

EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW ANNUAL 2007

A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC



EDITED BY

CLAUS-DIETER EHLERMANN

MEL MARQUIS



EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW ANNUAL:
2007

A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC

Edited by

Claus-Dieter Ehlermann

and

Mel Marquis



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND OREGON
2008

Published in North America (US and Canada) by
Hart Publishing
c/o International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213-3786
USA
Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190
Fax: +1 503 280 8832
E-mail: orders@isbs.com
Website: www.isbs.com

© The editors and contributors severally 2008

The editors and contributors have asserted their right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the authors of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44 (0) 1865 510710
E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-838-1

Typeset by Hope Services, Abingdon
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
MPG Biddles Ltd, King's Lynn, Norfolk

EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW ANNUAL 2007:

A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC

PERMANENT SPONSORS OF THE
ANNUAL EUI COMPETITION
WORKSHOPS

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP

Contact: Calvin S. Goldman
Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
Canada M5L 1A9
Tel.: +1 416 863 22 80
Fax: +1 416 863 26 53
E-mail: cal.goldman@blakes.com

Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton

Contact: Prof. Mario Siragusa
Rome Office
Piazza di Spagna, 15
I-00187 Rome
Italy
Tel.: +39 06 695 221
Fax: +39 06 692 00 665
E-mail: msiragusa@cgsh.com

CRA International

Contact: Lorenzo Coppi
Vice President
Orion House
5 Upper St Martin's Lane
London WC2H 9EA
UK
Tel.: +44 20 7438 8117
Fax: +44 20 7240 6136
E-mail: lcoppi@crai.com

Hengeler Müller

Contact: Jochen Burrichter
Benrater Strasse 18-20
D-40213 Düsseldorf,
Germany
Fax: +49 211 83 04 222
E-mail: jochen.burrichter@hengeler.com

Howrey LLP

Contact: James Rill Esq.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
USA
Tel.: +1 202 383 65 62
Fax: +1 202 383 66 10
E-mail: rillj@howrey.com

LECG

Contact: Atilano Jorge Padilla
Managing Director
Park Atrium
11 Rue des Colonies
B-1000 Brussels,
Belgium
Tel.: +32 2 517 6070
Fax: +32 2 788 1211
E-mail: jpadilla@lecg.com

Martínez Lage & Asociados

Contact: Santiago Martínez Lage
Claudio Coello 37
ES-28001 Madrid
Spain
Tel (34) 91 426 44 70 -
Fax (34) 91 577 37 74
E-mail: smlage@m-lage.es

RBB Economics

Contact: Andrea Lofaro
RBB Economics London
The Connection
198 High Holborn
London, WC1V 7BD
UK
Tel.: +44 20 7421 2414
Fax: +44 20 7421 2411
E-mail: andrea.lofaro@rbbecon.com

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

Contact: James Venit
Brussels Office
523 Avenue Louise
B-1050 Brussels
Belgium
Tel.: +32 2 639 03 00
Fax: +32 2 639 03 39
E-mail: jvenit@skadden.com

White & Case LLP

Contact: Prof. Ian S. Forrester
Brussels Office
62, rue de la Loi
B-1040 Brussels
Belgium
Tel.: +32 2 219 16 20
Fax: +32 2 219 16 26
E-mail: iforrester@whitecase.com

WilmerHale LLP

Contact: John Ratliff
Bastion Tower
Place du Champ de Mars/
Marsveldplein 5
B-1050 Brussels
Belgium
Tel.: +32 2 285 49 08
Fax: +32 2 285 49 49
E-mail: john.ratliff@wilmerhale.com

12TH ANNUAL EU COMPETITION LAW
AND POLICY WORKSHOP:
A REFORCED APPROACH
TO ARTICLE 82 EC

Chairs

Giuliano Amato, European University Institute—Florence

John Fingleton, Office of Fair Trading—London

Massimo Motta, European University Institute—Florence

Heike Schweitzer, European University Institute—Florence

List of Participants

Rafael Allendesalazar Corcho, Martínez Lage & Asociados—Madrid

Simon Bishop, RBB Economics—London

William Blumenthal, U.S. Federal Trade Commission—Washington, DC

Jochen Burrichter, Hengeler Müller—Düsseldorf

Andrea Coscelli, CRA International—London

Claus-Dieter Ehlermann, WilmerHale—Brussels

Einer Elhauge, Harvard Law School

Amelia Fletcher, Office of Fair Trading—London

Ian Forrester, White and Case—Brussels

Eleanor Fox, New York University School of Law

Damien Geradin, University of Tilburg; Howrey—Brussels

David Gerber, Chicago-Kent College of Law—Chicago

Cal Goldman, Blake, Cassels & Graydon—Toronto

Martin Hellwig, Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods—
Bonn

Judge Frédéric Jenny, Cour de Cassation and ESSEC Business School—
Paris; OFT—London

