

# HISTORIC U.S. COURT CASES

AN ENCYCLOPEDIA

SECOND EDITION



JOHN W. JOHNSON, EDITOR

HISTORIC U.S. COURT CASES  
AN ENCYCLOPEDIA

SECOND EDITION



John W. Johnson

Editor

Volume II

Routledge  
New York London

Published in 2001 by  
Routledge  
29 West 35th Street  
New York, NY 10001

Published in Great Britain by  
Routledge  
11 New Fetter Lane  
London EC4P 4EE

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group.

Copyright © 2001 by Routledge

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

#### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Historic U.S. court cases : an encyclopedia / John W. Johnson, editor.— 2nd ed.  
p. cm.

Rev. ed. of: Historic U.S. court cases, 1690–1990. 1992.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-415-93019-7 (set : alk. paper) — ISBN 0-415-93755-8 (v. 1 : alk. paper) —  
ISBN 0-415-93756-6 (v. 2 : alk. paper)

1. Law—United States—History. 2. Law—United States—Cases. I. Title: Historic U.S. court cases. II. Johnson, John W., 1946– III. Historic U.S. court cases, 1690–1990.

KF385.A4 J64 2001  
349.73'0264—dc21

2001031651

# Contents

Preface to Second Edition	vii		
Acknowledgments	xii		
<b>VOLUME I</b>			
<b>PART I</b>			
<b>CRIME AND CRIMINAL LAW 1</b>			
<b>Pre-1900</b>			
David Thomas Konig/Witchcraft and the Law <i>Salem Witchcraft Trials</i> (1692)	7		
Bonnie S. Ledbetter/Pirates Walk the Plank in Charleston <i>The King v. Bonnet</i> (1718)	16		
Bonnie S. Ledbetter/New York on Fire <i>The King v. Hughson</i> (1741)	18		
David Thomas Konig/The Writs of Assistance Cases <i>Petition of Lechmere</i> (1761)	22		
Harold B. Wohl/The Boston Massacre Trials <i>Rex v. Preston</i> (1770), <i>Rex v. Weems</i> (1770), and <i>Rex v. Manwaring</i> (1770)	27		
Mary K. Bonsteel Tachau/Treason and the Whiskey "Insurrection" <i>U.S. v. Mitchell</i> (1795) and <i>U.S. v. Vigol</i> (1795)	34		
Yasuhide Kawashima/Defective Indictment <i>The State v. Owen</i> (1810)	37		
Marie E. Windell/A Double Standard of Justice: Is Adultery by a Wife Worse Than Murder by Her Husband? <i>Cortes v. de Russey</i> (1843)	39		
Gordon Morris Bakken/Death for Grand Larceny <i>People v. Tanner</i> (1852)	46		
Thomas D. Morris/The Constitution: A Law for Rulers in War and Peace? <i>Ex parte Vallandigham</i> (1864), and <i>Ex parte</i> <i>Milligan</i> (1866)	48		
Elisabeth A. Cawthon/Public Opinion, Expert Testimony, and "The Insanity Dodge" <i>U.S. v. Guiteau</i> (1882)	53		
		<b>1900-1959</b>	
		William Lasser/The Fruits of the Poisonous Tree <i>Weeks v. U.S.</i> (1914)	59
		Wayne K. Hobson/Two Nations: The Case of Sacco and Vanzetti <i>Commonwealth v. Sacco and Vanzetti</i> (1926)	62
		Philippa Strum/Are Bootleggers Entitled to Privacy? <i>Olmstead v. U.S.</i> (1928)	69
		Roger D. Hardaway/Showdown Over Gun Control <i>U.S. v. Miller</i> (1939)	74
		John W. Johnson/Icons of the Cold War: The Hiss-Chambers Case <i>U.S. v. Alger Hiss</i> (1950)	77
		Joseph Glidewell/A Crime Worse Than Murder <i>U.S. v. Julius and Ethel Rosenberg</i> (1951)	83
		<b>1960-2000</b>	
		Stephen Lowe/The Exclusionary Rule Binds the States <i>Mapp v. Ohio</i> (1961)	91
		Tinsley E. Yarbrough/"Good Faith" and the Exclusionary Rule <i>U.S. v. Leon</i> (1984)	94
		Tinsley E. Yarbrough/"Incorporation" and the Right to Counsel <i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i> (1963)	99
		Delane Ramsey/Lawyer? You Want a Lawyer? <i>Escobedo v. Illinois</i> (1964)	104
		B. Keith Crew/"You Have the Right to Remain Silent" <i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> (1966), <i>Vigner v. New</i> <i>York</i> (1966), <i>Westover v. U.S.</i> (1966), and <i>California v. Stewart</i> (1966)	108
		B. Keith Crew/The Death and Resurrection of Capital Punishment <i>Furman v. Georgia</i> (1972) and <i>Gregg v.</i> <i>Georgia</i> (1976)	114



