

# The Practical Skeptic

READINGS IN  

---

SOCIOLOGY

LISA J. McINTYRE

# *The Practical Skeptic* *Readings in Sociology*

*Lisa J. McIntyre*

*Washington State University*



Mayfield Publishing Company

Mountain View, California

London • Toronto

Copyright © 1999 by Mayfield Publishing Company

All rights reserved. No portion of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means without written permission of the publisher.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

McIntyre, Lisa J.

The practical skeptic : readings in sociology / Lisa J. McIntyre.

p. cm.

ISBN 0-7674-0685-0

1. Sociology. 2. Social problems. 3. United States—Social conditions. I. McIntyre, Lisa J.

HM51.P68 1998

301—dc21

98-22180

CIP

Manufactured in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

Mayfield Publishing Company

1280 Villa Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

*Sponsoring editor*, Serina Beauparlant; *production editor*, Julianna Scott Fein; *manuscript editor*, Thomas L. Briggs; *design manager*, Susan Breitbard; *text designer*, Joan Greenfield; *cover designer*, Laurie Anderson; *art manager*, Robin Mouat; *illustrator*, Ann Eldredge; *manufacturing manager*, Randy Hurst. The text was set in 10/12 Book Antiqua by ColorType and printed on acid-free 50# Finch Opaque by Malloy Lithographing, Inc.

Acknowledgments and copyrights continue at the back of the book on pages 311–313, which constitute an extension of the copyright page.

# *Preface*

There are dozens of anthologies available for introductory-level sociology classes, but I think that this one is different. It's different because as I compiled and edited these articles, I kept the needs of introductory students in mind. That's important. When sociologists write for their professional colleagues, they take for granted (as they should) that their readers are equipped with a great deal of knowledge. Student readers, by contrast, generally lack this sort of preparation; consequently, many students find that reading the works of sociologists is not so much a challenge as an onerous chore. I suspect that beginning students assigned to read sociology feel much like the theatergoer who stumbles into a foreign film that lacks subtitles. No matter how dramatic or comedic the action, unless one can follow the dialog, the movie is boring.

In this volume, I have tried to bridge the gap between the sociologists who wrote these articles and the students who will read them. Each article begins with a brief introduction to help orient students to the author's aims and point of view, includes footnotes containing explanations of concepts that are likely to be unfamiliar to novice sociologists, and concludes with some questions that will help students sort through and make sense of what they have read. My goal is to replace boredom with intellectual challenge, to make sociology not "easy," but accessible.

Both classic and contemporary articles were selected because they help to illustrate the importance of understanding the social contexts through which people move and to highlight some of the core concepts that sociologists and other social observers use to make sense of the social world. The classic articles especially were selected to illustrate the foundational concepts that most contemporary writers take for granted. But while these fundamentals might seem old hat to professional sociologists, they still contain important revelations for beginners.

### *Accompanying Test Bank*

For the benefit of instructors, I have written a test bank to accompany the reader. The test bank includes multiple-choice, true-false, and short answer/essay questions as well as suggested short paper assignments.

### **The Practical Skeptic: Core Concepts in Sociology**

Created to serve as a companion to the reader, *The Practical Skeptic: Readings in Sociology*, this text focuses on core concepts as the central building blocks for understanding sociology. Written in a lively, conversational style, this text includes numerous pedagogical features to help students grasp key sociological concepts.

### *Acknowledgments*

The following colleagues reviewed the manuscript and choice of articles and made many helpful suggestions: Sheila Cordray, Oregon State University; Rebecca Erickson, University of Akron; Allen Scarboro, Augusta State University; and Martha L. Shockey, St. Ambrose University.

# Contents

*Preface* v

## Part One *THE SOCIOLOGICAL IMAGINATION*

### 1 C. Wright Mills *The Promise* 1

In this classic essay, Mills explains the essential lesson of sociology: To truly understand people's behavior, we must acquire and learn to use the sociological imagination. Only then will we be able to see the impact of the larger social structures.

### 2 John Mirowsky and Catherine Ross *Social Causes of Psychological Distress* 7

Mirowsky and Ross demonstrate how misery and depression, which most people would say are caused by psychological troubles, can be better explained in terms of social factors.

### 3 Stephanie Coontz *How History and Sociology Can Help Today's Families* 20

Are men and women from different planets? Are America's youth in more trouble than ever before? Coontz shows us how understanding the social context of relationships helps us to gain a deeper understanding of the issues most on people's minds in modern society.

