International Human Rights & Humanitarian Law

TREATIES, CASES, & ANALYSIS



Francisco Forrest Martin

Stephen J. Schnably

Richard J. Wilson

Jonathan S. Simon

Mark V. Tushnet

CAMBRIDGE

International Human Rights and Humanitarian Law

TREATIES, CASES AND ANALYSIS

Francisco Forrest Martin Rights International

Stephen J. Schnably University of Miami School of Law

Richard J. Wilson American University Washington College of Law

Jonathan S. Simon University of California at Berkeley School of Law

Mark V. Tushnet Georgetown University Law Center

Published under the auspices of





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521187817

© Martin, Schnably, Wilson, Simon and Tushnet 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of the copyright holder.

First published 2006 Reprinted 2008 First paperback edition 2011

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

International human rights & humanitarian law : treaties, cases & analysis/ Francisco Forrest Martin...[et al.].

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-521-85886-1 (hardback)

ISBN-10: 0-521-85886-0 (hardback)

r. Human rights. 2. Humanitarian law. 1. Title: International human rights and humanitarian law. 11. Martin, Francisco Forrest. 111. Title. K3240.I5788 2006

341.4'8 - dc22

2005015710

ISBN 978-0-521-85886-1 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-18781-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

... about

RIGHTS INTERNATIONAL

This book is published under the auspices of Rights International, The Center for International Human Rights Law, Inc. Rights International is a not-for-profit organization devoted to protecting and furthering the rights recognized in the Universal Bill of Human Rights and other human rights and humanitarian instruments. It seeks to accomplish this mission in two ways.

First, Rights International provides legal assistance to victims of human rights and humanitarian law violations before international tribunals, including those established by the United Nations, the Council of Europe, the Organisation of African Unity, and the Organization of American States.

Second, Rights International trains lawyers and law students in international human rights law and practice. This book represents one component of Rights International's training program.

If you are interested in learning more about Rights International or working on one of our international cases, please visit our website for information about the following programs:

Cooperating Attorney/Firm Program

Frank C. Newman Internship Program

Eric Neisser Project on International Human Rights Law in the United States

Law School Consortium Program

www.rightsinternational.org

WE DEDICATE THIS BOOK TO

Frank and Jonathan Askin David Fathi Andrea L. Teitler

– from Francisco Forrest Martin

To my wife, Ann

- from Richard Wilson

Christina

– from Jonathan Simon

ACLU National Prison Project

- from Mark Tushnet

Table of Selected Authorities

Selected International Instrument Citations

UN Instruments

Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Dec. 10, 1984, G.A. Res. 39/46, 39 UN GAOR, Supp. (No. 51), UN Doc. A/39/51, at 197 (entered into force June 26, 1987).

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, Dec. 18, 1979, G.A. Res. 34/180, 34 UN GAOR, Supp. (No. 46), UN Doc. A/34/46, at 193 (entered into force Sept. 3, 1981).

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, Dec. 21, 1965, 660 U.N.T.S. 195 (entered into force Jan. 4, 1969).

Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, Dec. 9, 1948, 78 U.N.T.S. no. 1021 (1951).

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171 (entered into force Mar. 23, 1976).

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, G.A. res. 2200A (XXI), 21 UN GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 49, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966), 993 U.N.T.S. 3 (entered into force Jan. 3, 1976).

Statute of the International Court of Justice, 59 Stat. 1005, T.S. 993 (June 26, 1945).

Statute of the International Criminal Court, July 17, 1998, UN Doc. 2187 UNTS 90 (entered into force July 1, 2002).

Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal, S/Res/808 (1993), U.N. Doc. S/25704 (May 3, 1993).

Statute of the International Tribunal for Rwanda, U.N. Doc. S/Res/955, Annex (1994), reprinted in 33 I.L.M. 1598, 1602 (1994).

United Nations Charter, June 26, 1945, 59 Stat. 1031, T.S. 993, 3 Bevans 1153 (entered into force Oct. 24, 1945).

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Dec. 10, 1948, G.A. Res. 217A (III), UN Doc. A/810 (1948).

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, May 23, 1969, 1155 U.N.T.S. 331 (entered into force Jan. 27, 1980).

African Union Instruments

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, June 27, 1981, O.A.U. Doc. CAB/LEG/67/3 Rev. 5 (entered into force Oct. 21, 1986).