B. Keith Crew/Plea Bargaining and the "Vindictive" Exercise of Prosecutorial Discretion	121	Richard E. Ellis/Judicial Review of State Court Decisions: Yet Another Round	195
<i>Bordenkircher v. Hayes</i> (1978)		<i>Cohens v. Virginia</i> (1821)	
Nancy Isenberg/Will the Real Patty Hearst Please Stand Up?	124	Thomas E. Baker/Federalism Writ Large: The Eleventh Amendment and State Sovereign Immunity	199
<i>U.S. v. Patricia Hearst</i> (1978)		<i>Alden v. Maine</i> (1999)	
Joseph Glidewell/For Pure Cold Cash: The Walker and Ames Espionage Cases	129		
<i>U.S. v. John A. Walker, Jr.</i> (1985)		<b>Judicial Procedure</b>	
Elizabeth E. Traxler/Surrogate Motherhood: Womb for Rent	135	Robert S. Lambert/A Rebuke to the Court	205
<i>In re Baby M</i> (1988)		<i>Chisholm v. Georgia</i> (1793)	
Wayne K. Hobson/Narratives in Black and White: The O. J. Simpson Trials as Social Drama	139	David J. Langum/California Rejects the Mandatory Conciliation Formerly Required under Mexican Law	209
<i>California v. Simpson</i> (1995) and <i>Rufo, et al. v. Simpson</i> (1997)		<i>Von Schmidt v. Huntington</i> (1850)	
		Yasuhide Kawashima/Congress Should First Define the Offenses and Apportion the Punishment: Federal Common-Law Crimes	213
		<i>U.S. v. Worrall</i> (1798)	
<b>PART II</b>		James W. Ely Jr./Federal Common Law of Crimes	218
<b>GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION, POWER, AND PROCEDURE 147</b>		<i>U.S. v. Hudson &amp; Goodwin</i> (1812)	
<b>Separation of Powers</b>		F. Thornton Miller/Federal Common Law?	221
Robert S. Lambert/A Hint of Judicial Review	155	<i>Swift v. Tyson</i> (1842) and <i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i> (1938)	
<i>Rutgers v. Waddington</i> (1784)		Roger D. Hardaway/A Leg to Stand On: Taxpayer Lawsuits Against the U.S. Government	225
Herbert A. Johnson/The Supreme Court Declares Its Independence: Judicial Review of Federal Statutes	159	<i>Flast v. Cohen</i> (1968)	
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i> (1803)		Christopher Rossi/A Nicaraguan Feast: Having the Jurisdictional Cake and Eating It Too	228
William Lasser/The High-Water Mark of Presidential Power	164	<i>Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua</i> (1984)	
<i>U.S. v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp. et al.</i> (1936)		Charles E. Quirk/From Court Side to Courtroom	233
Barbara Hinkson Craig/How One Immigrant Shook the U.S. Government to Its Very Core	168	<i>NCAA v. Tarkanian</i> (1988)	
<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha</i> (1983)			
Thomas E. Baker/Contemporary Lessons in the Separation of Powers: Congressional Standing and the Line-Item Veto	177	<b>Political Questions</b>	
<i>Raines v. Byrd</i> (1997) and <i>Clinton v. New York</i> (1998)		Harry W. Fritz/The Right of Revolution v. the Right of Revolution	239
		<i>Luther v. Borden</i> (1849)	
<b>Federalism</b>		Thomas D. Morris/When Was a War a War, and What If It Was?	243
Richard E. Ellis/Judicial Review of State Court Decisions	183	<i>Prize Cases</i> (1863)	
<i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i> (1816)		John Walker Mauer/More Than a Trojan Horse: The Test Oath Cases	247
Maxwell Bloomfield/Implied Federal Powers: Pandora's Box?	187	<i>Ex parte Garland</i> (1867) and <i>Cummings v. Missouri</i> (1867)	
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i> (1819)			

