

ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

YEAZELL

CIVIL PROCEDURE

*Eighth
Edition*



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES



30809397

CIVIL PROCEDURE

Eighth Edition

STEPHEN C. YEAZELL

David G. Price & Dallas P. Price Professor of Law
University of California, Los Angeles



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Copyright © 2012 Stephen C. Yeazell.

Published by Wolters Kluwer Law & Business in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.wolterskluwerlb.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.wolterskluwerlb.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-1-4548-0710-0

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Yeazell, Stephen C.

Civil procedure / Stephen C. Yeazell. — 8 th ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-4548-0710-0

1. Civil procedure — United States — Cases. I. Title.

KF8839.Y43 2012

347.73'5—dc23

2012004494



SFI label applies to the text stock



Preface

Process lies at the core of our legal system: It expresses many of our culture's basic ideas about the meaning of fairness; it determines the victor in close cases; and it further determines which cases will be close ones. Procedure is also the area of law least understood and most maligned by lay observers. We root for underdogs and insist that rules not be stacked against them. But we are equally quick to condemn a case for having been decided on a "legal technicality," a phrase commonly signifying that a procedural rule has come into operation.

A similar ambivalence pervades debate about the behavior of courts and lawyers. As a society we demonstrate a strong belief in the efficacy of lawsuits to solve social, business, and personal problems, and we extol the rule of law as a distinguishing virtue of our culture. But at the same time we worry about what many believe is an excessive willingness to seek legal solutions. The ensuing debate ranges from the role of courts in restructuring social institutions to the question of whether lawyers exacerbate disputes and waste social resources by reflexively behaving in competitive, adversarial ways.

All these issues are procedural. Lawyers thus need to understand process as a tool of their trade, as a constitutive element of the legal system, and as a focus of debate about social values. Yet civil procedure is, by most accounts, a difficult and frustrating first-year course. Students come to law school with little experience in thinking explicitly about procedure and with an impression that cases simply arrive at the point of decision. Moreover, students sense that procedure may be the area in which lawyers' skill counts most; the notion that meritorious cases can be lost because of bad lawyering outrages their sense of justice even as it creates anxiety.

This book seeks to show procedure as an essential mechanism for presenting substantive questions and as a system that itself often raises fundamental issues regarding social values. I hope that students will begin to appreciate that lawyers move the system and that, to a large extent, clients' fates depend on the wisdom, skill, and judgment of their lawyers. Moreover, although all would agree that cases should not be decided on the basis of "mere" technicalities, fierce debate quickly arises when one tries to distinguish rules that merely direct traffic from those that guard the boundaries of fairness.

In addition to considering such theoretical issues, the book has some practical goals. It seeks to give students a working knowledge of the procedural system and its sometimes arcane terminology. The course also introduces the techniques of statutory analysis. It should give students a better understanding of the procedural context of the decisions they read in other courses. To these ends I have tried to select cases that are factually interesting and do not involve substantive matters beyond the experience of first-year students. The problems following the cases are intended to be answerable by first-year students and to present real-life issues. Finally, the book incorporates a number of dissenting opinions to dispel the notion that most procedural disputes present clear-cut issues.

The organization of the book adapts it to the most common sequences in contemporary procedure courses. After a brief overview of the procedural system in Chapter 1, some courses will initially consider the materials in Part A, which covers jurisdiction and choice of law. Other courses will begin with discussion of remedies, pleading, discovery, resolution without trial, identifying the trier, trial, appeal, and former adjudication, which are addressed in Part B. Part C, on joinder and complex litigation, recapitulates much of the material in Parts A and B and can be used either as a culmination of the course or as an insertion that follows pleading.

Cases have been severely edited to eliminate citations (without indicating their omission), and they read somewhat differently from real case reports; I hope they err in the direction of smoothness. Citations are retained only when they seem significant. Footnotes have been eliminated without indication. Those that survive retain their original numbers, while the editor's footnotes employ symbols. We have used several special citation forms: F. James, G. Hazard, and J. Leubsdorf, *Civil Procedure* (5th ed. 2001), is cited as James, Hazard, and Leubsdorf; C. Wright, *Federal Courts* (5th ed. 1994), is cited as Wright, *Federal Courts*; J. Moore, *Federal Practice and Procedure* (1969), is cited as Moore; C. Wright, A. Miller, and E. Cooper, *Federal Practice and Procedure* (1969), is cited as Wright and Miller.