Commonwealth of Independent States Instruments

Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of the Commonwealth of Independent States, Council of Europe doc. H(95) 7 rev. and Human Rights Information Sheet No. 36 (Jan.–Jun. 1995) H/Inf (95) 3, pp. 195–206.

European Instruments

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union *in* Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe, Dec. 16, 2004, II, 47 Official Journal of the European Union (C 310).

European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, Nov. 4, 1950, 213 U.N.T.S. 221 (entered into force Feb. 3, 1953).

Protocol No. 1, Mar. 20, 1952, E.T.S. 9 (entered into force May 18, 1954).

Protocol No. 11, May 11, 1984, E.T.S. 155 (entered into force 1998).

OAS Instruments

American Convention on Human Rights, Nov. 22, 1969, 1144 U.N.T.S. 123 (entered into force July 17, 1978).

Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (Protocol of San Salvador), Nov. 14, 1988, OAS Treaty Series No. 69.

Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights to Abolish the Death Penalty, Dec. 15, 1989, OAS Treaty Series, No. 73.

Inter-American Convention to Prevent and Punish Torture, OAS Treaty Series No. 67 (entered into force 28 February 1987), reprinted in Basic Documents Pertaining to Human Rights in the Inter-American System, OEA/Ser.L.V/II.82 doc.6 rev.1 at 83 (1992).

Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence Against Women ("Convention of Belem Do Para"), June 9, 1994, *available at* http://www.oas.org/en/prog/juridico/english/treaties/a-61.htm (visited 24 July 2000).

Inter-American Convention on the Forced Disappearance of Persons, June 9, 1994, *available at* http://www.oas.org/en/prog/juridico/english/treaties/a-60.htm (visited 24 July 2000) (entered into force 28 March 1996).

American Declaration on the Rights and Duties of Man, May 2, 1948, O.A.S. Res. XXX, reprinted in Basic Documents Pertaining to Human Rights in the Inter-American System, OEA/Ser.L.V/II.82 doc. 6 rev.1 at 17 (1992).

U.S. Instruments

United States Constitution, Sept. 17, 1787 (entered into force June 21, 1788).

Humanitarian Instruments

Geneva Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field, August 12, 1949, 75 U.N.T.S. 31 (entered into force October 21, 1950).

Geneva Convention (IV) relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, August 12, 1949, 75 U.N.T.S. 135, 6 U.S.T. 3316. T.I.A.S. No. 3364 (entered into force October 21, 1950).

Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, August 12, 1949, 75 U.N.T.S. 287, 6 U.S.T. 3516, T.I.A.S. No. 3365 (entered into force October 21, 1950).

Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I), December 7, 1978, 16 I.L.M. 1391, (entered into force December 7, 1978).

Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II), June 8, 1977, 16 I.L.M. 1442, (entered into force December 7, 1978).

Hague Convention (IV) Respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land, with Annex of Regulations, October 18, 1907, T.S. No. 539, 1 Bevans 631, 36 Stat. 2277 (entered into force January 26, 1910).

Selected Cases, General Comments, & Advisory Opinions

A

Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali v. United Kingdom, 424 Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ., 850 Agrotexim and Others v. Greece, 289 Airey v. Ireland, 414 Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom, 148, 163 Allenet de Ribemont v. France, 563, 824 Aloeboetoe et al. v. Suriname, 39 Andrews v. U.S., 590 Arizona v. Hicks, 684 Arkansas v. Texas, 363

Artico v. Italy, 306

Assenov v. Bulgaria, 99 Atkins v. Virginia, 350

Attorney General v. Guardian Newspapers Ltd., 765

Aumeerruddy-Cziffra v. Mauritius, 444 Autronic AG v. Switzerland, 844

Avena Case, 589

B

Baby Boy Case, 329, 727
Ballantyne, Davidson, McIntyre v. Canada,
414

Bankoviç and Others v. Belgium and Others, 276, 400

Barfod v. Denmark, 767

Barker v. Wingo, 645 Bates v. Bar of Arizona, 840

Bates v. Bar of Arrizona, 616

Barthold v. Fed. Rep. Germany, 825

Bautista de Arellana v. Colombia, 87, 89

Belgian Linguistics Case, 414

Benavides Cevallos v. Ecuador, 110

Berry v. Jamaica, 575

Bigelow v. Virginia, 801

Bilka-Kraufhaus GmbH v. Hartz, 445

Boddie v. Connecticut, 865

Bognor Regis Urban District Council v.