Thomas D. Morris/Indestructible Union, Indestructible States <i>Texas v. White</i> (1869)	250	Craig T. Friend/Justice Story, Bankruptcy, and the Supreme Court <i>Sturges v. Crowninshield</i> (1819)	340
Tinsley E. Yarbrough/The White Primary <i>Smith v. Allwright</i> (1944)	254	Elizabeth B. Monroe/Abridging Vested Interests: The Battle of the Massachusetts Bridges <i>The Proprietors of the Charles River Bridge v. the Proprietors of the Warren Bridge</i> (1837)	343
Stephen H. Wainscott/From the "Political Thicket" to "One Man, One Vote" <i>Baker v. Carr</i> (1962) and <i>Reynolds v. Sims</i> (1964)	258	David A. Walker/"An Innocent Sort of a Duck!": Iron Range Pioneers Challenge John D. Rockefeller <i>Rockefeller v. Merritt</i> (1896)	352
Christine L. Nemacheck/The 2000 Florida Election Cases: Politics over Principles <i>Bush v. Gore</i> (2000) and <i>Bush v. Palm Beach County Canvassing Board</i> (2000)	267		
<b>Governmental Scandals</b>		<b>Commerce</b>	
Richard E. Ellis/Can Intemperate Behavior Be a "High Crime or Misdemeanor"? <i>The Impeachment Trial of Samuel Chase</i> (1805)	275	Francis N. Stites/A More Perfect Union: The Steamboat Case <i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i> (1824)	357
Wallace Hettle/The Right to Remove a President from Office Is Tested <i>The Impeachment and Trial of Andrew Johnson</i> (1868)	285	Craig T. Friend/An Omen of Change: State Power to Regulate Commerce <i>New York v. Miln</i> (1837)	365
Richard R. Broadie/The Court Topples a Presidency <i>U.S. v. Nixon</i> (1974)	289	Robert Stanley/When Monopoly Mattered <i>U.S. v. E. C. Knight Co.</i> (1895)	368
Brenda Farrington Myers/Credibility and Crisis in California's High Court <i>People v. Tanner</i> (1978) and (1979)	294	Robert A. Waller/Regulation in the Public Interest <i>U.S. v. Trans-Missouri Freight Association</i> (1897)	373
Richard R. Broadie/Justice Delayed Is Justice Denied <i>Clinton v. Jones</i> (1997)	298	Fred D. Ragan/Commerce and National Police Powers <i>Charles F. Champion, Appt. v. John C. Ames</i> (1903)	376
James D. King/The Travails of William Jefferson Clinton <i>The Impeachment and Trial of William Clinton</i> (1998–1999)	302	David A. Walker/Busting Trusts with More Backbone Than a Banana <i>Northern Securities Company v. U.S.</i> (1904) and <i>Standard Oil Company of New Jersey et al. v. U.S.</i> (1911)	379
<b>PART III</b>		Richard C. Cortner/The Wagner Act and the Constitutional Crisis of 1937 <i>National Labor Relations Board v. Jones &amp; Laughlin Steel Corp.</i> (1937)	383
<b>ECONOMICS AND</b>		Joseph Glidewell/The "Indigent" Migrant <i>Edwards v. California</i> (1941)	392
<b>ECONOMIC REGULATION</b> 315		C. Herman Pritchett/Two Hundred and Thirty-Nine Bushels of Wheat <i>Wickard v. Filburn</i> (1942)	396
<b>Contracts</b>		Richard C. Cortner/Of Barbecue and Commerce <i>Katzenbach v. McClung</i> (1964)	399
Yasuhide Kawashima/The Power to Amend Corporate Charters <i>Currie's Administrators v. The Mutual Assurance Society</i> (1809)	323	David A. Walker/A Popular Monopoly: <i>United States v. Microsoft</i> <i>U.S. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> (2000)	402
Harry Fritz/When a Contract Obtained by Fraud Is Still a Contract <i>Fletcher v. Peck</i> (1810)	326		
Francis N. Stites/Balancing Private Good and Public Good <i>Dartmouth College v. Woodward</i> (1819)	331		

**Labor**

- William M. Wiecek/The Legitimacy of Labor Organization 409  
*People v. Fisher* (1835) and *Commonwealth v. Hunt* (1842)
- Wayne K. Hobson/"An Injury to One Is an Injury to All" 412  
*In re Debs* (1895)
- Philippa Strum/Can Children Under 14 Legally Hold Full-Time Jobs? 418  
*Hammer v. Dagenhart* (1918)

**Miscellaneous**  
**Governmental Regulation**

- Maxwell Bloomfield/Copyright Law: Limiting Literary Monopolies 427  
*Wheaton v. Peters* (1834)
- Eric Monkkonen/Corporate Growth v. States' Rights 430  
*Bank of Augusta v. Earle* (1839)
- James W. Ely Jr./The Scope of Admiralty Jurisdiction 436  
*Propeller Genesee Chief v. Fitzhugh* (1851)
- Elizabeth B. Monroe/"A Sore Grievance" to the Traveler 439  
*West River Bridge Company v. Dix* (1848)
- Gordon Morris Bakken/Destructive Creation 442  
*California v. Gold Run Ditch and Mining Company* (1884)
- Don L. Hofsommer/Minor Case, Major Decision 445  
*Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad Company* (1886)
- Maxwell Bloomfield/Politics v. Precedents: The Income Tax Cases 448  
*Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Company* (1895)
- Fred. D. Ragan/National Police Powers: The Oleomargarine Case 456  
*McCray v. U.S.* (1904)
- Sondra Spencer/State Legislative Power and Municipal Trusts 459  
*City of Monterey v. David Jacks* (1906)

**Substantive Due Process**

- William M. Wiecek/Prohibition and the Due Process Clause 465  
*Wynehamer v. People* (1856)
- Donald G. Nieman/The Fourteenth Amendment Receives Its First Judicial Construction 469  
*Slaughterhouse Cases* (1873)

- Richard R. Broadie/The Court Enters the Age of Reform 478  
*Munn v. Illinois* (1877)
- Melvin I. Urofsky/A "Right" to Make Cigars 482  
*In re Jacobs* (1885)
- Fred D. Ragan/"Mere Meddlesome Interferences": The Apogee of Substantive Due Process 485  
*Lochner v. New York* (1905)
- Melvin I. Urofsky/The Iceman and the Public 494  
*New State Ice Company v. Liebmann* (1932)
- C. Herman Pritchett/The Chambermaid's Revenge 498  
*West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish* (1937)

