Edited by **Jens M. Scherpe**



EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW

VOLUME III

Family Law in a European Perspective



European Family Law Volume III

Family Law in a European Perspective

Edited by

Jens M. Scherpe



© The Editor and Contributors Severally 2016

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by Edward Elgar Publishing Limited The Lypiatts 15 Lansdown Road Cheltenham Glos GL50 2JA UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc. William Pratt House 9 Dewey Court Northampton Massachusetts 01060 USA

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015943242

This book is available electronically in the Law subject collection DOI 10.4337/9781785363054



ISBN 978 1 78536 304 7 (cased) ISBN 978 1 78536 298 9 (4 volume set) ISBN 978 1 78536 305 4 (eBook)

Typeset by Columns Design XML Ltd, Reading

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

Preface and acknowledgements

This book set on European Family Law was a massive undertaking, with 35 chapters by 37 contributors and was fraught with many practical difficulties, including joyful family events and also differing interpretations of the term 'final deadline'. Therefore it took much longer than expected, and I am very, very grateful to the publisher (and especially the Commissioning Editor John-Paul McDonald and Deputy Managing Editor Jane Bayliss as well as Sally Philip and Gillian Pickering) and the contributors for their patience and understanding. This applies especially to those colleagues who contributed the national reports in Volume II of the series and who therefore not only had to put up with my rigid ideas regarding the basic structure of horizontal, vertical and individual family law and the equally rigid ideas about the contents of these reports, but in many cases also had to update their chapters (even several times) when the finalisation of the book took longer than expected.

I would like to thank the Newton Trust for the support in the form of a Small Research Grant, which enabled me to get some editorial assistance. This was undertaken by Charlotte Leslie, and I am very grateful for her support as well, and also to Rosie Šnajdr and Elizabeth Aitken who helped securing the grant in the first place and with administering it.

Many people have contributed to this book in its various stages, particularly in the beginning when discussing its structure with me, which jurisdictions and topics to pick and which colleagues to approach to write them; and towards the end, with additional editorial assistance and when discussing my contributions and especially Volume IV with me. So I would like to thank (in no particular order) Anne Röthel, Claire Nielsen, Joanna Miles, Albertina Albors-Llorens, Claire Fenton-Glynn, Ruth Lamont, Brian Sloan, Walter Pintens, Joshua Baker and Peter Dunne – this book set would not be the same without you and your support, and perhaps it would not exist at all.

Finally, I would like to thank my nuclear (!) family: First, my two daughters, Helma and Lilo, who were both born during the period in which this book set was written and thus did not really contribute to the book set apart from being most delightful distractions, but undoubtedly saw a little less of me than they could have because of it – please do not

hold this against me when you are old enough to do so, and no, it certainly does not entitle you to a pony. And second, my wonderful wife, Ann-Christin, who very often had bear the brunt of my frustration with the lack of progress and of course with my foul moods when my own writing did not come together as I had hoped – you could have a pony if you really wanted one (although I would of course try to talk you out of it), but I am very grateful that you do not. Thank you so much for everything.

Jens M. Scherpe, St. Nicholas' Day 2015.

European family law – Introduction to the book set

Jens M. Scherpe

The central aim of this book set is to inform the reader about the emerging European family law. As this area is in a surprising state of flux, some of the details provided might be out of date by the time the books are read. But since the aim of the set is not to provide detailed knowledge but rather to focus on underlying principles and highlight certain developments, in the view of the authors this does not detract from its value. This set is intended to serve as a resource for anyone interested in family law in general and in European family law in particular, and does not purport to provide comprehensive answers to all the complex questions raised in this area of law. In many ways, therefore, it is meant to provide a starting point for research, which is indeed why all of the contributions not only contain a wealth of references in the footnotes but also a short list of suggested further reading in the respective topic at the end.

In many ways, putting together a book set on European family law was a daunting task. Not only is the definition of what a 'family' is increasingly undergoing changes in many jurisdictions, even what should be considered 'family *law*' is highly debated. If one adds 'European' into that mix, yet more questions arise. What is 'European' in this context? Does this comprise the entire continent, that is, should it go beyond the Member States of the European Union? To that the answer, at least for the purposes of this book set, is a clear 'yes'. As readers will have noted, the book set is called 'European Family Law' rather than 'European Union Family Law', and thus its scope extends beyond the borders of the European Union, and national reports on Russia, Switzerland and Turkey have been included in Volume II.

