping	46
<i>Joy L. Hart, Shirley Willihnganz, and Greg B. Leichty</i> Management change fractures a widely accepted family culture, forcing the retired founders to return.	
3. How Do You Get Anything Done Around Here?	56
<i>Marian L. Houser and Astrid Sheil</i> A new employee discovers that what is said and what is done in the organization are vastly differ- ent, with the effect being the stifling of innovation in the organization.	

4. **Downsizing at Tata Steel** 64
Rajeev Kumar
 Downsizing of an organization challenges management in communicating with employees and union representation.
5. **Discord at the Music School** *Tracy* 74
Terri Toles Patkin
 Following a popular leader, a new music director faces resistance to increasing rigor in the classes and improving organizational systems.
6. **Long-Distance Cultural Integration** 80
Gerald L. Pepper and Gregory S. Larson
 Human resources leadership is challenged to assist integrating different organizational cultures utilizing mostly virtual communication strategies.
7. **Why Does This Always Happen?** 90
Philip Salem
 A low-performing employee resists feedback in an organization unable to confront issues and problems effectively.

Section 2: Virtual Communication in Organizations

- Briefing Paper** 98
8. **Where Do We Go From Here?** 102
Edward C. Brewer
 During a period of rapid change, an email conflict replaces face-to-face discussion of the potential adoption of a new system.
 9. **Caught in the Intranet** *Jeremy* 110
Jensen Chung
 An American working in the Asian-Pacific branch of a large company is criticized openly on the company's intranet, generating multiple conflicts.
 10. **The Challenges of Radical Change** *Jen* 120
Andrew J. Flanagan
 A consultant is challenged to manage the implementation of a new system, overcoming skill deficiencies and resistance to change.

11. Knowledge Is Power 128

Melinda M. Villagran and Mary Hoffman

With senior managers personally resistant to using technology, a relatively new employee is given a mandate to substantially increase technology use at the top of the organization.

12. Left Out of the Loop 134

Niranjala D. Weerakkody

Lack of intranet access for some managers creates serious problems for information dissemination and contributes to perceptions of inequities.

Section 3: Teamwork and Group Processes

Briefing Paper 144

13. The Fun Team 148

Carolyn M. Anderson and Heather L. Walter

An established firm is overwhelmed with e-commerce opportunities, necessitating the creation of an expanded and somewhat unconventional team to meet volume demands.

14. Engineering Difference 157

*Patrice M. Buzzanell, Edward J. Coyle,
Leah H. Jamieson, and William C. Oakes*

Faculty and industry advisers face continuing issues when creating multidisciplinary design teams to tackle social and local community problems.

15. Maintaining Faith 168

Christine S. Davis

A new director of an Interfaith Center for Aging is challenged by volunteer participation, board management, and establishing future directions.

16. Teaming Up for Change 181

Maryanne Wanca-Thibault and Adelina Gomez

Multidisciplinary teams work together in a new organization to intervene in serious domestic violence cases.

Section 4: Decision Making and Problem Solving

Briefing Paper	196
--------------------------	-----

17. T Link Enterprises	200
<i>Trudy A. Milburn</i>	

A global sales team faces cultural issues in attempting to create a global sales model to explore untapped opportunities.

18. For the Good of Many	208
------------------------------------	-----

Nancy M. Schullery and Melissa Gibson Hancox

Faced with layoffs for the company's most productive manufacturing team, leadership introduces a controversial alternative work program in the non-profit sector.

19. A Matter of Perspective	219
---------------------------------------	-----

Paaige K. Turner and Robert L. Krizek

A new director of patient care in the practice arm of a university's medical school faces patient dissatisfaction, needs for new processes, and space limitations as she attempts to reverse a declining revenue trend.

20. The 'Expert' Facilitator	230
--	-----

Mary E. Vielhaber

A facilitator faces opposition to the processes he is using in a strategic planning session.

21. A Decision to Change	237
------------------------------------	-----

Theodore E. Zorn, Jr.

A team charged with a significant knowledge management project runs into opposition and quick cancellation of the effort.

Section 5: The Individual and the Organization

- Briefing Paper** 254
- 22. The First Day at Work.** 258
Julie A. Davis
 A new employee orientation session causes a new hire to question her decision to work for the company.
- 23. Dr. Jekyll and Pastor Clyde.** 267
G. L. Forward
 Findings from an organizational communication audit generate controversy with the church's minister and board.
- 24. When a Good Thing Goes Bad.** 277
Anne P. Hubbell
 A drug company faces a crisis over issues of creativity, differences in protocols, and disagreements among senior staff.
- 25. Bob's Dilemma.** *Eric* 287
Erika L. Kirby
 An expectant father faces a career dilemma when considering how to ask for paternity leave.
- 26. Working Without Papers** 295
Shawn D. Long *Cassie*
 Immigrants and undocumented workers face harassment and discrimination causing their supervisor to question next steps.
- 27. Corporate Counseling** 305
Steven K. May
 An employee, who had sessions with a corporate-sponsored counselor, learns she has lost her job and is concerned information from her counseling was part of the organizational decision process.
- 28. Managing Multiple Roles** 317
Caryn E. Medved and Julie Apher
 An employee managing multiple roles during an organizational merger faces stress, burnout, and work-family conflict.