### 4 Lisa J. McIntyre *Hernando Washington* 31

In this tale of a real-life murder, McIntyre explains how sometimes we can make sense of what appears to be senseless by exercising our sociological imaginations.

## Part Two *THE RESEARCH CRAFT*

### 5 Simon Davis *Men as Success Objects and Women as Sex Objects: A Study of Personal Advertisements* 42

What do men and women really want in potential mates? Davis suggests that utilizing unobtrusive research methods can help us get beyond the “politically correct” to find out the real answers.

### 6 Randy Blazak *Hate in the Suburbs: The Rise of the Skinhead Counterculture* 49

Born and raised in the homeland of the modern Ku Klux Klan, Blazak recounts his odyssey from Klan sympathizer to skeptical social researcher. Combining insights from his personal experiences as a boy in Klan country with his fieldwork among the neo-Nazi’s and skinheads and his knowledge of sociology, Blazak sheds light on why many of today’s youths are attracted to countercultures.

### 7 Philip Meyer *If Hitler Asked You to Electrocute a Stranger, Would You? Probably.* 58

As Meyer recounts, Stanley Milgram’s research on obedience taught us a great deal about the extent to which everyday people will do as they are told—even when what they are told to do is repulsive. But, was gaining this knowledge worth the emotional price paid by Milgram’s subjects?

## Part Three *CULTURE*

### 8 Clyde Kluckhohn *Queer Customs* 69

What is culture? How does it affect our lives? How is culture different from society? In this classic piece, anthropologist Kluckhohn explains how social scientists define and use the concept of culture.

### 9 Edward T. Hall *Hidden Culture* 75

Hall suggests that the survival of humanity depends on our ability to communicate successfully across cultures. This isn’t so easily done, however, because successful communication requires that we understand even the invisible elements of culture.



10 Horace Miner *Body Ritual Among the Nacirema* 81

Miner's account of the Nacirema shows how daunting is the task of cross-cultural researchers—how they must put aside personal biases and cope with the exotic and sometimes repugnant behaviors of the people they study.

11 Theodore Caplow *Rule Enforcement Without Visible Means: Christmas Gift Giving in Middletown* 86

You may be surprised at the degree to which your life is regulated by society. As Caplow illustrates, we often scrupulously follow rules that we don't even realize exist.

12 Elijah Anderson *The Code of the Streets* 95

While many see only lawlessness and disorder on the streets of the inner city, Anderson explains that it is otherwise. Even where what seems to be unregulated violence prevails, there are norms that must be and are respected.

## Part Four SOCIAL STRUCTURE

13 Erving Goffman *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life* 104

Shakespeare put it this way: "All the world's a stage." Goffman transformed the Bard's insight into one of our most interesting and robust perspectives on the social world.

14 Philip G. Zimbardo *The Pathology of Imprisonment* 113

Whether you end up being one of the good guys or one of the bad guys can sometimes depend less on your personal qualities than on the roles you are required to play. At least, that is what Zimbardo found in the famous "Stanford Prison Experiment."

15 Deborah Tannen *Marked: Women in the Workplace* 118

What difference does gender make in workplace? Much more than you might think. Often women and men are expected and even required to act differently on the job.



**16 Greta Foff Paule “Getting” and “Making”  
a Tip 125**

You take a friend out to dinner and receive lousy service. “Well,” you explain to your dining partner, “I’ll just leave a lousy tip. That will send a message to our waitress.” Sound familiar? According to Paule’s research, chances are that’s a message your waitress will never receive.

**17 William E. Thompson *Handling the Stigma of Handling the Dead: Morticians and Funeral Directors* 133**

Death may be a part of life, but it’s not one that most North Americans like to think about. This makes it difficult for people whose job it is to deal with death. How do they cope?

**Part Five *SOCIALIZATION AND INTERACTION***

**18 Carol J. S. Bruess and Judy C. Pearson  
*Gendered Patterns in Family Communication* 150**

People don’t just “have” gender, they “do” it. What are men’s and women’s gender obligations? Where and how do they learn to perform gender?

**19 Myra Sadker and David Sadker *Hidden Lessons* 164**

In most schools, girls and boys sit in the same classrooms, read the same books, and listen to the same teachers. That means they learn the same lessons, right? Wrong!

**20 Gwynne Dyer *Anybody’s Son Will Do* 175**

How difficult is it to turn ordinary boys into professional killers? Apparently, it’s not that hard, as long as you know what buttons to push.