Campion, 765

Bomchil and Meliton Ferrari v. Argentina, 850

Boner v. United Kingdom, 573

Boyle and Rice v. United Kingdom, 576

Brady v. Maryland, 608

Branzburg v. Hayes, 842

Bravo Mena v. Mexico

Bruggemann and Scheuten v. Fed. Rep.

Germany, 332, 724

Burstyn v. Wilson, 788

C Caballero-Delgado v. Colombia, 88 Caban v. Mohammed, 734 Campbell & Fell v. United Kingdom, 576 Casado Coca v. Spain, 840 Case No., 168, 748 Castells v. Spain, 762 Celiberti de Casariego v. Uruguay, 286 Celis Laureano v. Peru, 86 C.F. v. Canada, 880 Chae Chan Ping v. U.S., 205 Chattin Case, 3 Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah, 748 City of Chicago v. Tribune Co., 764 Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, 415 Coard v. United States, 399 Coeriel v. The Netherlands, 40 Collins v. Jamaica, 573 Compass v. Jamaica, 631 Compulsory Membership in an Association Prescribed by Law for the Practice of Journalism, 763, 842, 850 Connecticut v. Massachusetts, 363 Cook v. U.S., 652 County of Washington v. Gunther, 446 Cox v. Canada, 358 C.R. v. United Kingdom, 70 Cremieux v. France, 680

D

Daniel v. Paul, 415 Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 289 Davidson v. Scully, 576 Dekker v. Stichting Vormingscentrum voor Jong Volwassenen, 445 Defrenne v. Sabena, 445 Democratic Republic of Congo v. Belgium (Arrest Warrant Case), 159 Dennis v. U.S., 763 Derbyshire County Council v. Times Newspapers Ltd., 69, 764 DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Soc. Servs., 849 Diaz v. Pan Am. World Airways, 446 Domenichini v. Italy, 576 Domingues v. United States, 338 Doorson v. The Netherlands, 630 Dothard v. Rawlinson, 446

Douglas, Gentles, & Kerr v. Jamaica, 301 Dudgeon v. United Kingdom, 190, 490, 690

Eckle v. Fed. Rep. Germany, 645 Edwards v. United Kingdom, 608, 609 E.L., R.L. and J.O.-L. v. Switzerland, 652 Employment Division, Dept. of Human Resources v. Smith, 749 Enforceability of the Right to Reply or Correction, 842 Engle and Others v. The Netherlands, 824 Ergi v. Turkey, 99 Erkner and Hofauer v. Austria, 930 Estelle v. Gamble, 850 Evitts v. Lucey, 574 Exceptions to the Exhaustion of Domestic Remedies in Cases of Indigency or Inability to Obtain Legal Representation

Because of a Generalized Fear within the

Legal Community, 295

F. v. Switzerland, 865 Fairen Garbi and Solis Corrales Case, 369 Faurisson v. France, 772 FCC v. Pacifica Foundation, 802 Fei v. Colombia, 711 Filártiga v. Peña-Irala, 267 Firmenich v. Argentina, 640 Five Pensioners Case, 895 44 Liquormart v. Rhode Island, 840 Foster v. Neilson, 245 Fox, Campbell and Hartley v. United Kingdom, 389 Funke v. France, 634

G

Gangaram Panday v. Suriname, 305 García Fuenzalida v. Ecador, 87 García-Mir v. Meese, 217 Garcia Perez v. Peru, 678 Garland, Ex Parte, 936 General Comment no. 3 (Art. 2), ECOSOC, 891-894 General Comment no. 20 (Art. 7), UNHRC,

German Major War Criminals, Judgment for the Trial of, 122

Gideon v. Wainwright, 850

G.L., A.V., and S.L. v. Austria, 419 Glimmerveen and Hagenbeek v. The Netherlands, 770 Godinez Cruz v. Honduras, 369 Golder v. United Kingdom, 576 Gomez v. Fierro, 359 Goodwin v. United Kingdom, 692, 841, Graham v. Connor, 534 Grant v. Jamaica, 568, 619 Greer v. Spock, 824 Griffin v. California, 640 Groppera Radio AG and Others v. Switzerland, 844 Group of associations . . . v. Italy, 287 Grutter v. Bollinger, 451 Guajardo v. Estelle, 576 Güleç v. Turkey, 99-100