Philip Lowe, DG Competition—Brussels

Mel Marquis, Robert Schuman Centre, EUI—Florence

Doug Melamed, WilmerHale—Washington DC

David Meyer, U.S. Department of Justice—Washington DC

Damien Neven, DG Competition—Brussels

Robert O'Donoghue, Brick Court Chambers—London & Brussels

Atilano Jorge Padilla, LECG, Brussels—London and Madrid

Mark Patterson, Fordham University School of Law—New York; EUI—
Florence

Emil Paulis, DG Competition—Brussels

Pierre Régibeau, University of Essex

Patrick Rey, University of Toulouse

Lars-Hendrik Röller, European School of Management and Technology—
Berlin

Mario Siragusa, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton—Rome

Marc van der Woude, Stibbe—Brussels

Jim Venit, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom—Brussels

Sir John Vickers, University of Oxford

Daniel Zimmer, University of Bonn

TABLE OF CASES

I. Judgments of the European Courts

Joined Cases C-204/00 <i>P et al., Aalborg Portland A/S and Others v Commission</i> [2004] ECR I-123.....	lxxix, 351
Case C-89/85, <i>Ahlström Osakeyhtiö and Others</i> [1993] ECR I-1307	105
Case 66/86, <i>Ahmed Saeed Flugreisen and SilverLine Reisebüro GmbH v Centrale zur Bekämpfung unlauteren Wettbewerbs eV</i> [1989] ECR 803	lxxvii, 145
Case T-342/99, <i>Airtours v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-2585	111
Case C-109/01, <i>Secretary of State for the Home Department v Akrich</i> [2003] ECR I-9607	xxxiv
Case C-62/86, <i>AKZO Chemie BV v Commission</i> [1991] ECR I-3359	xxxv, lxiii, 70–1, 151–2, 154, 330–1, 338
Case C-67/96, <i>Albany International BV v Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> [1999] ECR I-5751	xl, cxxvi
Joined Cases T-191 and T-212/98 to T-214/98, <i>Atlantic Container Line and Others v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-3275	xliv, 72, 141, 264, 322, 351
Case 41/96, <i>Bayer AG v Commission</i> [2000] ECR II-3383	696
Case 127/73, <i>Belgische Radio en Televisie (BRT) v SV SABAM and NV Fonior</i> [1974] ECR 313	lxxviii, 615
Case 77/77, <i>Benzine en Petroleum Handelsmaatschappij BV and others v Commission</i> [1978] ECR 1513	346
Case 30/87, <i>Corinne Bodson v Pompes funèbres des régions libérées</i> [1988] ECR 2479	145, 568, 624, 684, 688
Case T-65/89, <i>BPB Industries and British Gypsum Ltd v Commission</i> [1993] ECR II-389; <i>upheld on appeal</i> : Case C-310/93 P, [1995] ECR I-865	174–5, 264, 322
Case C-427/93, <i>Bristol-Myers Squibb and Others v Paranova</i> [1996] ECR I-3457; Case C-267/95 <i>Merck and Others v Primecrown and Others</i> [1996] ECR I-6285	495
Case T-219/99, <i>British Airways v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-5917; <i>upheld on appeal</i> : Case C-95/04 P, [2007] ECR I-2331	lxxi, lxvii, lxxii, lxxvii, lxxxi, lxxxiii, 28, 57, 73, 82, 88, 103–5, 140–42, 176, 197, 242, 250, 259, 265–8
Case 226/84, <i>British Leyland Plc v Commission</i> [1986] ECR 3263	74, 145, 568, 625
Case C-125/97, <i>Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v Benetton International NV</i> [1999] ECR I-3055	xliv
Case C-7/97, <i>Oscar Bronner GmbH & Co KG v Mediaprint Zeitungs- und Zeitschriftenverlag GmbH & Co KG, Mediaprint Zeitungsvertriebsgesellschaft mbH & Co KG and Mediaprint Anzeigengesellschaft mbH & Co KG</i> [1998] ECR I-7791	xlvi–xlvii, 82, 156–9, 176, 338
Case C-258/98, <i>Giovanni Carra and Others</i> [2000] ECR I-4217	356
Case 311/84, <i>Centre belge d'études de marché/Télémarketing (CBEM) v SA Compagnie luxembourgeoise de télédiffusion (CLT) and Information publicité Benelux (IPB)</i> [1985] ECR 3261	346, 356