**21 Gisela Ernst *País de Mis Sueños: Reflections on Ethnic Labels, Dichotomies, and Ritual Interactions* 187**

“How are you?” “I’m fine.” We participate in these interaction rituals every day and think little of it. But from an outsider’s point of view, we may be saying more than we realize.

- 22 Thomas J. Schmid and Richard S. Jones  
*Suspended Identity: Identity Transformation in  
a Maximum Security Prison* 194

"A prison sentence constitutes a 'massive assault' on the identity of those imprisoned." What happens to the self in prison? Can the self ever really be reclaimed once someone gets out of prison?

- 23 Randy Hodson *The Active Worker: Compliance  
and Autonomy at the Workplace* 206

Drawing on the experiences of people who work in a variety of settings (schools, hospitals, factories), Hodson explains how and why some workers creatively manipulate others in their workplaces using such techniques as brown nosing, foot dragging, and even sabotage.

## Part Six *DEVIANCE AND SOCIAL CONTROL*

- 24 Émile Durkheim *The Normality of Crime* 221

In this excerpt from a classic essay, Durkheim explains why crime and deviance are inevitable parts of social life.

- 25 William J. Chambliss *The Saints and the  
Roughnecks* 223

Sociologists continue to find more and more evidence that frequently who you are is more important than what you do. Using evidence gathered during a two-year study of high school students, Chambliss illustrates this important dynamic.

- 26 D. L. Rosenhan *On Being Sane in Insane  
Places* 235

You may be surprised by what you read in this classic account of what happened when some "sane" people checked themselves into mental institutions.

- 27 A. Ayres Boswell and Joan Z. Spade  
*Fraternities and Collegiate Rape Culture: Why  
Are Some Fraternities More Dangerous Places  
for Women?* 243

In the 1980s, several social researchers concluded that college fraternities are dangerous places for women visitors. In this more even-handed account of the impact of fraternity culture on individual behavior, the authors explain that not all fraternities are alike.

**28 Robin Morgan *A Massacre in Montreal* 256**

Marc Lépine killed fourteen women he didn't even know — not because of anything they had done, but merely because of who they were. In this chilling account of the massacre, Morgan concludes that most people never really wanted to understand how this could have happened.

**29 Southern Poverty Law Center *Anti-Immigrant Violence* 261**

What is the relationship between economic conditions and impersonal violence against people who belong to particular groups? What role does the scapegoat play in society?

**Part Seven *INEQUALITY***

**30 James Loewen *The Land of Opportunity* 266**

Sociologists' obsession with social inequality surprises many laypeople (and most students). Why is that? Historian Loewen claims it's because most students leave high school as "terrible sociologists."

**31 Melvin M. Tumin *Some Principles of Stratification: A Critical Analysis* 276**

In this classic discussion of stratification, Tumin shows the illogic of traditional theories of social inequality in society.

**32 Herbert J. Gans *The Uses of Poverty: The Poor Pay All* 284**

How is poverty useful to society? Professor Gans provides a seriously tongue-in-cheek answer.

**33 Katherine Newman and Chauncy Lennon *The Job Ghetto* 290**

In their account of the problems faced by workers in the inner cities, Newman and Lennon provide an important

warning for anyone who thinks that there are easy answers to unemployment.

**34 Robin D. G. Kelley *Confessions of a Nice Negro, or Why I Shaved My Head* 293**

Everybody liked him, so what possessed this mild-mannered college professor to transform himself into a scary person? Why would he want to strike terror into the hearts of others? Why was this transformation so easy?

**35 Yin Ling Leung *The Model Minority Myth: Asian Americans Confront Growing Backlash* 301**

Members of some groups are subjected to discrimination because they are deemed to be “inferior”; members of other groups are subjected to discrimination because they are deemed to be superior. Leung’s account of the status of Asian Americans helps us to unravel the paradox.

**36 Adriane Fugh-Berman, M.D. *Tales out of Medical School* 306**

“‘Why are women’s brains smaller than men’s?’ asked a surgeon of a group of male students in the doctors’ lounge . . . ‘Because they’re missing logic!’” It wasn’t the sort of lesson Dr. Berman had expected to learn in medical school, but it was one they tried to teach her over and over again.