Many of my colleagues, particularly those involved in the teaching and research of European Union law, have suggested to me that this project was a complete absurdity, as there was no such thing as a 'European family law'. I was told that as there was no body, no institution that

actually had the power, legislative or otherwise, to create (or worse, impose) a 'European family law', there could be no such thing. In addition, I was told by several colleagues on many occasions that family law is and should remain a purely national matter, as it is too deeply rooted in the social and legal traditions of the respective jurisdictions and is not susceptible at all to harmonisation or unification - or even meaningful comparison. While these views of course made a point (at least to some extent), I nevertheless disagreed. But perhaps the disagreement arose only from a misunderstanding of what 'European family law' actually is. If my colleagues were referring to a comprehensive European Family Law Code, then of course they were right. But the absence of such a code does not mean that there is presently no European family law at all. On the contrary, as this book set shows, there is without any doubt an emerging European family law, comprising principles shared across jurisdictions, and there are institutions and organisations shaping this law. This European family law is not comprehensive; it is selective, covering some areas but not others - but it is certainly there and it continues to grow. And it is this European family law that this book set is about.

The first volume looks at the 'impact of institutions and organisations' on the emerging European family law and thus at what in Volume IV will be termed 'institutional European family law'. First and foremost, these institutions are the European Union and the Court of Justice of the European Union (Chapter 1 by Geert De Baere and Kathleen Gutman) and the European Court of Human Rights (Chapter 2 by Dagmar Coester-Waltjen). While the European Union has no direct competence to regulate national family laws, many legal acts of the European Union and decisions of the Court of Justice of the European Union establish 'minimum standards' for Member States in the area of their family law. The same is true of the growing body of case law of the European Court of Human Rights. The decisions of this court are of course only binding on the parties before it, but they nevertheless have a massive impact on all Contracting States, thus establishing minimum standards for often very specific areas of family law. In an increasingly 'globalised' world where families move between countries more and more, there is also increasing pressure on private international law, not only at the national level but also upon the private international law instruments of the European Union (Chapter 7 by Dieter Martiny) and the Hague Conference (Chapter 5 by Hannah Baker and Maja Groff). The impact of many such instruments, not only on the private international laws of the respective states but also on substantive family law, cannot be underestimated. The same undoubtedly is true of the work of the Council of Europe (Chapter 3 by Nigel Lowe) and the International Commission on

Civil Status (Chapter 4 by Walter Pintens). The academic initiative of the Commission on European Family Law (CEFL) which, based on in-depth comparative studies, is drafting 'Principles of European Family Law', is also becoming influential (Chapter 6 by Katharina Boele-Woelki) and these Principles are increasingly taken into account when law reform is debated. Finally, the Christian faith is often seen as a 'basis' or at least a unifying factor of Europe and thus potentially, by extension, for European family law. Hence the impact of religion (even though, strictly speaking, religion is neither an institution nor an organisation) is considered in Volume 1 as well.

As mentioned at the beginning of this introduction, there is considerable debate on what 'family' or 'family law' is. That is why the overarching theme for the national reports presented in the second volume is that of 'the changing concept of "family" and challenges for domestic family law'. These short national reports1 by necessity can only skim the surface of the respective national family laws, and they of course are not meant to provide a comprehensive description or analysis of these laws; rather they are supposed to give a snapshot of the development of family law in a changing world, divided into horizontal (legal relationships between adults), vertical (legal relationships between adults and children) and individual (name and gender identity) family law. In doing so, the existing differences between the jurisdictions become apparent as also do the similarities in development. So while the 'cultural restraints' argument - that national family laws are too embedded in their own legal culture to be susceptible to harmonisation - might hold true to a certain extent, certain common or emerging trends can be identified. Thus, as will be elaborated upon in Volume IV, an 'organically grown' European Family Law appears to be emerging, of course furthered by the developments described in Volume I.