**Negligence and Tort Law**

- John W. Johnson/Fellow Servants Beware 507  
*Farwell v. The Boston and Worcester Railroad Corp.* (1842)
- Paul M. Kurtz/Contributory Negligence as a "Brake" on Suits Against Railroads 512  
*Haring v. New York and Erie Railroad Company* (1852)
- Paul M. Kurtz/Railroad Development and Nuisance Law 514  
*Hentz v. The Long Island Railroad Company* (1857)
- Kermit L. Hall/The Great Dog Fight Case 518  
*George Brown v. George K. Kendall* (1850)
- G. Edward White/The Origins of Consumer Rights in Tort Law 521  
*MacPherson v. Buick Motor Company* (1916)
- G. Edward White/Negligence Theory at Its Zenith 525  
*Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Company* (1928)
- H. Daniel Holm Jr./When "The Thing Speaks for Itself": *res ipsa loquitur* and the Proof of Negligence 529  
*Escola v. Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Fresno* (1944)
- Howard Ball/The "Nuking" of American Civilians 533  
*Allen et al. v. U.S.* (1987)
- H. Daniel Holm Jr./You Deserve a Brick Today: Products Liability Law and McDonald's Coffee 538  
*Liebeck v. McDonald's Restaurants* (1994) and *Holowaty v. McDonald's Corp.* (1998)

<b>Natural Resources, Technology, and the Environment</b>			
William F. Steirer Jr./Riparian Doctrine: A Short Case History for the Eastern United States	545	Paul Finkelman/Emancipation of Slaves in Transit <i>Commonwealth v. Aves</i> (1836)	587
<i>H. &amp; W. Omelvanny v. Elisha Jaggars</i> (1835) and <i>White v. Whitney Manufacturing Company</i> (1901)		Paul Finkelman/Upholding the Fugitive Slave Law of 1793 <i>Prigg v. Pennsylvania</i> (1842)	591
James W. Ely Jr./Conflict over Water Power in Massachusetts	548	Kermit L. Hall/"They Have No Rights" <i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i> (1857)	595
<i>Cary v. Daniels</i> (1844)		Thomas D. Morris/Slavery, Freedom, and Federal Judicial Power <i>Ableman v. Booth</i> (1859)	604
Gordon Morris Bakken/A Law for Water in the West	550	Paul Finkelman/Slaves-in-Transit and the Antebellum Crisis <i>Lemmon v. People</i> (1860)	608
<i>Irwin v. Phillips</i> (1855)			
M. Catherine Miller/Dividing the Rivers: Rule of Law in an Arid State	553	<b>African Americans Since 1865</b>	
<i>Lux v. Haggin</i> (1886)		Robert M. Goldman/No "Right" to Vote: The Reconstruction Election Cases <i>U.S. v. Reese</i> (1876) and <i>U.S. v. Cruikshank</i> (1876)	613
Gordon Morris Bakken/The Hydraulic Society of the Colorado River <i>Arizona v. California</i> (1963)	558	Jonathan Lurie/Civil Rights or Last Rites? <i>Civil Rights Cases</i> (1883)	618
George T. Mazuzan/Controversy over a Fast- Breeder	561	Robert P. Green Jr./"Separate But Equal" Approved <i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i> (1896)	624
<i>Power Reactor Development Co., Petitioner, v. International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL-CIO, et al.</i> (1960) and <i>U.S. et al., Petitioners v. International Union of Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers, AFL-CIO, et al.</i> (1960)		Henry Lewis Suggs/Race, Law, and Gender in South Carolina, 1925-1927 <i>South Carolina v. Lowman</i> (1927)	629
J. Samuel Walker/The Atomic Energy Commission and the Environment	565	Henry Lewis Suggs/Justice Vindicated: The Case of William Harper, 1931 <i>Virginia v. Harper</i> (1931)	633
<i>Calvert Cliffs Coordinating Committee, Inc. et al. v. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and the U.S.</i> (1971)		Robert F. Martin/The Scottsboro Cases <i>Powell v. Alabama</i> (1932) and <i>Norris v. Alabama</i> (1935)	637
John W. Johnson/Insuring Against Nuclear Plant Accidents	569	Robert P. Green Jr./Separate Education Is Not Equal Education <i>Brown v. Board of Education</i> (1954)	645
<i>Duke Power Company v. Carolina Environmental Study Group, Inc., et al.</i> (1978) and <i>U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission v. Carolina Environmental Study Group, Inc., et al.</i> (1978)		F. Thornton Miller/The Little Rock Crisis: State Interposition Against the Supreme Court <i>Cooper v. Aaron</i> (1958)	657
<b>VOLUME II</b>		Roger D. Hardaway/A Case of Black and White: Removing Restrictions Against Interracial Marriages <i>Loving v. Virginia</i> (1967)	662
<b>PART IV</b>		Robert P. Green Jr./The School Busing Case <i>Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education</i> (1971)	666
<b>RACE, GENDER, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, AND DISABILITY 575</b>		Sonia Ingles/Desegregation Heads North <i>Keyes v. School District No. 1</i> (1973) and <i>Milliken v. Bradley</i> (1974)	671
<b>Slavery</b>			
David Thomas Konig/The End of Slavery in Massachusetts	583		
<i>Walker v. Jennison</i> (1781), <i>Jennison v. Caldwell</i> (1781), <i>Commonwealth v. Jennison</i> (1783)			