We gratefully acknowledge the permissions granted to reproduce the following materials. The excerpts from Kamp, *The History Behind Hansberry v. Lee*, 20 U.C. Davis L. Rev. (1987), are reprinted by permission of the author and the Regents of the University of California (copyright © 1987 by the Regents of the University of California). The excerpts from *The Augusta Chronicle* are reprinted from *Whistle-blower wins case*, December 18, 1999, and *Judge sets attorney's fee in case*, March 31, 2000, by permission of *The Augusta Chronicle*.

Those whose assistance was acknowledged in the preface of earlier editions built the foundations on which this book rests. This revision has incurred additional debts of its own, including the suggestions received from teachers and students using the book. This edition has continued to benefit greatly from the perceptive comments of Allen M. Katz, Esq. A distinguished litigator, Mr. Katz has shared his insights about the way in which doctrine matters in the shaping of a lawsuit and has offered at many points the perspective of an experienced litigator. Without giving him any responsibility for the errors that doubtless still remain, I also want to thank him for helping keep the book connected with the world of

practice. I want also to thank many teachers and students who have used previous editions for detailed, thoughtful, and constructive suggestions. At the head of that list stands Prof. David Hricik, whose numerous suggestions have increased the book's clarity and accuracy, as well as its insight into practice. As with past editions, this one has been greatly improved by the library staff at UCLA's Hugh & Hazel Darling Law Library, whose ingenuity is exceeded only by their helpfulness.

This edition bears the name of only one author. In important respects, however, it grows from the work of Jonathan Landers and the late James Martin, on whose intellectual framework and approach I have built, though neither bears any responsibility for errors of judgment or detail.

March 2012

Stephen C. Yeazell



Summary of Contents

Contents xi

Preface xxv

1. An Overview of Procedure 1

PART I: THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR U.S. LITIGATION

2. Personal Jurisdiction 65
3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts 191
4. State Law in Federal Courts: *Erie* and Its Entailments 239

PART II: THE PROCESS OF LITIGATION

5. Incentives to Litigate 279
6. Pleading 365
7. Discovery 457
8. Resolution Without Trial 523
9. The Trier and the Trial 597
10. Appeal 673
11. Respect for Judgments 715

PART III: PROBING THE BOUNDARIES: ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND PARTIES

12. Joinder 793

Table of Cases 911

Table of Citations to the Judicial Code (28 U.S.C.) 915

Table of Citations to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 917

Table of Authorities 919

Index 921



Contents

Preface xxv

Chapter 1: An Overview of Procedure 1

A. The Idea and the Practice of Procedure 1

1. Locating Procedure 1
2. Clients, Lawyers, Procedure, and Strategy 2

B. Where Can the Suit Be Brought? 4

1. Personal Jurisdiction 5
2. Subject Matter Jurisdiction 6
 - Hawkins v. Masters Farms, Inc.* 6
 - Notes and Problems 9
3. Service of Process 12

C. Stating the Case 12

1. The Lawyer's Responsibility 12
 - Bridges v. Diesel Service, Inc.* 13
 - Notes and Problems 14
 - Note: Reading the Rules 15
2. The Complaint 17
 - Bell v. Novick Transfer Co.* 18
 - Notes and Problems 19
3. The Response—Motions and Answer 20
 - Pre-Answer Motions 21
 - Notes and Problems 22
 - The Answer 23
 - Notes and Problems 25
4. Amendment of Pleadings 26

D. Parties to the Lawsuit 26

- Larson v. American Family Mutual Ins. Co.* 27
- Notes and Problems 29

- E. Factual Development—Discovery 29**
 - Butler v. Rigsby* 31
 - Notes and Problems 34
- F. Pretrial Disposition—Summary Judgment 35**
 - Houchens v. American Home Assurance Co.* 36
 - Notes and Problems 40
- G. Trial 41**
 - Norton v. Snapper Power Equipment* 42
 - Notes and Problems 45
- H. Former Adjudication 46**
 - Rush v. City of Maple Heights* 47
 - Notes and Problems 52
- I. Appeals 52**
 - Apex Hosiery Co. v. Leader* 53
 - Notes and Problems 54
 - Note on Appellate Structure and Jurisdiction 56
 - Note: Civil Procedure in Your Substantive Courses 57

PART I: THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR U.S. LITIGATION

- A. Approaching Civil Procedure 59**
- B. Constitutional Limits in Litigation 60**
 - 1. The Idea of Jurisdiction 60
 - 2. Jurisdiction and the Constitution 61
 - 3. The Constitution and Choice of Law 63

