

Criminal Appellate  
Procedure  
CASES AND MATERIALS

---

James A. Strazzella

# CRIMINAL APPELLATE PROCEDURE CASES AND MATERIALS

■ ■ ■

By

**James A. Strazzella**

*James G. Schmidt Chair in Law  
Temple University School of Law*

Also available on Law School Exchange at:  
<http://exchange.westlaw.com/>



AMERICAN CASEBOOK SERIES®

**WEST®**

A Thomson Reuters business

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

*American Casebook Series* is a trademark registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

© 2011 Thomson Reuters

610 Opperman Drive  
St. Paul, MN 55123  
1-800-313-9378

Printed in the United States of America

**ISBN:** 978-0-314-26757-3

# **WEST'S LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD**

---

**JESSE H. CHOPER**

Professor of Law and Dean Emeritus,  
University of California, Berkeley

**JOSHUA DRESSLER**

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law,  
The Ohio State University

**YALE KAMISAR**

Professor of Law, University of San Diego  
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Michigan

**MARY KAY KANE**

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus,  
University of California,  
Hastings College of the Law

**LARRY D. KRAMER**

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

**JONATHAN R. MACEY**

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

**ARTHUR R. MILLER**

University Professor, New York University  
Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

**GRANT S. NELSON**

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University  
Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

**A. BENJAMIN SPENCER**

Professor of Law,  
Washington & Lee University School of Law

**JAMES J. WHITE**

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

# PREFACE

---

Criminal law and procedure principles are formed, conveyed, and re-shaped by appellate courts decisions. The procedural rules associated with appeal are likely to shape the contours of what is decided, when it is decided, and under what other conditions and limitations. Time pressures more and more tempt casebooks to submerge the appeal process that brought the underlying issue to a decision point. Yet, the process of an appeal, with its associated procedural doctrines, is worth its own focused study—at least if a lawyer is to understand both the remedies appeal might provide and the way the process shapes the rules themselves.

The selective nature of this textbook is meant to afford a meaningful platform to teach an understanding of: the appellate processes; the source law supporting them; the rationales for appeals; and the important procedures surrounding them. It is also meant to afford a basis for enhancing case-reading skills and an appreciation of the way judicial opinions mold law. As a teaching textbook, the versions of the cases examined, as well as the supplementing notes, are meant to challenge students while avoiding an attempt to present all jurisdictional variations, to supply the latest cases for the sake of currency, or to otherwise act as a reference tool.

The materials should allow the student to take away a sense of the growing power and limitations of appeals (both defendants' and prosecutors'), their history, the outline of modern procedure, a grasp of the development of certain constitutional provisions, a better grasp of important procedural terminology, some comparative points, the response of non-case mechanisms enlisted to remedy perceived problems, and an appreciation of how present-day statutes and rules are shaped by the historical and other forces examined here. The book also tries to convey not only the appellate procedure that would concern a responsible appellate attorney; but it should also imply the reciprocating responsibilities to which a trial-level attorney should be attuned.

The text draws on both federal and state sources—constitutional, statutory, court rules, and case law. The important role of federal constitutional law (and its limitations) in the appellate process should certainly emerge from these pages, but much law remains varied among the 50 states and the District of Columbia, and the federal jurisdiction. The importance of this point is underscored by the fact that the vast percentage of criminal prosecutions (roughly over 95% or so) are brought in the state systems\*—and will therefore follow the direct appeal route in state systems. For most cases, that will be the end of the review process.

---

\* See JAMES STRAZZELLA (REPORTER), *THE FEDERALIZATION OF CRIMINAL LAW* 19 & supporting data (ABA 1998).

In all of this, I hope the importance of careful attention to lawyering on behalf of others comes through.

JAMES STRAZZELLA

Philadelphia 2011

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

My thanks go to Temple University's Beasley School of Law for research support, especially made possible by the James G. Schmidt Chair Fund, and to the many able Temple Law students who lent important research assistance. There are too many to list here but their help is nevertheless still very much appreciated. Brittany Wilson, Tracie Kogura, Scott E. Goldstein, Brigid Landy, Aditi Aggarwal, and Christopher Boyle lent recent important assistance. Jennifer R. Kelly, who ably saw the final manuscript into manageable format, and Kara A. Klaiber, Esq. provided invaluable help in seeing the work through the final stages. The continual helpful suggestions made by Betsy Moore, Esq. bear particular mention.

Finally, beyond my own teachers, I owe an immense debt of educational gratitude to the lawyers and judges from whom I had the privilege of learning first hand over the years—including my clerkship judge, Samuel J. Roberts, and John A. Terry, who advised my first work in intense appellate litigation. Sometimes those insights were dispensed in the form of questions from the bench, but they often occurred in innumerable seminars for the nation's appellate judges, in which I was a fortunate participant for so many years. Among all of these, I acknowledge a special debt to Frank Q. Nebeker—the consummate and principled appellate advocate, judge, and friend who taught me so much.

## COPYRIGHT ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

As with most casebooks, this one draws on the valuable observations and commentary of many cited writers. I am grateful to all of these. Appreciation is also extended to the copyright holders who gave permission to reprint excerpts from the following works:

American Bar Association, *Standards Relating to Criminal Justice*. Copyright 1980 © by the American Bar Association. Reprinted with permission. This information or any or portion thereof may not be copied or disseminated in any form or by any means or stored in an electronic database or retrieval system without the express written consent of the American Bar Association.

Louis Blom-Cooper and Gavin Drewry, *FINAL APPEAL* (Clarendon Press 1972). Reprinted with permission of Oxford University Press.

Paul D. Carrington, *Justice on Appeal in Criminal Cases: A Twentieth-Century Perspective*, 93 *Marquette Law Review* 459 (2009). Reprinted with permission of Marquette Law Review and the author.

James J. Doherty, *Wolf! Wolf!: the Ramifications of Frivolous Appeals*, 59 *Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science* 1 (1968). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., *A Fair Trial, Not a Perfect One: The Early Twentieth-Century Campaign for the Harmless Error Rule*, 93 *Marquette Law Review* 433 (2009). Reprinted with permission of Marquette Law Review and the author.

William A. Fletcher, *The “Case or Controversy” Requirement in State Court Adjudication of Federal Questions*, 78 *California Law Review* 263 (1990). © 1990 by California Law Review, Inc., <http://www.law.berkeley.edu/students/jrnlorgs/journals/>. Reprinted from *California Law Review* Vol. 78, No. 2, by permission of California Law Review, Inc. and the author.