*Credits* 311

# . 1 .

## *The Promise*

C. Wright Mills

“The Promise,” published in 1959 by C. Wright Mills, is probably the most famous essay ever written by a modern sociologist. In this article, Mills captures the essential lesson of sociology: To truly understand people’s behavior, we must look beyond those individuals to the larger social contexts in which they live. Individuals make choices, to be sure, but their choices are constrained by social, historical, cultural, political, and economic factors. Most importantly, people frequently do not even realize the extent to which their lives are affected by things that are external to them and outside of their control. Mills’s point is that if we are to understand people’s behavior, we must take into account these nonindividual factors. (This is not an especially easy article to read, but it is fundamental. You might find it helpful to read the section on Mills in *The Practical Skeptic: Core Concepts in Sociology*, chapter 3, before you tackle this reading.)

---

Nowadays men often feel that their private lives are a series of traps. They sense that within their everyday worlds, they cannot overcome their troubles, and in this feeling, they are often quite correct: What ordinary men are directly aware of and what they try to do are bounded by the private orbits in which they live; their visions and their powers are limited to the close-up scenes of job, family, neighborhood; in other milieus<sup>1</sup> they move vicariously and remain spectators. And the more aware they become, however vaguely, of ambitions and of threats which transcend their immediate locales, the more trapped they seem to feel.

Underlying this sense of being trapped are seemingly impersonal changes in the very structure of continent-wide societies. The facts of contemporary history are also facts about the success and the failure of individual men and women. When a society is industrialized,

a peasant becomes a worker; a feudal lord is liquidated or becomes a businessman. When classes rise or fall, a man is employed or unemployed; when the rate of investment goes up or down, a man takes new heart or goes broke. When wars happen, an insurance salesman becomes a rocket launcher; a store clerk, a radar man; a wife lives alone; a child grows up without a father. Neither the life of an individual nor the history of a society can be understood without understanding both.

Yet men do not usually define the troubles they endure in terms of historical change and institutional contradiction.<sup>2</sup> The well-being they

---

<sup>1</sup>Milieu is French; it means “social environments.” (Milieu is plural; milieu is singular.) —Ed.

---

<sup>2</sup>Mills is using the term *institution* in its sociological sense—which is a bit different from the way this term is used in everyday or conventional speech. To the sociologist, institution refers to a *set of social arrangements, an accepted way of resolving important social problems*. Thus, the institution of the family is our society’s way of resolving the important social problem of raising children. The institution of the economy is how we resolve the problem of distributing goods and services (for example, in the case of the United States, capitalism). The concept of institutional contradiction refers to situations in which the demands of one institution are not compatible with the

enjoy, they do not usually impute to the big ups and downs of the societies in which they live. Seldom aware of the intricate connection between the patterns of their own lives and the course of world history, ordinary men do not usually know what this connection means for the kinds of men they are becoming and for the kinds of history-making in which they might take part. They do not possess the quality of mind essential to grasp the interplay of man and society, of biography and history, of self and world. They cannot cope with their personal troubles in such ways as to control the structural transformations that usually lie behind them.

Surely it is no wonder. In what period have so many men been so totally exposed at so fast a pace to such earthquakes of change? That Americans have not known such catastrophic changes as have the men and women of other societies is due to historical facts that are now quickly becoming "merely history." The history that now affects every man is world history. Within this scene and this period, in the course of a single generation, one sixth of mankind is transformed from all that is feudal and backward into all that is modern, advanced, and fearful. Political colonies are freed; new and less visible forms of imperialism installed. Revolutions occur; men feel the intimate grip of new kinds of authority. Totalitarian societies rise, and are smashed to bits—or succeed fabulously. After two centuries of ascendancy, capitalism is shown up as only one way to make society into an industrial apparatus. After two centuries of hope, even formal

democracy is restricted to a quite small portion of mankind. Everywhere in the underdeveloped world, ancient ways of life are broken up and vague expectations become urgent demands. Everywhere in the overdeveloped world, the means of authority and of violence become total in scope and bureaucratic in form. Humanity itself now lies before us, the super-nation at either pole concentrating its most co-ordinated and massive efforts upon the preparation of World War Three.

The very shaping of history now outpaces the ability of men to orient themselves in accordance with cherished values. And which values? Even when they do not panic, men often sense that older ways of feeling and thinking have collapsed and that newer beginnings are ambiguous to the point of moral stasis. Is it any wonder that ordinary men feel they cannot cope with the larger worlds with which they are so suddenly confronted? That they cannot understand the meaning of their epoch for their own lives? That—in defense of selfhood—they become morally insensible, trying to remain altogether private men? Is it any wonder that they come to be possessed by a sense of the trap?

It is not only information that they need—in this Age of Fact, information often dominates their attention and overwhelms their capacities to assimilate it. It is not only the skills of reason that they need—although their struggles to acquire these often exhaust their limited moral energy.

