

PLEADING AND PROCEDURE

STATE AND FEDERAL
CASES AND MATERIALS

NINTH EDITION

GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR.

COLIN C. TAIT

WILLIAM A. FLETCHER

STEPHEN MCG. BUNDY

FOUNDATION PRESS

CASES AND MATERIALS

TRADING AND PROCEDURE

AND FEDERAL

EDITION

ROBERT C. HAZARD, JR.

Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania
Adjunct Professor of Law,
University of California, Hastings College of the Law

DAVID TAIT

Professor of Law, University of Connecticut

ALAN FLETCHER

James H. Jennings Professor of Law, Emeritus
University of California, Berkeley
Senior Counsel, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

MICHAEL G. BUNDY

Professor of Law
University of California, Berkeley

WESTLAW PRESS

NEW YORK

Foundation Press, of Thomson/West, has created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Foundation Press is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

© 1962, 1968, 1973, 1979, 1983, 1989, 1994, 1999 FOUNDATION PRESS

© 2005 By FOUNDATION PRESS

395 Hudson Street
New York, NY 10014
Phone Toll Free 1-877-888-1330
Fax (212) 367-6799
fdpress.com

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1-58778-535-8



TEXT IS PRINTED ON 10% POST
CONSUMER RECYCLED PAPER



PREFACE TO THE NINTH EDITION

Welcome to the most important course of the first year. Procedure is important to lawyers and law students because it sets the terms on which civil disputes are resolved and substantive legal rights enforced. For the hundreds of thousands of American lawyers and judges who work on civil litigation the law of procedure is central, providing the language and tools that they use and refine every day of their working lives. Our students sometimes email us from their summer job after their first year saying, “Now I know why Civil Procedure is so important. It is at the center of everything.”

The first year course in procedure, more than any other, exposes students to the world of practicing lawyers. In almost every case that you study in this course, you will find yourself thinking not just about legal rules, but also about a lawyer’s (or judge’s) strategic, tactical or ethical choices. Often those choices involve uncertainty, high stakes, and intense pressure. No other first-year course so consistently offers such a vivid participant’s perspective on the legal system.

At the core of procedure lie difficult questions of policy. American civil procedure rests on traditional and characteristic American values of limited government, fair competition, individual initiative and federalism. But it also stands at the epicenter of some of the most important and intensely debated political issues in American law today. The use of civil litigation as a tool of substantive legal regulation has been the subject of continuing media attention, and has been a central issue in recent Presidential campaigns. When politicians, from the President of the United States on down, declaim against “frivolous lawsuits,” “greedy trial lawyers,” “judicial hell holes,” or “runaway” juries, their complaint is often directly with the rules of procedure studied in this course, and their ultimate goal is usually procedural reform. This course aims to provide you with an understanding of real workings of the civil justice system and of the underlying policy choices that will allow you to participate knowledgeably and responsibly in those important public debates.

For all these reasons and more, we like teaching and writing about civil procedure. We hope our affection and enthusiasm for the subject is contagious. What is not to like about a subject that asks if you can file suit in any of several different courts, obtaining in each a different law, a different jury (and possibly a different result)? About a subject that asks whether you can be compelled to appear in an Arkansas court because you were served with

process while flying over Arkansas? About a subject that teaches you how to distinguish between questions of law and questions of fact, between contentions that are creative and those that are frivolous, between jurisdiction in rem and jurisdiction in personam?

Civil procedure can sometimes be a hard subject, but this is not a hard book. Or, rather, it is no harder than the subject matter demands. Many aspects of civil procedure are, at least on their face, non-intuitive, requiring patient attention to yield up their secrets. Others, such as the law of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, or class actions, are difficult even for experienced lawyers. A procedure book that hides or glosses over this complexity does you no favors. But we have tried not to make procedure any harder than it has to be. We do not hide the ball, and we hope that our sentences are understandable on the first, rather than the second (or third) reading.

We have also tried to convey a real understanding of how courts and lawyers actually work. The formal rules operate in a system of civil litigation with customs and practices that are unfamiliar, and sometimes baffling, to outsiders. This book contains a lot of information about the system, some of it historical, some economic, sociological and psychological, some practical. We have tried to take full advantage of the burgeoning empirical social science literature on the operation of the civil justice system to give a real picture of stakes, costs, and outcomes in typical cases. Rarely will any single piece of this information be critical to your understanding of a rule or case; but in the aggregate we believe that it will assist you greatly in understanding the operation of the rules and the behavior of the participants in the system.

Though the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are at the center of the book, state rules of procedure remain not far from the center. In part, such rules are important for their own sake, but in equal part they provide a sense of present-day alternatives to federal rules. We have also paid attention to the historical development of rules of procedure and to comparative procedural perspectives, so that you can understand the past and present-day alternatives to modern American rules, both federal and state.

This book had its origins more than forty years ago at the University of California at Berkeley (Boalt Hall). It has changed in many ways over the years, but we like to think that it is still, in spirit, the same book as it was at the beginning, focusing on the hard perennial problems, and insisting on balancing both practical and critical perspectives on the material. (You may well wish to read the Preface to the First Edition, following this Preface.) This edition, like earlier editions, would not have been possible without the assistance of many people. Our academic colleagues have been indispensable sources of advice and insight. At Boalt, we want particularly to thank Professors Anne Joseph, Eleanor Swift and Jan Vetter, each of whom taught from and provided exceptionally helpful comments on a manuscript version of this edition. At other schools, we owe particular thanks to Professors Paul McKaskle, John Oakley and Catherine Struve. For excellent research help, we thank C. Scott Andrews, Christina Coll, Suzanne

DeGalan, Katherine Florey, Dean Harvey, Elizabeth Hunter, Azra Medjedovic, and David Zifkin. Laura Morgan was an eagle-eyed proofreader. For help with the index, we thank Daphne Trowbridge-Williams, Christina Coll, Elizabeth Hunter and Azra Medjedovic (the latter three in repeat roles). Daphne Trowbridge-Williams also provided invaluable clerical support.

G.C.H., JR.
C.C.T.
W.A.F.
S.McG.B.

April, 2005

*

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

The predecessors of these materials have been used for some years in mimeograph form, at the University of Minnesota and the University of California, Berkeley.

A principal goal we have sought to achieve in them is connoted by the title: "Pleading and Procedure: State and Federal." For in our treatment of all major problems we have tried to juxtapose State Code and Federal Rules doctrine. This we have done not only for practical purposes of coverage, though that is of course a significant end in itself. Despite the increasing acceptance of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a number of states, including California and New York, still pursue the "Code road." Students preparing for Code practice cannot be ignored; as Cleveland put it, "It is a condition, not a theory, that confronts us." Moreover some problems of procedure, by reason of the nature of our federal system, are primarily of concern in state courts. But beyond these pragmatic considerations, experience in teaching has dictated our method. For we have found that there is no other technique in procedural teaching as effective as comparison between the methods of the two on-going systems of American adjudication, Code practice and the Federal Rules. And profound though our admiration is for the achievements of the latter, we of course do not regard present federal practice as the last possible word. The continuing revision of the Federal Rules is perhaps the greatest vindication of the judicial statesmanship that engendered them in the first place; the Federal Rules, like all living institutions, constantly can profit by comparison. And surely the recommendations of the New York Temporary Commission on the Courts, retaining as they do many features of Code practice, caution against accepting the Federal Rules as a procedural monotype. Indeed, in pursuance of our conviction of the values of comparison we have not hesitated to invoke light also from non-common law sources.

But if the juxtaposition of State and Federal pleading and procedure is valuable for comparative purposes, perhaps it is even more meaningful to demonstrate the universality, continuity and persistency of the hard problems of procedure, whatever the forum. Perhaps it is simultaneous study of both systems that offers best the promise of a distillate that may yet provide a universal norm for American procedure—to the extent that one is feasible in a federal system.