Joined Cases C-94/04 and C-202/04, <i>Cipolla and Macrino</i> [2006] ECR I-11421	xxxiv, xcix
Joined Cases T-24/93, T-25/93, T-26/93, and T-28/93, <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA and Others v Commission</i> [1996] ECR II-1201, <i>upheld</i> : Joined Cases C-395/96 P and C-396/96 P, <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA and Others v Commission</i> [2000] ECR I-1365	330
Case 53/87, <i>Consorzio italiano della componentistica di ricambio per autoveicoli and Maxicar v Régie nationale des usines Renault</i> [1988] ECR 6039	356
Joined Cases 56 and 58/64, <i>Consten and Grundig v Commission</i> [1966] ECR 299	27, 214
Case 6/72, “ <i>Continental Can</i> ” [1973] ECR 215	xliv, xlv, 11, 19, 104, 123, 138–40, 142, 145, 161, 175, 461, 499, 515–6
Cases 40/73 to 48/73, 50/73, 54/73 to 56/73, 111/73, 113/73 and 114/73, <i>Coöperatieve Vereniging “Suiker Unie” UA and Others v Commission</i> [1975] ECR 1663	105, 175–6, 331, 356
Case C-18/93, <i>Corsica Ferries Italia Srl v Corpo dei Piloti del Porto di Genova</i> [1994] ECR I-1783	701
Case C-453/99, <i>Courage Ltd v Bernard Crehan</i> [2001] ECR I-6297	lxii, lxxi, 201, 243, 245–6, 252, 280
Case T-151/01, <i>Der Grüne Punkt – Duales System Deutschland GmbH v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-1607, <i>on appeal</i> : Case C-385/07, not yet decided	643
Case T-229/94, <i>Deutsche Bahn AG v Commission</i> [1997] ECR II-1689	701
Case 78/70, <i>Deutsche Grammophon Gesellschaft mbH v Metro-SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co KG</i> [1971] ECR 487	346, 684
Case T-271/03, <i>Deutsche Telekom AG v Commission</i> , judgment of the Court of First Instance of 10 April 2008, not yet reported	lxxv
Case C-63/93, <i>Duff and Others v Minister for Agriculture and Food and Attorney General</i> [1996] ECR I-569	358
Case T-340/03, <i>France Télécom v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-107; <i>on appeal</i> : Case C-202/07, not yet decided	8, 111, 151, 322, 324, 349
Case T-210/01, <i>General Electric v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-5575	182
Case 26/75, <i>General Motors Continental NV v Commission</i> [1975] ECR 1367	146, 499, 643
Case C-313/99, <i>Gerard Mulligan and Others v Agriculture and Food, Ireland and Attorney General</i> [2002] ECR I-5719	357–8
Case T-168/01, <i>GlaxoSmithKline Services v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-2969; <i>on appeal</i> : Cases C-501/06, C-513/06, C-515/06 and C-519/06, not yet decided	xxxix, lxxix, 22, 25, 27–8, 63, 174, 242, 367, 381, 383, 421, 605–6, 720
Case C-250/92, <i>Gøttrup-Klim Grovwareforeninger v Dansk Landbrugs Grovareselskab AmbA</i> [1994] ECR I-5641	xxxix, 105, 585
Case C-242/95, <i>GT-Link v DSB</i> [1997] ECR I-4449	145
Case C-10/89, <i>SA CNL-SUCAL NV v HAG GF AG (“Hag II”)</i> [1990] ECR I-3711	xxxiv
Case T-30/89, <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-1439; <i>upheld on appeal</i> : Case C-53/92, [1994] ECR I-667	378
Case 85/76, <i>Hoffmann-La Roche and Co AG v Commission</i> [1979] ECR 461	15, 60, 70, 72, 140–41, 161, 174–6, 300, 331, 382, 676