H

H. v. Norway, 333
Hadjianastassiou v. Greece, 822
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 400
Hammel v. Madagascar, 576
Handyside v. United Kingdom, 789
Harward v. Norway, 604
Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier, 789
Heart of Atlanta Hotel v. U.S., 415
Henfield's Case, 132
Hernandez Rivas v. El Salvador, 322
Hertzberg et al. v. Finland, 801
Hill v. Spain, 397
Holy Monasteries v. Greece, 930
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell, 766
Huvig v. France, 677

I

Illinois v. Gates, 388

Informationsverein Lentia v. Austria, 843
International Responsibility for the
Promulgation and Enforcement of Laws
in Violation of the Convention, 359
International Union, UAW v. Johnson
Controls, 446
Interpretation of the American Declaration
of the Rights and Duties of Man within
the Framework of Article 64 of the
American Convention on Human Rights,

Ireland v. United Kingdom, 315, 502

J

Jacubowski v. Germany, 840
James v. United Kingdom, 916
Jamil v. France, 652
Jenkins v. Kingsgate, 445
Jersild v. Denmark, 771–772
Johansen v. Norway, 719
Johnson v. Eisentrager, 398, 400
Johnston v. Ireland, 855
J.R.T. and the W.G. Party v. Canada, 768
Judge v. Canada, 358, 360
Judicial Guarantees in States of Emergency, 40, 398
Juridical Condition and Rights of the Undocumented Migrants, 900

K

Kadic v. Karadic, 121
Kansas v. Colorado, 363
Karner v. Austria, 419
Kasinga, In re Fauziya, 958
Keegan v. Ireland, 721
Keller v. State Bar of California, 850
Kindler v. Canada, 357
Klass and Others v. Fed. Rep. Germany, 670
Kokkinakis v. Greece, 736
Korematus v. U.S., 4
Kostovski v. The Netherlands, 619
Kroon and Others v. The Netherlands, 722

L

Lackey v. Texas, 359

Lala v. The Netherlands, 575 Lane v. Brown, 574 Larissis and Others v. Greece, 747 Laskey, Jaggard, and Brown v. United Kingdom, 690 Lawrence v. Texas, 189, 690 Leeb and Others (High Command Case), Matter of von, 171 Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South African in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution, 54, 79 Lingens v. Austria, 753 Little v. Jamaica, 301 Luedicke, Belkacem and Koc v. Fed. Rep. Germany, 606

M

M.A.B. et al. v. Canada, 749
McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail Transp. Co.,
415
McGoff v. Sweden, 99–100
McKenzie v. Day, 360
Mantovanelli v. France, 609
Marschall v. Land Nordrhin-Westfalen, 446
Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 936
Martorell v. Chile, 824
Mathew v. Lucas, 722
Mathieu-Mohin and Clerfayt v. Belgium,
881
Maxwell v. United Kingdom, 573

Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community
v. Nicaragua, 913
Maznetter v. Austria, 644
Medellin v. Dretke, 590
Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 446
Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 767
Miller v. Albright, 444
Miller v. California, 786
Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan,
446

Modinos v. Cyprus, 490, 690 Moreira de Acevedo v. Portugal, 644 Muller and Others v. Switzerland, 772 Murray v. Charming Betsy, 191, 246, 401 Murray v. United Kingdom, 373–380, 381–388, 639 Mutombo v. Switzerland, 321

N

Nat'l Coalition for Gay and Lesbian Equality and Others v. Minister of Justice, 690 Near v. Minnesota, 823 New Orleans v. Dukes, 415 New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 764 New York Times Co. v. U.S. (Pentagon Papers Case), 823 Ng v. Canada, 357 Nicaragua v. U.S., 30 Norris v. Ireland, 288, 490, 690 Nyak v. MCA, 789

0

The Observer and the Guardian v. United Kingdom (Spycatcher Case), 802, 803 Ohlendorf and Others (Einsatzgruppen Case), In re, Open Door and Dublin Well Woman v. Ireland, 333, 790 "Other Treaties" Subject to the Consultative Jurisdiction of the Court, 48 Otto-Preminger-Institut v. Austria, 786 Ozturk v. Fed. Rep. Germany, 606