Robert W. Gibb & E. Barrett Prettyman, *Sovereign Immunity in the Supreme Court: Using the Certiorari Process to Avoid Decisionmaking*, 16 *Virginia Journal of International Law* 903 (1976). Reprinted with permission.

Andrew Hessick, *The Impact of Government Appellate Strategies on the Development of Criminal Law*, 93 *Marquette Law Review* 477 (2009). Reprinted with permission of Marquette Law Review and the author.

John Hood, Jr., *The Right of Appeal*, 29 *Louisiana Law Review* 498 (1969). Reprinted with permission.

Wayne LaFave, *Search and Seizure: "The Course of True Law . . . Has Not . . . Run Smooth"*, 1966 University of Illinois Law Forum 255. Reprinted with permission.

Daniel J. Meador, *CRIMINAL APPEALS: ENGLISH PRACTICE AND AMERICAN REFORMS* (University of Virginia Press 1973). Reprinted with permission.

Chad M. Oldfather & Michael M. O'Hear, *Criminal Appeals: Past, Present, and Future*, 93 Marquette Law Review 339 (2009). Reprinted with permission of Marquette Law Review and the authors.

Lester Orfield, *The Right of Appeal in Criminal Cases*, 34 Michigan Law Review 937 (1936). Reprinted with permission.

## TABLE OF CASES

**The principal cases are in bold type. Cases cited or discussed in the text are in roman type. References are to pages. Cases cited in principal cases and within other quoted materials are not included.**

- 
- Abney v. United States**, 431 U.S. 651, 97 S.Ct. 2034, 52 L.Ed.2d 651 (1977), 8, **193**
- Agoston v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 340 U.S. 844, 71 S.Ct. 9, 95 L.Ed. 619 (1950), 38
- Alabama v. Smith, 490 U.S. 794, 109 S.Ct. 2201, 104 L.Ed.2d 865 (1989), 98
- Aldoupolis, Commonwealth v., 390 Mass. 438, 457 N.E.2d 268 (Mass.1983), 177
- Alleyne, R. v., 169 E.R. 823 (Q.B. 1854), 173
- Anders v. State of California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), 286
- Anderson, State v., 246 N.W.2d 277 (Iowa 1976), 50
- Andresen v. Maryland, 427 U.S. 463, 96 S.Ct. 2737, 49 L.Ed.2d 627 (1976), 235
- Andrews v. Swartz, 156 U.S. 272, 15 S.Ct. 389, 39 L.Ed. 422 (1895), 8
- Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466, 120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435 (2000), 65
- Arizona v. Fulminante, 499 U.S. 279, 111 S.Ct. 1246, 113 L.Ed.2d 302 (1991), 330
- Arizona v. Manypenny, 451 U.S. 232, 101 S.Ct. 1657, 68 L.Ed.2d 58 (1981), 158
- Ashe, Commonwealth ex rel. Turk v., 167 Pa.Super. 323, 74 A.2d 656 (Pa.Super.1950), 254
- Avant v. Clifford, 67 N.J. 496, 341 A.2d 629 (N.J.1975), 177
- Bagg's Case, 77 E.R. 1271 (K.B. 1615), 206
- Bajakajian, United States v., 524 U.S. 321, 118 S.Ct. 2028, 141 L.Ed.2d 314 (1998), 362
- Ball, United States v., 90 F.3d 260 (8th Cir. 1996), 361
- Ball, United States v.**, 163 U.S. 662, 16 S.Ct. 1192, 41 L.Ed. 300 (1896), **70, 100**
- Barnard v. Taggart, 66 N.H. 362, 29 A. 1027 (N.H.1890), 177
- Barnes v. United States, 412 U.S. 837, 93 S.Ct. 2357, 37 L.Ed.2d 380 (1973), 235
- Bayless v. United States, 150 F.2d 236 (8th Cir.1945), 58
- Bell v. Irwin, 321 F.3d 637 (7th Cir.2003), 362
- Benton v. Maryland**, 395 U.S. 784, 89 S.Ct. 2056, 23 L.Ed.2d 707 (1969), **115, 224**
- Berberian, State v., 122 R.I. 693, 411 A.2d 308 (R.I.1980), 204
- Berman v. United States, 378 U.S. 530, 84 S.Ct. 1895, 12 L.Ed.2d 1012 (1964), 214
- Beron v. Kramer-Trenton Co., 402 F.Supp. 1268 (E.D.Pa.1975), 40
- Biggers v. State of Tennessee, 390 U.S. 404, 88 S.Ct. 979, 19 L.Ed.2d 1267 (1968), 25
- Blackledge v. Perry, 417 U.S. 21, 94 S.Ct. 2098, 40 L.Ed.2d 628 (1974), 56, 98
- Blockburger v. United States, 284 U.S. 299, 52 S.Ct. 180, 76 L.Ed. 306 (1932), 105
- Bly v. Rhoads, 216 Va. 645, 222 S.E.2d 783 (Va.1976), 55
- Bonadio, Commonwealth v., 490 Pa. 91, 415 A.2d 47 (Pa.1980), 39
- Booker, United States v., 543 U.S. 220, 125 S.Ct. 738, 160 L.Ed.2d 621 (2005), 65
- Bordenkircher v. Hayes, 434 U.S. 357, 98 S.Ct. 663, 54 L.Ed.2d 604 (1978), 98
- Bourne, R. v., 112 E.R. 393 (K.B. 1887), 173
- Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S. 238, 89 S.Ct. 1709, 23 L.Ed.2d 274 (1969), 55
- Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742, 90 S.Ct. 1463, 25 L.Ed.2d 747 (1970), 55, 61
- Brady, Commonwealth v., 510 Pa. 336, 508 A.2d 286 (Pa.1986), 202, 204
- Breaux, State v.**, 441 So.2d 811 (La.App. 3 Cir.1983), **209**
- Brentley, United States v., 961 F.2d 425 (3rd Cir.1992), 255
- Brewer, State v., 170 Ariz. 486, 826 P.2d 783 (Ariz.1992), 46
- Brezan, Commonwealth v., 418 Pa.Super. 243, 614 A.2d 252 (Pa.Super.1992), 314
- Broce, United States v., 488 U.S. 563, 109 S.Ct. 757, 102 L.Ed.2d 927 (1989), 56
- Brown v. Ohio, 432 U.S. 161, 97 S.Ct. 2221, 53 L.Ed.2d 187 (1977), 106
- Buckner v. State, 11 Md.App. 55, 272 A.2d 828 (Md.App.1971), 208
- Bullington v. Missouri, 451 U.S. 430, 101 S.Ct. 1852, 68 L.Ed.2d 270 (1981), 151
- Bundy v. Wilson, 815 F.2d 125 (1st Cir.1987), 181
- Burks v. United States**, 437 U.S. 1, 98 S.Ct. 2141, 57 L.Ed.2d 1 (1978), **74**
- Burns v. State of Ohio, 360 U.S. 252, 79 S.Ct. 1164, 3 L.Ed.2d 1209 (1959), 266