Case C-41/90, <i>Klaus Höfner and Fritz Elsner v Macrotron GmbH</i> [1991] ECR I-1979	356
Case T-209/01, <i>Honeywell v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-5527	34, 182
Case 22/78, <i>Hugin Kassaregister AB v Commission</i> [1979] ECR 1869	111
Case T-184/01 R, <i>IMS Health Inc. v Commission (interim measures)</i> [2001] ECR II-3193, <i>upheld: Case C-481/01 P(R), NDC Health Corp.</i> [2002] ECR I-3401	705
Case C-418/01, <i>IMS Health v NDC Health</i> [2004] ECR I-5039	xlvi, cxv, 159, 175, 521, 705, 707–13, 718–9, 721
Joined Cases 6/73 and 7/73, <i>Istituto Chemioterapico Italiano and Commercial Solvents v Commission</i> [1974] ECR 223	176
<i>Zojal/CSC-ICI (“Commercial Solvents”)</i> [1972] OJ L299/51	566–9
Case C-438/05, <i>International Transport Workers’ Federation and Finnish Seaman’s Union v Viking Line ABP and OÜ Viking Line Eesti</i> [2007] ECR I-10779	xl, xlv
Case T-228/97, <i>Irish Sugar v Commission</i> [1999] ECR II-2969; <i>upheld on appeal:</i> Case C-497/99, [2001] ECR I-5333	174–5, 265, 282, 322, 346
Case 13/63, <i>Italy v Commission</i> [1963] ECR 165	698
Case 41/83, <i>Italy v Commission (British Telecommunications)</i> [1985] ECR 873	356
Case T-111/96, <i>ITT Promedia v Commission</i> [1998] ECR II-2937	174, 357
Case C-55/96, <i>Job Centre coop arl</i> [1997] ECR I-7119	356
Case T-19/92, <i>Groupement d’achat Edouard Leclerc v Commission</i> [1996] ECR II-1851	585
Case T-88/92, <i>Groupement d’achat Edouard Leclerc v Commission</i> [1996] ECR II-1961	585
Case C-267/91, <i>Keck and Mithouard</i> [1993] ECR I-6097	xxxiv
Case C-341/05, <i>Laval un Partneri LTD v Svenska Byggnadsarbetareförbundet and Others</i> [2007] ECR I-11767	xl
Case 31/80, <i>L’Oreal v PVBA De Nieuwe Amck</i> [1980] ECR 3775	174
Joined Cases 110/88, 241/88 and 242/88, <i>François Lucazeau and others v SACEM and Others</i> [1989] ECR 2811	145–6, 568, 624, 628, 639, 653, 684
Joined Cases C-295/04 to C-298/04, <i>Vincenzo Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni and Others</i> [2006] ECR I-6619	lxii, lxxi, 201, 243, 245–6, 252
Case T-203/01, <i>Manufacture Française des Pneumatiques Michelin v Commission (“Michelin II”)</i> [2003] ECR II-4071	lxxii, lxxxiii, 8, 70, 72–3, 84, 86, 111, 151, 174–5, 197, 214, 269–70, 300, 385
Case C-344/98, <i>Masterfoods and HB Ice Cream Ltd</i> [2000] ECR I-11369	210
Case T-54/99, <i>max.mobil Telekommunikation v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-313	175
Case 187/80, <i>Merck & Co. Inc. v Stephar BV and Petrus Stephanus Exler</i> [1981] ECR 2063	495
Case C-127/08, <i>Metock and Others v Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform</i> , judgment of the ECJ of 25 July 2008, not yet reported	xxxiv
Case 26/76, <i>Metro-SB-Gro.märkte GmbH & Co. KG v Commission</i> [1977] ECR 1875	585
Case 75/84, <i>Metro-SB-Gro.märkte GmbH & Co. KG v Commission (“Metro II”)</i> [1986] ECR 3021	585