Chapter 2: Personal Jurisdiction 65

- A. The Origins 65**
 - Pennoyer v. Neff* 66
 - Notes and Problems 72
 - Note on the Mechanics of Jurisdiction: Challenge and Waiver 76
 - Notes and Problems 77
- B. The Modern Constitutional Formulation of Power 79**
 - 1. Redefining Constitutional Power 79
 - International Shoe Co. v. Washington* 80
 - Notes and Problems 86

- McGee v. International Life Insurance Co.* 89
 - Hanson v. Denckla* 90
 - Notes and Problems 91
 - 2. Absorbing *In Rem* Jurisdiction 91
 - Shaffer v. Heitner* 93
 - Notes and Problems 100
 - 3. Specific Jurisdiction: The Modern Cases 103
 - World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson* 103
 - Notes and Problems 109
 - Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz* 112
 - Notes and Problems 117
 - Pavlovich v. Superior Court* 118
 - Notes and Problems 123
 - J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastrò* 124
 - Notes and Problems 131
 - 4. General Jurisdiction 132
 - Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown* 132
 - Notes and Problems 138
 - Burnham v. Superior Court* 139
 - Notes and Problems 145
- C. Consent as a Substitute for Power 148**
 - Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute* 149
 - Notes and Problems 152
- D. The Constitutional Requirement of Notice 154**
 - Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.* 156
 - Notes and Problems 162
 - Notes and Problems on Service of Process 164
- E. Self-Imposed Restraints on Jurisdictional Power: Long-Arm Statutes, Venue, and Discretionary Refusal of Jurisdiction 170**
 - 1. Long-Arm Statutes as a Restraint on Jurisdiction 170
 - Gibbons v. Brown* 171
 - Notes and Problems 173
 - 2. Venue as a Further Localizing Principle 174
 - Notes and Problems 175
 - Dee-K Enterprises, Inc. v. Heveafil Sdn. Bhd.* 176
 - Notes and Problems 178
 - 3. Declining Jurisdiction: Transfer and *Forum Non Conveniens* 180
 - a. *Forum Non Conveniens* 181
 - Piper Aircraft v. Reyno* 181
 - Notes and Problems 186

Chapter 3: Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts 191

- A. The Idea and the Structure of Subject Matter Jurisdiction 191**
- B. Federal Question Jurisdiction 195**
 - Louisville & Nashville Railroad v. Mottley* 197
 - Notes and Problems 199
 - Note: Challenging Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction 203
 - Notes and Problems 204
- C. Diversity Jurisdiction 207**
 - Redner v. Sanders* 207
 - Notes and Problems 209
 - Hertz Corp. v. Friend* 214
 - Notes and Problems 216
 - Note: Amount in Controversy 217
- D. Supplemental Jurisdiction 221**
 - Notes and Problems 222
 - In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation* 224
 - Szendrey-Ramos v. First Bancorp* 226
 - Notes and Problems 228
- E. Removal 229**
 - Notes and Problems 230
 - Caterpillar, Inc. v. Lewis* 232
 - Notes and Problems 235

Chapter 4: State Law in Federal Courts: *Erie* and Its Entailments 239

- A. State Courts as Lawmakers in a Federal System 241**
 - 1. The Issue in Historical Context 241
 - 2. Constitutionalizing the Issue 242
 - Erie Railroad v. Tompkins* 244
 - Notes and Problems 248
- B. The Limits of State Power in Federal Courts 251**
 - 1. Interpreting the Constitutional Command of *Erie* 251
 - Guaranty Trust Co. v. York* 251
 - Notes and Problems 253
 - Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electric Cooperative* 255
 - Notes and Problems 257

- 2. De-constitutionalizing *Erie* 258
 - Hanna v. Plumer* 258
 - Notes and Problems 262
- 3. Determining the Scope of Federal Law: Avoiding and Accommodating *Erie* 265
 - Semtek Intl. Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.* 266
 - Notes and Problems 270
 - Note: Interpreting State Law: An Entailment of *Erie* 272

PART II: THE PROCESS OF LITIGATION

- A. Approaching Civil Procedure 275
- B. Choosing Procedure 276
- C. A Roadmap for Exploring Choices 277

Chapter 5: Incentives to Litigate 279

- A. Litigation in the United States at the Start of the Twenty-First Century 279
 - 1. How Much Litigation? 279
 - Notes and Problems 285
 - 2. Why Litigate? 287
- B. Substitutionary Remedies 288
 - 1. Compensatory Damages 289
 - Notes and Problems 291
 - 2. Liquidated, Statutory, and Punitive Damages 293
 - State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell* 296
 - Notes and Problems 301
- C. Specific Remedies 304
 - 1. The Idea of Specific Relief 304
 - 2. A Digression on Equity and Specific Relief 304
 - 3. Is There a Remedial Hierarchy? 307
 - Sigma Chemical Co. v. Harris* 308
 - Notes and Problems 310
- D. Declaratory Relief 312
 - Notes and Problems 314
- E. Financing Litigation 315
 - 1. The “American” and “English” Rules About Attorneys’ Fees 316
 - Notes and Problems 318

