## THE FAMILY COURT IN ACTION

David Barnard

Butterworths

# The Family Court in Action

David Barnard, BA

of Gray's Inn and of the South-Eastern Circuit, Barrister, Lecturer in Civil and Criminal Procedure at the Council of Legal Education

London Butterworths 1983 England Butterworth & Co (Publishers) Ltd, 88 Kingsway, London WC2B 6AB

Australia Butterworths Pty Ltd, Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide and

Perth

Canada Butterworth & Co (Canada) Ltd, Toronto

Butterworth & Co (Western Canada) Ltd, VANCOUVER

New Zealand Butterworths of New Zealand Ltd, Wellington

Singapore Butterworth & Co (Asia) Pte Ltd, Singapore

South Africa Butterworth Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Durban

U.S.A. Mason Publishing Co, ST PAUL, Minnesota
Butterworth Legal Publishers, SEATTLE, Washington; Boston,

Massachusetts; and Austin, Texas D & S Publishers, Clearwater, Florida

© Butterworth & Co (Publishers) Ltd 1983

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

This book is sold subject to the Standard Conditions of Sale of Net Books and may not be resold in the UK below the net price fixed by Butterworths for the book in our current catalogue.

#### Barnard, David

The family court in action

- 1. Domestic relations courts-England
- 2. Police magistrates-England
- I. Title

344.2061'5'0269 KD751

ISBN Hardcover 0 406 55650 4 Softcover 0 406 55651 2

Photypeset by Cotswold Typesetting Ltd, Gloucester and printed in Great Britain by Billings Bookplan, Worcester

## The Family Court in Action

For my Mother and Father

#### Preface

The aim of this book is to provide a readable explanation of the procedure in the courts which exercise jurisdiction over disputes concerning the family. This book is selective; it deals only with those areas of matrimonial work which the practitioner is likely to come across frequently in practice; for that reason the more arcane subjects of family law are either omitted or treated only in outline. It is hoped that this book will be of use to practitioners, students, social workers and anyone else who is interested in understanding the way in which matrimonial disputes are actually decided.

I am grateful to the Senior Registrar for permission to reproduce Divorce Registry Forms. I am also very grateful to the editorial staff of Butterworths who have given me so much assistance in the preparation of this book. The whole of the manuscript has been read by Mrs Mary Burke O.B.E. whose comments and criticisms have been of the greatest help to me. My best thanks, as always, are due to my typist.

David Barnard The Temple 26th September 1983

## Table of statutes

References in this Table to Statutes are to Halsbury's Statutes of England (Third Edition) showing the volume and page at which the annotated text of the Act will be found.

PAGE	PAGE
Attachment of Earnings Act 1971 (41	Domestic Proceedings and Magistrates'
Statutes 791)	Courts Act 1978—contd
s 6, 14	s 12 (3)
Child Care Act 1980 (50 (1) Statutes	14 230, 235
1054)	14a
s 2 (1), (3)	16 240, 241
3	(1)
(1)	(2), (3)
6	(6), (8)
Children Act 1975 (45 Statutes 669): 140	18 (1)
s 3	(2), (4)
10 (3)	
39 (1)	25 (2)
64, 65	
Children and Young Persons Act 1933	$(1) \dots \dots$
(17 Statutes 435)	
	Domestic Violence and Matrimonial
s 34	Proceedings Act 1976 (46 Statutes
	713) 63, 66
Children and Young Persons Act 1969	s 1
(40 Statutes 843)	2
s 1 124, 125, 126, 128	(1)
(2)	(3) 67
(3)	Domicile and Matrimonial Procedure
2 (11)	Act 1973 (43 Statutes 620)
(12)	s 5
	Sch 1
(1)	Family Law Reform Act 1969 (17
Civil Evidence Act 1968 (12 Statutes	Statutes 792, 40 Statutes 614)
910) 52, 234	s 6 (2)
Domestic Proceedings and Magistrates'	7 (2), (4)
Courts Act 1978 (48 Statutes 730): 233	20 (1)
s 1	21 (1), (3) 102
2 229, 230, 231, 232,	23 102
237, 244	Finance Act 1972 (42 Statutes 1931)
(1) 235	s 75 200
6, 7 230	Finance Act 1974 (44 Statutes 1614)
8 230	s 19 (2) 200
(2) 235, 236	Guardianship of Minors Act 1971 (41
9, 10 235	Statutes (761) 131, 136, 140, 230
11 230	s 1 115. 134