What they need, and what they feel they need, is a quality of mind that will help them to use information and to develop reason in order to achieve lucid summations of what is going on in the world and of what may be happening within themselves. It is this quality, I am going to contend, that journalists and scholars, artists and publics, scientists and editors are coming to expect of what may be called the sociological imagination.

---

demands of another institution. For example, there is institutional contradiction when the institution of the family is based on the norm that dad goes to work and mom stays home with the kids but the institution of the economy is such that it takes two employed adults to support a family. You will find more examples of institutional contradictions in reading 3 by Stephanie Coontz. You can read more about the nature of institutions in *The Practical Skeptic*, chapter 8, "Culture" and chapter 9, "Social Structure." —Ed.

## 1

The sociological imagination enables its possessor to understand the larger historical scene in terms of its meaning for the inner life and the external career of a variety of individuals. It enables him to take into account how individuals, in the welter of their daily experience, often become falsely conscious of their social positions. Within that welter, the framework of modern society is sought, and within that framework the psychologies of a variety of men and women are formulated. By such means the personal uneasiness of individuals is focused upon explicit troubles and the indifference of publics is transformed into involvement with public issues.

The first fruit of this imagination—and the first lesson of the social science that embodies it—is the idea that the individual can understand his own experience and gauge his own fate only by locating himself within his period, that he can know his own chances in life only by becoming aware of those of all individuals in his circumstances. In many ways it is a terrible lesson; in many ways a magnificent one. We do not know the limits of man's capacities for supreme effort or willing degradation, for agony or glee, for pleasurable brutality or the sweetness of reason. But in our time we have come to know that the limits of "human nature" are frighteningly broad. We have come to know that every individual lives, from one generation to the next, in some society; that he lives out a biography, and that he lives it out within some historical sequence. By the fact of his living he contributes, however minutely, to the shaping of this society and to the course of its history, even as he is made by society and by its historical push and shove.

The sociological imagination enables us to grasp history and biography and the relations between the two within society. That is its task and its promise. . . . And it is the signal of

what is best in contemporary studies of man and society.

No social study that does not come back to the problems of biography, of history and of their intersections within a society has completed its intellectual journey. Whatever the specific problems of the classic social analysts, however limited or however broad the features of social reality they have examined, those who have been imaginatively aware of the promise of their work have consistently asked three sorts of questions:

1. What is the structure of this particular society as a whole? What are its essential components, and how are they related to one another? How does it differ from other varieties of social order? Within it, what is the meaning of any particular feature for its continuance and for its change?

2. Where does this society stand in human history? What are the mechanics by which it is changing? What is its place within and its meaning for the development of humanity as a whole? How does any particular feature we are examining affect, and how is it affected by, the historical period in which it moves? And this period—what are its essential features? How does it differ from other periods? What are its characteristic ways of history-making?

3. What varieties of men and women now prevail in this society and in this period? And what varieties are coming to prevail? In what ways are they selected and formed, liberated and repressed, made sensitive and blunted? What kinds of "human nature" are revealed in the conduct and character we observe in this society in this period? And what is the meaning for "human nature" of each and every feature of the society we are examining?

Whether the point of interest is a great power state or a minor literary mood, a family, a prison, a creed—these are the kinds of questions the best social analysts have asked. They are the intellectual pivots of classic studies of man in society—and they are the questions



inevitably raised by any mind possessing the sociological imagination. For that imagination is the capacity to shift from one perspective to another—from the political to the psychological; from examination of a single family to comparative assessment of the national budgets of the world; from the theological school to the military establishment; from considerations of an oil industry to studies of contemporary poetry. It is the capacity to range from the most impersonal and remote transformations to the most intimate features of the human self—and to see the relations between the two. Back of its use there is always the urge to know the social and historical meaning of the individual in the society and in the period in which he has his quality and his being.

That, in brief, is why it is by means of the sociological imagination that men now hope to grasp what is going on in the world, and to understand what is happening in themselves as minute points of the intersections of biography and history within society. In large part, contemporary man's self-conscious view of himself as at least an outsider, if not a permanent stranger, rests upon an absorbed realization of social relativity and of the transformative power of history. The sociological imagination is the most fruitful form of this self-consciousness. By its use men whose mentalities have swept only a series of limited orbits often come to feel as if suddenly awakened in a house with which they had only supposed themselves to be familiar. Correctly, or incorrectly, they often come to feel that they can now provide themselves with adequate summations, cohesive assessments, comprehensive orientations. Older decisions that once appeared sound now seem to them products of a mind unaccountably dense. Their capacity for astonishment is made lively again. They acquire a new way of thinking, they experience a transvaluation of values; in a word, by their reflection and by their sensibility, they realize the cultural meaning of the social sciences.