xviii *Table of Cases*

Case T-201/04, <i>Microsoft v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-3601	xxvii, xlii, xlvii, lxxv, lxxviii, c, cvi, 84, 159, 225, 242, 489, 567, 569
Case T-167/08, <i>Microsoft v Commission</i> , not yet decided	cvi, 574
Case T-313/05, <i>Microsoft v Commission</i> , removed from the register on 27 November 2007	572
Case 395/87, <i>Ministère Public v Jean-Louis Tournier</i> [1989] ECR 2521	146
Case T-89/98, <i>National Association of Licensed Opencast Operators (NALOO) v Commission</i> [2001] ECR II-515	684
Case 322/81, <i>NV Nederlandsche Banden-Industrie Michelin v Commission ("Michelin I")</i> [1983] ECR 3461	xxxv–xxxvi, 70–1, 111, 141, 174–6, 214, 264, 295, 319, 321 357, 550
Joined Cases T-213/01 and T-214/01, <i>Österreichische Postsparkasse AG and Bank für Arbeit und Wirtschaft AG v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-1601	xliv
Case T-328/03, <i>O2 (Germany) GmbH & Co. OHG v Commission</i> [2006] ECR II-1231	382
Case 24/67, <i>Parke, Davis and Co v Probel, Reese, Beintema-Interpharm and Centrafarm</i> [1968] ECR 55	346, 622
Case C-163/99, <i>Portugal v Commission</i> [2001] ECR I-2613	346
T-69/89, <i>Radio Telefís Éireann (RTE) v Commission ("Magill")</i> [1991] ECR II-485; upheld on appeal: Joined Cases C-241/91 P and C-242/91 P, <i>Radio Telefís Éireann (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd (ITP) v Commission</i> [1995] ECR I-743	xliv, cxxiii, 159, 282, 521, 705, 710, 712–13, 719
Case 42/84, <i>Remia and Verenigde Bedrijven Nutricia v Commission</i> [1985] 2545	lxxix
Cases T-310/01 and T-77/02, <i>Schneider Electric SA v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-4201	111
Cases C-468/06, etc., <i>Sot. Lélou Kai Sia E.E. and Others v GlaxoSmithKline AVEE Farmakeftikon Proionton (Syfait II)</i> , not yet decided	c
Case 40/70, <i>Sirena Srl v Eda Srl and others</i> [1971] ECR 69	346, 622–3
Case 56/65, <i>Société Technique Minière v Maschinenbau Ulm GmbH</i> [1966] ECR 235	214, 382
Case 29/69, <i>Stauder v City of Ulm</i> [1969] ECR 419	xxxiv
Case C-53/03, <i>Synetairismos Farmakopoion Aitolias & Akarnanias (Syfait) and Others v GlaxoSmithKline plc and GlaxoSmithKline AVEE</i> [2005] ECR I-4609	lv, lxxvii, cxxvi, 350
Cases T-5/02 and T-80/02, <i>Tetra Laval BV v Commission</i> [2002] ECR II-4381, upheld: Cases C-12/03 P and C-13/03 P, <i>Commission v Tetra Laval</i> [2005] ECR I-987	111, 290, 315–16
Case C-333/94 P, <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> [1996] ECR I-5951	111, 151, 154, 717
Case T-83/91, <i>Tetra Pak International SA v Commission</i> [1994] ECR II-755	151, 346, 679
Case T-51/89, <i>Tetra Pak Rausing v Commission</i> [1990] ECR II-309 ...	lxxxiii, 174, 182
Case T-504/93, <i>Tiercé Ladbroke SA v Commission</i> [1997] ECR II-923	706, 711
Case 27/76, <i>United Brands Company and United Brands Continentaal BV v Commission</i> [1978] ECR 207	cxvi, 70, 74, 145, 346, 479, 522, 535, 550–1, 568, 588, 599, 603, 623–4, 626–8, 637, 641, 643, 683–6, 688, 693, 695

Case T-65/98, <i>Van den Bergh Foods v Commission</i> [2003]	
ECR II-4653	174, 264, 322, 331
Cases 43/82 and 63/82, <i>VBVB and VBBB v Commission</i> [1984] ECR 19	lxxix
Case 26/62, <i>Van Gend en Loos</i> [1963] ECR 3	lxxi
Case C-73/95 P, <i>Viho Europe BV v commission</i> [1994] ECR I-5457	lxxiii
Case 238/87, <i>AB Volvo v Erik Veng (UK) Ltd</i> [1988] ECR	
6211	xxxviii, xlvii, cxviii, 356, 591, 643–4, 713
Case C-309/99 <i>Wouters and Others v Algemene Raad van de Nederlandse</i>	
<i>Orde van Advocaten</i> [2002] ECR I-1577	cxxvi