Paquete Habana, 3, 218 Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton, 786 Paton v. United Kingdom, 729 Peck v. United Kingdom, 662 Perez v. France, 336 Pine Valley Developments v. Ireland, 306 Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 734 Plattform 'Arzte fur das Leben' v. Austria, 787, 846 Poiss v. Austria, 931 Prager and Oberschlick v. Austria, 767 Pratt and Morgan v. Attorney General, 359 Pratt and Morgan v. Jamaica, 357 Pressos Compania Naviera S.A. and Others v. Belgium, 936 Proposed Amendments to the Naturalization Provisions of the Constitution of Costa Rica, 406 Prosecutor v. Akayesu, 453, 491 Prosecutor v. Aleksovski, 527, 645 Prosecutor v. Blaskic, 609, 619 Prosecutor v. Brdjanin, 473 Prosecutor v. Delalic and Delic, Prosecutor v. Erdemovic, 171, 659 Prosecutor v. Furundzija, 473 Prosecutor v. Galic, 534 Prosecutor v. Kambanda, 655 Prosecutor v. Kayishema & Ruzindana, 632 Prosecutor v. Kupreskic and Others, 397 Prosecutor v. Mucic, 527, 640, 680 Prosecutor v. Naletilic and Martinovic, 527 Prosecutor v. Ruggiu, 473 Prosecutor v. Tadic, 473, 522, 631, 652 Protsch v. Austria, 931

R

Rajagopal v. State of Tamil Nadu, 766 R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 771–772 Rasul et al. v. Bush, 400 Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC, 843 Regina v. Miah, 69 Reid v. Jamaica, 573 REINICIAR v. Colombia, 96 Report on the Incompatibility of "Desacato" Laws with the American Convention on Human Rights, 763 Respublica v. De Longchamps, 257 Restrictions to the Death Penalty, 358 Reynolds v. Jamaica, 575 Right to Information on Consular Assistance in the Framework of the Guarantees of the Due Process of Law, 577 Rizvanovic v. Fed. of Bosnia & Herzegovina, 604 Roe v. Wade, 727 Romer v. Evans, 420 Roper v. Simmons, 350 Runyon v. McCrary, 415

c

S. v. Switzerland, 576 Saldias de Lopez v. Uruguay, 286, 364 Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal, 415 Sawyers and McLean v. Jamaica, 575 Schmidt v. Germany, 444 Schoenberger and Durmaz Case, 576 Schwabe v. Austria, 766 Silver v. United Kingdom, 576 Simunek, Hastings, Tuzilova and Prochazka v. Czech Republic, 420, 444 Simmonds v. Jamaica, 573 Singer v. Canada, 414 Slijivo v. Republika Srprska, 389 Smith v. Illinois, 631 Snepp v. U.S., 823 Soering v. United Kingdom, 350 Sosa v. Alvarez-Machin, 219, 254, 368 Spinelli v. United States, 388 S.S. Lotus, 30 Stanford v. Kentucky, 359 Statehood Solidarity Committee v. U.S., 869 Stran Greek Refineries and Stratis Andreadis v. Greece, 931 Strickland v. Washington, 575 Suarez Rosero v. Ecuador, 390, 567, 645 Sunday Times v. United Kingdom, 824 Stubbings & Others v. United Kingdom, 100

T

Talbot v. Janson, 132 Taylor v. Sterrett, 576 Tennessee v. Garner, 533 Terminiello v. Chicago, 849 Terry v. United States, 388, 684
Theophanous v. Herald & Weekly Times
Ltd., 765
Thorgeirson v. Iceland, 767
Tolstoy Miloslavsky v. United Kingdom, 767
Toonen v. Australia, 490, 685, 802
Tyrer v. United Kingdom, 321

U
U.S. v. Bagley, 608
U.S. v. Balsys, 640
U.S. v. Progressive, Inc., 824
U.S. v. Seeger, 749
U.S. v. Smith, 267
U.S. v. Virginia, 446

V

Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras, 81, 291, 326, 369, 849
Venditelli v. Italy, 644
Verbitsky v. Argentina, 764
Vereinigung Demokratischer Soldaten
Osterreichs and Gubi v. Germany, 824
Vereining Weekblad Bluf! v. The
Netherlands, 822
Vo v. France, 331, 729