- 2. Insurance, the Contingent Fee, and Alternative Litigation Finance 319
 - a. Insurance 319
 - Notes and Problems 319
 - b. The Contingent Fee 321
 - Notes and Problems 325
 - c. Alternative Litigation Finance 325
 - Notes and Problems 328
 - 3. Public Subsidies and Professional Charity 330
 - Notes and Problems 333
 - 4. From Fee Spreading to Fee Shifting 333
 - a. The Common Fund 334
 - Notes and Problems 334
 - b. By Contract 335
 - c. By Common Law 335
 - d. By Statute 335
 - Notes and Problems 336
 - Evans v. Jeff D.* 337
 - Notes and Problems 341
 - Buckhannon Board and Care Home, Inc. v. West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources* 342
 - Notes and Problems 344
- F. Temporary Remedies 345**
- 1. Preliminary Injunctions and Temporary Restraining Orders: The Basic Problem 345
 - Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.* 346
 - Notes and Problems 351
 - 2. Provisional Remedies and Due Process 354
 - Fuentes v. Shevin* 354
 - Notes and Problems 361

Chapter 6: Pleading 365

- A. The Story of Pleading 365**
- 1. Of Stories and Jurisdiction 366
 - Notes and Problems 366
 - 2. Plaintiff's Story, Defendant's Story 369
 - 3. One Function of Pleading: Defining the Law 370
 - Notes and Problems 372
 - Haddle v. Garrison* (S.D. Ga. 1996) 375
 - Notes and Problems 376
 - Haddle v. Garrison* (11th Cir. 1997) 378
 - Notes and Problems 379
 - Haddle v. Garrison* (525 U.S. 121 (1998)) 379
 - Notes and Problems 382

4. Another Function of Pleading: Sorting Strong Cases from Weak Cases? 384
 - a. The “Ordinary” Case: How Much Detail in a Complaint? 385
 - Notes and Problems 386
 - Ashcroft v. Iqbal* 390
 - Notes and Problems 399
 - b. Special Cases: Requiring and Forbidding Specificity in Pleading 401
 - Stradford v. Zurich Insurance Co.* 401
 - Notes and Problems 403
 5. Allocating the Elements of a Claim 407
 - Jones v. Bock* 407
 - Notes and Problems 410
 - Note: Consistency in Pleading 412
- B. Ethical Limitations in Pleading—and in Litigation Generally 413**
- Notes and Problems 415
 - Walker v. Norwest Corp.* 416
 - Notes and Problems 419
 - Christian v. Mattell, Inc.* 421
 - Notes and Problems 424
- C. Responding to the Complaint 426**
1. Default 426
 - Notes and Problems 426
 2. The Pre-Answer Motion (and a Close Post-Answer Relative) 427
 - Notes and Problems 428
 - Notes and Problems 429
 - Notes and Problems 431
 3. Answer 432
 - a. Denials 432
 - Zielinski v. Philadelphia Piers, Inc.* 433
 - Notes and Problems 436
 - b. Affirmative Defenses 438
 - Notes and Problems 439
 4. Reply 441
 - Notes and Problems 441
 5. Amendments 443
 - Notes and Problems 443
 - a. The Basic Problem: Prejudice 444
 - Beeck v. Aquaslide ‘N’ Dive Corp.* 444
 - Notes and Problems 448
 - b. Statutes of Limitations and Relation Back 450
 - Moore v. Baker* 450
 - Bonerb v. Richard J. Caron Foundation* 452
 - Notes and Problems 454

Chapter 7: Discovery 457

A. Modern Discovery 457

B. The Possibilities of Discovery 458

1. Relevance 458
 - Davis v. Precoat Metals* 459
 - Steffan v. Cheney* 461
 - Notes and Problems 463
2. The Duty to Preserve Evidence: Spoliation 464
 - Silvestri v. General Motors Corp.* 464
 - Notes and Problems 468
3. Surveying the Stages of Discovery 469
 - a. Required Disclosures—First Round 472
 - Notes and Problems 472
 - b. Documents, Things, Land, and Bytes: Request for Production (Rules 34 and 35) 474
 - Notes and Problems 476
 - c. Asking Questions in Writing, Seeking Admissions: Interrogatories and Admissions (Rules 33, 36, and 37) 477
 - Notes and Problems 479
 - d. More Disclosures—Expert Reports 480
 - e. Asking Questions in Person: Depositions (Rules 27-32) 480
 - Notes and Problems 482
 - f. Pretrial Witness Lists and the Pretrial Order 485
4. Ensuring Compliance 485
 - Notes and Problems 486