In the **third volume** certain selected issues are looked at in a European perspective: *marriage* (Chapter 1 by Caroline Sörgjerd), *divorce* (Chapter 2 by Masha Antokolskaia), *unmarried cohabitation* (Chapter 3 by Joanna

¹ On the Benelux Countries (Frederik Swennen), England and Wales (Gillian Douglas), France (Laurence Francoz Terminal), Germany (Dieter Martiny), Greece (Eleni Zervogianni), Hungary (Orsolya Szeibert), Ireland (Brian Sloan), Italy (Maria G. Cubeddu-Wiedemann), the Nordic Countries (Tone Sverdrup), Russia (Olga Khazova), Scotland (Kenneth McK Norrie), Slovakia (Gabriela Kubíčková), Slovenia (Barbara Novak), Spain and Catalonia (Albert Lamarca Marquès), Switzerland (Ingeborg Schwenzer and Tomie Keller) and Turkey (Esin Örücü).

Miles), same-sex relationships (Chapter 4 by Ian Curry-Sumner), financial consequences of divorce (Chapter 5 by Jens M. Scherpe), the child's welfare (Chapter 6 by Rob George), parentage and surrogacy (Chapter 7 by Katarina Trimmings and Paul Beaumont), parental responsibility (Chapter 8 by Josep Ferrer-Riba), adoption (Chapter 9 by Claire Fenton-Glynn), and family law and older people (Chapter 10 by Jonathan Herring). These chapters cut across the topics and reports presented in the first and second volume of the book set, and show whether (and why) there is a European family law (or there are aspects of it) in the respective area, and, if so, to what extent.

The **fourth volume**, entitled 'The Present and the Future of European Family Law' and written by the editor of the first three volumes, contains a comparative summary and analysis, building on the other chapters of the book set. In doing so, a distinction is drawn between 'institutional' and 'organic' European family law. The former is perceived as the result of the institutional impact mainly discussed in Volume I but is of course of great significance for both Volume II and, especially, Volume III as well. The 'organic European family law' is the less well-defined, less tangible part of European family law that emerges gradually and that is the result of national law reform rather than imposition – but it is of course often brought about or even necessitated by decisions of the European Courts and by other institutional influences. The aim of the final volume is to draw together the information and analysis of the first three volumes (and other sources), to highlight developments and trends, and to provide an outlook on the future development of European family law.

Table of cases

EUROPEAN UNION

European Commission of Human Rights
X v Belgium and the Netherlands, App No 6482/74 (European Commission of
Human Rights, 10 July 1975)
European Court of Human Rights
A v UK [1998] 3 FCR 597
Abdulaziz v UK, App No 921480 (ECHR, 28 May 1985)
ADT v UK, App No 35765/97 (ECHR, 31 July 2000)
Ahrens v Germany, App No 45071/09, [2012] 2 FLR 483 (ECHR, 22 March 2012)
219
Airey v Ireland, App No 6289/73, (ECHR, 9 October 1979)
AK and L v Croatia, App No 37956/11, [2013] (ECHR, 8 January 2013) 303, 306
Albert and Le Compte v Belgium, App Nos 7299/75, 7496/76 (ECHR, 10 February
1983)
Anayo v Germany, App No 2057/07 [2010] ECHR 393
Aune v Norway, App 52502/07 (ECHR, 28 October 2010)
(ECHR, 14 September 1999)
BB v UK, App No 53760/00 (ECHR, 21 May 1996)
B and L v UK, App No 36536/02 (ECHR, 13 September 2005)
Bensaid v UK, App No 44599/98 (ECHR, 6 February 2001)
Boyle v UK, app No 55434/00 (1994) 19 EHRR 179 (ECHR, 8 January 2008) 359
Burden v UK, App No 13378/05, (2008) 47 ECHR 38 (ECHR, 29 April 2008) 84,
90, 127
C v Finland, App No 18249/02 [2006] 2 FLR 597 (ECHR, 9 May 2006)
Cossey v UK, App No 10843/84 (ECHR, 27 September 1990)
Courten v UK, App No 4479/06 (ECHR, 4 November 2008)
Dudgeon v UK, App No 7525/76 (ECHR, 22 October 1981)
F v Switzerland, App No 11329/85 (ECHR, 18 December 1987)
Ferguson and others v UK (application lodged 2 February 2011)
Fretté v France, App No 3651/97 (2002) 38 EHRR 21 (ECHR, 26 May 2002) 325,
329, 330
Gas and Dubois v France, App No 25951/07, Judgment of 15 March 2012 37
Godelli v Italy, App No 33783/09 [2012] ECHR 2035
Goodwin v UK, App No 28957/95 (ECHR, 11 July, 2002)