United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey, 937

W

Weeks v. Southern Bell Tel & Tel. Co., 446 Weinberger v. Rossi, 191 Welch v. United Kingdom, 646 Welsh v. U.S., 748 Wingrove v. United Kingdom, 788 Wisconsin v. Michigan, 363 Wolff v. McDonnell, 576 Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education, 451

X

X. v. United Kingdom, 332 X. and Y. v. Argentina, 684 X. and Y. v. The Netherlands, 96, 849

Y

Yagiz v. Turkey, 321 Young, James and Webster v. United Kingdom, 850

Z

Zablocki v. Redhail, 865 Zuncax v. Gramajo, 491

Preface

The fields of international human rights law and international humanitarian law have exploded over the past fifty years. The first few decades after World War II were devoted primarily to the recognition of human rights norms; recent years have witnessed a steady growth in the recognition of humanitarian norms and the creation of mechanisms for enforcing and implementing both human rights and humanitarian norms. Thus, victims of human rights and humanitarian law violations around the world increasingly can find legal protection and redress for their grievances.

This book seeks to integrate the fields of international human rights law and international humanitarian law. Historically, these fields of law have developed in a somewhat disjointed fashion, leading its expositors to view the fields as wholly distinct. However, as we hope will become apparent to the reader, these fields increasingly have become integrated, relying on each other for their own respective coherence. Indeed, a fundamental general principle of international law interpretation demands that states interpret their international legal obligations consistently with their other international legal obligations. Hence, a state's international human rights legal obligations must be construed in conformity with its international humanitarian legal obligations and vice versa.

This integrationist approach is also supported by the texts of international human rights and international humanitarian treaties. For example, human rights treaties explicitly address rights protections during armed conflicts – the customary subject that international humanitarian law governs. And, humanitarian treaties often explicitly prohibit acts (e.g., genocide, crimes against humanity) that occur outside of armed conflicts – the customary period of international human rights law application.

Accordingly, this book will integrate international human rights legal materials with those of international humanitarian law. Specifically, the book will expose the student to substantive, procedural, and theoretical issues through international legal instruments, case law, and literature. We begin with a historical and conceptual overview to provide the student with a social, political, institutional, and ideological context for understanding this law. We then address the formal categories and principles of international law with especial attention to how these relate to international human rights and humanitarian law.

Because this book is primarily designed for a U.S. audience, we have included a section on the incorporation of international law in U.S. law as well as integrated U.S. constitutional legal comparisons with the substantive international human rights law in the following sections because there is much to be gained from such a comparison. Civil liberties and civil rights law in the United States is highly developed, more so than is

xxx Preface

international human rights law in many areas. At the same time, it is far from perfect. Accordingly, contrasts between U.S. law and international law in specific areas can help highlight any needed areas of improvement in either tradition. Also, the emergence of the United States as the sole superpower in the post—Cold War era makes it ever more vital for the United States to promote international human rights and humanitarian law. Yet the U.S. commitment to human rights and humanitarian law has been tepid at best; a number of important treaties remain unratified, and others have been ratified only with large numbers of reservations, declarations, and understandings designed to limit their impact. The tentativeness of this commitment may well stem in part from the unfamiliarity of human rights and humanitarian law to many in the United States, including a large segment of its legal profession. The comparative analysis with U.S. law may offer a small contribution to demystifying and clarifying to a U.S. audience the ramifications of a full commitment to human rights and humanitarian law.

We then address procedural and substantive law, which constitutes the major proportion of the book. In the sections dealing with substantive law, we have focused on issues the we believe a U.S. law student audience would find especially interesting and timely. Such issues include abortion, the death penalty, torture, gay rights, war crimes, genocide and discrimination, freedom of speech, and economic rights.

Finally, we have placed theoretical materials at the end of the book to suggest that a more abstract and critical treatment of international human rights law and international humanitarian law may be best approached after one has become familiar with the substantive and procedural law. We have attempted to give the reader a taste of different theoretical and critical approaches from a variety of viewpoints.