We have selected our cases primarily as exemplars of the recurrent problems rather than as sources of doctrine. This necessitates more supple-

mentation by textual notes than perhaps has been customary in other pleading and procedure casebooks. Perhaps some may criticize this method on the ground that it attempts to make things too easy for the student, a bootless and deceptive effort with subject matter as tough as that of pleading and procedure. Such critics we would attempt to disarm by thorough agreement that this subject matter is tough; that superficial glances at hard problems can only be deceptive; that he who would master these problems, must first discipline himself to effort. If then we are asked, why so much textual explanation, our answer is that by clearing away some of the rubbish we hope to lead the students through the tailings to the mines that invite—indeed demand—deep digging. We rather expect the criticism that some of our cases and problems are just too tough, at least for first year students. But we have been teaching them to first year students with the satisfying conviction—unless we are deluded—that when really challenged, the student will respond with the necessary effort. Hence we have not hesitated to draw upon hard cases, provided they are good teaching tools, and to present the tough problems.

Naturally for the Code side of the picture we have drawn heavily upon California cases not only as the jurisdiction of our immediate contact but because the richness of its procedural law, compounded by an intermediate system of appellate review, produced an almost inexhaustible source for intelligent reflection on today's procedural problems. But the similarity of California's Code of Civil Procedure to the other Codes, e.g., New York's, reduces the problem of case selection largely to one of choosing the best teaching tool, regardless of the jurisdiction of origin of the case involved. Of course those using the book in states which have adopted the Federal Rules can for the most part pursue the Federal Rules materials herein. We teach Pleading and Procedure three hours a week for both semesters; but we think the book adaptable, according to need, to various combinations of semester hours. For example, it might be used for either two or three hour courses in (1) Pleading and Joinder, and (2) Jurisdiction and Trials.

This book reflects our attempts while teaching Pleading and Procedure to hold in focus the following different, if sometimes overlapping, viewpoints: (1) That of the law student, as he struggles to master, for example, the many-faceted concept of jurisdiction, or to balance in the scales the "rights" of a pleader with the tactical limitations implicit in the process of "educating" an opponent; (2) That of the lawyer as an advocate in an adversary system, whose objective is to win for his client and for whom outcome is largely the function of judgment and wisdom in marshalling the resources of his cause; (3) That of the judge, interested in effective, efficient, economical and fair determination of controversy, according to prescribed rules; (4) That of the intelligent legislature and lay public, who view procedure as a means of effectuating desired substantive objectives, and therefore as the handmaiden of justice; and (5) That of the jurisprudent, who sees today's procedure in the historical context of the continuum of human conflict. Our emphases shift from problem to problem—when we consider selecting the jury, it may be primarily tactical; when we deal with instructing the jury, it

may be principally that of judicial administration—but we hope that all of these viewpoints always remain at least implicit.

Lastly, this book reflects our philosophy, the product perhaps as much of our experience as practitioners as of our reflection as teachers, that procedure is but a phase in the process of settling human controversy, and that the most effective “pleader” and “trial lawyer” is often he who by wise negotiation obviates the necessity of pleading and going to trial. Because of this thinking we give perhaps unusual attention, for a book of this type, to the problem of settling cases.

For whatever success our efforts may achieve, we owe much to our colleagues because of their generosity in making available the learning of all of their disciplines with which pleading and procedure are so inextricably interwoven. In particular, we thank Edward L. Barrett, Jr., who by a scholarly and perceptive selection of California cases on pleading prior to our arrival on the scene at Berkeley paved the way for the assimilation of that phase into our integrated treatment, and who generously bequeathed his work to us; Albert Ehrenzweig, who has always made available his penetrating thinking in the area of jurisdiction; and our new colleague in procedure here, Preble Stolz, who has read much of the manuscript.

D.W.L.
G.C.H., JR.

April, 1962

*

TABLE OF CASES

Principal cases are in bold type. Non-principal cases are in roman type. References are to Pages.

- Access Now, Inc. v. Southwest Airlines Co., 385 F.3d 1324 (11th Cir.2004), 566
- Access Now, Inc. v. Southwest Airlines Co.**, 227 F.Supp.2d 1312 (S.D.Fla.2002), 45, 555, **556**, 565, 566, 578
- Ackermann v. United States, 340 U.S. 193, 71 S.Ct. 209, 95 L.Ed. 207 (1950), 1155
- Acri v. Varian Associates, Inc., 114 F.3d 999 (9th Cir.1997), 390
- Action Embroidery Corp. v. Atlantic Embroidery, Inc., 368 F.3d 1174 (9th Cir. 2004), 245
- Adam v. Saenger, 303 U.S. 59, 58 S.Ct. 454, 82 L.Ed. 649 (1938), 304
- Adams v. Davison-Paxon Co., 230 S.C. 532, 96 S.E.2d 566 (S.C.1957), 478
- Ades v. Brush, 66 Cal.App.2d 436, 152 P.2d 519 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1944), 636
- Adickes v. S.H. Kress, Inc.**, 398 U.S. 144, 90 S.Ct. 1598, 26 L.Ed.2d 142 (1970), 31, **923**, 931, 932, 933, 934, 943, 951, 954
- Aerojet-General Corp. v. Askew, 511 F.2d 710 (5th Cir.1975), 715
- Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Lavoie, 475 U.S. 813, 106 S.Ct. 1580, 89 L.Ed.2d 823 (1986), 1097
- Agent Orange Product Liability Litigation, In re, 100 F.R.D. 718 (E.D.N.Y.1983), 775
- Ager v. Jane C. Stormont Hospital and Training School for Nurses**, 622 F.2d 496 (10th Cir.1980), **873**, 879, 880
- Aguilar v. Anderson, 855 S.W.2d 799 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1993), 105, 1096
- A.H. Robins Co., In re, 880 F.2d 709 (4th Cir.1989), 762, 775, 790
- Air Crash Disaster Near New Orleans, La., In re, 821 F.2d 1147 (5th Cir.1987), 447
- Alameda, County of v. Carleson, 97 Cal.Rptr. 385, 488 P.2d 953 (Cal.1971), 721, 1258
- Alaska Excursion Cruises, Inc. v. United States, 603 F.Supp. 541 (D.D.C.1984), 714
- Aldinger v. Howard, 427 U.S. 1, 96 S.Ct. 2413, 49 L.Ed.2d 276 (1976), 380, 389
- Aldrich v. McCulloch Properties, Inc., 627 F.2d 1036 (10th Cir.1980), 63
- Aldrich v. Transcontinental Land & Water Co., 131 Cal.App.2d 788, 281 P.2d 362 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1955), 1254
- Alexander v. Louisiana, 405 U.S. 625, 92 S.Ct. 1221, 31 L.Ed.2d 536 (1972), 1063
- Allapattah Services, Inc. v. Exxon Corp., 333 F.3d 1248 (11th Cir.2003), 398, 399
- Allbritton v. Commissioner, 37 F.3d 183 (5th Cir.1994), 1228
- Allen v. California Water & Tel. Co., 31 Cal.2d 104, 187 P.2d 393 (Cal.1947), 705
- Allen v. Cole Realty, Inc., 325 A.2d 19 (Me. 1974), 1154
- Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737, 104 S.Ct. 3315, 82 L.Ed.2d 556 (1984), 670
- Allenberg Cotton Co. v. Pittman, 419 U.S. 20, 95 S.Ct. 260, 42 L.Ed.2d 195 (1974), 682
- Allied Chemical Corp. v. Daiflon, Inc., 449 U.S. 33, 101 S.Ct. 188, 66 L.Ed.2d 193 (1980), 1291
- Allison v. Citgo Petroleum Corp., 151 F.3d 402 (5th Cir.1998), 790
- Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague, 449 U.S. 302, 101 S.Ct. 633, 66 L.Ed.2d 521 (1981), 343, 345
- Aloy v. Mash, 212 Cal.Rptr. 162, 696 P.2d 656 (Cal.1985), 609
- Alyeska Pipeline Service Co. v. United States, 231 Ct.Cl. 540, 688 F.2d 765 (Ct.Cl.1982), 1177
- Alyeska Pipeline Service Co. v. Wilderness Society, 421 U.S. 240, 95 S.Ct. 1612, 44 L.Ed.2d 141 (1975), 121
- Ambromovage v. United Mine Workers, 726 F.2d 972 (3rd Cir.1984), 392
- Amchem Products v. Windsor**, 521 U.S. 591, 117 S.Ct. 2231, 138 L.Ed.2d 689 (1997), 32, 761, 776, **802**, 818
- American Dredging Co. v. Miller, 510 U.S. 443, 114 S.Ct. 981, 127 L.Ed.2d 285 (1994), 447
- American Eutectic Welding Alloys Sales Co. v. Dytron Alloys Corp., 439 F.2d 428 (2nd Cir.1971), 235
- American Fire & Cas. Co. v. Finn, 341 U.S. 6, 71 S.Ct. 534, 95 L.Ed. 702 (1951), 411, 412
- American Greyhound Racing, Inc. v. Hull, 305 F.3d 1015 (9th Cir.2002), 692, 693
- American Life Ins. Co. v. Stewart**, 300 U.S. 203, 57 S.Ct. 377, 81 L.Ed. 605 (1937), **998**, 1011, 1012
- American National Red Cross v. S.G., 505 U.S. 247, 112 S.Ct. 2465, 120 L.Ed.2d 201 (1992), 352, 353