#### x Table of statutes

P. Or	1
PAGE	PAGE
Guardianship Act 1973 (43 Statutes 643)	Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 (43
131, 136	Statutes 539)
s 6(1)	s 1
Income and Corporation Taxes Act	(2)
1970 (33 Statutes 17)	2 (1) 22, 24
s 37 200	(5) 25, 26, 27
52, 65 199	(6) 26, 39
Inheritance (Provision for Family and	3 56, 58
Dependents) Act 1975 (45 Statutes	4 (2) 21
493)	5 (1)
s 2 213, 214	9 (2)
Land Charges Act 1972 (42 Statutes	10
1590)	(2)
Land Registration Act 1925 (27 Statutes	(3), (4) 54
778)	22 60
Legal Aid Act 1974 (44 Statutes 126,	23
,	
,	209, 219, 220
s 8	24 60, 190, 205, 208,
(1)	209, 210, 219, 220
9 (6) 210, 219	25
13 218, 243	(1) 195, 205, 206
28 (3) 126	28 (3)
Sch 2 67	31
Magistrates' Courts Act 1980 (50 (2)	34 213
Statutes 1433)	37 (2)
s 51 230	41 21, 60, 100, 101
53 (2) 234	(1) $101, 102$
55 230	(2) 100
59 (1)-(3) 239	47 20
63 (3) 239	52 (1)
64	Matrimonial Homes Act 1967 (17
66 (1)	
69, 71	Statutes 139)
70	
	s 1 (2) 61
	(3) 66
	9 62
	Mental Health Act 1959 (25 Statutes 42): 123
(5) 242	Solicitors Act 1974 (44 Statutes 1478) . 221
97 242	Supreme Court Act 1981 (51 Statutes
(1) 234	590) 131, 136
Maintenance Orders Act 1958 (17	s 18 (1) 120
Statutes 293)	41 (2)
s 2 239	Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1925
(3) 239	(25 Statutes 708)
18 242	s 47
Marriage Act 1949 (27 Statutes 1006)	
s 65 (3)	

## List of cases

PAGE	PAGE
A v A (1979) 101	H (infants), Re (1977) 142
A v Liverpool City Council (1981) 139	H v H (1974)
Andrews v Andrews (1974) 42	H v H and C (1969) 114
Ansah v Ansah (1977) 64, 68	Hanlon v Hanlon (1978) 209
Ash v Ash (1972) 24	Hanlon v Law Society (1981) 219
` '	Hanning v Maitland (No. 2) (1970) . 218
B (a minor), Re (1974) 139	Hague v Hague (1977) 42
B v B (1971)	Hargood v Jenkins (1978) 183
B v B (1978) 194, 195	Hillier v Hillier and Latham (1958) . 57
B v Gloucestershire County Council	Hopes v Hopes (1949) 26
(1980)	Huxford v Huxford (1972) 42, 43
Baldrian v Baldrian (1974) 135	Hymns v Hymns (1971)
Bassett v Bassett (1975) 16, 65	and the second s
Beals v Beales (1972) 27, 33	J v C (1970) 115
Bond v Bond (1967) 235	J v J (1955) 192
Boyt v Boyt (1948)	Jenkins v Hargood (1978) 183
	Jones v Jones (1974)
C v C (1980)	Jussa v Jussa (1972) 109
Calderbank v Calderbank (1976): 217, 218	3 ( · · · · · )
Cann v Cann (1977) 207	K (an infant), Re (1953) 142
Chamberlain v Chamberlain (1974) . 209	Katz v Katz (1972)
Chapman v Chapman (1972) 27, 33	11412 (10/12)
Chaterjee v Chaterjee (1976) 184	L (an infant), Re (1962) 142
Clark v Clark (1970)	L (minors), Re (1974)
Collins v Collins (1972)	L v F (1978)
Cracknell v Cracknell (1971) 209	Lanitas v Lanitas (1970) 237
Cropper v Smith (1884)	Lazarus (Leopold) Ltd v Secretary of
cropper v similar (1881)	State for Trade and Industry (1976): 220
Day v Day (1980)	Leake v Bruzzi (1974) 209
Dipper v Dipper (1981) 109	Lewis v Lewis (1978) 68
Doherty v Doherty (1976) 181, 184	Lewisham London Borough Council v
Dunford v Dunford (1980) 209	Lewisham Juvenile Court Justices
Damora (1866) 266	(1980) 123
Eastwood, Re, Lloyds Bank Ltd v	Livingstone-Stallard v Livingstone-
Eastwood (1973)	Stallard (1974)
Hastwood (1979)	Lyon v Lyon (1953)
F (a minor), Re (1976) 121	2)011 ( 2)011 ( 1000)
Fay v Fay (1982)	M & M (1973)
Francis v Francis & Dickerson (1956): 221	McCartney v McCartney (1981) 235
Fuller v Fuller (1973) 26, 39	McDonnell v McDonnell (1977): 217, 218
z difer (1575) 20, 55	Marjoram v Marjoram (1955) 235
G v P (1978) 223	Marsden v Marsden (1973) 233
Grenfell v Grenfell (1978) 43, 46	Marsden v Marsden (1973) 184 Martin v Martin (1978) 208, 209, 210
Guerrera v Guerrera (1974)	Martin v Martin (1978) 208, 209, 210 Mesher v Mesher and Hall (1980) 209
Guerrera v Guerrera (13/4) 224	wiesher v Mesher and Hall (1900) 209