- Cabeza, Commonwealth v., 489 Pa. 142, 413 A.2d 1054 (Pa.1980), 39
- Campbell, State v., 691 A.2d 564 (R.I.1997), 361
- Canterbury v. Spence, 464 F.2d 772, 150 U.S.App.D.C. 263 (D.C.Cir.1972), 55
- Capital Traction Co. v. Hof, 174 U.S. 1, 19 S.Ct. 580, 43 L.Ed. 873 (1899), 30
- Caraway, United States v., 474 F.2d 25 (5th Cir.1973), 60
- Carino v. Watson, 171 Conn. 366, 370 A.2d 950 (Conn.1976), 177
- Carrazana, United States v., 70 F.3d 1339, 315 U.S.App.D.C. 127 (D.C.Cir.1995), 262
- Carroll v. United States, 354 U.S. 394, 77 S.Ct. 1332, 1 L.Ed.2d 1442 (1957), 120
- Carter v. People of State of Illinois, 329 U.S. 173, 67 S.Ct. 216, 91 L.Ed. 172 (1946), 8
- Cash, United States v., 486 F.2d 295 (5th Cir. 1973), 56
- Casstevens v. Texas & P. Ry. Co., 119 Tex. 456, 32 S.W.2d 637 (Tex.1930), 154
- Causey, United States v., 609 F.2d 777 (5th Cir.1980), 234
- C.G. v. State, 689 So.2d 1246 (Fla.App. 4 Dist. 1997), 361
- Chaffin v. Stynchcombe, 412 U.S. 17, 93 S.Ct. 1977, 36 L.Ed.2d 714 (1973), 98
- Chapman v. California, 386 U.S. 18, 87 S.Ct. 824, 17 L.Ed.2d 705 (1967), 325
- Chatfield-Taylor, Commonwealth v., 399 Mass. 1, 502 N.E.2d 512 (Mass.1987), 204
- Chauncey, State v., 97 Idaho 756, 554 P.2d 934 (Idaho 1976), 209
- Chugg, State v., 749 P.2d 1279 (Utah App. 1988), 113
- City of (see name of city)**
- Clair, Commonwealth v., 458 Pa. 418, 326 A.2d 272 (Pa.1974), 324
- Clark, United States v., 459 F.2d 977 (8th Cir.1972), 57
- Clay v. Sun Ins. Office Limited, 363 U.S. 207, 80 S.Ct. 1222, 4 L.Ed.2d 1170 (1960), 45
- Cobb v. Robertson, 99 Tex. 138, 87 S.W. 1148 (Tex.1905), 154
- Cobbledick v. United States, 309 U.S. 323, 60 S.Ct. 540, 84 L.Ed. 783 (1940), 192
- Cohen v. State, 235 Md. 62, 200 A.2d 368 (Md.1964), 56
- Coleman v. Bass, 484 U.S. 918, 108 S.Ct. 269, 98 L.Ed.2d 227 (1987), 2
- Coleman v. Burnett**, 477 F.2d 1187, 155 U.S.App.D.C. 302 (D.C.Cir.1973), 51
- Coleman v. Commonwealth, 226 Va. 31, 307 S.E.2d 864 (Va.1983), 1
- Coleman v. Thompson**, 501 U.S. 722, 111 S.Ct. 2546, 115 L.Ed.2d 640 (1991), 2
- Coles, People v., 62 N.Y.2d 908, 479 N.Y.S.2d 1, 467 N.E.2d 885 (N.Y.1984), 331
- Coles, People v., 417 Mich. 523, 339 N.W.2d 440 (Mich.1983), 64
- Colonel v. Reed, 379 So.2d 1297 (Fla.App. 4 Dist.1980), 153
- Colten v. Kentucky, 407 U.S. 104, 92 S.Ct. 1953, 32 L.Ed.2d 584 (1972), 30, 98
- Commonwealth v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**
- Commonwealth ex rel. v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party and relator)**
- Cooper Industries, Inc. v. Leatherman Tool Group, Inc., 532 U.S. 424, 121 S.Ct. 1678, 149 L.Ed.2d 674 (2001), 362
- Corey v. United States, 375 U.S. 169, 84 S.Ct. 298, 11 L.Ed.2d 229 (1963), 208
- Cox, United States v., 464 F.2d 937 (6th Cir. 1972), 58
- Crawford v. United States**, 375 F.2d 332, 126 U.S.App.D.C. 156 (D.C.Cir.1967), 334
- Crawle v. Crawle, 23 E.R. 393 (Ch. 1683), 171
- Crease v. Barrett, 149 E.R. 1353 (Ex.Ct. 1835), 327
- Crocker v. Justices of Superior Court, 208 Mass. 162, 94 N.E. 369 (Mass.1911), 177
- Crosby, State v., 338 So.2d 584 (La.1976), 53, 331
- Daniels v. State, 338 Ark. 328, 5 S.W.3d 1 (Ark.1999), 211
- Darling, People v., 81 Misc.2d 487, 366 N.Y.S.2d 982 (N.Y.Sup.1975), 177
- Davenport, Commonwealth v., 462 Pa. 543, 342 A.2d 67 (Pa.1975), 40
- Davis, State v., 580 A.2d 163 (Me.1990), 204
- Davis, United States v., 873 F.2d 900 (6th Cir.1989), 202
- Deemer, Commonwealth v., 550 Pa. 290, 705 A.2d 827 (Pa.1997), 220
- Delgrosso v. Spang and Co., 903 F.2d 234 (3rd Cir.1990), 153
- D.F., United States v., 115 F.3d 413 (7th Cir. 1997), 361
- DiBella v. United States**, 369 U.S. 121, 82 S.Ct. 654, 7 L.Ed.2d 614 (1962), 188
- DiFrancesco, United States v., 449 U.S. 117, 101 S.Ct. 426, 66 L.Ed.2d 328 (1980), 85, 151
- Dilliplaine v. Lehigh Valley Trust Co., 457 Pa. 255, 322 A.2d 114 (Pa.1974), 324
- District of Columbia v. Clawans, 300 U.S. 617, 57 S.Ct. 660, 81 L.Ed. 843 (1937), 8
- Dove v. United States**, 423 U.S. 325, 96 S.Ct. 579, 46 L.Ed.2d 531 (1976), 242
- Dowd, People v.**, 27 Ill.App.2d 429, 170 N.E.2d 179 (Ill.App. 3 Dist.1960), 49
- Downum v. United States, 372 U.S. 734, 83 S.Ct. 1033, 10 L.Ed.2d 100 (1963), 72
- Doyle, United States v., 348 F.2d 715 (2nd Cir.1965), 61
- Draper v. State of Washington, 372 U.S. 487, 83 S.Ct. 774, 9 L.Ed.2d 899 (1963), 266
- Dunbar, United States v., 611 F.2d 985 (5th Cir.1980), 202
- Durham v. United States**, 401 U.S. 481, 91 S.Ct. 858, 28 L.Ed.2d 200 (1971), 240
- Eagan, United States v.**, 516 F.2d 1392 (8th Cir.1975), 321
- Eaton, R. v., 100 E.R. 49 (K.B. 1787), 175
- Erie R. Co. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64, 58 S.Ct. 817, 82 L.Ed. 1188 (1938), 44
- Esdaile, R. v., 175 E.R. 696 (1858), 173

