

MAKING 20TH CENTURY SCIENCE

How Theories Became Knowledge

STEPHEN G. BRUSH


with Ariel Segal



Making 20th Century Science

How Theories Became Knowledge

STEPHEN G. BRUSH WITH ARIEL SEGAL



OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide.

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press
in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by
Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

© Oxford University Press 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a
retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior
permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law,
by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization.
Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the
Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Brush, Stephen G., author.

Making 20th century science : how theories became knowledge / Stephen G. Brush.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-19-997815-1 (alk. paper)

1. Science—Methodology—History—20th century. 2. Science—History—20th century. 3. Science-
-Methodology—History—19th century. 4. Science—History—19th century. I. Title. II. Title:
Making twentieth century science.

Q174.8.B78 2015

509'.04—dc23

2014014220

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America
on acid-free paper

Making 20th Century Science

Other Books by Stephen G. Brush

Boltzmann's Lectures on Gas Theory (translator)

Kinetic Theory, 3 volumes (editor)

History in the Teaching of Physics: Proceedings of the International Working Seminar on the Role of the History of Physics in Physics Education (coeditor)

Resources for the History of Physics (editor)

Introduction to Concepts and Theories in Physical Science (coauthor, second edition)

The Kind of Motion We Call Heat: A History of the Kinetic Theory of Gases in the 19th Century (2 volumes)

The Temperature of History: Phases of Science and Culture in the Nineteenth Century

Maxwell on Saturn's Rings (coeditor)

Statistical Physics and the Atomic Theory of Matter, from Boyle and Newton to Landau and Onsager

Maxwell on Molecules and Gases (coeditor)

The History of Modern Physics: An International Bibliography (coauthor)

The History of Geophysics and Meteorology: An Annotated Bibliography (coauthor)

The History of Modern Science: A Guide to the Second Scientific Revolution, 1800–1950

History of Physics: Selected Reprints (editor)

The Origin of the Solar System: Soviet Research, 1925–1991 (coeditor)

Maxwell on Heat and Statistical Mechanics (coeditor)

A History of Modern Planetary Physics (3 volumes)

Physics, the Human Adventure: From Copernicus to Einstein and Beyond (coauthor)

Choosing Selection: The Revival of Natural Selection in Anglo-American Evolutionary Biology, 1930–1950

To my granddaughter

Rebecca Nicole Roberts

PREFACE

Historians have chronicled the observations, experiments, and theories of scientists from antiquity to the present. This book could not have been written without surveying their publications. But only a few historians have presented evidence to answer the question: why were these theories accepted, at least for a while, as valid knowledge? Was it because the theories successfully *explained* the observations and experiments, or because they successfully *predicted* the results of observations and experiments not yet done?

This question seems to have been left for philosophers to answer. Yet it calls for historical research plus, in some cases, interviews with scientists. Philosophers sometimes seem more interested in discussing whether scientists *should* accept theories because of predictions or explanations, rather than what they actually *do*. So I have to persuade philosophers to consider historical evidence, and to convince historians that they should answer—in their reception studies—questions of interest to philosophers.

Of course the first thing I needed for my project was access to a good library. I was able to use the Library of Congress and the Princeton University libraries for short periods of time. The Niels Bohr Library at the American Institute of Physics in College Park, Maryland has a unique collection of textbooks, which happens to be just what I needed to study the reception of physics theories in the early twentieth century; the University of Maryland library, also in College Park, owns Max Born's personal library. The University of Pennsylvania Library and the Chemical Heritage Foundation, both in Philadelphia, have excellent collections of older chemistry books.

If you already know what book you need to look at, because someone else has cited it, you may have to rely on interlibrary loan. I have to thank the librarians at three institutions for efficiently obtaining books from other libraries for me: McKeldin Library at the University of Maryland, the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton, and the Brandywine Hundred Library in Wilmington, Delaware.

What about archives of unpublished letters and manuscripts? In general I have not used these sources, for two reasons: First, to search the archives of the hundreds or thousands of scientists who *might* have recorded their opinions of one of the theories included in my project would be impractical. Therefore I have included only a few such documents, mainly those of Einstein and his correspondents that have been published in the *Collected Papers of Albert Einstein*. Second, published comments on a theory are likely to have more influence on the scientific community and (through textbooks) on the next generation.

