

Civil Procedure

Richard L. Marcus & Thomas D. Rowe, Jr.

America's best selling outlines written by the nation's most acclaimed law professors

Complete with the restyled 2007 FRCP



Civil Procedure

BY RICHARD L. MARCUS

University of California, Hastings

THOMAS D. ROWE, JR.

Duke University

Seventeenth Edition

GILBERT LAW SUMMARIES

Board of Editors

RICHARD J. CONVISER

Professor of Law, IIT/Kent

MICHAEL R. ASIMOW

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

JOHN A. BAUMAN

Professor of Law, U.C.L.A.

PAUL D. CARRINGTON

Professor of Law, Duke University

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEORGE E. DIX

Professor of Law, University of Texas

MELVIN A. EISENBERG

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

WILLIAM A. FLETCHER

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

MARC A. FRANKLIN

Professor of Law, Stanford University

EDWARD C. HALBACH, JR.

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR.

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

STANLEY M. JOHANSON

Professor of Law, University of Texas

THOMAS M. JORDE

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

HERMA HILL KAY

Professor of Law, U.C. Berkeley

JAMES E. KRIER

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

JOHN H. MCCORD

Professor of Law, University of Illinois

PAUL MARCUS

Professor of Law, College of William and Mary

RICHARD L. MARCUS

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

ROBERT H. MNOOKIN

Professor of Law, Harvard University

THOMAS D. MORGAN

Professor of Law, George Washington

University

JARRET C. OELTJEN

Professor of Law, Florida State University

JAMES C. OLDHAM

Professor of Law, Georgetown University

ROGER C. PARK

Professor of Law, U.C. Hastings

WILLIAM A. REPPY, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

THOMAS D. ROWE, JR.

Professor of Law, Duke University

DOUGLAS J. WHALEY

Professor of Law, Ohio State University

CHARLES H. WHITEBREAD

Professor of Law, U.S.C.

KENNETH H. YORK

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University



PROJECT EDITOR Erin Johnson Remotigue, B.A., J.D. Attorney At Law

SERIES EDITOR Elizabeth L. Snyder, B.A., J.D. Attorney At Law

QUALITY CONTROL EDITOR Sanetta M. Hister

Copyright © 2008 by Thomson/West. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

Text Correlation Chart

Gilbert Law Summary	Field, Kaplan, Clermont	Friedenthal, Miller, Sexton,	Hazard, Tait, Fletcher, Bundy	Marcus, Redish, Sherman	Rosenberg, Smit, Dreyfuss Elements of Civil	Yeazell Civil Procedure 2004 (6th ed.)
Civil Procedure	Materials for a Basic Course in Civil Procedure 2007 (9th ed.)	Hershkoff Civil Procedure: Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Pleading and Procedure: State and Federal Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Civil Procedure: A Modern Approach 2005 (4th ed.)	Procedure 1990 (5th ed.)	2004 (6th ed.)
I. PERSONAL JURIS	I SDICTION AND RELAT	I ED MATTERS				
A. Introduction	Page 475-535, 549-576	Page 63-75	Page 145-163	Page 691-710	Page 222-225	Page 61-75
B. Contemporary Constitutional Grounds for State Court Jurisdiction	484-535, 549-606	75-82, 135-180	163-227, 250- 281, 303-316	710-723, 729-829	225-329	76-145
C. Statutory Authorization for Jurisdiction	535-549, 597-606	82-135	227-249	723-729	250-255, 261- 276, 328-329	159-164
D. Litigating Jurisdiction	505-515	180-182	317-327	829-835	230-232, 325	73-75
E. Venue	615-644	329-348	412-435	840-847	335-336	164-169
F. Forum Non Conveniens	624-626, 634-645	348-360	435-448	847-859	336-350	169-178
G. Notice	646-672	183-244	281-303	835-840	330-335	145-159
II. SUBJECT MATTE	R JURISDICTION OF	THE FEDERAL COUR	TS			
A. Introduction	206-208, 408-412	245-246	346-349	860	180-181	5-10, 179-181
B. Diversity Jurisdiction	211-219, 441-459	249-269	364-376	860-871	181-189, 200-205	192-205
C. Federal Question Jurisdiction	208-210, 412-418	269-290	349-364	871-890	189-200	181-192
D. Supplemental Jurisdiction	418-441	290-312	376-400	890-911	206-219	205-212
E. Removal	219-220, 459-466	312-323	400-410	911-918	219-220	212-219
III. RELATION BET	WEEN STATE AND FE	DERAL LAW				
A. State Law in the Federal Courts	332-389	362-425	449-540	919-1005	351-389	221-253
B. Federal Common Law	389-396	425-443	540-545	1005-1016	389-395	230-231
IV. PLEADING						
A. Introduction						329-336
B. History of Pleading	1124-1143	450-478, 486-497	546-547	121-131	543-554	333-336
C. Complaint	1143-1187	498-530	548-601, 648-653	131-138, 156-189	412-417, 575-603	329-354, 365- 377, 731-742
D. Challenges to Complaint	1187-1196	530-540	564-567, 576- 579, 592-601, 625-627, 919-920	156-186, 189-199	32-33, 557-562, 589-599	17-18, 337-354, 377-381