- Estelle v. Dorough, 420 U.S. 534, 95 S.Ct. 1173, 43 L.Ed.2d 377 (1975), 216
- Evans v. State, 361 So.2d 666 (Ala.1978), 46
- Evans, United States v.**, 213 U.S. 297, 29 S.Ct. 507, 53 L.Ed. 803 (1909), **109**
- Evitts v. Lucey, 469 U.S. 387, 105 S.Ct. 830, 83 L.Ed.2d 821 (1985), 8
- Ex parte (see name of party)**
- Fahy, Commonwealth v., 558 Pa. 313, 737 A.2d 214 (Pa.1999), 211
- Fallen v. United States, 378 U.S. 139, 84 S.Ct. 1689, 12 L.Ed.2d 760 (1964), 213
- Family Court v. Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, 320 A.2d 777 (Del.Ch. 1974), 177
- Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct. 2525, 45 L.Ed.2d 562 (1975), 309
- Federal Communications Commission v. WJR**, 337 U.S. 265, 69 S.Ct. 1097, 93 L.Ed. 1353 (1949), **310**
- Ferguson v. Moore-McCormack Lines, Inc., 352 U.S. 521, 77 S.Ct. 457, 1 L.Ed.2d 511 (1957), 38
- Fiswick v. United States, 329 U.S. 211, 67 S.Ct. 224, 91 L.Ed. 196 (1946), 222
- Flanagan v. United States, 465 U.S. 259, 104 S.Ct. 1051, 79 L.Ed.2d 288 (1984), 202
- Florida v. Thomas, 532 U.S. 774, 121 S.Ct. 1905, 150 L.Ed.2d 1 (2001), 193
- Fong Foo v. United States**, 369 U.S. 141, 82 S.Ct. 671, 7 L.Ed.2d 629 (1962), **106**
- Fowler v. United States, 391 F.2d 276 (5th Cir.1968), 56
- Franciscus, In re, 471 Pa. 53, 369 A.2d 1190 (Pa.1977), 177
- Furstein v. Hill, 218 Conn. 610, 590 A.2d 939 (Conn.1991), 113
- Fusari v. Steinberg**, 419 U.S. 379, 95 S.Ct. 533, 42 L.Ed.2d 521 (1975), **299**
- Geftman v. Stanziani, 492 Pa. 439, 424 A.2d 1271 (Pa.1981), 177
- Getz, Commonwealth v., 410 Pa.Super. 28, 598 A.2d 1309 (Pa.Super.1991), 51
- Gilmore v. Utah, 429 U.S. 1012, 97 S.Ct. 436, 50 L.Ed.2d 632 (1976), 47
- Glasser v. United States, 315 U.S. 60, 62 S.Ct. 457, 86 L.Ed. 680 (1942), 337
- Goeke v. Branch, 514 U.S. 115, 115 S.Ct. 1275, 131 L.Ed.2d 152 (1995), 219
- Goode v. State, 365 So.2d 381 (Fla.1978), 46
- Goodwin, United States v., 457 U.S. 368, 102 S.Ct. 2485, 73 L.Ed.2d 74 (1982), 98
- Green v. United States, 365 U.S. 301, 81 S.Ct. 653, 5 L.Ed.2d 670 (1961), 207
- Green v. United States, 355 U.S. 184, 78 S.Ct. 221, 2 L.Ed.2d 199 (1957), 18, 95, 105
- Greenberg, In re**, 15 N.J. 132, 104 A.2d 46 (N.J.1954), **306**
- Griffin v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12, 76 S.Ct. 585, 100 L.Ed. 891 (1956), 4, 8
- Griffin v. State, 545 So.2d 729 (Miss.1989), 204
- Griffin v. State, 513 S.W.2d 706 (Mo.App. 1974), 261
- Halbert v. Michigan**, 545 U.S. 605, 125 S.Ct. 2582, 162 L.Ed.2d 552 (2005), **272**
- Hamilton, Commonwealth v., 3 Mass.App.Ct. 554, 336 N.E.2d 872 (Mass.App.Ct.1975), 54
- Hammett v. Texas, 448 U.S. 725, 100 S.Ct. 2905, 65 L.Ed.2d 1086 (1980), 47
- Hardrich, United States v., 707 F.2d 992 (8th Cir.1983), 64
- Harrell, State v.**, 226 N.C. 743, 40 S.E.2d 205 (N.C.1946), **210**
- Harrington v. California, 395 U.S. 250, 89 S.Ct. 1726, 23 L.Ed.2d 284 (1969), 328
- Harris v. New York, 401 U.S. 222, 91 S.Ct. 643, 28 L.Ed.2d 1 (1971), 58
- Hartranft v. MULLOWNY, 247 U.S. 295, 38 S.Ct. 518, 62 L.Ed. 1123 (1918), 175
- Haynes v. United States, 390 U.S. 85, 88 S.Ct. 722, 19 L.Ed.2d 923 (1968), 56
- Heike v. United States, 217 U.S. 423, 30 S.Ct. 539, 54 L.Ed. 821 (1910), 202
- Helstoski v. Meador, 442 U.S. 500, 99 S.Ct. 2445, 61 L.Ed.2d 30 (1979), 202, 204
- Hollywood Motor Car Co., Inc., United States v., 458 U.S. 263, 102 S.Ct. 3081, 73 L.Ed.2d 754 (1982), 202
- Honesty v. Cox, 337 F.Supp. 5 (W.D.Va.1972), 56
- Hooper, United States v., 432 F.2d 604, 139 U.S.App.D.C. 171 (D.C.Cir.1970), 233
- Howe, United States v., 280 F. 815 (2nd Cir. 1922), 153
- Hughes, United States v., 397 U.S. 93, 90 S.Ct. 817, 25 L.Ed.2d 77 (1970), 205
- Hughes, United States v., 413 F.2d 1244 (5th Cir.1969), 205
- Humphries v. United States**, 68 A.2d 803 (D.C.Mun.App.1949), **318**
- Iannelli v. United States, 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d 616 (1975), 106
- Inhabitants of Oxford, R. v., 104 E.R. 429 (K.B. 1811), 175
- Inhabitants of Pennegoes, R. v., 107 E.R. 53 (K.B. 1822), 175
- Inhabitants of Seton, R. v., 101 E.R. 1027 (K.B. 1797), 175
- In Interest of J.J., 540 Pa. 274, 656 A.2d 1355 (Pa.1995), 219
- In re (see name of party)**
- Isabella, United States v., 251 F.2d 223 (2nd Cir.1958), 213
- Jackson v. United States**, 353 F.2d 862, 122 U.S.App.D.C. 324 (D.C.Cir.1965), **342**
- Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307, 99 S.Ct. 2781, 61 L.Ed.2d 560 (1979), 85, 337
- Jefferson, Commonwealth v., 430 Pa. 532, 243 A.2d 412 (Pa.1968), 39
- Jelly, Regina v., 10 Cox C.C. 553 (1867), 173
- Jenich, State v., 94 Wis.2d 74, 288 N.W.2d 114 (Wis.1980), 204
- Jenkins, United States v.**, 420 U.S. 358, 95 S.Ct. 1006, 43 L.Ed.2d 250 (1975), **122**
- Johnson v. Fankell, 520 U.S. 911, 117 S.Ct. 1800, 138 L.Ed.2d 108 (1997), 8, 203