- American Nurses' Ass'n v. State of Illinois**, 783 F.2d 716 (7th Cir.1986), **568**, 578
- American Tel. & Tel. Co., United States v., 86 F.R.D. 603 (D.D.C.1979), 855
- American Trial Lawyers Ass'n v. New Jersey Supreme Court, 66 N.J. 258, 330 A.2d 350 (N.J.1974), 110
- American Well Works Co. v. Layne & Bowler Co., 241 U.S. 257, 36 S.Ct. 585, 60 L.Ed. 987 (1916), 363
- Ameron, Inc. v. United States Army Corps of Engineers, 787 F.2d 875 (3rd Cir.1986), 721
- Amoco Overseas Oil Co. v. Compagnie Nationale Algerienne de Navigation, 605 F.2d 648 (2nd Cir.1979), 265
- Andersen v. Howland, 3 Cal.App.3d 380, 83 Cal.Rptr. 308 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1970), 1115
- Anderson v. Beatrice Foods Co., 900 F.2d 388 (1st Cir.1990), 1156
- Anderson v. City of Bessemer City**, 470 U.S. 564, 105 S.Ct. 1504, 84 L.Ed.2d 518 (1985), **1141**, 1150
- Anderson v. Creighton, 483 U.S. 635, 107 S.Ct. 3034, 97 L.Ed.2d 523 (1987), 591, 597
- Anderson v. Cryovac, Inc., 862 F.2d 910 (1st Cir.1988), 1156
- Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986), 944, 952, 954
- Andrews v. Pediatric Surgical Group, P.C., 138 F.R.D. 611 (N.D.Ga.1991), 294
- Angel v. Bullington, 330 U.S. 183, 67 S.Ct. 657, 91 L.Ed. 832 (1947), 472
- Ankenbrandt v. Richards, 504 U.S. 689, 112 S.Ct. 2206, 119 L.Ed.2d 468 (1992), 371
- APL Corp. v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co., 91 F.R.D. 10 (D.Md.1980), 868
- Apodaca v. Oregon, 406 U.S. 404, 92 S.Ct. 1628, 32 L.Ed.2d 184 (1972), 1032
- Arabian American Oil Co. v. Scarfone, 119 F.R.D. 448 (M.D.Fla.1988), 988
- Arendt v. Vetta Sports, Inc., 99 F.3d 231 (7th Cir.1996), 646
- Arley v. United Pac. Ins. Co., 379 F.2d 183 (9th Cir.1967), 416
- Armstrong v. Pomerance, 423 A.2d 174 (Del. Supr.1980), 264
- Arndt v. Griggs, 134 U.S. 316, 10 S.Ct. 557, 33 L.Ed. 918 (1890), 157
- Arnold v. Eastern Air Lines, Inc., 681 F.2d 186 (4th Cir.1982), 652
- Arnold v. United Artists Theatre Circuit, Inc., 158 F.R.D. 439 (N.D.Cal.1994), 789
- Arnstein v. Porter**, 154 F.2d 464 (2nd Cir. 1946), **945**, 951, 952
- Arnstein v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp., 52 F.Supp. 114 (S.D.N.Y.1943), 952, 953, 954
- Arpet, Ltd. v. Homans, 390 F.Supp. 908 (W.D.Pa.1975), 578
- Arroyo v. Chardon, 90 F.R.D. 603 (D.Puerto Rico 1981), 652
- Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court**, 480 U.S. 102, 107 S.Ct. 1026, 94 L.Ed.2d 92 (1987), 172, **212**, 223, 224, 226, 235, 237, 243, 246, 247, 446
- Asbestos School Litigation, In re, 104 F.R.D. 422 (E.D.Pa.1984), 775
- Association of Data Processing Service Organizations, Inc. v. Camp, 397 U.S. 150, 90 S.Ct. 827, 25 L.Ed.2d 184 (1970), 670
- Astoria Federal Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Solimino, 501 U.S. 104, 111 S.Ct. 2166, 115 L.Ed.2d 96 (1991), 1196
- Atherton v. FDIC, 519 U.S. 213, 117 S.Ct. 666, 136 L.Ed.2d 656 (1997), 545
- Atkinson v. Sinclair Refining Co., 370 U.S. 238, 82 S.Ct. 1318, 8 L.Ed.2d 462 (1962), 683
- Atlantic Pipe Corp., In re**, 304 F.3d 135 (1st Cir.2002), **975**, 986, 988
- Atlantic Richfield Co. v. Superior Court, 51 Cal.App.3d 168, 124 Cal.Rptr. 63 (Cal. App. 2 Dist.1975), 694
- Atlantis Development Corp. v. United States**, 379 F.2d 818 (5th Cir.1967), **705**, 714
- Atlas Roofing Co. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Com'n**, 430 U.S. 442, 97 S.Ct. 1261, 51 L.Ed.2d 464 (1977), **1047**, 1054, 1055, 1056
- Attridge v. Cencorp Division, 836 F.2d 113 (2nd Cir.1987), 1124
- Auction Houses Antitrust Litigation, In re, 2001 WL 170792 (S.D.N.Y.2001), 800
- Avco Corp. v. Aero Lodge No. 735, 390 U.S. 557, 88 S.Ct. 1235, 20 L.Ed.2d 126 (1968), 405, 406
- Avirgan v. Hull, 932 F.2d 1572 (11th Cir. 1991), 625
- Babcock v. Jackson, 240 N.Y.S.2d 743, 191 N.E.2d 279 (N.Y.1963), 344
- Bach v. County of Butte, 147 Cal.App.3d 554, 195 Cal.Rptr. 268 (Cal.App. 3 Dist.1983), 599
- Bailey, United States v., 834 F.2d 218 (1st Cir.1987), 1124
- Baker v. Carr, 369 U.S. 186, 82 S.Ct. 691, 7 L.Ed.2d 663 (1962), 31
- Baker v. General Motors Corp., 522 U.S. 222, 118 S.Ct. 657, 139 L.Ed.2d 580 (1998), 1247
- Baldwin v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Ass'n, 283 U.S. 522, 51 S.Ct. 517, 75 L.Ed. 1244 (1931), 326, 1157, 1233, 1234
- Ballew v. Georgia, 435 U.S. 223, 98 S.Ct. 1029, 55 L.Ed.2d 234 (1978), 1031
- Baltimore & Carolina Line v. Redman, 295 U.S. 654, 55 S.Ct. 890, 79 L.Ed. 1636 (1935), 996
- Baltimore & O. R. Co. v. Kepner, 314 U.S. 44, 62 S.Ct. 6, 86 L.Ed. 28 (1941), 428, 429, 447