Görgülü v Germany, App No 740969/01 (ECHR, 26 May 2004)
Johansen v Norway, App No 17383/90 (1996) 23 EHRR 33 (ECHR, 7 August
1996)
Johnston and Others v Ireland, App No 9697/82 (ECHR, 18 December 1986) 30.
44, 45, 89
44, 45, 89 I v UK, App No 25680/94 (ECHR, 11 July 2002)
Ilascu and others v Moldova and Russia [GC], App No 48787/99 (ECHR, 8 July
2004)
Ireland v UK, App No 5310/71 [1978] 2 EHRR 25 (ECHR, 18 January 1978) 363
K and T v Finland, App No 25702/94 [2001] 2 FLR 707 (ECHR, 12 July
2001)
Karner v Austria, App No 40016/98 (ECHR, 24 July 2003)
Kearns v France, App No 35991/04 (2008) 50 EHRR 33 (ECHR, 10 January
2008) 318
2008)
1994)
Kutzner v Germany, App No 46544/99 [2002] (ECHR, 26 February 2002) 303
L v Finland
L and V v Austria, App No 39392/98 (ECHR, 9 January 2003)
Labassee v France, App no. 65941/11 (ECHR, 9 January 2003)
LCB v UK, [1998] ECHR 108, (ECHR, 9 June 1998)
McMichael v UK, App No 16424/1990 [1995] (ECHR, 24 February 1995) 293
Marckx v Belgium, App No 6833/74 (ECHR, 13 June 1979)
MD and others v Malta, App No 64791/10 (ECHR, 17 July 2012)
Mennesson v France, App No 65192/11 (ECHR, 26 June 2014)
Modinos v Cyprus, App No 15070/89 (ECHR, 22 April 1993)
MW v UK, App No 11313/02 (ECHR, 23 June 2009)
Neulinger and Shuruk v Switzerland, App No 41615/07 [2011] 1 FLR 122 (ECHR,
6 July 2010)
Nielson v Denmark, App No 10929/84 (1998) 11 EHRR 175 (ECHR, 28 November
1988)322, 360
Norris v Ireland, App No 10581/83 (ECHR, 26 October 1988)
Odièvre v France, App No 42326/98 (2003) 38 EHRR 43 (ECHR, 13 February
2003)
Oliari and others v Italy, App No 18766/11 and 36030/11 (ECHR, 21 July
2015)
Opuz v Turkey, App No 33401/02, (ECHR, 9 June 2009)
Osman v UK, App No 23452/94 (ECHR, 28 October 1998)
Paradiso and Campbell v Italy, App No 25358/12 (ECHR, 27 January 2015) 280
PB and JS v Austria, App No 18984/02 (ECHR, 22 July 2010)
P, C and S v UK, App No 56547/00 (ECHR, 16 July 2002)
Pini and Ors v Romania, App Nos 78028/01 and 78030/01 (2005) 40 EHRR 13
(ECHR, 2004) 322
Price v UK, App No 12402/86 (1988) DR 224 (ECHR, 14 July 1988)
R and H v UK, App No 35348/06 [2011] 2 FLR 1236 (ECHR, 11 July 2011) 218,
220 227
Rees v UK, App No 9532/81 (ECHR, 17 October 1986)
S v UK, App No 11716/85 (1986) 47 DR 274 (ECHR, 14 May 1986)