During the three decades I have worked on this project, I have enjoyed valuable assistance from many historians, philosophers, and scientists: Peter Achinstein (Chapter 3), Stephen Adler (Chapter 9), Gar Allen (Chapters 1, 13, 14), Ralph Alpher (Chapter 12), Gustav Arrhenius (Chapter 1), Francisco Ayala (Chapter 14), John Beatty (Chapter 14), Richard Bellon (Chapter 2), Vincent Brannigan (Chapter 1), Dieter Brill (Chapter 11), L. M. Brown (Chapters 3 and 9), Louis Brown (Chapter 8), David Cassidy (Chapter 3), Matt Chew (Chapter 14), John Connerney (Chapter 1), David L. Cooper (Chapter 10), David P. Craig (Chapter 10), James Crow (Chapter 14), Lindley Darden (Chapters 3, 13, and 14), Bibhas De (Chapter 1), Alex Dessler (Chapter 1), Igor Dmitriev (Chapter 5), Tim Eastman (Chapter 1), C.W.F. Everitt (Chapter 11), John Gaffey (Chapters 1 and 15), Joseph Garratt (Chapter 10), George Garratty (Chapter 14), Owen Gingerich (Chapter 12), Thomas Gold (Chapter 12), George Gorin (Chapter 5), O. Wally Greenberg (Chapter 9), Ivan Gutman (Chapter 10), J. L. Heilbron (Chapter 7), Sandra Herbert (Chapter 14), Robert Herman (Chapter 12), Robert B. Hermann (Chapter 10), Norris Hetherington (Chapter 12), Richard Highton (Chapter 14), Roald Hoffman (Chapter 10), Gerald Holton (Chapter 11), Ruth Kastner (Chapter 13), Margaret Kivelson (Chapter 1), Alexei Kozhevnikov (Chapter 7), Helge Kragh (Chapters 2 and 3), Larry Laudan (Chapters 1, 2, and 3), Aleksey Levin (Chapters 9 and 12), Richard Lewontin (Chapter 14), Jane Maienschein (Chapter 13), David Matthews (Chapter 1), Deborah Mayo (Chapter 3), Robert McColley (Chapter 1), Edward McKinnon (Chapter 3), Arthur I. Miller (Chapters 3 and 11), Peter Morris (Chapter 10), Gonzalo Munevar (Chapters 3 and 7), Ludmilla Nekoval-Chikhaovi (Chapter 5), Norman F. Ness (Chapter 1), Sally Newcomb (Chapters 2, 5, and 12), Mary Jo Nye (Chapter 5), David O'Brochta (Chapter 14), Denis Papadopoulos (Chapter 1), D. J. Pasto (Chapter 10), Lewis Pyenson (Chapter 11), Anya Plutynski (Chapter 14), Duncan Porter (Chapter 2), Helmut Rechenberg (Chapter 3), Alan Rocke (Chapter 5, 6, and 10), William K. Rose (Chapter 12), Theodore Rosenberg (Chapter 1), David Rudge (Chapter 14), Christopher T. Russell (Chapter 1), Halley Sanchez (Chapter 11), Mendel Sachs (Chapter 11), Carl Sagan (Chapter 1), Eric Scerri (Chapters 3 and 5), Wilfried Schroeder (Chapter 1), S. S. Schweber (Chapter 9), Ezra Shahn (Chapter 14), Sason Shaik (Chapter 10), Dudley Shapere (Chapter 9), Stanley Shawhan (Chapter 1), V. Betty Smocovitis (Chapter 14), George A. Snow (Chapter 9), Michael Sokal (Chapters 1, 5, and 11), Carol Sokolski (Chapter 14), Katherine Sopka (Chapter 3), David Stern (Chapter 1), Roger Stuewer (Chapter 7), Frank Sulloway (Chapter 11), Frederik Suppe (Chapter 3), Roger Thomas (Chapter 14), Virginia Trimble (Chapters 1 and 12), Ron Westrum (Chapter 1), Polly Winsor (Chapter 14), John Worrall (Chapter 3), and Nick Zimmerman (Chapter 14).

In a class by himself is my excellent assistant Ariel Segal, who tracked down many missing facts and references essential to this book.

Funding for my research was provided by the Institute for Physical Science and Technology and the General Research Board at the University of Maryland, the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton with the aid of the Andrew Mellon Foundation, the National Science Foundation, the National Endowment for the Humanities, and a fellowship from the John Simon Guggenheim Foundation.

For permission to reprint substantial portions of articles previously published in journals and books, I thank the American Association for the Advancement of Science, publisher of *Science* (Chapter 11); the American Philosophical Society, publisher of *Choosing Selection* in its *Transactions* (Chapter 14); Elsevier Science Ltd.,

publisher of *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* (Chapters 6 and 10); the Geological Society (London), publisher of *The Age of the Earth from 4004 BC to AD 2002* (Chapter 12); Kluwer Academic Publishers, publisher of *Journal of the History of Biology* (Chapter 13); the University of Chicago Press, publisher of *Isis* (Chapter 5); the Philosophy of Science Association (Chapter 3); the MIT Press, publisher of *Perspectives on Science* (Chapter 12); the Regents of the University of California (Chapter 7); and Springer Science and Business Media, publisher of *Physics in Perspective* (Chapter 11).