#### xii List of cases

PAGE	PAGE
Minton v Minton (1977) 214	Rasool v West Midlands Passenger
Morgan v Morgan (1977) 197	Transport Executive (1974) 52
Mouncer v Mouncer (1972) 26	Richards v Richards [1983] 3 WLR 173
Transfer (1012)	62, 66
Nast v Nast and Walker (1972) 49	Russell v A-G (1949) 20
Nelson v Nelson and Slinger (1958) . 46	Kassen ( 11 0 (10 10 )
reison v reison and omiger (1999) . To	S (infants) B = (1067)
O (infants), Re (1971) 121	S (infants), Re (1967)
O'Donnell v O'Donnell (1975) 193	S (infants), Re (1977)
Official Solicitor v K (1965) . 133, 134, 135	S v S (1972)
Official Solicitor V K (1903) : 193, 194, 193	S v S (1976) 208
P (LM) v P (GE) (1970) 117	S (BD) v S (DJ) (1977) 115
	S v S (1978) 218
Pardy v Pardy (1939)	Santos v Santos (1972)
Payne v Payne (1968)	Smethurst v Smethurst (1978) 207
Practice Direction (1971) 47	Smith v Smith (1976) 208
Practice Direction (1972) 211	Spill v Spill (1972) 43
Practice Direction (1973) 30, 138	Spindlow v Spindlow (1979) 62, 136
Practice Direction (1975) 21	
Practice Direction [1977] 2 All ER 543: 243	Turner v Turner (1978) 67
Practice Direction [1977] 3 All ER 122: 137	(10.0)
Practice Direction [1979] 1 All ER 112: 49	V (a minor), Re (1979) 136
Practice Direction [1979] 1 All ER 813: 223	v (a mmor), Re (1979)
Practice Direction [1979] 2 All ER 45: 28	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY
Practice Direction [1979] 2 All ER 1106	W (an infant), Re (1971) 142
30, 138	W v A (1981)
Practice Direction [1980] 1 All ER 784: 109	$W\ (RJ)\ v\ W\ (SJ)\ (1972)\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ 100$
Practice Direction [1980] 2 All ER 806: 138	Wachtel v Wachtel (1973)
Practice Direction [1980] 3 All ER 832: 200	206, 207, 208, 209
Practice Direction (1981) 111, 112	Waters v Waters (1956) 235
Practice Direction (1982) 217	Wheatley v Waltham Forest London
Practice Note (1973)	Borough Council (1980) 123
Practice Note (1978)	
Purse v Purse (1981)	X (a minor), Re (1975) 135
	(
Ramsbotham v Senior (1869) 138	Zacharia v Republic of Cyprus (1963): 33
130	Zacharia v Republic of Cyprus (1905): 55

### The Scheme of The Book

#### This book is divided into five sections:

- 1. *Divorce and separation*. In this section we shall consider how a marriage can be terminated by divorce and how the courts decide the difficult question of who should live in the home while the proceedings are continuing.
- 2. Children. This section deals with two main problems: who is to look after the children once the parties are separated and, secondly, how can the other parent's desire to maintain contact with his children be protected? We shall consider the problem of children who for one reason or another need to be looked after by local authorities. We shall also look at the way in which the court can itself assume parental responsibility by making children 'wards of court'. Finally, we shall consider how parental rights can be extinguished and new rights created by adoption.
- 3. Financial provision. In this section we shall be concerned with the procedures by which the court investigates the financial position of the parties in order to determine the capital and income provision which should be made for the wife and children after divorce.
- 4. The domestic court. This section explains the procedure whereby magistrates' courts can provide quick and effective decisions to protect the wife and children after separation and before divorce proceedings are begun. We shall also consider how these courts are used as collecting agencies to ensure maintenance orders are obeyed.
- 5. *The sources.* We conclude with an appendix setting out the text of the principal statutory provisions and rules which we have considered.