Section 6: Diversity in Organizational Communication

Briefing Paper	334
29. Where Does It Hurt? <i>Krista</i>	338
<i>Cynthia A. Irizarry</i>	
A female medical doctor finds being the first female in a medical practice group contentious and fraught with serious challenges.	
30. Not a Typical Friday	350
<i>Marifran Mattson</i>	
New leadership wanting change fires a 25-year employee, resulting in legal action and concerns from peers with much less experience.	
31. A Case of Mistreatment at Work?	359
<i>Mary M. Meares and John G. Oetzel</i>	
An employee perceives mistreatment while her coworkers consider their cultural references to be teasing.	
32. The Penis People. <i>Kylee</i>	369
<i>Diane K. Sloan</i>	
Female consultants face obscene and sexist behaviors from large numbers of trainees challenging their abilities to handle the training classes.	
33. Navigating the Limits of a Smile. <i>Sarah</i>	374
<i>Sarah J. Tracy</i>	
Cruise ship staff face challenges in creating expected customer service under difficult circumstances.	
About the Editors	389
About the Contributors	391
Detailed Case Content Index	395

The Case Study Method as a Pedagogical Technique

Case methods have been employed at least since the 1920s to encourage reflection, integration of theory and practice, and problem solving. They have been widely used because they illustrate the nonlinear, complex, and context-specific reality of organizations (Kitano & Landry, 2001). Well-developed cases can offer insight into organizational practices, procedures, and processes that are not otherwise available for classroom use. Case studies allow students the opportunities to analyze critical incidents, translate their knowledge into practical applications, and develop strategies for their own organizational communication practice.

Literally all of the undergraduate organizational communication textbooks now include cases as an in-text pedagogical technique. The cases here support and extend the use of cases in teaching organizational communication as they are longer and more complex. As a result, the cases will provide you with a richer set of assignment options. There you will find:

1. A one-paragraph case overview (including type of organization, key actors and issues in the case).
2. A one-sentence learning objective that identifies the central communication problem in the case.
3. A list of keywords and their glossary definitions.
4. A series of questions for written essays and potential/probable answers.
5. A series of discussion questions.

2 Case Studies For Organizational Communication

6. Other teaching ideas including assignment alternatives, audio-visual materials, and websites that support the case.
7. Short paragraphs describing any conceptual analysis that could aid in understanding and teaching the case.
8. Bibliography of resources for the instructor.
9. Bibliography of resources for students.
10. Epilogue of the case (if appropriate).

Discussion of cases can foster students' reasoning, enhance development of theoretical and practical knowledge, and increase awareness of personal beliefs and values (Lundeberg, 1999). We believe this happens most effectively when students are encouraged to develop multiple alternatives as case solutions. Critical learning occurs when students analyze each solution, compare and contrast multiple solutions, and then choose one believed to be most effective at improving communication or sustaining effective communication.

We believe cases are most effectively used when there is both independent and interdependent learning. Not only should students be assigned to independently analyze the case and make recommendations, students should also learn to listen and respond to other students' ideas about the case. Cases can augment or extend content presented in class or in the text you use.

Because cases are complex and realistic depictions of organizational communication, the same case can be approached from a number of perspectives. Consider assigning different groups of students to take the roles of different characters, or to make recommendations based on competing theories or perspectives.

We believe that instructors should be actively involved in the case analysis process. Here are some methods for using cases to create interdependencies with your students:

1. Let students generate questions about the case for you to answer in class.
2. Help students generate criteria for deciding if the recommendation or alternative chosen will be effective.
3. Cases can be used to improve critical thinking skills. Ask students: What's the evidence for your claim? What are your assumptions? Biases? Emotional responses?
4. At the end of case discussion, give closure by summarizing:

- What was learned?
- What communication skills would students need to implement recommendations?
- To what other contexts would recommendations apply?

There are a variety of methods for using cases as a pedagogical technique. Here are some of our favorites:

1. To enhance the communicative aspect of the case, ask that students practice effective communication in their case discussions. You could assign students to practice a particular communication skill (i.e., a specific listening or speaking strategy) during their discussions with one another.
2. Cases can be used as the basis for oral presentations. Assign two students or two groups to debate alternative recommendations and then take questions from other students.
3. Assign students to write a one-page position paper describing the overarching communication problem that needs to be addressed in the next 3 to 4 hours. Next 24 hours? Next week?
4. Use the case as stimulus for a test. Given the case beforehand, the exam can be a test of the degree to which students understand and apply communication principles and concepts.
5. As a group assignment, each group is responsible for delivering the following as written or oral presentation:
 - A statement that defines/describes the communication decision, dilemma, or problem.
 - A procedural statement for addressing or solving the problem.
 - A list of resources (physical, monetary, human resources) needed for implementation of the proposed solution to the problem.
 - A timetable for implementation.
 - A statement of expected benefits or expected impact of the proposed solution.