C. Limitations on Discovery in an Adversary System 487

1. Privilege 487
 - Notes and Problems 488
2. Trial Preparation Material 490
 - Hickman v. Taylor* 490
 - Notes and Problems 495
3. Expert Information 497
 - Notes and Problems 499
 - Thompson v. The Haskell Co.* 500
 - Chiquita International Ltd. v. M/V Bolero Reefer* 501
 - Notes and Problems 502
4. Discovery and Privacy 503
 - Stalnaker v. Kmart Corp.* 503
 - Notes and Problems 506
 - Note on Physical and Mental Examinations 507

D. Ensuring Compliance and Controlling Abuse of Discovery 508

1. An Anatomy of Discovery Abuses 509
 - Notes and Problems 510

- 2. Remedies: Management and Sanctions 512
 - Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLP* 512
 - Notes and Problems 519

Chapter 8: Resolution Without Trial 523

A. The Pressure to Choose Adjudication or an Alternative 524

- 1. Default and Default Judgments 524
 - Peralta v. Heights Medical Center* 524
 - Notes and Problems 526
- 2. Scheduling Orders and Failure to Prosecute: Involuntary Dismissal 528
- 3. Voluntary Dismissal 529
 - Notes and Problems 530

B. Avoiding Adjudication 531

- 1. Negotiation and Settlement: Why Settle? And How? 532
 - Jane Smart v. Growco, Inc.* 534
 - a. Contracting to Dismiss 534
 - Notes and Problems 534
 - b. Third-Party Participation in Settlement: Facilitation, Encouragement, and Coercion 540
 - Notes and Problems 541
 - Matsushita Elec. Industrial Co. v. Epstein* 544
 - Notes and Problems 547
 - c. Contracting for Confidentiality 548
 - Notes and Problems 548
 - Notes and Problems 550
 - Kalinauskas v. Wong* 550
 - Notes and Problems 553
- 2. Contracting for Private Adjudication: Arbitration and Its Variants 555
 - Ferguson v. Countrywide Credit Industries, Inc.* 559
 - Notes and Problems 563
 - AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion* 565
 - Notes and Problems 574
 - Ferguson v. Writers Guild of America, West* 576
 - Notes and Problems 579

C. Adjudication Without Trial: Summary Judgment 581

- Notes and Problems 581
- Celotex Corp. v. Catrett* 585
- Notes and Problems 588
- Bias v. Advantage International, Inc.* 591
- Notes and Problems 594

Chapter 9: The Trier and the Trial 597

- A. What Will the Trial Be About? 598**
McKey v. Fairbairn 599
 Notes and Problems 601
- B. Divided Authority in Civil Litigation 602**
Reid v. San Pedro, Los Angeles & Salt Lake Railroad 603
 Notes and Problems 605
- C. Judge Alone, or Judge and Jury? 607**
 1. Historical Reconstruction and the Seventh Amendment 608
 Notes and Problems 611
 2. Applying the Historical Test to New Claims and New Procedures 612
 Notes and Problems 613
- D. Choosing and Challenging Judges and Jurors 616**
 1. Assembling a Jury Pool 617
 2. Challenging Individual Jurors 618
 a. Challenges for Cause 619
Thompson v. Alzheimer & Gray 619
 Notes and Problems 622
 b. Peremptory Challenges 624
 3. Challenging Judges 625
 Notes and Problems 627
Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co. 628
 Notes and Problems 641
- E. Judges Controlling Juries, Juries Trumping Judges 643**
 1. Instruction and Comment 644
 2. Excluding Improper Influences 645
 3. Size and Decision Rules 645
 4. Judgment as a Matter of Law 646
 Notes and Problems 648
Pennsylvania Railroad v. Chamberlain 649
 Notes and Problems 652
 5. New Trial 656
 a. The Justifications for New Trials 657
Lind v. Schenley Industries 658
 Notes and Problems 662
 b. Conditional New Trials 662
 6. The Reexamination Clause and the Jury as a Black Box 664
Peterson v. Wilson 665
 Notes and Problems 668