## 2

Perhaps the most fruitful distinction with which the sociological imagination works is between "the personal troubles of milieu" and "the public issues of social structure." This distinction is an essential tool of the sociological imagination and a feature of all classic work in social science.

*Troubles* occur within the character of the individual and within the range of his immediate relations with others; they have to do with his self and with those limited areas of social life of which he is directly and personally aware. Accordingly, the statement and the resolution of troubles properly lie within the individual as a biological entity and within the scope of his immediate milieu—the social setting that is directly open to his personal experience and to some extent his willful activity. A trouble is a private matter: values cherished by an individual are felt by him to be threatened.

*Issues* have to do with matters that transcend these local environments of the individual and the range of his inner life. They have to do with the organization of many such milieus into the institutions of an historical society as a whole, with the ways in which various milieus overlap and interpenetrate to form the larger structure of social and historical life. An issue is a public matter: some value cherished by publics is felt to be threatened. Often there is a debate about what that value really is and about what it is that really threatens it. This debate is often without focus if only because it is the very nature of an issue, unlike even widespread trouble, that it cannot very well be defined in terms of the immediate and everyday environments of ordinary men. An issue, in fact, often involves a crisis in institutional arrangements, and often too it involves what Marxists call "contradictions" or "antagonisms."

In these terms, consider unemployment. When, in a city of 100,000, only one man is un-

employed, that is his personal trouble, and for its relief we properly look to the character of the man, his skills, and his immediate opportunities. But when in a nation of 50 million employees, 15 million men are unemployed, that is an issue, and we may not hope to find its solution within the range of opportunities open to any one individual. The very structure of opportunities has collapsed. Both the correct statement of the problem and the range of possible solutions require us to consider the economic and political institutions of the society, and not merely the personal situation and character of a scatter of individuals.

Consider war. The personal problem of war, when it occurs, may be how to survive it or how to die in it with honor; how to make money out of it; how to climb into the higher safety of the military apparatus; or how to contribute to the war's termination. In short, according to one's values, to find a set of milieus and within it to survive the war or make one's death in it meaningful. But the structural issues of war have to do with its causes; with what types of men it throws up into command; with its effects upon economic and political, family and religious institutions, with the unorganized irresponsibility of a world of nation-states.

Consider marriage. Inside a marriage a man and a woman may experience personal troubles, but when the divorce rate during the first four years of marriage is 250 out of every 1,000 attempts, this is an indication of a structural issue having to do with the institutions of marriage and the family and other institutions that bear upon them.

Or consider the metropolis—the horrible, beautiful, ugly, magnificent sprawl of the great city. For many upper-class people, the personal solution to “the problem of the city” is to have an apartment with private garage under it in the heart of the city, and forty miles out, a house by Henry Hill, garden by Garrett Eckbo, on a hundred acres of private land. In these two controlled environments—with a small

staff at each end and a private helicopter connection—most people could solve many of the problems of personal milieus caused by the facts of the city. But all this, however splendid, does not solve the public issues that the structural fact of the city poses. What should be done with this wonderful monstrosity? Break it all up into scattered units, combining residence and work? Refurbish it as it stands? Or, after evacuation, dynamite it and build new cities according to new plans in new places? What should those plans be? And who is to decide and to accomplish whatever choice is made? These are structural issues; to confront them and to solve them requires us to consider political and economic issues that affect innumerable milieus.

In so far as an economy is so arranged that slumps occur, the problem of unemployment becomes incapable of personal solution. In so far as war is inherent in the nation-state system and in the uneven industrialization of the world, the ordinary individual in his restricted milieu will be powerless—with or without psychiatric aid—to solve the troubles this system or lack of system imposes upon him. In so far as the family as an institution turns women into darling little slaves and men into their chief providers and unweaned dependents, the problem of a satisfactory marriage remains incapable of purely private solution. In so far as the overdeveloped megalopolis and the overdeveloped automobile are built-in features of the overdeveloped society, the issues of urban living will not be solved by personal ingenuity and private wealth.

What we experience in various and specific milieus, I have noted, is often caused by structural changes. Accordingly, to understand the changes of many personal milieus we are required to look beyond them. And the number and variety of such structural changes increase as the institutions within which we live become more embracing and more intricately