- Banco Ambrosiano, S.P.A. v. Artoc Bank & Trust Ltd., 476 N.Y.S.2d 64, 464 N.E.2d 432 (N.Y.1984), 264, 327
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Chase Manhattan Bank, 658 F.2d 875 (2nd Cir.1981), 665
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 398, 84 S.Ct. 923, 11 L.Ed.2d 804 (1964), 544
- Bancroft & Masters, Inc. v. Augusta Nat. Inc., 223 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir.2000), 226
- Bankers Life & Cas. Co. v. Crenshaw**, 486 U.S. 71, 108 S.Ct. 1645, 100 L.Ed.2d 62 (1988), **1292**, 1299, 1301
- Bank of Augusta v. Earle, 38 U.S. 519, 13 Pet. 519, 10 L.Ed. 274 (1839), 682
- Bank of Heflin v. Miles, 621 F.2d 108 (5th Cir.1980), 1249
- Bank of Orient v. Superior Court, 67 Cal. App.3d 588, 136 Cal.Rptr. 741 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1977), 672, 869
- Bank of United States v. Deveaux, 9 U.S. 61, 3 L.Ed. 38 (1809), 373
- Banks v. City of Emeryville**, 109 F.R.D. 535 (N.D.Cal.1985), **694**, 702, 703, 704
- Barber v. International Broth. of Boilermakers, 778 F.2d 750 (11th Cir.1985), 1191
- Barber v. Miller, 146 F.3d 707 (9th Cir.1998), 623
- Barrentine v. Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc., 450 U.S. 728, 101 S.Ct. 1437, 67 L.Ed.2d 641 (1981), 1176
- Batoff v. State Farm Ins. Co., 977 F.2d 848 (3rd Cir.1992), 369, 407
- Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79, 106 S.Ct. 1712, 90 L.Ed.2d 69 (1986), 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1081
- Batts v. Tow-Motor Forklift Co., 66 F.3d 743 (5th Cir.1995), 537
- Baxter v. Palmigiano, 425 U.S. 308, 96 S.Ct. 1551, 47 L.Ed.2d 810 (1976), 856
- Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover**, 359 U.S. 500, 79 S.Ct. 948, 3 L.Ed.2d 988 (1959), **1002**, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1026, 1044
- Bechtel v. Robinson, 886 F.2d 644 (3rd Cir. 1989), 646
- Beggerly, United States v., 524 U.S. 38, 118 S.Ct. 1862, 141 L.Ed.2d 32 (1998), 1156
- Beneficial Nat. Bank v. Anderson, 539 U.S. 1, 123 S.Ct. 2058, 156 L.Ed.2d 1 (2003), 406
- Bensusan Restaurant Corp. v. King**, 126 F.3d 25 (2nd Cir.1997), **228**, 232, 233, 235, 236, 237
- Berkeley v. Alameda County Bd. of Supervisors, 40 Cal.App.3d 961, 115 Cal.Rptr. 540 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1974), 45
- Bernhard v. Bank of America, 19 Cal.2d 807, 122 P.2d 892 (Cal.1942), 1212, 1225
- Bernhard v. Harrah's Club, 128 Cal.Rptr. 215, 546 P.2d 719 (Cal.1976), 345
- Bernhardt v. Polygraphic Co. of America, 350 U.S. 198, 76 S.Ct. 273, 100 L.Ed. 199 (1956), 473
- Best v. Taylor Mach. Works, 179 Ill.2d 367, 228 Ill.Dec. 636, 689 N.E.2d 1057 (Ill. 1997), 39
- Bhatnagar v. Surrendra Overseas Ltd., 52 F.3d 1220 (3rd Cir.1995), 445
- Bigbee v. Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co., 192 Cal. Rptr. 857, 665 P.2d 947 (Cal.1983), 1198
- Bigelow v. Old Dominion Copper Mining & Smelting Co., 225 U.S. 111, 32 S.Ct. 641, 56 L.Ed. 1009 (1912), 1212
- Binghamton Masonic Temple, Inc. v. Bares, 168 F.R.D. 121 (N.D.N.Y.1996), 624
- Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971), 544
- Black and White Taxicab & Transfer Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxicab & Transfer Co., 276 U.S. 518, 48 S.Ct. 404, 72 L.Ed. 681 (1928), 463, 465
- Blakely v. Washington, — U.S. —, 124 S.Ct. 2531, 159 L.Ed.2d 403 (2004), 1045
- Blonder-Tongue Laboratories, Inc. v. University of Illinois Foundation, 402 U.S. 313, 91 S.Ct. 1434, 28 L.Ed.2d 788 (1971), 1225
- BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 116 S.Ct. 1589, 134 L.Ed.2d 809 (1996), 42, 1139
- Board of Regents v. Tomanio, 446 U.S. 478, 100 S.Ct. 1790, 64 L.Ed.2d 440 (1980), 647
- Boatman v. Thomas, 320 F.Supp. 1079 (M.D.Pa.1971), 644
- Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371, 91 S.Ct. 780, 28 L.Ed.2d 113 (1971), 107
- Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert, 444 U.S. 472, 100 S.Ct. 745, 62 L.Ed.2d 676 (1980), 791
- Bonner v. Coughlin, 657 F.2d 931 (7th Cir. 1981), 1125
- Bosch's Estate, Commissioner v., 387 U.S. 456, 87 S.Ct. 1776, 18 L.Ed.2d 886 (1967), 538
- Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union, 466 U.S. 485, 104 S.Ct. 1949, 80 L.Ed.2d 502 (1984), 1150
- Boston's Children First, In re, 244 F.3d 164 (1st Cir.2001), 1095
- Botefuhr, United States v., 309 F.3d 1263 (10th Cir.2002), 245
- Bowe v. Colgate-Palmolive Co., 416 F.2d 711 (7th Cir.1969), 740
- Bower v. O'Hara, 759 F.2d 1117 (3rd Cir. 1985), 1227
- Bradley v. Milliken, 426 F.Supp. 929 (E.D.Mich.1977), 1096
- Branch v. Tunnell, 14 F.3d 449 (9th Cir. 1994), 597
- Brand Jewelers, Inc., United States v., 318 F.Supp. 1293 (S.D.N.Y.1970), 299
- Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation, In re, 123 F.3d 599 (7th Cir. 1997), 398
- Bray v. Cox, 379 N.Y.S.2d 803, 342 N.E.2d 575 (N.Y.1976), 1198