Beyond the pedagogical purposes of this book, we hope that in exposing the law student to the full range of current human rights and humanitarian legal issues, this book will illuminate the future promise of human rights and humanitarian law as a means of protecting individuals and groups facing persecution. The inspiration of that promise – and the responsibilities it imposes – sustain the efforts of countless advocates for international human rights and humanitarian law.

We invite our readers – faculty, students, practitioners, and others – to send suggestions and comments as well as any corrections. Rights International can be reached through its home page at http://www.rightsinternational.org or by e-mail at <ricenter@rightsinternational.org>.

Researching International Human Rights Law

It is our hope that the casebook will serve as a research aid for practitioners handling cases, and students and faculty writing about international human rights law topics. Thus, one purpose of this book is to bring together in a convenient arrangement a large portion of the impressive body of international human rights and humanitarian case law.

At the same time, it is clear that no single work can possibly be comprehensive. While the present work provides a useful starting point for research, lawyers and academics will of course need to supplement its efforts. The human rights litigator and academic should be aware that library searches, often through hard copies of poorly indexed and dated materials, will be essential to comprehensive legal analysis. The materials of the United Nations, for example, are among the most daunting and obscure to research.

A number of excellent bibliographies provide systematic guidance to human rights sources. They should be consulted at the outset of any research task. Two noteworthy examples are David Weissbrodt and Marci Hoffman's *Bibliography for Research on International Human Rights Law*, 6 MINN. J. GLOBAL TRADE 200 (1997), and Jack Tobin and Jennifer Green's GUIDE TO HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH (1994).

Online databases such as LexisNexis and Westlaw or, increasingly, the Internet, also provide valuable tools for research. Indeed, educated in the era of computers, many law students today tend to conclude that the time for doing research in books, digests, and other hard copies has passed. The conclusion is premature. Students and practitioners alike must still take great care to examine multiple sources, often by digging through the library stacks. Nevertheless, online resources can be essential, particularly for finding the most up-to-date cases.

There are many places to find guidance to online research. The Rights International home page maintains links to many helpful research sources on the Internet.

Note Regarding Editing

To facilitate reading, most footnotes from the case excerpts have been placed in parentheses within the text of the excerpt; however, some footnotes and citations in excerpts from cases and other materials have been omitted. The editors have changed citation styles within case excerpts from the European Court of Human Rights for purposes of consistency, clarity, and brevity. Footnote numbering in excerpts contained in this book may not reflect the original numbering in the excerpt.

The editors' comments generally are enclosed by brackets.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the following persons who contributed to the International Human Rights Law and Practice: Cases, Treaties and Materials (Kluwer Law International 1997), from which this present casebook has taken substantial material:

Richard Falk Edward Koren Ronald Slye

We also would like to thank Professors Connie De La Vega and George Edwards and an anonymous reviewer for their comments on a draft of this book, John Berger, and Cambridge University Press. Finally, we would like to acknowledge and thank the following authors and publishers who granted permission to reprint excerpts from the following copyrighted material: Philip Alston, *The Commission on Human Rights*, The United Nations and Human Rights: A Critical Appraisal 126 (Philip Alston ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1992); Abdullah Ahmen An-Na'im, *Human Rights in the Muslim World: Socio-Political Conditions and Scriptural Imperatives*, 3 Harvard Human Rights Journal 13 (1990); Ian Brownlie, Principles of Public International Law (Oxford Univ. Press, 4th ed., 1990); Hilary Charlesworth, Christine Chinkin & Shelley Wright, *Feminist Approaches to International Law*, 85 American Journal International Law 613 (1991); Andrew Clapham, Human Rights in the Private Sphere (Oxford Univ.