Gilbert Law Summary	Field, Kaplan, Clermont	Friedenthal, Miller, Sexton, Hershkoff	Hazard, Tait, Fletcher, Bundy Pleading and	Marcus, Redish, Sherman Civil Procedure:	Rosenberg, Smit, Dreyfuss Elements of Civil	Yeazell Civil Procedure 2004 (6th ed.)
Civil Procedure	Materials for a Basic Course in Civil Procedure 2007 (9th ed.)	Civil Procedure: Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Procedure: State and Federal Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	A Modern Approach 2005 (4th ed.)	Procedure 1990 (5th ed.)	2004 (0111 ed.)
E. Attorney's Duty to Investigate Claims and Defenses	43-47, 1142- 1143, 1167-1187, 1347-1348	562-577	601-625	138-156	563-574	354-365
F. Answer	47-52, 1192-1196	540-550	581-592, 627-634	199-207	32, 604-611	381-392
G. Counterclaims and Cross-Claims	58-64, 238, 1196- 1201	582-599	653-669	207-212, 265-267	417-426, 435-437	734-742
H. Amended and Supplemental Pleadings	51-52, 64-71, 1126-1135, 1190- 1192	551-562	635-647	214-227	614-629	393-405
I. Default Procedure	47	886-892	921-923	191-199	630, 657	466-471
J. Judgment on the Pleadings	105-106, 114-115		919-920			381
K. Voluntary Dismissal by Plaintiff	1346-1348	883-884	920	212-214	650-654, 918-921	473-475
V. PARTIES						
A. Real Party in Interest Rule	873-874	599-602	671-673	228-234	400-405	762-772
B. Capacity of Party to Sue or Be Sued	873	602	673-683	052.64E	406-412	eries en concerno de concerno
C. Joinder of Parties	228-243, 838- 874, 981-1005	603-658	669-733	234-265, 267-288	426-434, 437-483	742-791
D. Class Actions	238-242, 875-980	659-734	733-820	288-331	483-542	791-832
VI. DISCOVERY						
A. Introduction		735-737	821-822	332-342	658-665	28-34, 407-408
B. Basic Discovery Devices	71-103, 1247- 1265	754-793	8-11, 822-828	342-357	33-35	29-31, 416-427
C. Scope of Discovery	1205-1231	740-744, 800-821	828-884	357-412	665-729	408-416, 438-45
D. Protective Orders	80, 88, 1231- 1240	744-754	885-897	ESSE.	729-748	427-437
E. Failure to Disclose or to Comply with Discovery	1240-1244	821-829	897-918	415-423	729-748	451-464
F. Appellate Review of Discovery Orders	1244-1246	1071-1072	843, 1291	1048-1050		437
G. Use of Discovery at Trial	93, 1227-1230	793-799		356	731-734	
H. Private Investigation	7245	151 101	822-823	412-414		
VII. SUMMARY JUD	OGMENT					
A. Introduction	105-115	857-859	10	424-425	631-634	34-39
B. Standard for Grant of Summary Judgment	1290-1306	860-882		425-464	634-650	513-526
C. Procedure	1290-1306	860-882	923-963	425-464	634-650	513-526