- Brenner v. Title Guarantee & Trust Co., 276 N.Y. 230, 11 N.E.2d 890 (N.Y.1937), 735
- Brill v. Guardian Life Ins. Co., 142 N.J. 520, 666 A.2d 146 (N.J.1995), 952
- British Airways Board v. Laker Airways Ltd, 1984 WL 281712 (HL 1984), 448
- Brockmeyer v. May, 383 F.3d 798 (9th Cir. 2004), 296
- Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483, 74 S.Ct. 686, 98 L.Ed. 873 (1954), 31
- Brown v. Continental Can Co., 765 F.2d 810 (9th Cir.1985), 63
- Brown v. Tigor Title Ins. Co., 982 F.2d 386 (9th Cir.1992), 790
- Brown v. Western Ry. of Ala., 338 U.S. 294, 70 S.Ct. 105, 94 L.Ed. 100 (1949), 527, 599
- Buckhannon Bd. and Care Home, Inc. v. West Virginia Dept. of Health and Human Resources, 532 U.S. 598, 121 S.Ct. 1835, 149 L.Ed.2d 855 (2001), 122
- Budinich v. Becton Dickinson & Co., 486 U.S. 196, 108 S.Ct. 1717, 100 L.Ed.2d 178 (1988), 1291
- Burch v. Louisiana, 441 U.S. 130, 99 S.Ct. 1623, 60 L.Ed.2d 96 (1979), 1032
- Burford v. Sun Oil Co., 319 U.S. 315, 63 S.Ct. 1098, 87 L.Ed. 1424 (1943), 539, 1255
- Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz**, 471 U.S. 462, 105 S.Ct. 2174, 85 L.Ed.2d 528 (1985), 172, 173, 188, **196**, 211, 223, 224, 225, 226, 235, 237, 238
- Burke v. Kleiman, 277 Ill.App. 519 (Ill.App. 1 Dist.1934), 749, 751, 752, 819
- Burlington, City of v. Dague, 505 U.S. 557, 112 S.Ct. 2638, 120 L.Ed.2d 449 (1992), 124
- Burlington Northern R. Co. v. Woods, 480 U.S. 1, 107 S.Ct. 967, 94 L.Ed.2d 1 (1987), 488
- Burnham v. Superior Court of California**, 495 U.S. 604, 110 S.Ct. 2105, 109 L.Ed.2d 631 (1990), **266**, 281
- Burns, United States v., 662 F.2d 1378 (11th Cir.1981), 1198
- Bustop v. Superior Court**, 69 Cal.App.3d 66, 137 Cal.Rptr. 793 (Cal.App. 2 Dist. 1977), 714, **715**, 719, 720
- Butler v. Reeder, 628 So.2d 99 (La.App. 5 Cir.1993), 1173
- Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Elec. Co-op., Inc.**, 356 U.S. 525, 78 S.Ct. 893, 2 L.Ed.2d 953 (1958), **473**, 478, 479, 490, 512, 513, 514, 522, 526
- Calder v. Jones, 465 U.S. 783, 104 S.Ct. 1482, 79 L.Ed.2d 804 (1984), 225, 226, 227, 237, 238
- Calderon v. Thompson, 523 U.S. 538, 118 S.Ct. 1489, 140 L.Ed.2d 728 (1998), 1157
- Calero-Toledo v. Pearson Yacht Leasing Co., 416 U.S. 663, 94 S.Ct. 2080, 40 L.Ed.2d 452 (1974), 76
- Calhoun v. Massie, 253 U.S. 170, 40 S.Ct. 474, 64 L.Ed. 843 (1920), 110
- California ex rel. State Lands Com., State of v. County of Orange, 134 Cal.App.3d 20, 184 Cal.Rptr. 423 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1982), 566
- California, State of v. Levi Strauss & Co., 224 Cal.Rptr. 605, 715 P.2d 564 (Cal.1986), 791
- Campbell v. Gerrans, 592 F.2d 1054 (9th Cir.1979), 856
- Cannon v. University of Chicago, 441 U.S. 677, 99 S.Ct. 1946, 60 L.Ed.2d 560 (1979), 544
- Capital Traction Co. v. Hof, 174 U.S. 1, 19 S.Ct. 580, 43 L.Ed. 873 (1899), 996
- Carden v. Arkoma Associates, 494 U.S. 185, 110 S.Ct. 1015, 108 L.Ed.2d 157 (1990), 368
- Carefirst Of Maryland, Inc. v. Carefirst Pregnancy Centers, Inc., 334 F.3d 390 (4th Cir.2003), 238
- Carmen v. San Francisco Unified School Dist., 237 F.3d 1026 (9th Cir.2001), 951
- Carmona v. Toledo, 215 F.3d 124 (1st Cir. 2000), 944
- Carnegie-Mellon University v. Cohill, 484 U.S. 343, 108 S.Ct. 614, 98 L.Ed.2d 720 (1988), 390
- Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute**, 499 U.S. 585, 111 S.Ct. 1522, 113 L.Ed.2d 622 (1991), **304**, 314, 315, 316
- Carolina Power & Light Co. v. Uranex, 451 F.Supp. 1044 (N.D.Cal.1977), 266
- Cascade Natural Gas Corp. v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., 386 U.S. 129, 87 S.Ct. 932, 17 L.Ed.2d 814 (1967), 720
- Castaneda v. Partida, 430 U.S. 482, 97 S.Ct. 1272, 51 L.Ed.2d 498 (1977), 1063
- Castano v. American Tobacco Co.**, 84 F.3d 734 (5th Cir.1996), 761, 762, **763**, 775, 777, 778, 818
- Castillo v. Norton, 219 F.R.D. 155 (D.Ariz. 2003), 578
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 117 S.Ct. 467, 136 L.Ed.2d 437 (1996), 409
- Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams**, 482 U.S. 386, 107 S.Ct. 2425, 96 L.Ed.2d 318 (1987), **400**, 404, 405, 410
- Celotex Inc. v. Catrett**, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986), 32, **935**, 943, 944, 950, 951
- Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund v. Reimer Express World Corp., 230 F.3d 934 (7th Cir.2000), 244
- Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 111 S.Ct. 2123, 115 L.Ed.2d 27 (1991), 625
- Chancey v. May, 1722 WL 16 (Ct of Chancery 1722), 735
- Chandler v. Southwest Jeep-Eagle, Inc.**, 162 F.R.D. 302 (N.D.Ill.1995), **752**, 761, 762, 763
- Channell v. Citicorp Nat. Services, Inc., 89 F.3d 379 (7th Cir.1996), 392