- Jones v. Barnes, 463 U.S. 745, 103 S.Ct. 3308, 77 L.Ed.2d 987 (1983), 9, 292
- Jones, Commonwealth v., 530 Pa. 536, 610 A.2d 439 (Pa.1992), 219
- Jones, United States v., 533 F.2d 1387 (6th Cir.1976), 233
- Jordon v. Bondy**, 114 F.2d 599 (D.C.Cir. 1940), **299**
- Judy v. State, 275 Ind. 145, 416 N.E.2d 95 (Ind.1981), 47
- Karger, United States v., 439 F.2d 1108 (1st Cir.1971), 56
- Kent, Village of, State v., 96 Minn. 255, 104 N.W. 948 (Minn.1905), 177
- Kercheval v. United States, 274 U.S. 220, 47 S.Ct. 582, 71 L.Ed. 1009 (1927), 58
- Kerr v. United States Dist. Court for Northern Dist. of California, 426 U.S. 394, 96 S.Ct. 2119, 48 L.Ed.2d 725 (1976), 204
- Kindler, Commonwealth v., 536 Pa. 228, 639 A.2d 1 (Pa.1994), 220
- King, State v., 131 N.H. 173, 551 A.2d 973 (N.H.1988), 204
- Laird v. Tatum, 409 U.S. 824, 93 S.Ct. 7, 34 L.Ed.2d 50 (1972), 157
- Lane v. Brown, 372 U.S. 477, 83 S.Ct. 768, 9 L.Ed.2d 892 (1963), 266
- Larsen, Matter of, 532 Pa. 326, 616 A.2d 529 (Pa.1992), 157
- Lefkowitz v. Newsome, 420 U.S. 283, 95 S.Ct. 886, 43 L.Ed.2d 196 (1975), 61
- Lemire, State v., 161 Vt. 624, 640 A.2d 541 (Vt.1994), 261
- Lewis v. State, 410 So.2d 474 (Ala.Crim.App. 1982), 208
- Lindsey v. Normet, 405 U.S. 56, 92 S.Ct. 862, 31 L.Ed.2d 36 (1972), 8
- Little, Commonwealth v., 432 Pa. 256, 248 A.2d 32 (Pa.1968), 39
- Lockhart v. Nelson, 488 U.S. 33, 109 S.Ct. 285, 102 L.Ed.2d 265 (1988), 84
- Logal, United States v., 106 F.3d 1547 (11th Cir.1997), 245
- Lott v. United States, 367 U.S. 421, 81 S.Ct. 1563, 6 L.Ed.2d 940 (1961), 55
- MacDonald, United States v., 435 U.S. 850, 98 S.Ct. 1547, 56 L.Ed.2d 18 (1978), 200
- Magaziner, Commonwealth ex rel. v. Magaziner, 434 Pa. 1, 253 A.2d 263 (Pa.1969), 177, 177
- Magnesium Casting Co. v. Hoban**, 401 F.2d 516 (1st Cir.1968), **310**
- Maine v. Carter**, 299 A.2d 891 (Me.1973), **236**
- Marionneaux, United States v., 514 F.2d 1244 (5th Cir.1975), 56
- Mariscal v. United States, 449 U.S. 405, 101 S.Ct. 909, 66 L.Ed.2d 616 (1981), 234, 302
- Maritrans GP Inc. v. Pepper, Hamilton & Scheetz, 529 Pa. 241, 602 A.2d 1277 (Pa. 1992), 39
- Martinez v. Court of Appeal of California, Fourth Appellate Dist., 528 U.S. 152, 120 S.Ct. 684, 145 L.Ed.2d 597 (2000), 309
- Martin Linen Supply Co., United States v.**, 430 U.S. 564, 97 S.Ct. 1349, 51 L.Ed.2d 642 (1977), 83, **128**
- Matter of (see name of party)**
- Mayer v. City of Chicago**, 404 U.S. 189, 92 S.Ct. 410, 30 L.Ed.2d 372 (1971), **256**
- McCleery, State v., 251 Neb. 940, 560 N.W.2d 789 (Neb.1997), 361
- McConnell v. Rhay, 393 U.S. 2, 89 S.Ct. 32, 21 L.Ed.2d 2 (1968), 207
- McCoy v. Court of Appeals of Wisconsin, Dist. 1, 486 U.S. 429, 108 S.Ct. 1895, 100 L.Ed.2d 440 (1988), 244, 289
- McGautha v. California, 402 U.S. 183, 91 S.Ct. 1454, 28 L.Ed.2d 711 (1971), 207
- McKane v. Durston**, 153 U.S. 684, 14 S.Ct. 913, 38 L.Ed. 867 (1894), **5**
- McMann v. Richardson, 397 U.S. 759, 90 S.Ct. 1441, 25 L.Ed.2d 763 (1970), 56, 61
- Means, United States v., 513 F.2d 1329 (8th Cir.1975), 69
- Mecom v. United States, 434 U.S. 1340, 98 S.Ct. 19, 54 L.Ed.2d 49 (1977), 39
- Mendoza, United States v., 491 F.2d 534 (5th Cir.1974), 61
- Menna v. New York, 423 U.S. 61, 96 S.Ct. 241, 46 L.Ed.2d 195 (1975), 56
- Michigan v. Payne, 412 U.S. 47, 93 S.Ct. 1966, 36 L.Ed.2d 736 (1973), 98
- Midland Asphalt Corp. v. United States, 489 U.S. 794, 109 S.Ct. 1494, 103 L.Ed.2d 879 (1989), 202
- Milbourn, People v., 435 Mich. 630, 461 N.W.2d 1 (Mich.1990), 64
- Milenkovich, State v., 236 Neb. 42, 458 N.W.2d 747 (Neb.1990), 204
- Miller v. Aviom**, 384 F.2d 319, 127 U.S.App. D.C. 367 (D.C.Cir.1967), **322**
- Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 86 S.Ct. 1602, 16 L.Ed.2d 694 (1966), 294
- Missouri v. Burke, 684 S.W.2d 871 (Mo.App. E.D.1984), 261
- Mitchell v. State, 3 Mo. 283 (Mo.1833), 174, 181
- Mizell, United States v., 488 F.2d 97 (5th Cir. 1973), 55
- M.L.B. v. S.L.J., 519 U.S. 102, 117 S.Ct. 555, 136 L.Ed.2d 473 (1996), 8
- Mmahat, United States v., 106 F.3d 89 (5th Cir.1997), 245
- Moehlenkamp, United States v.**, 557 F.2d 126 (7th Cir.1977), **242**
- Mollica, United States v., 849 F.2d 723 (2nd Cir.1988), 245
- Monks v. New Jersey State Parole Bd., 58 N.J. 238, 277 A.2d 193 (N.J.1971), 177
- Morgan v. State, 515 S.W.2d 278 (Tex.Crim. App.1974), 209
- Morgan, United States v., 346 U.S. 502, 74 S.Ct. 247, 98 L.Ed. 248 (1954), 222
- Murphy v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, 177 U.S. 155, 20 S.Ct. 639, 44 L.Ed. 711 (1900), 8
- Murray v. Giarratano, 492 U.S. 1, 109 S.Ct. 2765, 106 L.Ed.2d 1 (1989), 272