Gilbert Law Summary	Field, Kaplan, Clermont	Friedenthal, Miller, Sexton, Hershkoff	Hazard, Tait, Fletcher, Bundy Pleading and	Marcus, Redish, Sherman Civil Procedure:	Rosenberg, Smit, Dreyfuss Elements of Civil	Yeazell Civil Procedure 2004 (6th ed.)
Civil Procedure	Materials for a Basic Course in Civil Procedure 2007 (9th ed.)	Civil Procedure: Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Procedure: State and Federal Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	A Modern Approach 2005 (4th ed.)	Procedure 1990 (5th ed.)	2004 (6111 eu.)
VIII. MANAGERIAL	 JUDGING, PRETRIAL	 . CONFERENCE, AND	SETTLEMENT PROM	OTION		
A. Pretrial Conferences and Managerial Judging	97-105, 125-136, 1266-1289	830-856	963-975	465-520	34-35, 781-827	526-535
B. Court-Annexed Settlement Devices	297-322, 1288- 1289	1206-1234	970-989	108-120, 483-505	11-18, 1111-1135	475-513
IX. TRIAL						
A. Right to Trial by Jury	137, 1459-1508	895-930	995-1056	529-606	749-780	546-582
B. Selection of the Jury	145-153	940-953	1056-1081	522, 603-606	829-836	572-582
C. Disqualification of Judge	151		1082-1097	11-12		537-546
D. Order of Trial	153-155, 1308- 1345	953-961	991-993	521-529	837-842	39-42
E. Presentation of Evidence	156-179	957-960	590-591	522-525	38-40, 842-851	40, 588-592
F. Pre-Verdict Motions	178-179, 1346- 1372	50-55, 961-977	1097-1110, 1140- 1150	525, 606-643	895-910	592-600
G. Argument to Jury	179-181	960-961	12-13	525	41	41
H. Instructions to Jury	179-181, 1381- 1387	39-42, 980-989	13, 1110-1113	525-528	41-42, 838-842	41, 590-591, 600- 601
I. Jury Deliberation and Verdict	179-181, 1387- 1408	42-50, 989-1000	13, 1113-1114	528, 671-689	42, 851-872	615-617
J. Motions After Verdict or Judgment	181-184, 1409- 1458	977-980, 1000- 1033	13-14, 1114-1140	528-529, 621- 622, 643-671	43, 872-895, 911- 918	601-611
X. APPEAL						
A. Right to Appeal	198-205, 1510- 1513		16-19	1020	43-44	
B. Courts of Review	198-205	1105-1112	16-19, 1266-1267	1076-1077		54-55
C. Appellate Procedure	201-202	1089-1090	16-19, 1259-1270	1088-1091	44-45, 1038-1040	Contracts IIII II
D. Rulings Subject to Appeal	1514-1547	1059-1089	1270-1301	1021-1077	1046-1092	619-644
E. Scope of Appellate Review	1441-1444, 1514- 1516	55-59, 1090-1105	1258-1259	1078-1088	1092-1106	647-655
F. Appellate Review by Extraordinary Writ	1548-1559	1078-1084	1284-1292	1055-1061	1107-1110	437, 644-647
XI. PRECLUSIVE EF	FECTS OF JUDGMEN	ITS		The substitute of the state of the substitute of	THE STATE OF THE S	
A. Introduction	674-679	1113-1114	1158-1159	1092-1095	955-958, 1002- 1021	657
B. Claim Preclusion	680-716	1114-1134, 1151- 1162	1159-1183	1095-1142	958-964, 974-977	658-686, 708-710
C. Issue Preclusion	717-742	1134-1162	1183-1228	1142-1164	978-986	686-708, 710-712
D. Persons Precluded by Judgments	743-786	1162-1184	1198-1228	1164-1203	965-974, 986- 1002	694-695

Gilbert Law Summary Civil Procedure	Field, Kaplan, Clermont Materials for a Basic Course in Civil Procedure 2007 (9th ed.)	Friedenthal, Miller, Sexton, Hershkoff Civil Procedure: Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Hazard, Tait, Fletcher, Bundy Pleading and Procedure: State and Federal Cases and Materials 2005 (9th ed.)	Marcus, Redish, Sherman Civil Procedure: A Modern Approach 2005 (4th ed.)	Rosenberg, Smit, Dreyfuss Elements of Civil Procedure 1990 (5th ed.)	Yeazell Civil Procedure 2004 (6th ed.)
E. Interjurisdictional Preclusive Effects of Judgments	787-835	1184-1205	1229-1256		1021-1030	715-723

Gilbert Exam Strategies

Examination questions on civil procedure can concern a wide range of issues. The issues that you are most likely to encounter are discussed below and can be analyzed in the following sequence. Note that these issues are discussed in greater detail in the Key Exam Issues section at the beginning of each chapter.)