- Chardon v. Fumero Soto, 462 U.S. 650, 103 S.Ct. 2611, 77 L.Ed.2d 74 (1983), 647
- Chauffeurs v. Terry**, 494 U.S. 558, 110 S.Ct. 1339, 108 L.Ed.2d 519 (1990), **1015**, 1026, 1027, 1044
- Cheshire Nat. Bank v. Jaynes, 224 Mass. 14, 112 N.E. 500 (Mass.1916), 327
- Chick Kam Choo v. Exxon Corp., 486 U.S. 140, 108 S.Ct. 1684, 100 L.Ed.2d 127 (1988), 447, 1248, 1249
- Childs v. Eltinge, 29 Cal.App.3d 843, 105 Cal.Rptr. 864 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1973), 1254
- Chirac v. Reinicker, 24 U.S. 280, 6 L.Ed. 474 (1826), 852
- Chirelstein v. Chirelstein, 8 N.J.Super. 504, 73 A.2d 628 (N.J.Super.Ch.1950), 608
- Christensen v. Integrity Ins. Co., 719 S.W.2d 161 (Tex.1986), 448
- Christiansburg Garment Co. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 434 U.S. 412, 98 S.Ct. 694, 54 L.Ed.2d 648 (1978), 122
- Christianson v. Educational Service Unit No. 16, 243 Neb. 553, 501 N.W.2d 281 (Neb. 1993), 580
- Christopher v. Jones, 231 Cal.App.2d 408, 41 Cal.Rptr. 828 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1964), 43
- Cirami, United States v., 535 F.2d 736 (2nd Cir.1976), 922
- Circle Chevrolet Co. v. Giordano, Halleran & Ciesla, 142 N.J. 280, 662 A.2d 509 (N.J. 1995), 1176
- Cirimele v. Shinazy, 124 Cal.App.2d 46, 268 P.2d 210 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1954), 975
- Cities Service Oil Co. v. Dunlap, 308 U.S. 208, 60 S.Ct. 201, 84 L.Ed. 196 (1939), 471, 472
- Citizens for Balanced Environment and Transp., Inc. v. Volpe, 650 F.2d 455 (2nd Cir.1981), 1150
- City of (see name of city)**
- Clafflin v. Houseman, 93 U.S. 130, 3 Otto 130, 23 L.Ed. 833 (1876), 349
- Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States**, 318 U.S. 363, 318 U.S. 744, 63 S.Ct. 573, 87 L.Ed. 838 (1943), **540**, 543, 544
- Clinton v. Babbitt, 180 F.3d 1081 (9th Cir. 1999), 694
- Coates v. AC & S, Inc., 133 F.R.D. 109 (E.D.La.1990), 880
- Coca-Cola Bottling Co. v. Coca-Cola Co., 107 F.R.D. 288 (D.Del.1985), 885, 886
- Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 69 S.Ct. 1221, 93 L.Ed. 1528 (1949), 472
- Cohen v. Republic of the Philippines, 146 F.R.D. 90 (S.D.N.Y.1993), 729
- Coleman v. Gulf Ins. Group, 226 Cal.Rptr. 90, 718 P.2d 77 (Cal.1986), 1301
- Colgrove v. Battin, 413 U.S. 149, 93 S.Ct. 2448, 37 L.Ed.2d 522 (1973), 1031, 1032, 1044
- Collins v. Edwards, 54 N.C.App. 180, 282 S.E.2d 559 (N.C.App.1981), 62
- Colonial Pipeline Co. v. Brown, 258 Ga. 115, 365 S.E.2d 827 (Ga.1988), 41
- Colorado River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States, 424 U.S. 800, 96 S.Ct. 1236, 47 L.Ed.2d 483 (1976), 1255
- Colston v. Barnhart**, 130 F.3d 96 (5th Cir. 1997), **954**, 962, 1110
- Committee On Children's Television, Inc. v. General Foods Corp., 197 Cal.Rptr. 783, 673 P.2d 660 (Cal.1983), 581
- Commodity Futures Trading Com'n v. Schor, 478 U.S. 833, 106 S.Ct. 3245, 92 L.Ed.2d 675 (1986), 1055
- Commonwealth of (see name of Commonwealth)**
- Communispond, Inc. v. Kelley, 1998 WL 473951 (S.D.N.Y.1998), 916
- Community Dental Services v. Tani, 282 F.3d 1164 (9th Cir.2002), 922
- Compagno v. Commodore Cruise Line, Ltd., 1994 WL 462997 (E.D.La.1994), 316
- Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 78 S.Ct. 99, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957), 565, 575, 576, 577, 578
- Connecticut v. Doeher**, 501 U.S. 1, 111 S.Ct. 2105, 115 L.Ed.2d 1 (1991), **82**, 95
- Connell v. Bowes, 19 Cal.2d 870, 123 P.2d 456 (Cal.1942), 1014
- Connors v. Tanoma Min. Co., Inc., 953 F.2d 682 (D.C.Cir.1992), 1197
- Cooper Industries v. Leatherman Tool Group, 532 U.S. 424, 121 S.Ct. 1678, 149 L.Ed.2d 674 (2001), 40, 42, 1139
- Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay, 437 U.S. 463, 98 S.Ct. 2454, 57 L.Ed.2d 351 (1978), 1290
- Coppinger v. Superior Court, 134 Cal.App.3d 883, 185 Cal.Rptr. 24 (Cal.App. 4 Dist. 1982), 932
- Cordy v. Sherwin-Williams Co., 156 F.R.D. 575 (D.N.J.1994), 880
- Cornelson v. Chaney, 127 Cal.Rptr. 352, 545 P.2d 264 (Cal.1976), 227
- Cortez Byrd Chips, Inc. v. Bill Harbert Const. Co., 529 U.S. 193, 120 S.Ct. 1331, 146 L.Ed.2d 171 (2000), 417
- County of (see name of county)**
- Cox v. Quigley, 141 F.R.D. 222 (D.Me.1992), 294
- Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn**, 420 U.S. 469, 95 S.Ct. 1029, 43 L.Ed.2d 328 (1975), **1270**, 1289, 1290
- Coyne v. Grupo Indus. Trieme, S.A., 105 F.R.D. 627 (D.D.C.1985), 281
- Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 118 S.Ct. 1584, 140 L.Ed.2d 759 (1998), 598
- Creative Technology, Ltd. v. Aztech System Pte., Ltd., 61 F.3d 696 (9th Cir.1995), 445
- Cruz v. City of Camden, 898 F.Supp. 1100 (D.N.J.1995), 647
- Csohan v. United Benefit Life Ins. Co.**, 200 N.E.2d 345 (Ohio App. 8 Dist.1964), 730, **1251**, 1256
- Cuellar v. Cuellar, 406 S.W.2d 510 (TexCiv-App.-Corpus Christi 1966), 304, 324

- Culbertson v. R.D. Werner Co., 190 Cal. App.3d 704, 235 Cal.Rptr. 510 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1987), 975
- Cunningham v. Hamilton County, 527 U.S. 198, 119 S.Ct. 1915, 144 L.Ed.2d 184 (1999), 1291
- Currie, People v.**, 104 Cal.Rptr.2d 430 (Cal. App. 1 Dist.2001), **1056**, 1064, 1067
- Currie Medical Specialties, Inc. v. Bowen, 136 Cal.App.3d 774, 186 Cal.Rptr. 543 (Cal. App. 4 Dist.1982), 1175
- Curtis v. J. E. Caldwell & Co., 86 F.R.D. 454 (E.D.Pa.1980), 392
- Curtis v. Loether, 415 U.S. 189, 94 S.Ct. 1005, 39 L.Ed.2d 260 (1974), 1027
- Curtiss-Wright Corp. v. General Elec. Co., 446 U.S. 1, 100 S.Ct. 1460, 64 L.Ed.2d 1 (1980), 1290
- Cush-Crawford v. Adchem Corp., 271 F.3d 352 (2nd Cir.2001), 41
- Daar v. Yellow Cab Co., 67 Cal.2d 695, 63 Cal.Rptr. 724, 433 P.2d 732 (Cal.1967), 737, 791
- Dadurian v. Underwriters At Lloyd's, 787 F.2d 756 (1st Cir.1986), 1131
- Dailey v. Los Angeles Unified Sch. Dist., 87 Cal.Rptr. 376, 470 P.2d 360 (Cal.1970), 1106
- Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood, 369 U.S. 469, 82 S.Ct. 894, 8 L.Ed.2d 44 (1962), 1011, 1012, 1013, 1026, 1045
- Daka, Inc. v. McCrae, 839 A.2d 682 (D.C. 2003), 1140
- Darby, United States v., 312 U.S. 100, 312 U.S. 657, 61 S.Ct. 451, 85 L.Ed. 609 (1941), 463
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579, 113 S.Ct. 2786, 125 L.Ed.2d 469 (1993), 872
- Davis v. Dallas Area Rapid Transit**, 383 F.3d 309 (5th Cir.2004), **1166**, 1172
- Davis v. Davis, 305 U.S. 32, 59 S.Ct. 3, 83 L.Ed. 26 (1938), 326
- Davis v. Passman, 442 U.S. 228, 99 S.Ct. 2264, 60 L.Ed.2d 846 (1979), 545
- Davis, State v., 504 N.W.2d 767 (Minn.1993), 1081
- Dawson v. United States, 68 F.3d 886 (5th Cir.1995), 972
- Death Row Prisoners of Pennsylvania v. Ridge, 169 F.R.D. 618 (E.D.Pa.1996), 739
- De Castro & Co. v. Liberty S. S. of Panama, S. A., 186 Cal.App.2d 628, 9 Cal.Rptr. 107 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1960), 994
- Dedman v. McKinley, 238 Iowa 886, 29 N.W.2d 337 (Iowa 1947), 1137
- DeFunis v. Odegaard, 416 U.S. 312, 94 S.Ct. 1704, 40 L.Ed.2d 164 (1974), 1257
- De Korwin v. First Nat. Bank of Chicago, 235 F.2d 156 (7th Cir.1956), 1257
- DelCostello v. International Broth. of Teamsters, 462 U.S. 151, 103 S.Ct. 2281, 76 L.Ed.2d 476 (1983), 544
- Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August, 450 U.S. 346, 101 S.Ct. 1146, 67 L.Ed.2d 287 (1981), 975
- Dennis Greenman Securities Litigation, In re, 829 F.2d 1539 (11th Cir.1987), 739
- DES Cases, In re, 789 F.Supp. 552 (E.D.N.Y. 1992), 233
- Deus v. Allstate Ins. Co., 15 F.3d 506 (5th Cir.1994), 1249
- DeVasto v. Faherty, 658 F.2d 859 (1st Cir. 1981), 591
- DeWeerth v. Baldinger, 38 F.3d 1266 (2nd Cir.1994), 537, 1155
- DeWeerth v. Baldinger**, 804 F.Supp. 539 (S.D.N.Y.1992), **536**, 538, 539
- DeWeerth v. Baldinger**, 836 F.2d 103 (2nd Cir.1987), **528**
- Diamond v. Charles, 476 U.S. 54, 106 S.Ct. 1697, 90 L.Ed.2d 48 (1986), 671
- Dice v. Akron, C. & Y. R. Co.**, 342 U.S. 359, 72 S.Ct. 312, 96 L.Ed. 398 (1952), 408, 478, **522**, 526, 527, 995
- Dickerson v. Board of Educ. of Ford Heights, 32 F.3d 1114 (7th Cir.1994), 922
- Digital Equipment Corp. v. Desktop Direct, Inc.**, 511 U.S. 863, 114 S.Ct. 1992, 128 L.Ed.2d 842 (1994), **1278**, 1289, 1290
- Dimick v. Schiedt, 293 U.S. 474, 55 S.Ct. 296, 79 L.Ed. 603 (1935), 995, 1138
- Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs v. Greenwich Collieries, 512 U.S. 267, 114 S.Ct. 2251, 129 L.Ed.2d 221 (1994), 591
- Diruzza v. County of Tehama, 323 F.3d 1147 (9th Cir.2003), 1197
- Disabled Rights Action Committee v. Las Vegas Events, 375 F.3d 861 (9th Cir.2004), 691
- Dixon v. Smith, 1 Swan 457, 36 Eng.Rep. 464 (Ch.1818), 704
- Dobbins v. Title Guarantee & Trust Co., 22 Cal.2d 64, 136 P.2d 572 (Cal.1943), 1184
- D'Oench, Duhme & Co. v. FDIC, 315 U.S. 447, 62 S.Ct. 676, 86 L.Ed. 956 (1942), 542
- Don King Productions, Inc. v. Douglas, 735 F.Supp. 522 (S.D.N.Y.1990), 430
- Donovan v. City of Dallas, 377 U.S. 408, 84 S.Ct. 1579, 12 L.Ed.2d 409 (1964), 448
- Donovan v. Penn Shipping Co., 429 U.S. 648, 97 S.Ct. 835, 51 L.Ed.2d 112 (1977), 1138
- Dow Chemical Co. v. Castro Alfaro, 786 S.W.2d 674 (Tex.1990), 446
- Drayer v. Krasner, 572 F.2d 348 (2nd Cir. 1978), 1289
- Dubin v. United States, 380 F.2d 813 (5th Cir.1967), 434
- Dublin Securities, Inc., In re, 133 F.3d 377 (6th Cir.1997), 1249
- Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 U.S. 145, 88 S.Ct. 1444, 20 L.Ed.2d 491 (1968), 995
- Dunkin v. Boskey, 98 Cal.Rptr.2d 44 (Cal. App. 1 Dist.2000), 1173
- Duplan Corp. v. Deering Milliken, Inc., 400 F.Supp. 497 (D.S.C.1975), 1096