6. Assign students to one role in the case and have them develop a communication plan for themselves, their team, or their organization.
7. Assign students to develop a training program to respond to the communication problem or challenge in the case.
8. Use the case as a stimulus for students to design an organizational communication assessment.
9. Based on the organization presented in the case, assign students to develop a selection or recruitment interview for one or more of the jobs described in the case.
10. Use the case as a basis for developing a team meeting procedure.
11. Using the key character as the stimulus, assign students the project of designing a leadership development program (e.g., training, coaching, counseling, mentoring).
12. Use the case as a basis for developing a code of ethics for the organization or a profession.
13. Use the case as a basis for developing a public relations or external communication plan.

References

- Kitano, M. K., & Landry, H. (2001, June). Instructional cases: Learning from the dilemmas of practicing teachers. *Roeper Review*, 23, 206–218.
- Lundeberg, M. A. (1999). Discovering teaching and learning through cases. In M. A. Lundeberg, B. B. Levin, & H. L. Harrington (Eds.), *Who learns what from cases and how? The research base for teaching and learning with cases* (pp. 3–23). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. ♦

Using Cases to Learn About Organizational Communication

Nearly everyone who works can tell at least one story about his or her work experiences. Funny, disgusting, sad, motivating, amazing—some stories are about the mundane day-to-day events at work; other stories are about pivotal events, or turning points, in a person's work life. The cases in this book are stories, or narratives, about the work experiences of individuals and teams in a variety of organizational settings. As you learn about organizational communication, you can use cases to (a) broaden your perspective on what it means to work, (b) gain an understanding of work settings or occupations with which you are unfamiliar, and (c) develop greater understanding of the ways in which communication is central to getting work done. Cases are also useful as a guide to identifying and developing your competences to determine actions appropriate for a variety of work situations.

What Are Cases?

Cases are examples or illustrations of organizational problems or challenges. A case gives information about the organization—its people and its performance. By itself, a case is a good story. A case becomes a learning experience when we apply the theories we study in an effort to determine the best solutions for resolving the issues presented in the case. The case study approach to organizational com-

munication provides an opportunity to blend theory, analysis, and practice in our efforts to better understand how communication processes create and shape organizational events. Case studies provide rich opportunities to apply theory to organizational practice. They bridge the gap between reading about organizations and theory and knowing what to do in actual organizational situations. They also expand our analytical and critical capabilities as we examine dynamic and complex events for their impact on organizational behavior. And they help us develop strategies and approaches for application to real organizational problems or challenges. The primary purpose of the case study approach is to develop our abilities.

Cases are presented in story or narrative form with enough detail so you can use your knowledge and analytical skills to develop and test alternatives for satisfying the problem presented in the case. The cases presented here reflect real communication situations and problems, and were developed based on real organizational experiences. Although the cases are authentic with respect to the portrayal of communication in organizations, authors were required (in most cases) to fictionalize some aspects of the communication, individuals, and organizations described to maintain the anonymity of the individuals and the organizations.

The purpose of a case is to focus on social actors in an organizational context to examine the role of communication. You will not find this focus limiting. To the contrary, you will find that each case describes the messiness associated with organizational communication practices. For example, if the case is predominantly about an organization's culture, you will find that threads of the case also pertain to leadership and team behavior. A case about an organization's use of technology will also reveal issues about intercultural communication issues, politeness norms, and respect. A case about individual decision making will also reveal aspects of strategic planning and customer service. As such, cases reflect the nonlinear, complex, and embedded practices of organizational communication. Your role as a student is to examine each case for patterns and anomalies of communication practices.

Using cases, you will have the opportunity to

- View communication in its organizational context, with all of its complexity and messiness.

- Be drawn into what really happens rather than be told what should happen.
- Understand the effects of history as well as watch history unfold.
- Identify problems that participants may not recognize.
- Discover communication exemplars as well as inefficiencies or ineffective practices.

Using Cases in the Study of Organizational Communication

Although not wholly generalizable, the situations described in the cases can provide you with a realistic preview of how communication actually is practiced in organizations. The cases can also provide you with a preview of the communication situations and dilemmas you will likely encounter in the workforce. Each case concludes with a communication problem, dilemma, situation, or question that needs resolution. Thus, the cases will test your analytical reflection, theoretical analysis, problem analysis, generation of alternative solutions, and solution selection abilities.

Throughout the collection of cases, you will find that some of the case characters communicate effectively, whereas others display communication problems. Some of the communication issues are verbal, whereas others reflect communication issues that surface in the use of nonverbal, electronic, and written channels. The cases explore formal and informal organizational communication at all levels—individual, supervisor to subordinate, team, and organizational. Some cases focus on communication within an organization, whereas other cases include situations in which employees communicate with constituencies outside the organization.

The cases provide rich descriptions of different types and sizes of organizations—for profit and nonprofit, service and manufacturing, public and private, family-owned business and large conglomerate. In addition to these rich organizational descriptions, the cases present characters in a variety of occupations and jobs—colleagues and coworkers, supervisors and subordinates, employees, volunteers, and all levels of management.

After reading each case, you should be able to make recommendations about the communication problem or challenge described in