II. Decisions of the European Commission

Commission Decision, 98/153 of 11 June 1998, <i>Alpha Flight Services/Aéroports de Paris</i> [1998] OJ L230/10, <i>upheld</i> : Case T-128/98, <i>Aéroports de Paris v Commission</i> [2000] ECR II-3929, <i>upheld on further appeal</i> : Case C-82/01 P, <i>Aéroports de Paris v Commission</i> [2002] ECR I-9297	701
Commission Decision of 12 October 2005, <i>Amer/Salomon</i> ,	
Case No COMP/M.3765	410
<i>British Gypsum</i> ('Super Stockist Scheme'), Case No IV / 32.929, [1992]	
OJ C321/9 (Article 19(3) Notice)	321
Commission Decision 84/379 of 2 July 1984, <i>British Leyland</i> [1984]	
OJ L207/11, <i>upheld</i> : Case 226/84, <i>British Leyland Plc v Commission</i>	
[1986] ECR 3263	145–6, 499, 521, 625, 643, 684, 688
Commission Decision 95/364 of 28 June 1995, <i>Brussels National Airport</i>	
[1995] OJ L216/8	701
Commission Decision 93/82 of 23 December 1992, <i>Cewal, Cowac and Ukwai</i>	
[1993] OJ L34/20, <i>upheld</i> : Joined Cases T-24/93, T-25/93, T-26/93, and	
T-28/93, <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA and Others v Commission</i>	
[1996] ECR II-1201, <i>upheld on further appeal</i> : Joined Cases C-395/96 P	
and C-396/96 P, <i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports SA and Others v</i>	
<i>Commission</i> [2000] ECR I-1365	332, 345
Commission Decision 76/353 of 17 December 1975, <i>Chiquita</i> [1976] OJ L95/1;	
<i>upheld in part</i> : Case 27/76, <i>United Brands Company and United Brands</i>	
<i>Continental BV v Commission</i> [1978] ECR 207	145–6, 480, 653
Commission Decision of 22 June 2005, <i>Coca-Cola</i> , Case COMP/39.116	321, 380
Commission Press Release IP/90/7 of 9 January 1990, <i>Coca-Cola Export</i>	321
Commission Decision 2001/892 of 25 July 2001, <i>Deutsche Post AG</i>	
(<i>"Deutsche Post II"</i>) [2001] OJ L331/40	145–6, 154, 568, 627
Commission Decision 2003/707 of 21 May 2003, <i>Deutsche Telekom AG</i>	
[2003] OJ L263/9, <i>upheld</i> : Case T-271/03, <i>Deutsche Telekom AG v</i>	
<i>Commission</i> , judgment of the Court of First Instance of 10 April 2008,	
not yet reported	479, 520
Commission Decision 85/609 of 14 December 1985, <i>ECS/AKZO</i> [1985]	
OJ L374/1; <i>upheld</i> : Case C-62/86, <i>AKZO Chemie BV v Commission</i>	
[1991] ECR I-3359	71, 174, 717
Commission Decision of 25 March 2004, <i>Euromax v IMAX</i> , Case	
COMP/37.761	625–6

<i>Eurofix-Bauco v Hilti</i> , 1988 OJ L65/19, <i>upheld</i> : Case T-30/89, <i>Hilti AG v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-1439, <i>upheld on further appeal</i> : Case C-53/92, [1994] ECR I-667	346
Commission Decision of 21 January 2004, <i>GE/Amersham</i> , Case No COMP/M.3304	315
Commission Decision of 15 June 2005, Case No. COMP/37.507, <i>Generics/AstraZeneca</i> [2006] OJ L332/24; <i>on appeal</i> : Case T-321/05, <i>AstraZeneca v Commission</i> , not yet decided	377, 380
Commission Decision 2004/134 of 3 July 2001 (Case No COMP/M.2220 – <i>General Electric/Honeywell</i>) [2001] OJ L48/1, <i>appeal dismissed</i> : Case T-210/01, <i>General Electric v Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-5575	34
Commission Decision 75/75 of 19 December 1974, <i>General Motors</i> [1975] OJ L29/14, <i>upheld</i> : Case 26/75, <i>General Motors Continental NV v Commission</i> [1975] ECR 1367	74, 145, 568, 623, 625, 653, 684
Commission Decision 94/210 of 29 March 1994, <i>HOV-SVZ/MCN</i> [1994] OJ L104/34	701
Commission Decision 78/68 of 8 December 1977, <i>Hugin/Liptons</i> [1978] OJ L22/23	566–8
Commission Decision 1999/98 of 10 February 1999, <i>Ilmailulaitos/Luftfarsverket</i> [1999] OJ L69/24	701
Commission Decision 97/624 of 14 May 1997, <i>Irish Sugar plc</i> [1997] OJ L258/1 ..	155
Commission Decision of 22 March 2006, <i>Joint selling of the media rights to the FA Premier League</i> , Case COMP/38.173	284
Commission Decision 89/205 of 21 December 1988, <i>Magill TV Guide/ITP, BBC and RTE</i> [1989] OJ L78/43, <i>upheld</i> : T-69/89, <i>Radio Telefis Eireann (RTE) v Commission</i> [1991] ECR II-485; <i>upheld on further appeal</i> : Joined Cases C-241/91 P and C-242/91 P, <i>Radio Telefis Eireann (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd (ITP) v Commission</i> [1995] ECR I-743	356, 567
Commission Decision 90/363 of 26 June 1990, <i>Metaleurop SA</i> , Case IV/32.846 ...	499
Commission's Decision of 24 March 2004, <i>Microsoft</i> , Case COMP/37.792 (summary published in [2007] OJ L32/23), <i>upheld</i> : Case T-201/04, <i>Microsoft v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-3601	xxxii, 112, 113, 114, 159, 341, 354, 356, 362, 380–1, 385, 417, 419, 600, 614, 712, 720
Commission Decision 2002/165 of 3 July 2001, <i>NDC Health/IMS Health: Interim Measures</i> [2002] OJ L59/18, <i>withdrawn</i> : Commission Decision 2003/741 of 13 August 2003, <i>NDC Health/IMS Health: Interim Measures</i> , [2003] OJ L268/69	567, 705
Commission Decision 1999/199 of 10 February 1999, <i>Portuguese Airports</i> [1999] OJ L69/31	701
Commission Decision of 29 March 2006, <i>Prokent/Tomra</i> , Case COMP/38.113	325, 332
Commission Decision of 23 July 2004, <i>Scandlines Sverige v Port of Helsingborg</i> , Case COMP/36.568	74, 625–6, 684
Commission Decision 2000/75 of 14 July 1999, <i>Virgin/British Airways</i> [2000] OJ L30/1, <i>upheld</i> : Case T-219/99, <i>British Airways v Commission</i> [2003] ECR II-5917; <i>upheld on further appeal</i> : Case C-95/04 P, [2007] ECR I-2331	xxxviii–xxxix, xlv, lxvii, xcix, 8, 11, 21, 31, 57, 71, 111, 174–6, 187, 197, 203, 291, 296, 298–300, 308, 315, 321–2, 324, 332, 385