- Duren v. Missouri, 439 U.S. 357, 99 S.Ct. 664, 58 L.Ed.2d 579 (1979), 1064
- Durham v. Bunn, 85 F.Supp. 530 (E.D.Pa. 1949), 665
- Dusenbery v. United States, 534 U.S. 161, 122 S.Ct. 694, 151 L.Ed.2d 597 (2002), 291
- Dyer v. MacDougall, 201 F.2d 265 (2nd Cir. 1952), 953
- Eagle v. American Tel. and Tel. Co., 769 F.2d 541 (9th Cir.1985), 371
- Earle M. Jorgenson Co. v. T.I. United States, Ltd., 133 F.R.D. 472 (E.D.Pa.1991), 669
- East Orange, City of v. Palmer, 52 N.J. 329, 245 A.2d 327 (N.J.1968), 1300
- E.C. Garcia & Co. v. Arizona State Dept. of Revenue, 178 Ariz. 510, 875 P.2d 169 (Ariz.App. Div. 1 1993), 1173
- Eckstein v. Balcor Film Investors, 8 F.3d 1121 (7th Cir.1993), 433
- Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co., Inc.**, 500 U.S. 614, 111 S.Ct. 2077, 114 L.Ed.2d 660 (1991), **1072**
- E.E.O.C. v. Ohio Edison Co., 7 F.3d 541 (6th Cir.1993), 566
- Effron v. Sun Line Cruises, Inc., 67 F.3d 7 (2nd Cir.1995), 315
- Ehrler v. Ehrler, 126 Cal.App.3d 147, 178 Cal.Rptr. 642 (Cal.App. 3 Dist.1981), 1115
- Eichman v. Fotomat Corp., 759 F.2d 1434 (9th Cir.1985), 1191
- Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin**, 417 U.S. 156, 94 S.Ct. 2140, 40 L.Ed.2d 732 (1974), **778**, 787, 788, 790, 791
- Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin (Eisen III), 479 F.2d 1005 (2nd Cir.1973), 791
- Eisen v. Carlisle and Jacquelin, 52 F.R.D. 253 (S.D.N.Y.1971), 791
- Eldredge v. Carpenters 46 Northern California Counties Joint Apprenticeship and Training Committee, 662 F.2d 534 (9th Cir.1981), 693
- Elem v. Purkett, 25 F.3d 679 (8th Cir.1994), 1079
- El Fenix de Puerto Rico v. M/Y JOHANNY, 36 F.3d 136 (1st Cir.1994), 1095
- Eli Lilly & Co. v. Sav-On-Drugs, Inc., 366 U.S. 276, 81 S.Ct. 1316, 6 L.Ed.2d 288 (1961), 682
- Ellis v. Great Southwestern Corp., 646 F.2d 1099 (5th Cir.1981), 434
- Embry v. Palmer, 107 U.S. 3, 17 Otto 3, 2 S.Ct. 25, 27 L.Ed. 346 (1883), 1248
- Engbretson & Co. v. Harrison, 125 Cal. App.3d 436, 178 Cal.Rptr. 77 (Cal.App. 4 Dist.1981), 637
- Enter v. Crutcher, 159 Cal.App.2d Supp. 841, 323 P.2d 586 (Cal.App.Super.1958), 413
- Epstein v. MCA, Inc., 179 F.3d 641 (9th Cir. 1999), 819
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins**, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817, 82 L.Ed. 1188 (1938), 29, 298, 344, 374, 432, 446, 449, 450, **455**, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 472, 488, 489, 490, 512, 513, 520, 521, 522, 538, 542, 543, 683, 1248
- Errion v. Connell, 236 F.2d 447 (9th Cir. 1956), 293, 294, 299
- Erwin v. Daley, 92 F.3d 521 (7th Cir.1996), 591
- ESAB Group, Inc. v. Centricut, Inc., 126 F.3d 617 (4th Cir.1997), 245
- Estate of (see name of party)**
- Evans v. Jeff D.**, 475 U.S. 717, 106 S.Ct. 1531, 89 L.Ed.2d 747 (1986), 31, **112**, 121, 122, 123
- Evans v. Wright, 505 F.2d 287 (4th Cir.1974), 1111
- Evers v. Equifax, Inc., 650 F.2d 793 (5th Cir.1981), 1124
- Executive Software North America, Inc. v. United States Dist. Court, 24 F.3d 1545 (9th Cir.1994), 390
- Ezpeleta v. Sisters of Mercy Health Corp., 800 F.2d 119 (7th Cir.1986), 1165
- Fairview Park Excavating Co. v. Al Monzo Const. Co.**, 560 F.2d 1122 (3rd Cir. 1977), **665**, 668, 669
- Farmer v. Arabian Am. Oil Co., 379 U.S. 227, 85 S.Ct. 411, 13 L.Ed.2d 248 (1964), 111
- Fauntleroy v. Lum**, 210 U.S. 230, 28 S.Ct. 641, 52 L.Ed. 1039 (1908), **1229**, 1233, 1247
- Fawcett v. Fothergill, Dick. 19, 21 Eng.Rep. 173 (Ch.1703), 704
- FDIC v. Conner, 20 F.3d 1376 (5th Cir.1994), 916
- Feathers v. McLucas, 261 N.Y.S.2d 8, 209 N.E.2d 68 (N.Y.1965), 233
- Federal Fountain, Inc. v. KR Entertainment, 165 F.3d 600 (8th Cir.1999), 245
- Federal Open Market Committee v. Merrill, 443 U.S. 340, 99 S.Ct. 2800, 61 L.Ed.2d 587 (1979), 885
- Federated Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Moitie**, 452 U.S. 394, 101 S.Ct. 2424, 69 L.Ed.2d 103 (1981), **1159**, 1165, 1172, 1175
- Fein v. Permanente Medical Group, 211 Cal. Rptr. 368, 695 P.2d 665 (Cal.1985), 39
- Felder v. Casey, 487 U.S. 131, 108 S.Ct. 2302, 101 L.Ed.2d 123 (1988), 527, 528
- Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, Inc., 523 U.S. 340, 118 S.Ct. 1279, 140 L.Ed.2d 438 (1998), 1044, 1045
- Ferdinand v. Agricultural Ins. Co., 22 N.J. 482, 126 A.2d 323 (N.J.1956), 1106
- Ferens v. John Deere Co.**, 494 U.S. 516, 110 S.Ct. 1274, 108 L.Ed.2d 443 (1990), **417**, 429, 431, 432, 433
- Feres v. United States, 340 U.S. 135, 71 S.Ct. 153, 95 L.Ed. 152 (1950), 544
- Ferrara & DiMercurio, Inc. v. St. Paul Mercury Ins. Co., 173 F.R.D. 7 (D.Mass.1997), 869
- Fietzer v. Ford Motor Co., 622 F.2d 281 (7th Cir.1980), 1070