xxxii Preface

Press, 1993); Richard Falk, The End of World Order: Essays on Normative Interna-TIONAL RELATIONS 26–30, 277, 288, 289–89 (New York: Holmes & Meier, 1983), copyright 1983 by Holmes & Meier Publishers, Inc. Reproduced by permission of the publisher; Thomas M. Franck, Legitimacy in the International System, 82 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL LAW 705 (Wash., D.C.: American Society of International Law, 1988); Laurence R. Helfer, Consensus, Coherence and the European Convention on Human Rights, 26 Cornell International Law Journal 133 (1993), copyright 1993 by Cornell University, All Rights Reserved; Laurence R. Helfer and Alice M. Miller, Sexual Orientation and Human Rights: Toward a United States and Transnational Jurisprudence, 9 HARVARD HUMAN RIGHTS JOURNAL 61 (1996); Louis Henkin, THE AGE OF RIGHTS (1990), copyright 1990 by Columbia University Press; Paul Mahoney, Judicial Activism and Judicial Self-Restraint in the European Court of Human Rights, 11 Human Rights Law Journal 57 (1990), copyright 1990 by N. P. Engel Publisher, Kehl/Arlington, Va.; Dinah L. Shelton, Private Violence, Public Wrongs, and the Responsibility of States, 13 FORDHAM INTERNA-TIONAL LAW JOURNAL 1 (1990); Francisco Forrest Martin, Delineating a Hierarchical Outline of International Law Sources and Norms, 65 SASK. L. REV. 333 (2002); Francisco Forrest Martin, Using International Human Rights Law for Establishing a Unified Use of Force Rule in the Law of Armed Conflict, 64(2) Sask. L. Rev. 347 (2002); Francisco Forrest Martin, "U.S. Opposition to the International Criminal Court: What Now?" 1 Accountability 2-8 (2002); Francisco Forrest Martin, Our Constitution as Federal Treaty: A New Theory of United States Constitutional Construction Based on an Originalist Understanding for Addressing a New World, 31 Hastings Const. L. Quart. 258 (2004); Francisco Forrest Martin, Challenging Human Rights Violations: Using International Law in U.S. COURTS (2001); Francisco Forrest Martin, The International Human Rights Aspects of the Forum Non Conveniens Doctrine, 35 U. MIAMI INTER-AM. L. Rev. 101, 106–109 (2003); Curtis A. Bradley, The Charming Betsy Canon and Separation of Powers: Rethinking the Interpretive Role of International Law, 86 GEO. L. J. 479, 495–533 (1998); Steven R. Ratner, Corporations and Human Rights: A Theory of Legal Responsibility, 111 YALE L. J. 443 (2001); John C. Yoo, Globalism and the Constitution: Treaties, Non-Self-Execution, and the Original Understanding, 99 COLUM. L. REV. 1955 (1999); John C. Yoo, Rejoinder, Treaties and Public Lawmaking: A Textual and Structural Defense of Non-Self-Execution, 99 COLUM. L. Rev. 2218, 2233 et passim (1999); Yash Ghai, Universalism and Relativism: Human Rights as a Framework for Negotiating Interethnic Claims, 21 CARDOZO L. Rev. 1095-1102 (2000); David Kennedy, The International Human Rights Movement: Part of the Problem? 15 HARV. Ним. Rts. J. 101 (2002), copyright 2002 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College; Yash Ghai, Universalism and Relativism: Human Rights as a Framework for Negotiating Interethnic Claims, 21 CARDOZO L. REV. 1095–1102 (2000).

Contents

Table of Selected Authorities		xxi xxi
	Selected Cases, General Comments, & Advisory Opinions	xxiii
Dъ	EFACE	
ΓK	Researching International Human Rights Law	XXX
	Note Regarding Editing	xxxi
	Acknowledgments	xxxi
1.	An Overview of International Human Rights	
	AND HUMANITARIAN LAW DEVELOPMENT AND THEIR	
	Protection Mechanisms	1
	1.1. The Historical and Conceptual Development of International Human	1
	Rights and Humanitarian Law	1
	1.2. Overview of International Protection Mechanisms: History, Organization, and Operations	6
	1.2.1. United Nations Mechanisms	6
	1.2.1.1. UN Treaty-Based Tribunals	7
	International Criminal Court	8
	Human Rights Committee	8
	Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination	10
	Committee Against Torture	10
	Committee to Eliminate Discrimination Against Women	11
	Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights	11
	1.2.1.2. UN Charter-Based Tribunals and Other Mechanisms	11
	International Court of Justice	11
	International Criminal Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda	11
	UN High Commissioner for Human Rights	12
	Procedure under ECOSOC Resolution No. 1503	12
	Philip Alston, <i>The Commission on Human Rights</i> in Alston (ed.) THE	
	United Nations and Human Rights: A Critical Appraisal 126	
	(1992)	13
	Procedure under ECOSOC Resolution No. 1235	14
	Thematic and Country Mechanisms	15
	International Labour Organisation	15
	UN High Commissioner for Refugees	16
		ix