Robert P. Green Jr./Far Enough? The Rehnquist Court and Desegregation <i>Freeman v. Pitts</i> (1992) and <i>Missouri v. Jenkins</i> (1995)	676	Nancy S. Erickson/A Supreme Court First: Equal Protection Applied to Women <i>Reed v. Reed</i> (1971)	765
<b>Native Americans</b>		Elizabeth E. Traxler/Sex Discrimination: Reasonable or Suspect? <i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i> (1973)	769
John R. Wunder/The Cherokee Cases <i>Cherokee Nation v. Georgia</i> (1831) and <i>Worcester v. Georgia</i> (1832)	685	Nancy S. Erickson/Law Upheld Guaranteeing Right to Return to Work after Childbirth Leave of Absence <i>California Federal Savings and Loan Association v. Guerra</i> (1987)	772
Thomas Burnell Colbert/The "Death Knell of the Nations" <i>Boudinot v. U.S.</i> (1871)	695	Philippa Strum/The Fetus and the Workplace <i>International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America v. Johnson Controls</i> (1991)	775
John R. Wunder/Why Native Americans Can No Longer Count on Treaties with the U.S. Government <i>Lone Wolf v. Hitchcock</i> (1903)	700	Philippa Strum/Do Women Belong in Military Academies? <i>U.S. v. Virginia</i> (1996)	779
John R. Wunder/Native American Land Claims: The Indians Finally Win <i>Joint Tribal Council of the Passamaquoddy Tribe v. Morton</i> (1975)	706	Stephen Lowe/Protecting Students from Sexual Harassment <i>Franklin v. Gwinnett County Public Schools</i> (1992) and <i>Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education</i> (1999)	784
<b>Other Racial Minorities</b>		<b>Gays and Lesbians</b>	
John R. Wunder/Chinese Laundries and the Fourteenth Amendment <i>Yick Wo v. Hopkins</i> (1885)	717	Roger D. Hardaway/When Consenting Adults Can't: Privacy, the Law, and Homosexual Conduct <i>Doe v. Commonwealth's Attorney</i> (1975)	791
Paul Finkelman/The Japanese Internment Cases <i>Hirabayashi v. U.S.</i> (1943), <i>Yasui v. U.S.</i> (1943), <i>Korematsu v. U.S.</i> (1944) and <i>Ex parte Endo</i> (1944)	722	Stephen Lowe/Does the Right to Privacy End Where Sexual Preference Begins? <i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i> (1986)	794
John R. Wunder/How Should We Pay for Our Schools? <i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i> (1973)	732	Stephen Lowe/"Animus" or Moral Justification?: Anti-Gay Laws and Equal Protection <i>Romer v. Evans</i> (1996)	798
Mike Healy/Affirmative Action: Can a White, College-Educated Male Be a Victim of Discrimination? <i>Regents of the University of California v. Bakke</i> (1978)	738	<b>Americans with Disabilities</b>	
Melvin I. Urofsky/Is Race Still a Compelling Factor? <i>Hopwood v. Texas</i> (1996)	744	William Lasser/"Three Generations of Imbeciles Are Enough" <i>Buck v. Bell</i> (1927)	803
<b>Women</b>		Larry D. Bartlett/School Medical Services for Children with Disabilities <i>Irving Independent School District v. Tatro</i> (1984) and <i>Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garret F.</i> (1999)	806
Fara Y. Driver/Should a Woman Be Admitted to the Bar? <i>Bradwell v. Illinois</i> (1873)	751	Robert F. Martin/Mitigating Measures and the Definition of Disability <i>Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc.</i> (1999), <i>Murphy v. United Parcel Service, Inc.</i> (1999) and <i>Albertsons, Inc. v. Kirkingburg</i> (1999)	810
Robert A. Waller/Aberration in the Movement Toward an Eight-Hour Day <i>Ritchie v. People</i> (1895)	754		
Melvin I. Urofsky/The Law Recognizes "Women Are Different" <i>Muller v. Oregon</i> (1908)	757		

- Richard R. Broadie/Is Walking an Integral  
Part of the Game of Golf?  
815  
*PGA Tour vs. Martin* (2001) and  
*Ford Olinger v. United States Golf  
Association* (1999)