1. Jurisdiction

Does the court have *authority* to render judgment in the present action, consistent with constitutional requirements of due process?

- a. Does the court have jurisdiction *over the parties* (territorial jurisdiction of the state)?
- b. Does the court have jurisdiction *over the subject matter* (over the particular type of action)?
- c. Has adequate notice been given to all parties?

2. Venue

Assuming the court does have jurisdiction, is it the *proper place* for trial of the action under the rules governing venue?

a. If the action was originally filed in a court having proper venue, are there grounds for *transfer* or change of venue to another court?

3. State and Federal Law

- a. If the action is in federal court, does it concern a state law matter (usually this means it is a diversity case)? If so, the court will generally follow state substantive law and federal procedural law.
- b. Is there an *Erie* problem—*i.e.*, is there a *true conflict* between federal and state rules of law? (Only if there is a true conflict do you need to consider which rule, state or federal, applies.)

4. Pleadings

- a. Does the complaint contain a valid substantive legal claim?
- b. Does the complaint state the elements of a prima facie case?
- c. Is the complaint *sufficiently specific* so as to give fair notice of the basis of the claim(s) made?

- d. Does the answer properly admit or deny the complaint's allegations? Does it properly raise any applicable defenses?
- e. Is there a ground for allowing an amendment?

5. Parties

- a. Are the named parties proper (real party in interest and capacity to sue or be sued)?
- b. Are the named parties properly joined?
- c. Are there *other parties* who should be joined under the necessary party rule or who may become parties by intervention or impleader?
- d. Can the parties assert *claims against each other* in addition to those in the complaint—*i.e.*, counterclaims or cross-claims? Can the parties *implead* other parties?
- e. Is a *class action* appropriate?
- f. May additional parties intervene?

6. Discovery

Is there a controversy as to either party's right to obtain pretrial discovery from the other party or from some third person? If so, consider:

- a. Have the parties satisfied the requirement of disclosure?
- b. Is the information sought within the *permissible scope* of discovery (*i.e.*, is it relevant to the claim or defense of a party)?
- c. Is the information protected by a privilege or as work product?
- d. Is the *proper mechanism* used (e.g., deposition, interrogatory, etc.)?
- e. What limitations by *protective order* are needed to prevent abuse?
- f. If a discovery order was violated, or if a disclosure obligation was not met, is a *sanction* appropriate?

7. Trial

- a. Is there a right to a jury trial?
- b. Are the issues before the court within the *scope* of the pleadings or pretrial order, or properly in issue because evidence on them was received without valid objection?

- c. Who has the *burden of proof* as to a particular issue, and has the burden been met?
- d. Were *instructions* properly given to the jury, and were they substantially correct?
- e. Was there *improper conduct* by the judge, a counsel, party, witness, or juror?
- f. Did plaintiff put on sufficient evidence to survive a motion for judgment as a matter of law?

8. Post-Trial

- a. Is there relief that the trial court can give?
 - (1) Motion for a *new trial* (to overcome procedural error or if the verdict is against the weight of the evidence).
 - (2) Renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law (if the verdict is unsupported by sufficient evidence).
 - (3) Extraordinary relief (e.g., if newly discovered evidence, fraud).
- b. Can the appellate court provide relief?
 - (1) Is there a *final judgment* or other appealable order?
 - (2) Is discretionary appeal available?
 - (3) May review be obtained by extraordinary writ?
- c. Does *res judicata* (claim preclusion) or *direct or collateral estoppel* (issue preclusion) bar relitigation of this matter in later trials?