Commission Decision of 4 July 2007, <i>Wanadoo España/Telefónica</i> , Case COMP/38.784; <i>on appeal</i> : Case T-336/07, <i>Telefónica SA and Telefónica de España</i> , not yet decided	479, 520–1
<i>Wanadoo Interactive</i> , Case No. COMP/38.233; <i>upheld on appeal</i> : Case T-340/03, <i>France Télécom v Commission</i> [2007] ECR II-107; <i>on further appeal</i> : Case C-202/07, not yet decided	8, 57, 84, 88, 242, 265–6
Commission Decision 2000/12 of 20 July 1999, <i>1998 Football World Cup</i> , [2000] OJ L5/55	533

III. US cases (courts and the Agencies)

<i>A.A. Poultry Farms, Inc. v. Rose Acre Farms</i> , 881 F.2d 1396 (7th Cir. 1989)	180
<i>Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. United States</i> , 288 U.S. 344 (1933)	liii
<i>Aquatherm Industries, Inc. v. Florida Power & Light Co.</i> , 145 F.3d 1258 (11th Cir. 1998)	706
<i>Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.</i> , 472 U.S. 585 (1985)	158, 335
<i>Biovail Corp.</i> , No. C-4060 (F.T.C. 23 April 2002)	578
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.</i> , No. C-4076 (F.T.C., 18 April 2003)	492, 578
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , No. 06-4292 (3d Cir. Sept. 4, 2007), 2007 U.S. App. LEXIS 21092	602–3
<i>Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993)	xlvi, 149–50, 152–4, 213, 240
<i>Brown Shoe Co. v. United States</i> , 370 U.S. 294 (1962)	261–2
<i>Caribbean Broadcasting System, Ltd. v. Cable & Wireless plc</i> , 148 F.3d 1080 (D.C. Cir. 1998)	715
<i>Chevron Corp.</i> , File No. 051-0125 (F.T.C. 2 August 2005)	578
<i>City of Lafayette v. Louisiana Power & Light Co.</i> , 435 U.S. 389 (1978)	548
<i>Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania, Inc.</i> , 433 U.S. 36 (1977)	xcix
<i>DDAVP Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation</i> , No. 06-5525-cv (2d Cir.), not yet decided	578
<i>Fashion Originators' Guild of America v. FTC</i> , 312 U.S. 457 (1941)	719
<i>Eastman Kodak v. Image Technical Services</i> , 504 U.S. 451 (1992)	113–14, 504
<i>Illinois Tool Works Inc v. Independent Ink, Inc.</i> , 547 U.S. 28 (2006)	c
<i>Intergraph Corp. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 195 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	cviii, 706, 711, 714–6
<i>International Salt Co. v. United States</i> , 332 U.S. 392 (1947)	110
<i>Jacobellis v. Ohio</i> , 378 U.S. 184 (1964)	15, 523
<i>Jefferson Parish Hospital District No. 2 v. Hyde</i> , 466 U.S. 2 (1984)	c, 451–2
<i>Langenderfer, Inc. v. S.E. Johnson Co.</i> , 917 F.2d 1413 (6th Cir. 1990)	lxvii
<i>Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.</i> , 127 S. Ct. 2705 (2007)	110
<i>LePage's Inc. v. 3M</i> , 324 F.3d 141 (3rd Cir. 2003)	206, 225, 262
<i>Lorain Journal Co. v. United States</i> , 342 U.S. 143 (1951)	436
<i>Matsushita Elec. Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</i> , 475 U.S. 584 (1986)	149, 152, 158
<i>MCI Communications Corp. v. AT&T</i> , 708 F.2d 1081 (7th Cir. 1983)	645, 712
<i>Miller v. California</i> , 413 U.S. 15 (1973)	15