- Filippini v. Ford Motor Co., 110 F.R.D. 131 (N.D.Ill.1986), 369
- Fine v. Bellefonte Underwriters Ins. Co., 758 F.2d 50 (2nd Cir.1985), 1198
- Finley v. United States, 490 U.S. 545, 109 S.Ct. 2003, 104 L.Ed.2d 593 (1989), 388, 389
- Firestone v. Crown Center Redevelopment Corp., 693 S.W.2d 99 (Mo.1985), 1138
- First Alabama Bank of Montgomery, N.A. v. Parsons Steel, Inc., 825 F.2d 1475 (11th Cir.1987), 1249
- First Federal of Michigan v. Barrow, 878 F.2d 912 (6th Cir.1989), 790
- First Nat. Bank of Cincinnati v. Pepper, 454 F.2d 626 (2nd Cir.1972), 669
- Fischer v. Johns-Manville Corp., 103 N.J. 643, 512 A.2d 466 (N.J.1986), 41
- Flagg Bros., Inc. v. Brooks, 436 U.S. 149, 98 S.Ct. 1729, 56 L.Ed.2d 185 (1978), 82
- Flaherty, In re Marriage of, 183 Cal.Rptr. 508, 646 P.2d 179 (Cal.1982), 1301
- Flast v. Cohen, 392 U.S. 83, 88 S.Ct. 1942, 20 L.Ed.2d 947 (1968), 671
- Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir.2003), 897
- Franchise Tax Bd. of California v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 103 S.Ct. 2841, 77 L.Ed.2d 420 (1983), 362, 363, 364, 405
- Franchise Tax Board v. Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., 87 Cal.App.3d 878, 151 Cal. Rptr. 460 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1978), 1254
- Frazier v. Commercial Credit Equipment Corp., 755 F.Supp. 163 (S.D.Miss.1991), 432, 433
- Free v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., 529 U.S. 333, 120 S.Ct. 1578, 146 L.Ed.2d 306 (2000), 398
- Free v. Abbott Laboratories, In re**, 51 F.3d 524 (5th Cir.1995), **393**, 398, 409
- Freeman v. Bee Mach. Co., 319 U.S. 448, 63 S.Ct. 1146, 87 L.Ed. 1509 (1943), 349
- Freeman v. Howe, 65 U.S. 450, 24 How. 450, 16 L.Ed. 749 (1860), 704
- Freeman United Coal Min. Co. v. Office of Workers' Compensation Program, 20 F.3d 289 (7th Cir.1994), 1197
- French v. Smith Booth Usher Co., 56 Cal. App.2d 23, 131 P.2d 863 (Cal.App. 2 Dist. 1942), 610
- Fresno County Department of Social Services v. Superior Court, 225 Cal.App.3d 25, 275 Cal.Rptr. 9 (Cal.App. 5 Dist.1990), 869
- Friedman v. Dozorc, 412 Mich. 1, 312 N.W.2d 585 (Mich.1981), 611
- Friedman v. State, 301 N.Y.S.2d 484, 249 N.E.2d 369 (N.Y.1969), 1257
- Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental Services (TOC), Inc., 528 U.S. 167, 120 S.Ct. 693, 145 L.Ed.2d 610 (2000), 670
- Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C.Cir. 1923), 872
- Fuentes v. Shevin**, 407 U.S. 67, 92 S.Ct. 1983, 32 L.Ed.2d 556 (1972), 45, **64**, 75, 80, 81
- Fuentes v. Tucker, 31 Cal.2d 1, 187 P.2d 752 (Cal.1947), 632, 633
- Fuller, State v., 182 N.J. 174, 862 A.2d 1130 (N.J.2004), 1081
- Fuller v. Tucker, 101 Cal.Rptr.2d 776 (Cal. App. 2 Dist.2000), 647
- Gallick v. Baltimore & O. R. Co., 372 U.S. 108, 83 S.Ct. 659, 9 L.Ed.2d 618 (1963), 1114
- Galloway v. United States, 319 U.S. 372, 63 S.Ct. 1077, 87 L.Ed. 1458 (1943), 1104
- Garcia, People v., 54 Cal.App.3d 61, 126 Cal. Rptr. 275 (Cal.App. 1 Dist.1975), 1112
- Garibaldi v. Lucky Food Stores, Inc., 726 F.2d 1367 (9th Cir.1984), 404
- Garris v. Rowland, 678 F.2d 1264 (5th Cir. 1982), 591
- Garrity v. Gallen, 697 F.2d 452 (1st Cir. 1983), 715
- Gasperini v. Center for Humanities, Inc.**, 518 U.S. 415, 116 S.Ct. 2211, 135 L.Ed.2d 659 (1996), **491**, 512, 513, 514, 1131
- Gator.Com Corp. v. L.L. Bean, Inc., 341 F.3d 1072 (9th Cir.2003), 196
- General Atomic Co. v. Felter, 434 U.S. 12, 98 S.Ct. 76, 54 L.Ed.2d 199 (1977), 448, 1256
- General Elec. Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136, 118 S.Ct. 512, 139 L.Ed.2d 508 (1997), 872
- General Telephone Co. v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 446 U.S. 318, 100 S.Ct. 1698, 64 L.Ed.2d 319 (1980), 738
- General Telephone Co. v. Falcon, 457 U.S. 147, 102 S.Ct. 2364, 72 L.Ed.2d 740 (1982), 738, 740
- Gentle v. Lamb-Weston, Inc., 302 F.Supp. 161 (D.Me.1969), 375, 407
- Georgia v. Rachel, 384 U.S. 780, 86 S.Ct. 1783, 16 L.Ed.2d 925 (1966), 410
- Geraghty, In re, 68 N.J. 209, 343 A.2d 737 (N.J.1975), 1257
- G. Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir.1989), 973
- Gibson v. Chrysler Corp., 261 F.3d 927 (9th Cir.2001), 398
- Gibson v. Hunter, 2 H. Blackst. 187, 126 Eng.Rep. 499 (House of Lords, 1793), 1104
- Gilliland v. County of Los Angeles, 126 Cal. App.3d 610, 179 Cal.Rptr. 73 (Cal.App. 2 Dist.1981), 45
- Gillman v. Liberty Airport Authority, 32 A.D.2d 296, 302 N.Y.S.2d 203 (N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept.1969), 1124
- Girsh v. Jepson, 521 F.2d 153 (3rd Cir.1975), 799
- Glenwood Light & Water Co. v. Mutual Light, Heat & Power Co., 239 U.S. 121, 36 S.Ct. 30, 60 L.Ed. 174 (1915), 370