Summary of Contents

CIV	IL PRO	OCEDURE TEXT CORRELATION CHART	i				
CIV	IL PRO	OCEDURE CAPSULE SUMMARY	1				
GIL	BERT	EXAM STRATEGIES	(i)				
I.	PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND RELATED MATTERS						
	▶	Key Exam Issues	1				
	A.	Introduction	3				
	B.	Contemporary Constitutional Grounds for State Court Jurisdiction	9				
		1. Contacts with Forum	g				
		CHART: Approach to Minimum Contacts Analysis	10				
		2. Presence of Defendant's Property in Forum	21				
		3. General Jurisdiction	25				
		4. Consent	28				
		5. Service Within the Jurisdiction	31				
		CHART: Checklist of Bases for Jurisdiction	32				
		6. Territorial Jurisdiction of Federal Courts	32				
	C.	Statutory Authorization for Jurisdiction	33				
	D.	Litigating Jurisdiction	37				
	E.	Venue	38				
		CHART: Summary of Federal Venue Rules	43				
	F.	Forum Non Conveniens	48				
		CHART: Factors for Forum Non Conveniens Dismissal	50				
	G.	Notice	52				
II.	SUE	JECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE FEDERAL COURTS					
	▶	Key Exam Issues	63				
	A.	Introduction	66				
		CHART: Summary of Basic Statutes on Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	70				
	B.	Diversity Jurisdiction	71				
	C.	Federal Question Jurisdiction	88				
	D.	Supplemental Jurisdiction	98				
		CHART: Ancillary and Pendent Jurisdiction Compared	101				
		CHART: Checklist for Discretionary Decline of Supplemental Jurisdiction	107				
	E.	Removal	109				
		CHART: Summary of Removal Issues	115				

III.		ATION BETWEEN STATE AND FEDERAL LAW								
	▶	Key Exam Issues	119							
	Α.	State Law in the Federal Courts	120							
		CHART: Substance vs. Procedure—Historical Cases	131							
		CHART: Modern Approach to Erie Questions	133							
	В.	Federal Common Law	143							
IV.										
	▶	Key Exam Issues	145							
	Α.	Introduction	148							
	В.	History of Pleading	149							
		CHART: Examples of Basic Pleadings	152							
		CHART: Federal Pleadings	154							
	C.	Complaint	154							
		CHART: Parts of a Complaint	163							
	D.	Challenges to Complaint	178							
		CHART: Grounds for Demurrer vs. Federal Rule 12(b)	183							
		CHART: Defenses Waived by Failure to Assert	192							
	E.	Attorney's Duty to Investigate Claims and Defenses	195							
		CHART: Checklist of Matters Certified Under Rule 11	196							
	F.	Answer	203							
		CHART: Affirmative Defenses—Examples	210							
	G.	Counterclaims and Cross-Claims	213							
	Н.	Amended and Supplemental Pleadings	221							
		CHART: Relation Back Doctrine—A Summary of Possible Defendants	226							
	1.	Default Procedure	231							
	J.	Judgment on the Pleadings	233							
	K.	Voluntary Dismissal by Plaintiff	234							
٧.	PAF	PARTIES No. You From Joseph								
	▶	Key Exam Issues	237							
	Α.	Real Party in Interest Rule	239							
		CHART: Real Party in Interest Rule—Examples	243							
	В.	Capacity of Party to Sue or Be Sued	245							
	C.	Joinder of Parties	248							
		CHART: Permissive Party Joinder—An Approach	249							
		CHART: Persons To Be Joined If Feasible—Examples	256							
		CHART: Intervention of Right vs. Permissive Intervention	266							
		CHART: Rule Interpleader vs. Statutory Interpleader	271							
	D.	Class Actions	273							
		CHART: Evaluating Whether a Class Action Is Proper	275							
VI.	DIS	COVERY								
	D	Key Exam Issues	305							
	Α.	Introduction	308							
	B.	Basic Discovery Devices	310							
		CHART: Summary of Discovery Issues	311							