Part One discusses general issues such as the role of prediction and explanation in science, the concept of “reception” as used by historians of science, and the debate about whether science is “socially constructed.” Part Two applies these concepts in the history of atomic and molecular chemistry and physics, especially the role of quantum mechanics. Part Three covers relativity theory and cosmology. Part Four discusses a selected sequence of theories in biology including chromosome theories of heredity and the revival of Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection. Part Five summarizes the results and compares the success of prediction and explanation. Notes for the chapters are followed by a selected bibliography and an index.

Making 20th Century Science

CONTENTS

List of Illustrations xiii

Preface xv

PART ONE The Reception and Evaluation of Theories in the Sciences 1

1. Who Needs the Scientific Method? 3
 - 1.1. The Rings of Uranus 4
 - 1.2. Maxwell and Popper 6
 - 1.3. What is a Prediction? A Mercurial Definition 8
 - 1.4. Hierarchy and Demarcation 10
 - 1.5. What's Wrong with Quantum Mechanics? 12
 - 1.6. Was Chemistry More Scientific than Physics (1865–1980)? Mendeleev's Periodic Law 12
 - 1.7. Scientific Chemists: Benzene and Molecular Orbitals 13
 - 1.8. The Unscientific (But Very Successful) Method of Dirac and Einstein: Can We Trust Experiments to Test Theories? 15
 - 1.9. Why was Bibhas De's paper rejected by *Icarus*? 18
 - 1.10. The Plurality of Scientific Methods 18
2. Reception Studies by Historians of Science 30
 - 2.1. What is Reception? 30
 - 2.2. The Copernican Heliocentric System 31
 - 2.3. Newton's Universal Gravity 35
 - 2.4. Darwin's Theory of Evolution by Natural Selection 41
 - 2.5. Bohr Model of the Atom 49
 - 2.6. Conclusions and Generalizations 52
3. Prediction-Testing in the Evaluation of Theories: A Controversy in the Philosophy of Science 73
 - 3.1. Introduction 73
 - 3.2. Novelty in the Philosophy of Science 74
 - 3.3. What is a Prediction? (Revisited) 78
 - 3.4. Does Novelty Make a Difference? 78
 - 3.5. Evidence from Case Histories 80
 - 3.6. Are Theorists Less Trustworthy Than Observers? 87