- Myers, Commonwealth ex rel. Neal v., 424 Pa. 576, 227 A.2d 845 (Pa.1967), 9
- Nally v. Regina, 15 Cox C.C. 638 (Q.B. 1884), 172
- National Union of Marine Cooks and Stewards v. Arnold, 348 U.S. 37, 75 S.Ct. 92, 99 L.Ed. 46 (1954), 8
- Neal, Commonwealth ex rel. v. Myers, 424 Pa. 576, 227 A.2d 845 (Pa.1967), 9
- Neil v. Biggers, 409 U.S. 188, 93 S.Ct. 375, 34 L.Ed.2d 401 (1972), 25
- Newton, Ex parte, 119 E.R. 323 (K.B. 1855), 171
- North v. Superior Court, 104 Cal.Rptr. 833, 502 P.2d 1305 (Cal.1972), 25
- North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711, 89 S.Ct. 2072, 23 L.Ed.2d 656 (1969), 9
- North Carolina v. Pearce**, 395 U.S. 711, 89 S.Ct. 2089, 23 L.Ed.2d 656 (1969), 86
- Ohio ex rel. Bryant, State of v. Akron Metropolitan Park Dist. for Summit County, 281 U.S. 74, 50 S.Ct. 228, 74 L.Ed. 710 (1930), 8
- Ornelas v. United States**, 517 U.S. 690, 116 S.Ct. 1657, 134 L.Ed.2d 911 (1996), 352
- O'Rourke v. O'Rourke, 300 Minn. 158, 220 N.W.2d 811 (Minn.1974), 20
- Ortega Rodriguez v. United States, 507 U.S. 234, 113 S.Ct. 1199, 122 L.Ed.2d 581 (1993), 219
- Palko v. State of Connecticut, 302 U.S. 319, 58 S.Ct. 149, 82 L.Ed. 288 (1937), 113
- Palmer, People v., 109 N.Y. 413, 17 N.E. 213 (N.Y.1888), 181
- Parlton v. United States**, 75 F.2d 772 (D.C.Cir.1935), 300
- Pascagoula, City of v. Delmas, 157 Miss. 619, 128 So. 743 (Miss.1930), 113
- Patterson v. New York, 432 U.S. 197, 97 S.Ct. 2319, 53 L.Ed.2d 281 (1977), 75
- Patterson v. State, 248 Ga. 875, 287 S.E.2d 7 (Ga.1982), 204
- Peel v. State, 751 P.2d 1366 (Alaska App.1988), 204
- Peltz, United States v., 433 F.2d 48 (2nd Cir. 1970), 234
- Pennell v. State, 604 A.2d 1368 (Del. Supr.1992), 47
- Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 107 S.Ct. 1990, 95 L.Ed.2d 539 (1987), 289
- Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 109 S.Ct. 346, 102 L.Ed.2d 300 (1988), 289
- People v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**
- Perez v. Bay Area Hosp., 315 Or. 474, 846 P.2d 405 (Or.1993), 25
- Perkins, United States v., 286 F.Supp. 259 (D.D.C. 1968), 61
- Peterson v. State, 586 P.2d 144 (Wyo.1978), 204
- Pierce v. Pierce, 244 Kan. 246, 767 P.2d 292 (Kan.1989), 25
- Plumer, United States v., 27 F.Cas. 561 (C.C.D.Mass.1859), 179
- Pollard v. United States, 352 U.S. 354, 77 S.Ct. 481, 1 L.Ed.2d 393 (1957), 222
- Porter v. Leeke, 457 F.Supp. 253 (D.S.C.1978), 314
- Potter, R. v., 92 E.R. 126 (K.B. 1703), 175
- Price v. Johnston, 334 U.S. 266, 68 S.Ct. 1049, 92 L.Ed. 1356 (1948), 310
- Price v. Vincent, 538 U.S. 634, 123 S.Ct. 1848, 155 L.Ed.2d 877 (2003), 139
- Pullman-Standard v. Swint, 456 U.S. 273, 102 S.Ct. 1781, 72 L.Ed.2d 66 (1982), 351
- Quinn, People v., 61 Cal.2d 551, 39 Cal.Rptr. 393, 393 P.2d 705 (Cal.1964), 58
- R. v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**
- Ramirez, United States v., 473 F.3d 1026 (9th Cir.2007), 361
- Ramos, People v., 30 A.D.2d 848, 292 N.Y.S.2d 938 (N.Y.A.D. 2 Dept.1968), 9
- Ratner, State v., 948 So.2d 700 (Fla.2007), 160
- Ray v. United States, 481 U.S. 736, 107 S.Ct. 2093, 95 L.Ed.2d 693 (1987), 234, 235
- Ready, United States v., 460 F.2d 1238 (4th Cir.1972), 56
- Regina v. \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)**
- Richardson v. United States, 468 U.S. 317, 104 S.Ct. 3081, 82 L.Ed.2d 242 (1984), 84, 202
- Riggins, Commonwealth v., 474 Pa. 115, 377 A.2d 140 (Pa.1977), 64
- Rivera v. Delaware, 429 U.S. 877, 97 S.Ct. 226, 50 L.Ed.2d 160 (1976), 75
- Robinson, United States v., 459 F.2d 1164, 148 U.S.App.D.C. 140 (D.C.Cir.1972), 261
- Robinson, United States v., 361 U.S. 220, 80 S.Ct. 282, 4 L.Ed.2d 259 (1960), 212, 213
- Rocha v. United States**, 387 F.2d 1019 (9th Cir.1967), 319
- Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass'n, 319 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185 (1943), 202, 204, 205
- Rock v. Zimmerman, 959 F.2d 1237 (3rd Cir. 1992), 99
- Rogers, United States ex rel. v. Warden of Attica State Prison, 381 F.2d 209 (2nd Cir. 1967), 57
- Rosa, United States v.**, 434 F.2d 964 (5th Cir.1970), 252, 262
- Rosenberg, United States v., 195 F.2d 583 (2nd Cir.1952), 64
- Rosenstengel, United States v., 323 F.Supp. 499 (E.D.Mo.1971), 209
- Ross v. Moffitt**, 417 U.S. 600, 94 S.Ct. 2437, 41 L.Ed.2d 341 (1974), 8, 264
- Rubright, Commonwealth v., 489 Pa. 356, 414 A.2d 106 (Pa.1980), 39
- Ruffin, United States v., 575 F.2d 346 (2nd Cir.1978), 234
- Rummel v. Estelle, 445 U.S. 263, 100 S.Ct. 1133, 63 L.Ed.2d 382 (1980), 64
- Ryan, United States v., 402 U.S. 530, 91 S.Ct. 1580, 29 L.Ed.2d 85 (1971), 192
- Sales, United States v., 725 F.2d 458 (8th Cir.1984), 64
- Sanges, United States v., 144 U.S. 310, 12 S.Ct. 609, 36 L.Ed. 445 (1892), 120
- Schacht v. United States, 398 U.S. 58, 90 S.Ct. 1555, 26 L.Ed.2d 44 (1970), 212