		CHART: Types of Verdicts—A Summary	434				
	J.	Motions After Verdict or Judgment	439				
		CHART: Grounds for New Trial in Jury Trials	446				
		CHART: Motions After Verdict or Judgment	456				
х.	APPEAL						
	▶	Key Exam Issues	459				
	Α.	Right to Appeal	460				
	B.	Courts of Review	461				
		CHART: United States Supreme Court—Appellate Jurisdiction	462				
	C.	Appellate Procedure	465				
	D.	Rulings Subject to Appeal	467				
	E.	Scope of Appellate Review	476				
		CHART: Standards of Review	482				
	F.	Appellate Review by Extraordinary Writ	482				
XI.	PRE						
	▶	Key Exam Issues	485				
	Α.	Introduction	486				
	B.	Claim Preclusion	487				
	C.	Issue Preclusion	495				
	D.	Persons Precluded by Judgments	499				
	CHA	506					
	E.	Interjurisdictional Preclusive Effects of Judgments	506				
REV	/IEW (QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	511				
EXA	M QU	ESTIONS AND ANSWERS	549				
TAB	LE OF	CITATIONS TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE	567				
TAB	LE OF	CASES	571				
IND	EX		581				

		CHART: Prediscovery Disclosure	314
		CHART: Depositions	320
		CHART: Interrogatories	323
		CHART: Requests for Admissions	327
		CHART: Requests for Document Inspection	331
		CHART: Medical Examinations	333
		CHART: On Whom May Discovery Devices Be Served?	335
	C.	Scope of Discovery	335
		CHART: Attorney-Client Privilege Checklist	340
		CHART: Approach to Work Product Rule	345
		CHART: Rule 26(b)(3) and Hickman Compared—An Illustration	347
		CHART: Required Disclosures Concerning Testifying Experts	352
	D.	Protective Orders	354
	E.	Failure to Disclose or to Comply with Discovery	360
		CHART: Checklist of Discovery Sanctions	361
	F.	Appellate Review of Discovery Orders	365
	G.	Use of Discovery at Trial	366
	Н.	Private Investigation	367
VII.	SUN	IMARY JUDGMENT	
		Key Exam Issues	369
	A.	Introduction	370
		CHART: Pleadings Motions, Summary Judgment, and Judgment as a Matter of	
		Law Compared	372
	B.	Standard for Grant of Summary Judgment	372
	C.	Procedure	376
		CHART: Summary Judgment—A Summary	383
VIII.	MAN	IAGERIAL JUDGING, PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, AND SETTLEMENT PROMOTION	
	ightharpoons	Key Exam Issues	385
	A.	Pretrial Conferences and Managerial Judging	385
		CHART: Timing of Pretrial Scheduling Events	387
	B.	Court-Annexed Settlement Devices	393
		CHART: Court-Annexed Settlement Promotion Devices	399
IX.	TRIA	AL	
	▶	Key Exam Issues	401
	A.	Right to Trial by Jury	404
		CHART: Right to Jury Trial—Examples	405
	B.	Selection of the Jury	412
	C.	Disqualification of Judge	417
	D.	Order of Trial	419
	E.	Presentation of Evidence	420
	F.	Pre-Verdict Motions	421
		CHART: Motions at Close of Proof	427
	G.	Argument to Jury	427
	Н.	Instructions to Jury	428
	ī.	Jury Deliberation and Verdict	432

Capsule Summary

l	PE	RSON	AL JURISDICTION AND RELATED MATTERS							
A.	INTRODUCTION									
	1.	 Types of Personal Jurisdiction Personal jurisdiction is a state's power to hear a case and enforce its judgment. 								
		a.	In personam jurisdiction In personam jurisdiction permits a court to enter a judgment that is personally binding on defendant. Courts in other states must give full faith and credit to the judgment.	§3						
		b.	In rem jurisdiction In rem jurisdiction permits a court to adjudicate the rights of all possible claimants in a specific piece of property.	§4						
		c.	Quasi in rem jurisdiction There are two types of quasi in rem jurisdiction. The first type permits a court to determine rights of <i>particular parties</i> in property under its control. The second type permits a court having jurisdiction over defendant's property, but not over defendant personally, to use the property to satisfy plaintiff's personal claim against defendant. Use of this latter type as a basis for jurisdiction over nonresident defendants has been severely limited.	§ 5						
	2.	Und	der Pennoyer v. Neff, a state's jurisdiction was generally limited to persor or property served with process or seized in the state.	§ 6						
	3.	Wit vice mai	to Minimum Contacts In International Shoe, the Court shifted from an emphasis on in-state sere to a requirement of minimum contacts with the forum state "such that the intenance of the suit does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substitutial justice."	§22						
В.	CONTEMPORARY CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS FOR STATE COURT JURIS- DICTION									
	1.	Inte	ernational Shoe generated a two-stage approach to jurisdiction problems, sing first to whether the defendant purposely availed himself of the privilege	§27						