3.7. The Fallacy of Falsifiability: Even the Supreme Court Was Fooled 87

3.8. Conclusions 89

4. The Rise and Fall of Social Constructionism 1975–2000 99

4.1. The Problem of Defining Science and Technology Studies 99

4.2. The Rise of Social Constructionism 100

4.3. The Fall of Social Constructionism 105

4.4. Postmortem 114

4.5. Consequences for Science Studies 128

PART TWO Atoms, Molecules, and Particles 155

5. Mendeleev's Periodic Law 157

5.1. Mendeleev and the Periodic Law 159

5.2. Novel Predictions 160

5.3. Mendeleev's Predictions 160

5.4. Reception By Whom? 162

5.5. Tests of Mendeleev's Predictions 163

5.6. Before the Discovery of Gallium 164

5.7. The Impact of Gallium and Scandium 165

5.8. The Limited Value of Novel Predictions 166

5.9. Implications of the Law 168

5.10. Conclusions 169

6. The Benzene Problem 1865–1930 176

6.1. Kekulé's Theory 176

6.2. The First Tests of Kekulé's Theory 178

6.3. Alternative Hypotheses 181

6.4. Reception of Benzene Theories 1866–1880 182

6.5. New Experiments, New Theories 1881–1900 183

6.6. The Failure of Aromatic Empiricism 1901–1930 187

7. The Light Quantum Hypothesis 191

7.1. Black-Body Radiation 191

7.2. Planck's Theory 191

7.3. Formulation of the Light-Quantum Hypothesis 194

7.4. The Wave Theory of Light 195

7.5. Einstein's Heuristic Viewpoint 197

7.6. What Did Millikan Prove? 197

7.7. The Compton Effect 198

7.8. Reception of Neo-Newtonian Optics before 1923 202

7.9. The Impact of Compton's Discovery 205

7.10. Rupp's Fraudulent Experiments 208

7.11. Conclusions 209

8. Quantum Mechanics 219

8.1. The Bohr Model 219

8.2.	The Wave Nature of Matter	221
8.3.	Schrödinger's Wave Mechanics	224
8.4.	The Exclusion Principle, Spin, and the Electronic Structure of Atoms	228
8.5.	Bose-Einstein Statistics	230
8.6.	Fermi-Dirac Statistics	230
8.7.	Initial Reception of Quantum Mechanics	232
8.8.	The Community Is Converted	237
8.9.	Novel Predictions of Quantum Mechanics	239
8.10.	The Helium Atom	242
8.11.	Reasons for Accepting Quantum Mechanics After 1928	244
9.	New Particles	269
9.1.	Dirac's Prediction and Anderson's Discovery of the Positron	270
9.2.	The Reception of Dirac's Theory	272
9.3.	The Transformation of Dirac's Theory	275
9.4.	Yukawa's Theory of Nuclear Forces	276
9.5.	Discovery of the Muon and Reception of Yukawa's Theory	278
9.6.	The Transformation of the Yukon	283
9.7.	Conclusions	284
10.	Benzene and Molecular Orbitals 1931–1980	291
10.1.	Resonance, Mesomerism, and the Mule 1931–1945	291
10.2.	Reception of Quantum Theories of Benzene 1932–1940	297
10.3.	Chemical Proof of Kekulé's Theory	300
10.4.	Antiresonance and the Rhinoceros	301
10.5.	The Shift to Molecular Orbitals After 1950	302
10.6.	Aromaticity	303
10.7.	The Revival of Predictive Chemistry	309
10.8.	Reception of Molecular Orbital Theory By Organic Chemists	311
10.9.	Adoption of MO in Textbooks	312
10.10.	A 1996 Survey	313
10.11.	Conclusions	315
PART THREE Space and Time		327
11.	Relativity	329
11.1.	The Special Theory of Relativity	329
11.2.	General Theory of Relativity	334
11.3.	Empirical Predictions and Explanations	337
11.4.	Social-Psychological Factors	338
11.5.	Aesthetic-Mathematical Factors	339
11.6.	Early Reception of Relativity	340
11.7.	Do Scientists Give Extra Credit for Novelty? The Case of Gravitational Light-Bending	342
11.8.	Are Theorists Less Trustworthy Than Observers?	346
11.9.	Mathematical-Aesthetic Reasons for Accepting Relativity	347
11.10.	Social-Psychological Reasons for Accepting Relativity	348

- 11.11. A Statistical Summary of Comparative Reception 350
- 11.12. Conclusions 351

12. Big Bang Cosmology 361

- 12.1. The Expanding Universe Is Proposed 361
- 12.2. The Age of the Earth 363
- 12.3. The Context for the Debate: Four New Sciences and One Shared Memory 364
- 12.4. Cosmology Constrained by Terrestrial Time 366
- 12.5. Hubble Doubts the Expanding Universe 367
- 12.6. A Radical Solution: Steady-State Cosmology 369
- 12.7. Astronomy Blinks: Slowing the Expansion 370
- 12.8. Lemaître's Primeval Atom and Gamow's Big Bang 371
- 12.9. Arguments for Steady-State Weaken 374
- 12.10. The Temperature of Space 375
- 12.11. Discovery of the Cosmic Microwave Background 376
- 12.12. Impact of the Discovery on Cosmologists 379
- 12.13. Credit for the Prediction 381
- 12.14. Conclusions 382

PART FOUR Heredity and Evolution 395

13. Morgan's Chromosome Theory 397

- 13.1. Introduction 397
- 13.2. Is Biology Like Hypothetico-Deductive Physics? 397
- 13.3. Precursors 398
- 13.4. Morgan's Theory 399
- 13.5. The Problem of Universality 402
- 13.6. Morgan's Theory in Research Journals 403
- 13.7. Important Early Supporters 405
- 13.8. Bateson and the Morgan Theory in Great Britain 407
- 13.9. The Problem of Universality Revisited 408
- 13.10. Books and Review Articles on Genetics, Evolution, and Cytology 410
- 13.11. Biology Textbooks 411
- 13.12. Age Distribution of Supporters and Opponents 412
- 13.13. Conclusions 412

14. The Revival of Natural Selection 1930–1970 422

- 14.1. Introduction 422
- 14.2. Fisher: A New Language for Evolutionary Research 424
- 14.3. Wright: Random Genetic Drift, a Concept Out of Control 427
- 14.4. Haldane: A Mathematical-Philosophical Biologist Weighs In 430
- 14.5. Early Reception of the Theory 431
- 14.6. Dobzhansky: The Faraday of Biology? 432
- 14.7. Evidence for Natural Selection, Before 1941 434
- 14.8. Huxley: A New Synthesis Is Proclaimed 436
- 14.9. Mayr: Systematics and the Founder Principle 438