- Schley v. Pullman's Palace Car Co.**, 120 U.S. 575, 7 S.Ct. 730, 30 L.Ed. 789 (1887), 318
- Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 412 U.S. 218, 93 S.Ct. 2041, 36 L.Ed.2d 854 (1973), 18
- Scogin v. United States, 446 F.2d 416 (8th Cir.1971), 56
- Scott v. Illinois, 440 U.S. 367, 99 S.Ct. 1158, 59 L.Ed.2d 383 (1979), 207
- Scott, United States v.**, 437 U.S. 82, 98 S.Ct. 2187, 57 L.Ed.2d 65 (1978), 140
- Seiler v. Robinson, 13 N.J. 307, 99 A.2d 422 (N.J.1953), 25
- Shelton, United States v.**, 508 F.2d 797 (5th Cir.1975), 216
- Sibron v. New York**, 392 U.S. 40, 88 S.Ct. 1889, 20 L.Ed.2d 917 (1968), 220, 302
- Silver, In re**, 508 F.2d 647 (9th Cir.1974), 305
- Silverman, Commonwealth v., 442 Pa. 211, 275 A.2d 308 (Pa.1971), 40
- Smalis v. Pennsylvania, 476 U.S. 140, 106 S.Ct. 1745, 90 L.Ed.2d 116 (1986), 166
- Smith v. Bennett, 365 U.S. 708, 81 S.Ct. 895, 6 L.Ed.2d 39 (1961), 266
- Smith v. Massachusetts, 543 U.S. 462, 125 S.Ct. 1129, 160 L.Ed.2d 914 (2005), 137, 138
- Smith v. Pearre, 96 Md.App. 376, 625 A.2d 349 (Md.App.1993), 177
- Smith v. Robbins, 528 U.S. 259, 120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d 756 (2000), 288
- Smith, United States v., 592 F.Supp. 424 (E.D.Va.1984), 349
- Smith, United States v., 331 U.S. 469, 67 S.Ct. 1330, 91 L.Ed. 1610 (1947), 153
- Solem v. Helm, 463 U.S. 277, 103 S.Ct. 3001, 77 L.Ed.2d 637 (1983), 64
- Southern Records and Tape Service v. Goldman, 458 So.2d 325 (Fla.App. 3 Dist.1984), 177
- Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 118 S.Ct. 978, 140 L.Ed.2d 43 (1998), 224
- Spinelli v. United States**, 382 F.2d 871 (8th Cir.1967), 151
- Stanworth, People v., 71 Cal.2d 820, 80 Cal. Rptr. 49, 457 P.2d 889 (Cal.1969), 46
- State v.** \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)
- State of** (see name of state)
- Staton, Commonwealth v., 2010 WL 4454167 (Pa.2010), 309
- Stephens v. State, 806 S.W.2d 812 (Tex.Crim. App.1990), 105
- St. George v. Larson, 125 Vt. 352, 215 A.2d 511 (Vt.1965), 177
- Stovall v. Denno, 388 U.S. 293, 87 S.Ct. 1967, 18 L.Ed.2d 1199 (1967), 39
- Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984), 330
- Stuart v. Laird, 5 U.S. 299, 2 L.Ed. 115 (1803), 39
- Suchanek, State v., 326 N.W.2d 263 (Iowa 1982), 208
- Swain v. Florida, 701 So.2d 675 (Fla.App. 3 Dist.1997), 261
- Syville, People v., 15 N.Y.3d 391, 912 N.Y.S.2d 477, 938 N.E.2d 910 (N.Y.2010), 212
- Tateo, United States v., 377 U.S. 463, 84 S.Ct. 1587, 12 L.Ed.2d 448 (1964), 71
- Teague v. Lane, 489 U.S. 288, 109 S.Ct. 1060, 103 L.Ed.2d 334 (1989), 38
- Terry v. State, 272 Ark. 203, 613 S.W.2d 90 (Ark.1981), 211
- Texas v. McCullough, 475 U.S. 134, 106 S.Ct. 976, 89 L.Ed.2d 104 (1986), 98
- Thomas, State v., 61 Ohio St.2d 254, 400 N.E.2d 897 (Ohio 1980), 204
- Tibbs v. Florida, 457 U.S. 31, 102 S.Ct. 2211, 72 L.Ed.2d 652 (1982), 84
- Tibbs v. State (Tibbs II), 397 So.2d 1120 (Fla. 1981), 84
- Tibbs, State v. (Tibbs I), 337 So.2d 788 (Fla. 1976), 84
- Torres v. Oakland Scavenger Co., 487 U.S. 312, 108 S.Ct. 2405, 101 L.Ed.2d 285 (1988), 214
- Truong Dinh Hung, United States v., 629 F.2d 908 (4th Cir.1980), 234
- Turk, Commonwealth ex rel. v. Ashe, 167 Pa.Super. 323, 74 A.2d 656 (Pa.Super.1950), 254
- United States v.** \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party)
- United States ex rel. v.** \_\_\_\_\_ (see opposing party and relator)
- Van Patten v. Deppisch, 434 F.3d 1038 (7th Cir.2006), 256
- Vargas, United States v., 615 F.2d 952 (2nd Cir.1980), 233, 234
- Vaughn v. State, 4 Mo. 290 (Mo.1836), 174
- Village of** (see name of village)
- Vivari v. Francis, 1988 WL 62787 (Del.Ch. 1988), 177
- Waldrep, State v., 80 Okla.Crim. 230, 158 P.2d 368 (Okla.Crim.App.1945), 112
- Warden of Attica State Prison, United States ex rel. Rogers v., 381 F.2d 209 (2nd Cir. 1967), 57
- Wasman v. United States, 468 U.S. 559, 104 S.Ct. 3217, 82 L.Ed.2d 424 (1984), 98
- Wells v. Warmuth, 177 W.Va. 574, 355 S.E.2d 363 (W.Va.1987), 261
- Whitmore v. Arkansas, 495 U.S. 149, 110 S.Ct. 1717, 109 L.Ed.2d 135 (1990), 47
- Wilkes, R. v., 98 E.R. 327 (K.B. 1770), 171
- Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 88 S.Ct. 269, 19 L.Ed.2d 305 (1967), 186, 205
- Williams, United States v.**, 378 F.2d 665 (4th Cir.1967), 298
- Wilson, United States v., 420 U.S. 332, 95 S.Ct. 1013, 43 L.Ed.2d 232 (1975), 122, 166
- Woodson v. Brewer, 437 F.2d 1036 (8th Cir. 1971), 56
- Yent v. State, 279 Ark. 268, 650 S.W.2d 577 (Ark.1983), 211
- Young v. United States, 315 U.S. 257, 62 S.Ct. 510, 86 L.Ed. 832 (1942), 302
- Young, United States v.**, 301 F.2d 298 (6th Cir.1962), 317
- Yusuff, United States v., 96 F.3d 982 (7th Cir.1996), 361