mitt	ing jui	risdiction.					
a .	Purp look	poseful availment—minimum contacts poseful availment inquiry focuses solely on activities of defendant, ging for some voluntary action by defendant establishing a benefi- relationship with the forum state.	§28				
	(1) Foreseeability Mere foreseeability of contact with the forum is important but insufficient; defendant's conduct with respect to the forum state must be such that he should reasonably anticipate being haled into court there (e.g., long-term relationship with forum or seeking to serve forum market).						
	(2)	Relationship between contacts with forum and claim asserted The Court assumes "specific" jurisdiction is proper with regard to claims that are related to forum contacts that satisfy the purpose- ful availment requirement, but jurisdiction may also be proper where the claim arises from contacts that do not satisfy the pur- poseful availment requirement, but is of the same type as claims that do. This might be rationalized by focusing on foreseeability of facing claims of this type in this state.	§40				
	(3)	Commercial vs. noncommercial Purposeful availment is more easily found with regard to defendant's commercial activity.	§41				
	(4)	Choice of law distinguished The fact that a particular state's law may apply under choice-of-law "center of gravity" principles does not alone satisfy the purposeful availment requirement as to that state.	§42				
	(5)	Reasonableness insufficient to satisfy purposeful availment requirement Factors such as a lack of inconvenience to defendant cannot outweigh the requirement of purposeful availment.	§4 5				
b.	Fair play and substantial justice—reasonableness In the reasonableness inquiry, consideration of <i>plaintiff's interests</i> is proper.						
	(1)	Factors Factors considered when determining the reasonableness of jurisdiction are: (i) defendant's burden in defending the suit in the forum, (ii) the forum state's interest in adjudication, (iii) plaintiff's interest in obtaining convenient and effective relief, (iv) the judicial system's interest in resolving the suit effectively, and (v) furtherance of fundamental substantive social policies.	§47				
c.	Altho	ication to Internet activities ough still an evolving area, the same minimum contacts analysis lies to Internet activities, but the purposeful availment requirement	§53				

of conducting activities in the forum and then at the reasonableness of per-

b.

c.

is emphasized	and	the	reasonableness	requirements	are pi	robably	re-
laxed.							

	laxed.							
2.	Presence of Defendant's Property in Forum Presence of defendant's property in the forum will often establish a constitutionally sufficient contact between defendant and the forum, ordinarily because it establishes relevant contact between defendant and forum.							
	a. Location of property Tangible property is located in a state if it is physically present there. Intangible property may be considered located in a jurisdiction if some transaction related to the property occurred there, but if embodied in an instrument, it is usually said to be located where the instrument is. This is usually governed by state law.							
	b.	Presence of property supports jurisdiction The presence of property in a state may support jurisdiction by providing contacts among the forum, defendant, and litigation.						
		(1)	True in rem States continue to have power to exercise true in rem jurisdiction, as in condemnation proceedings.	§67				
		(2)	Claim related to rights and duties arising from ownership Property provides sufficient contact if the claim is related to rights or duties related to the property (e.g., absentee owner might be sued for injuries sustained on property located in the forum).	§70				
		(3)	Absence of another forum The Court has not ruled on the scope of jurisdiction by necessity, which may exist in cases where defendant's property is located in the forum and no other forum is available to plaintiff.	§74				
	c.	Presence of unrelated property insufficient for jurisdiction When property is completely <i>unrelated</i> to plaintiff's cause of action, its presence alone will not support jurisdiction.						
3.	Some to su	e cour	ts distinguish between general jurisdiction , which subjects defendant any claim in the forum, and specific jurisdiction , which confers jurisy for claims related to defendant's contact with the forum.	§80				
	a.	Natural persons General jurisdiction is available in the state of a natural person's domi- cile.						
	b.	Corporations A corporation is subject to general jurisdiction in (i) the state of incorporation, (ii) the state in which its headquarters are located if different from state of incorporation, and (iii) states in which it conducts "substantial activity."		§83				
4.	Consent Defendant may consent to jurisdiction in a forum							