Sabou and Pircalab v Romania, App No 46572/99 (ECHR, 28 September 2004)
Sahin v Germany, App No 30943/96 [2003] ECHR 340 (ECHR, 8 July 2003) 323 Saucedo Gomez v Spain, App No 37784/97 (ECHR, 26 January 1999)
38, 89, 90, 140, 143 Scott v UK, App No 24745/97 [2000] 2 FCR 560
Shackell v UK, App No 45851/99 (ECHR, 27 April 2000)
Schneider v Gr, App No 43831799 (ECTIR, 27 April 2000)
Sheffield and Horsham v UK, App No 22885/93; 23390/94 (ECHR, 30 July 1998)
Šneersone and Kampanella v Italy, App No 14737/09 [2011] 2 FLR 1322 (ECHR,
12 July 2011)
Söderbäck v Sweden [1998] 29 EHRR 95
Sutherland v UK, App No 25186/94 (ECHR, 10 February 2004)
Todorova v Italy, App No 33932/06 [2009] ECHR 69 (ECHR, 13 January
2009)
TP and KM v UK, App No 28945/95 (2002) 34 EHRR 2
Valašinas v Lithuania, App No 44558/98 [2001] EHRR 479
Valliantos and others v Greece, App Nos. 29381/09 and 32684/09 (ECHR, 7
November 2013)
Wagner and JMWL v Luxembourg, App No 76240/01 (ECHR, 28 June 2007) 326 $$
327
X v Switzerland, App No 8924/80 (ECHR, 10 March 1981)
X v UK, (1997) 24 EHRR 143 (ECHR)
YC v UK, (App No 4547/10) [2012] 2 FLR 332 (ECHR) 217, 218, 219, 220–227
Yousef v Netherlands (App No 33711/95) [2003] 1 FLR 210 (ECHR)
Zaunegger v Germany, App No 22028/04 (ECHR, 3 December 2009)
European Court of Justice
$A\ Government\ Department\ and\ the\ Board\ of\ Management\ of\ a\ Community\ School,$
(Case C-363/12), ECLI:EU:C:2014:159
C.D. v S.T. (Case C-167/12) ECLI:EU:C:2014:169
D and Sweden v Council, (Case C-122-125/99P) ECLI:EU:C:2001:304
Furopean Parliament v Council of the EU, (Case C-540/09)
Metock, (Case C-127/08) ECLI:EU:C:2008:335
Netherlands v Reed, (Case 59/85) 91
Sahin, (Case C-551/07) ECLI:EU:C:2008:755 91
, (ease o <i>ootior)</i> , <i>BeBi.Be</i> .c.2000.700
NATIONAL
Austria
Decision of the Constitutional Court (Verfassungsgerichtshof) No B 13/11-10, 14

BelgiumAM & ND, Court of First Instance Nivelles, 6 April 2011273C, Court of First Instance Brussels, 6 April 2010273H & E, Court of First Instance Antwerp, 19 December 2008274M & M, Court of Appeal Liège, 6 September 2010274Samuel, Court of First Instance Brussels, 15 February 2011273
Canada Novia Scotia v Walsh, 2002 SCC 83; [2004] SCR 325 100, 107 Quebec v A, 2013 SCC 5 100, 107
Columbia Constitutional Court Decision C-0129 of 2009
England and Wales A and A v P, P and B [2011] EWHC 1738 (Fam); [2012] Fam 188 267 Ampthill Peerage, The [1977] AC 547 236 AR v AR (Treatment of Inherited Wealth) [2011] EWHC 2717 (Fam), [2012] 2 FLR 1 169, 170 B (A Child), Re [2009] UKSC 5 360 B (A Child), Re [2012] EWCA Civ 1475 224 B (Adoption: Natural Parent), Re [2001] UKHL 70, [2002] 1 FLR 196 214 B v B (Ancillary Relief) [2008] EWCA Civ 543 170 Charman v Charman [2007] EWCA Civ 503 191, 194 D and L (Surrogacy) [2012] EWHC 2631 (Fam) 256, 268 Ev UK [2002] 3 FCR 700 364 EH v A London Borough Council [2010] EWCA Civ 344, [2010] 2 FLR 661 222, 224 Fitzpatrick v Sterling Housing Association [2001] 1 AC 27 83 G (Education: Religious Upbringing), Re [2012] EWCA Civ 1233, [2012] 3 FCR 524
G (Residence: Same-Sex Parents), Re [2006] UKHL 43, [2006] 2 FLR 629 223,
G (Surrogacy: Foreign Domicile), Re [2007] EWHC 2814
322 H (A Child), Re [2013] EWCA Civ 72