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE  
PROCEDURE  
CASES AND MATERIALS**

# SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

---

	<b>Page</b>
PREFACE .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	v
COPYRIGHT ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	vii
TABLE OF CASES .....	xv
<b>Prologue</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1. The Defendant's Right to Appeal</b> .....	<b>4</b>
A. Basic Considerations & an Introduction to the Functions of Appeals .....	4
B. State Constitutional Right to Appeal .....	19
C. Statutory Right to Appeal .....	20
D. Right to Appeal Certain Judgments & Sentence .....	48
<b>Chapter 2. Constitutional Implications of a Defendant's Successful Appeal of Conviction</b> .....	<b>69</b>
A. "The Ball Principle" .....	69
B. Possible Exceptions to "The Ball Principle" .....	74
C. Increased Sentence on Retrial as Impediment to Appeal .....	86
<b>Chapter 3. The Prosecution's Right to Appeal</b> .....	<b>100</b>
A. Double Jeopardy Limitations in General .....	100
B. The Relevance of Double Jeopardy to Prosecution Appeals .....	109
C. The Application of Federal Double Jeopardy to State Prosecutions .....	113
D. Appeals by the Prosecution in Federal Cases .....	120
E. Appeals by the Prosecution in State Cases .....	158
F. More on the Relationship of Double Jeopardy to Appellate Procedure and State Prosecution Appeals .....	166
<b>Chapter 4. Historical Development of the Means and Scope of Appeal in Anglo-American Law</b> .....	<b>168</b>
<b>Chapter 5. Potential Conditions on the Right to Appeal</b> .....	<b>186</b>
A. "Final Decision" as a Condition of Review .....	186
B. The Requirement of Timely Perfection of Appeal .....	209
C. "Fugitivity" as an Impediment .....	216
D. "Mootness" & Related Doctrines as a Possible Impediment .....	220
E. Other Conditions, Impediments, & Encumbrances on the Right to Appeal .....	246
<b>Chapter 6. Ancillary Rights in Support of Defendant's Appeal</b> .....	<b>247</b>
A. Bail Pending Appeal & Stays .....	247
B. Records and Transcripts .....	252
C. Counsel on Appeal .....	262
D. Oral Argument & Unpublished Opinions .....	310