## PART V CIVIL LIBERTIES 819

### Freedom of Speech

- Maxwell Bloomfield/State Wrongs and the  
Bill of Rights  
829  
*Barron v. Mayor of Baltimore* (1833)  
Carol E. Jenson/Defining Free-Speech  
Protection in the World War I Era  
832  
*Schenck v. U.S.* (1919) and *Abrams v.  
U.S.* (1919)  
Carol E. Jenson/Agrarian Reform and the  
Politics of Loyalty  
841  
*Gilbert v. Minnesota* (1920)  
Harold Josephson/Expanding Free Speech to  
the States  
845  
*Gitlow v. New York* (1925)  
Carol E. Jenson/Silencing Critics: Guilt by  
Association in the 1920s  
850  
*Whitney v. California* (1927)  
Carol E. Jenson/The California Red Flag Law  
and Freedom of Speech  
854  
*Stromberg v. California* (1931)  
Michal R. Belknap/Cold War, Communism,  
and Free Speech  
858  
*Dennis v. U.S.* (1951)  
Jerold L. Simmons and Karen Bruner/The  
Smith Act Narrowed  
867  
*Yates v. U.S.* (1957)  
Susan Duffy/"Breach of Peace" and Group  
Libel  
871  
*Beauharnais v. Illinois* (1952)  
John W. Johnson/The Constitution Finds Its  
Way Inside the Schoolhouse Gate  
874  
*Tinker v. Des Moines Independent  
Community School District* (1969)  
Tinsley E. Yarbrough/Commercial Speech  
and the First Amendment  
879  
*Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v.  
Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.*  
(1976)  
Roger D. Hardaway/Free Speech and Legal  
Ethics: The Issue of Lawyer Advertising  
884  
*Bates v. State Bar of Arizona* (1977)  
Paul Finkelman/Flag Burning and the  
Constitution  
887  
*Texas v. Johnson* (1989) and *Eichman v.  
U.S.* (1990)

- Tinsley E. Yarbrough/Reigniting the  
"Fighting Words" / "Symbolic Speech"  
891  
Debate: Cross Burning and the Constitution  
*R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, Minnesota*  
(1992)

### Freedom of the Press

- William F. Steirer Jr./Myth and Reality: The  
Case of John Peter Zenger  
897  
*Rex v. John Peter Zenger* (1735)  
Mary K. Bonsteel Tachau/The Sedition Act  
and the Price of a Free Press  
901  
*U.S. v. Callender* (1800)  
Paul L. Murphy/The Limits of Prior Restraint  
905  
*Near v. Minnesota* (1931)  
Susan Duffy/Public Officials, Libel, and a  
Free Press  
912  
*New York Times Company v. Sullivan* (1964)  
Susan Duffy/Public Personalities and the  
Right to Privacy  
915  
*Time Inc. v. Hill* (1967)  
Edwin E. Moise/The Pentagon Papers  
918  
*New York Times Company v. U.S.* (1971)  
Susan Duffy/Public Disclosure of Private  
Facts  
925  
*Cox Broadcasting Corporation v. Cohn*  
(1975)  
Edwin E. Moise/Did CBS Libel General  
Westmoreland?  
928  
*Westmoreland v. Columbia Broadcasting  
System, Inc.* (1984)  
Donald E. Boles/The "Preacher" and the  
"Smut Merchant"  
932  
*Hustler Magazine v. Falwell* (1988)

### Freedom of Religion

- Gordon Morris Bakken/Religion, Cultural  
Pluralism, and the Constitution:  
874  
Mormonism and Polygamy  
*Reynolds v. U.S.* (1879) and *Davis v.  
Beason* (1889)  
Bernard K. Duffy/The Scopes Trial: A  
Collision of Cultures  
942  
*Tennessee v. Scopes* (1925)  
Paul Finkelman/The Flag Salute Cases  
947  
*Minersville School District v. Gobitis*  
(1940) and *West Virginia State  
Board of Education v. Barnette*  
(1943)  
Frank J. Sorauf/Religion in the Public  
School Day: The Released Time Cases  
957  
*McCullum v. Board of Education* (1948)  
and *Zorach v. Clauson* (1952)

Paul L. Murphy/To Pray or Not to Pray: The Supreme Court Says No to Prayer in the Public Schools <i>Engel v. Vitale</i> (1962)	965	Jerold L. Simmons/The Court and the Committee: Part Two <i>Barenblatt v. U.S.</i> (1959)	1019
Delane Ramsey/Conscientious Objection: A Right or a Privilege? <i>U.S. v. Seeger</i> (1965)	972	Jerold L. Simmons/The Right to Travel <i>Aptheke v. Secretary of State</i> (1964)	1022
William Lasser/Lemon Test, Not So Pretty <i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i> (1971)	975	Thomas E. Baker/Family Welfare Rights and the Right to Travel <i>Dandridge v. Williams</i> (1970) and <i>Saenz v. Roe</i> (1999)	1025
Steven D. Reschly/Expanded Exercise: The Amish, Compulsory Education, and Religious Freedom <i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i> (1972)	978		
Frank J. Sorauf/The Pieties of Silence <i>Wallace v. Jaffree</i> (1985)	983	<b>Privacy</b>	
Tinsley E. Yarbrough/Religious Practices and the First Amendment: The Peyote Case <i>Employment Division v. Smith</i> (1990)	986	John W. Johnson/Meaningful Shadows? The Right of Privacy Achieves Constitutional Status <i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i> (1965)	1031
		Howard Ball/The Right of Guardians to Terminate Life-Extending Medical Treatment <i>In Re Quinlan</i> (1976) and <i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health</i> (1990)	1036
<b>Obscenity and Pornography</b>		Howard Ball/Physician-Assisted Suicide: Death with Dignity? <i>Vacco v. Quill</i> (1997) and <i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i> (1997)	1040
Elizabeth E. Traxler/Obscenity: "I Know It When I See It!" <i>Roth v. U.S.</i> (1957)	993	Mary K. Bonsteel Tachau/Abortion: Who Shall Decide? <i>Roe v. Wade</i> (1973) and <i>Webster v. Reproductive Health Services</i> (1989)	1047
William Lasser/A Book Named <i>Fanny Hill</i> <i>Memoirs v. Massachusetts</i> (1966)	997	Tinsley E. Yarbrough and John W. Johnson/ Preserving the "Essence" of <i>Roe v. Wade</i> : The Rehnquist Court and Abortion <i>Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</i> (1992) and <i>Stenberg v. Carhart</i> (2000)	1053
William Lasser/The Triumph of "Community Standards" <i>Miller v. California</i> (1973) and <i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i> (1973)	1000		
Stephen Lowe/Pornography, Feminism, and Free Speech <i>American Booksellers v. Hudnut</i> (1985) and <i>Dworkin v. Hustler Magazine</i> (1989)	1004		
Tinsley E. Yarbrough/"Cyberporn" and the Constitution <i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i> (1997)	1007		
		Index of Cases	1059
<b>Other Civil Liberties Issues</b>		Index of Names and Subjects	1063
Jerold L. Simmons/The Court and the Committee <i>Watkins v. U.S.</i> (1957)	1015		