KD (Access: Principles), Re [1988] 2 FLR 139 (HL)	214
L (A Minor), Re [2010] EWHC 3146 (Fam)	266, 267
Lambert v Lambert [2002] EWCA Civ 1685	165
Lauder v Lauder [2007] EWHC 1227	171, 194
M (Children), Re [2012] EWCA Civ 1710	224
M v Neath Port Talbot CBC [2010] EWCA Civ 821, [2010] 2 FLR 1827	223
McCartney v Mills McCartney [2008] EWHC 401 (Fam), [2008] 1 FLR 1	508 170
McFarlane v McFarlane (No 2) [2009] EWHC 891	171
Miller v Miller; McFarlane v McFarlane [2006] UKHL 24 165, 168, 16	9, 191, 204
N v F (Financial Orders: Pre-Acquired Wealth) [2011] EWHC 586 (Fam)	,[2011]2
FLR 533	
O (Contact: Imposition of Conditions), Re [1995] 2 FLR 124 (CA)	211
Payne v Payne [2001] EWCA Civ 166, [2001] 1 FLR 1052	
Piglowska v Piglowski [1999] 2 FLR 763 (HL)	
Radmacher v Granatino [2010] UKSC 42	0, 203, 204
Robson v Robson [2010] EWCA Civ 1171, [2011] 1 FLR 751	
Rutherford (No 2) v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry [2006] UKH	
19	
S (A Child) (Identification: Restrictions on Publicity), Re [2004] UKHL	47, [2005]
1 FLR 591	
S (Parental Order), Re [2009] EWHC 2977 (Fam)	
S v AG (Financial Remedy: Lottery Prize) [2011] EWHC 2637 (Fam)	
Sorrell v Sorrell [2005] EWHC 1717	165
TG (Care Proceedings: Case Management: Expert Evidence), Re [2013]	EWCA
Civ 5, [2013] 1 FLR 1250	223
VB v JP [2008] EWHC 112	
Wachtel v Wachtel [1973] Fam 72	169
White v White [2000] UKHL 5	6, 168, 204
WT (A Child), Re [2014] EWHC 1303 (Fam)	
X (Children), Re [2011] EWHC 3147 (Fam)	
X (A Child) (Surrogacy: Time Limits), In re [2014] EWHC 3135 (Fam)	
X & Y (Foreign Surrogacy) [2008] EWHC 3030 262, 263, 26	
Z and another v C and another [2011] EWHC 3181 (Fam)	256
France	
Cass., Soc. Ch 11 July 1989, Bull. Civ. Vol 311, No 514	123
Cass., Soc. Ch 11 July 1989, Bull Civ., Vol 312, No 515	123
Constitutional Court, Decision 2010-92, 28 January 2011	120
Cour de Cassation, Chambre Civile 1, 6 April 2011, 09-66.486	272
Cour de cassation, civile, Chambre civile 1, 6 avril 2011, 10-19.053	272
Ladijka v Caisse primaire d'assurance maladie de Nantes, Cour d'Appel l	
27 November 1985, on appeal from Commission de première instan	
sécurité sociale de Nantes, 19 January 1984 (1986) D 380	123
Secher v Air France, Cour d'Appel Paris, 1 Civ. Ch., 11 October 1995, on	appeal
from Conseil de prud'hommes de Paris, 14 November 1984, Case N	
8546.008/R (1986) D 380	123

Germany AG Nürnberg, UR III 0264/09, 14 December 2009 278 Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof) FamRZ 2009, 1659 = MDR 2009, 1225 = NJW-RR 2010 192 Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof) (16.10.2013) XII ZB 277/12, NJW 2013, 3645 170 Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof) (10.12,2014) XII ZB 463/13 25
Greece Supreme Court (Areios Pagos) Jugdment 114/2008, ChrID, 2009
India Yamada v Union of India, 2008 IND LAW SC 1554, 9 (29 September 2008) 234
Ireland MK v JPK [2003] 1 IR 326
New Zealand Harrison v Harrison [2005] 2 NZLR 349 (CA)
Spain Audiencia Provincial de Valencia (Seccion 10a), 23 November 2011, No 826/201 1 270 Decision of the Ministry of Justice, Madrid, 18 February 2009, No 2575/2008 270 Decision of the Tribunal de Primera Instancia No 15 of Valencia, 15 September 2010, No 193/2010 270
USA Buzzanca v Buzzanca, 61 Cal App 4th 1410, 72 Cal Rptr 2d 280 (Cal Ct App 1998)