<i>New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc. v. Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.</i> , 323 F. Supp. 2d 559 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)	713
<i>Otter Tail Power Co. v. United States</i> , 410 U.S. 366 (1973)	648
<i>Rambus, Inc.</i> , No. 9302 (F.T.C., 2 August 2006), <i>on appeal: Rambus, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , Docket Nos. 07-1086, 07-1124 (D.C. Circuit), not yet decided	492, 578, 603-4
<i>Rambus, Inc.</i> , No. 9302 (F.T.C., 5 February 2007) (opinion on remedy)	492, 578, 603-4
<i>Rambus, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</i> , 522 F.3d 456 (D.C. Cir. 2008)	lxviii-lxix
<i>Rothery Storage & Van Co. v. Atlas Van Lines, Inc.</i> , 792 F.2d 210 (D.C. Cir. 1986), <i>cert. denied</i> , 479 U.S. 1033 (1987)	178-9
<i>Spectrum Sports, Inc. v. McQuillan</i> , 506 U.S. 447 (1993)	lxvii, 81
<i>Standard Oil of New Jersey v. United States</i> , 221 U.S. 1 (1911)	125
<i>State Oil v. Khan</i> , 522 U.S. 3, (1997)	xcix
<i>United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co.</i> , 310 U.S. 150 (1940)	liii
<i>United States v. United Shoe Machinery Corp.</i> , 110 F. Supp. 295 (D. Mass. 1953), <i>affirmed per curiam</i> , 347 U.S. 521 (1954)	125-7, 579
<i>United States v. Aluminum Co. of America</i> , 148 F.2d 416 (2d Cir. 1945)	18, 109-10, 123, 125-6, 227, 373, 439
<i>United States v. AMR Corp.</i> , 140 F. Supp. 2d 1141 (D. Kan. 2001), <i>affirmed</i> , 335 F.3d 1109 (10th Cir. 2003)	152-3, 335
<i>United States v. Colgate & Co.</i> , 250 U.S. 300 (1919)	157
<i>United States v. Dentsply Int'l, Inc.</i> , 399 F.3d 181 (3d Cir. 2005)	225, 578
<i>United States v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.</i> , 351 U.S. 337 (1956)	258
<i>United States v. Grinnell Corp.</i> , 384 U.S. 563 (1966)	373, 439
<i>United States v. Loew's, Inc.</i> , 371 U.S. 38 (1962)	394
<i>United States v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001), <i>cert. denied</i> , 534 U.S. 952 (2001); <i>on remand, United States v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 231 F.Supp.2d 144 (D.D.C. 2002)	87-8, 158, 272, 341, 438, 716-8
<i>United States v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , Civ. Action No. 98-1232 (CKK) (D.D.C.)	578
<i>United States v. Monsanto Co.</i> , No. 1:07-cv-00992 (D.D.C. filed 31 May 2007)	32
<i>United States v. Oracle Corp.</i> , 331 F. Supp. 2d 1098 (N.D. Cal. 2004)	111
<i>United States v. Paramount Pictures, Inc.</i> , 334 U.S. 131 (1948)	394
<i>United States v. Terminal Railroad Association</i> , 224 U.S. 383 (1912)	159
<i>United States v. Topco Associates</i> , 405 U.S. 596 (1972)	100
<i>United States v. Trans-Missouri Freight Ass.</i> , 166 U.S. 290 (1897)	144
<i>United States v. Trenton Potteries Co.</i> , 273 U.S. 392 (1927)	144
<i>United States v. Von's Grocery</i> , 384 U.S. 270 (1966)	229
<i>Union Oil Co. of Cal.</i> , No. 9305 (F.T.C., 4 March 2003)	492, 578
<i>Utah Pie Co. v. Continental Baking Co.</i> , 386 U.S. 685 (1967)	149-50
<i>Valassis Communications, Inc.</i> , No. C-4160 (F.T.C., 19 April 2006)	492, 578
<i>Virgin Atlantic Airways v. British Airways</i> , 257 F.3d 256 (2d Cir. 2001)	187
<i>Verizon Communications, Inc. v. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko LLP</i> , 540 U.S. 398 (2004)	xlvi, cii, 110, 144, 157-9, 225-6, 228, 335, 447, 518, 645, 681
<i>Volvo Trucks North America, Inc. v. Reeder-Simco GMC, Inc.</i> , 546 U.S. 164 (2006)	699