## PART IV

# RACE, GENDER, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, AND DISABILITY

- Slavery
- African Americans Since 1865
- Native Americans
- Other Racial Minorities
- Women
- Gays and Lesbians
- Americans with Disabilities





Despite the stirring affirmations of equality in the Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution, thousands of legal disputes in the history of the American colonies and the United States have involved discrimination or perceived discrimination against racial minorities, women, homosexuals, and persons with disabilities. Since 1750, the general tendency has been for American courts to extend incrementally, albeit at a glacial pace, rights to racial minorities and women that most white men enjoyed from the beginning. Only within the last few years have gay men and women and persons with disabilities begun to receive any protection under the law.

Most experts would agree that the American courts have been more sympathetic to the rights of the “historically disadvantaged” than have this country’s legislative or executive bodies. Courts, in other words, have been the focus and the forums for the most significant advances in the legal rights of the people whom the “founding fathers” (an appropriate term for fifty-five white males who attended the 1787 Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia) largely left out of the great democratic experiment. The forty-three selections in this portion of the *Encyclopedia* examine a sample of some of the most important U.S. cases involving discrimination and its remedies.

## Slavery

By any quantitative or qualitative standard, the greatest injustice to a racial minority in American history was the chattel slavery of African Americans. It is important to emphasize that slavery was a legally protected institution from the earliest colonial times until well into the nineteenth century in virtually all jurisdictions of North America. “The End of Slavery in Massachusetts” presents a discussion of an early set of cases that helped extinguish slavery in New England.

The other five selections in this section deal with the vexing legal issues presented when slaves were taken out of the American South. “Emancipation of Slaves in Transit” describes a famous ruling in which a Massachusetts court held that any slave, except a fugitive, becomes free the moment he or she enters a free jurisdiction. The fugitive slave exception is covered in “Upholding the Fugitive Slave Law of 1793.” The landmark case of *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, sometimes called the U.S. Supreme Court’s “greatest self-inflicted wound,” is examined in “They Have No Rights.” Efforts by northern states to resist fugitive slave laws on the eve of the Civil War are discussed in “Slavery, Freedom, and Federal Judicial Power” and “Slaves-in-Transit and the Antebellum Crisis.”

## African Americans Since 1865

The advancement of African Americans from slavery to freedom has taken over a century. And the process is still not completed. The twelve selections in this section chart the ebb and flow of legal rights of black Americans. The retreat from black civil rights in the Reconstruction is discussed in “No ‘Right’ to Vote: The Reconstruction Election Cases” and “Civil Rights or Last Rites?” The selec-

tion “‘Separate But Equal’ Approved” presents a discussion of the case that provided the inglorious justification for the segregation of blacks from whites.

“Race, Law, and Gender in South Carolina” and “Justice Vindicated: The Case of William Harper” describe two little-known but revealing state cases involving black defendants in the years between World War I and World War II. Perhaps the most notorious example of racism in the southern courts of the Jim Crow years is discussed in “The Scottsboro Cases.”

The appointment of Earl Warren as Chief Justice of the United States in 1953 proved to be one of the most important events in the modern American civil rights movement. Warren, as discussed in “Separate Education Is Not Equal Education,” was able to convince all his judicial brethren to join in his 1954 opinion in *Brown v. Board of Education*, striking down school segregation. The initial resistance to the implementation of the *Brown* decision was massive, as noted in “The Little Rock Crisis: State Interposition Against the Supreme Court.” Most southern states further limited the freedom of African Americans by prohibiting marriage between blacks and whites. As noted in “A Case of Black and White: Removing Restrictions Against Interracial Marriages,” such “anti-miscegenation laws” were not voided by the U.S. Supreme Court until 1967.