Table of legislation

EUROPEAN	Commission on European Family
LOROI El III	Law's Principles on European
Charter of Rights and Fundamental	Family Law Regarding Parental
Rights of the European Union	Responsibilities 285, 288, 289,
	290, 294, 297, 305, 306, 307
(2000/C364/01)	Principles 3:1, 3:2 288
art 21	Principle 3:8
art 24(2)	Principle 3:9
Committee of Experts on Family Law	Principle 3:15
(CJ-FA) Draft Recommendation	Principle 3:17
on the Rights and Legal Status of	
Children and Parental	Principle 3:18
Responsibilities (Draft CM/Rec	Principles 3:19–3:24 289, 307
2011) 285, 294, 300	Principles 3:25–3:29
Principles 20, 22	Principle 3:30
Principle 24 288, 299	Principle 3:31 305, 306
Principle 25 300	Principle 3:32 294, 301
Principle 26 305	Commission on European Family
Principle 26(2) 307	Law's Principles on European
Principle 27 301	Family Law Regarding
Committee of Experts on Family Law	Property Relations Between
(CJ-FA) White Paper on Principles	Spouses 149, 179–186, 191,
Concerning the Establishment	192, 206
and Legal Consequences of	Principles 2:1–2:10
Parentage 285, 288, 289, 294,	Principles 4: 1–4:4
305	Principles 4:1–4:9 179
	Principle 4:5
Principle 19	Principle 4:6
Principle 20(3)	Principle 4:8
Principle 23	Principles 4:9–4:15
Principle 23(2) 306	Principles 4:10–4:15 179
Principles 23(3), (4) 307	Principle 4:15
Principle 24	Principles 4:16–4.32 179, 181
Commission on European Family Law	Principle 4:18(1)
Principles of European Family	Principle 4:18(2) 182
Law Regarding Divorce 65, 67	Principle 4:19 180, 181, 185
Principle 1:2	Principles 4:21, 4:22 185
Principle 1:4	Principle 4:28
Principle 1:5	Principle 4:31 181, 182
Principle 1:8, 1:10 66	Principle 4:32

Principles 4:33–4:58 179, 183 Principle 4:34, 4:35 184 Principle 4:36 180, 184 Principles 4:40–4:43 185 Principle 4:40 185 Principles 4:41–4:43 185 Principle 4:43 185 Principles 4:44–4:48 185 Principle 4:56 186 Principle 4:57 184, 186, 189	occupation, 2.12.2000 OJ L/303/16
Council of Europe Convention on	L/204/23281
Preventing and Combating	EU Commission Green Paper on
Violence against Women and	Conflict of Laws in matters
Domestic Violence 366	concerning matrimonial property
art 18	regimes, 17 July 2006, COM
Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003	(2006) 400 final (SEC (2006)
of 27 November 2003 concerning	952) 91
jurisdiction and the recognition	EU Commission Green Paper on the
and enforcement of judgments in	right to family reunification of
matrimonial matters and matters	third-country nationals living in
of parental responsibility OJ	the European Union, 15.11.2011
L338/1 (Brussels II bis) 285,	COM(2011) 735 final 24
288	European Convention on Human Rights
art 1.1, 1.2	(ECHR) 4, 25, 27, 28, 44, 45,
Directive 2004/38/EC of the European	89, 112, 144, 210, 213, 214, 274,
Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right if	284, 293, 313 art 128
citizens of the Union and their	art 2
family members to move and	art 3
reside freely within the territory of	art 6
the Member States 29.0.2004 OJ	art 8 29–32, 89, 139, 143, 213, 215,
L/229/35 91, 92,	217, 218, 220, 221, 222, 224,
arts 7(3), 14(4)(b)91	226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 279,
Directive 2004/58/EC of the European	280, 304, 313, 314, 319, 323,
Parliament and of the Council of	325, 327, 359, 364–365
29 April 2004 on the right if	art 12 29, 32-37, 44, 140, 141, 142,
citizens of the Union and their	143
family members to move and	art 14 29, 89, 139, 143, 325,
reside freely within the territory of	365–366 Protocol 1
the Member States, 29.6.2004 OJ L/229/3591	
arts 2, 3	Protocols 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13
Directive 2000/78/EC of the European	of Children, 1967 311, 312
Parliament and of the Council of	art 5(4)
27 November 2000, establishing a	European Convention on the Adoption
general framework for equal	of Children, 2008 312, 315
treatment in employment and	art 5(5)
1 /	(-)