In the late 1960s, as discussed in “The School Busing Case,” courts began to approve complex busing plans to attempt to integrate the public schools. Since the 1970s, however, as examined in “Desegregation Heads North” and “Far Enough? The Rehnquist Court and Desegregation,” courts have been increasingly hesitant to use legal compulsion to remedy patterns of school segregation.

## Native Americans

Native Americans have been involved in several significant U.S. court cases since 1800. The first selection in this section, “The Cherokee Cases,” deals with the attempt of one Indian tribal unit to have its status as a “nation” respected by American law. Although the Cherokees did technically win certain legal rights before the Supreme Court, the momentum of white migration and executive policy forced the Cherokees to suffer removal from their ancestral lands and to relocate by traveling the brutal “Trail of Tears.”

The next two selections in this section, “The ‘Death Knell of the Nations’” and “Why Native Americans Can No Longer Count on Treaties with the U.S. Government,” illustrate how the Supreme Court in the post-Civil War era allowed Congress to override stipulations in Indian treaties. However, a recent spate of Indian land claim litigation has reversed the pattern of legal defeat by Native Americans. One of the leading cases is profiled in “Native American Land Claims: The Indians Finally Win.”

## Other Racial Minorities

Although African Americans and Native Americans have been the parties to most of the important U.S. court cases involving racial discrimination, there are a number of historically significant cases growing out of disputes involving other

racial minorities. In “Chinese Laundries and the Fourteenth Amendment,” the late nineteenth-century U.S. Supreme Court uncharacteristically ruled in favor of a Chinese litigant. However, in “The Japanese Internment Cases,” an essay focusing upon a set of cases which tested the legality of the imprisonment of over 100,000 Americans of Japanese background (most of whom were U.S. citizens) during World War II, the Court found against the Japanese American plaintiffs. “How Should We Pay for Our Schools?” discusses a 1973 case involving public school financing and the Fourteenth Amendment in which the plaintiff children were Mexican Americans.

The last two essays in this section, “Affirmative Action: Can a White, College-Educated Male Be a Victim of Discrimination?” and “Is Race Still a Compelling Factor?” examine the leading appellate cases on affirmative action, the most controversial dimension of civil rights public policy today.

## Women

Although not a numerical minority, throughout most of the country’s history American women have enjoyed fewer rights and privileges than their male counterparts. Many legal experts would argue that women have been forced to suffer a pattern of discrimination similar to that of racial minorities.

The nine selections in this section provide a glimpse of some of the leading cases on women’s rights. “Should a Woman Be Admitted to the Bar?” discusses a nineteenth-century case in which the then typical practice of excluding women from the highest paying and highest status professions was upheld. In the early twentieth century, some state legislatures passed “protective” or “compensatory” legislation, allegedly for the benefit of women. “Aberration in the Movement Toward an Eight-Hour Day” discusses a state case in which a protective maximum hours law for women was struck down. But, in “The Law Recognizes ‘Women Are Different,’” the U.S. Supreme Court, benefiting from the pioneering “Brandeis Brief,” upheld the constitutionality of another state maximum hours law for women.

The next two essays—“A Supreme Court First: Equal Protection Applied to Women” and “Sex Discrimination: Reasonable or Suspect?”—provide illustrations of how the modern Supreme Court is attempting to struggle with state statutes that allegedly deny women equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment. “Law Upheld Guaranteeing Right to Return to Work after Childbirth Leave of Absence,” discusses a 1980s case in which a California pregnancy leave statute was upheld by the U.S. Supreme Court. A recent corporate attempt to “protect” women in their childbearing years from employment in a high-paying but hazardous industry, reminiscent of the compensatory practices of the early twentieth century, is discussed in “The Fetus and the Workplace.” Another selection, “Do Women Belong in Military Academies?,” examines the constitutionality of prohibiting women from attending state-supported military schools. The final selection in this section, “Protecting Students from Sexual Harassment,” examines two U.S. Supreme Court decisions of the 1990s that

interpreted a category of congressional legislation passed primarily for the benefit of females.

## Gays and Lesbians

In this section are three selections—one from the 1970s, one from the 1980s, and one from the 1990s—examining cases involving the legal rights of homosexuals. In the first two—“When Consenting Adults Can’t: Privacy, the Law, and Homosexual Conduct” and “Does the Right to Privacy End Where Sexual Preference Begins?”—the U.S. Supreme Court upheld state legislation challenged by homosexual plaintiffs. In the third, “‘Animus’ or Moral Justification?: Anti-Gay Laws and Equal Protection,” the Court struck down a controversial amendment to the Colorado state constitution that sought to remove protections recently extended to gays and lesbians.

## Americans with Disabilities

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), passed by Congress and signed by President George Bush in 1990, transformed the legal landscape for persons with physical or mental handicaps. The first essay in this section, “Three Generations of Imbeciles Are Enough,” is illustrative of the glib prejudice against a person with a disability that existed prior to the passage of the ADA. The other three essays—“School Medical Services for Children with Disabilities,” “Mitigating Measures and the Definition of Disability,” and “Is Walking an Integral Part of the Game of Golf?”—present discussions of recent cases, only one of which found in favor of the disabled plaintiff.