Each of the first three sections begins with a narrative account of an imaginary case; the purpose of these stories is to introduce the reader to the basic documents used in family court proceedings and to show how the procedure we discuss in theory in the text appears in real life.

It is important for the reader to bear in mind the scheme under which the family courts operate. We shall be considering three different courts:

- (i) Divorce County Courts. All divorce cases begin in a county court. In London, the Divorce Registry in Somerset House operates as a central divorce county court. These courts are staffed by Circuit Judges and by Registrars.
- (ii) The High Court. When a divorce case becomes defended the suit is transferred to the High Court to be determined by a High Court Judge. Complicated cases concerning children, wardship proceedings and

#### xiv The Scheme of The Book

property cases involving disputes as to conduct or large sums of money are also heard in the High Court. The High Court sits at the Royal Courts of Justice in the Strand in London and at buildings shared with the Crown Court in the provinces. Procedural applications in the High Court are decided by Registrars at Somerset House or by District Registrars out of London.

(iii) Domestic courts. These courts are staffed by magistrates sitting with qualified court clerks. The courts sit all over the country in the same buildings as the local magistrates' courts (which deal with criminal cases). We shall also be concerned with Juvenile Courts which are similarly staffed by magistrates assisted by qualified clerks.

## Divorce and separation

#### Contents

Preface v
Table of statutes ix
List of cases xi
The scheme of the book xiii

#### PART ONE. DIVORCE AND SEPARATION

The case of Sikes v Sikes 1 Chapter 1 Undefended divorce 17 Appendix to chapter 1 37 Chapter 2 Defended suits 40 Chapter 3 Separation in the first three years 56 Chapter 4 Exclusion injunctions 61

#### PART TWO. CHILDREN

Re David Copperfield, a minor 71 Chapter 1 Children of the family 100 Chapter 2 Custody and access 109 Chapter 3 Children in care 122 Chapter 4 Wardship 130 Chapter 5 Adoption 140

#### PART THREE. FINANCE

Sikes v Sikes (continued) Chapter 1 Applications for ancillary relief 181 Chapter 2 Application for maintenance pending suite and interim child maintenance 188 Chapter 3 Disclosure 190 Chapter 4 Tax and maintenance payments 198 Chapter 5 Preparing the case for hearing 204 Chapter 6 The hearing 211

#### PART FOUR. THE DOMESTIC COURT

The Domestic Court 229

Appendix Matrimonial Causes Rules 1977 (as amended) 247

Index 277

Chapter 1

#### The Case of Sikes v Sikes

Mr Dodson is a solicitor in a firm in the centre of London. Although his practice involves mainly commercial and insurance work, he also undertakes legal aid cases. Late one afternoon in August, Mr Dodson received a telephone call from the Social Services in Uxbridge. They had with them a very distressed and tearful client – a Mrs Sikes. It appeared her family had employed Mr Dodson's firm in the past and she wanted an urgent appointment to see him about her matrimonial problems. Mr Dodson was able to give her an appointment for the very next morning.

Mrs Sikes turned up next day a little late. She told Mr Dodson that she had been married for twelve years and had two children a boy aged six and a girl of three. Recently her husband (who had always been a heavy drinker) had started knocking her about. Things had gone from bad to worse and on the previous Saturday, after he had been particularly violent, she had left home taking the children with her. She had gone to stay with her mother and father in Uxbridge but the arrangements were not very satisfactory because her parents had only two bedrooms and she had to take the boy across West London to Ealing every day so that he did not miss school. Her husband, she said, was now alone in a big house with three bedrooms.

Mr Dodson explained that he was able to give Mrs Sikes advice under the Green Form Scheme and his firm would be paid for that advice by the Legal Aid Fund. Mrs Sikes however now had to apply to the court and for that purpose she would need a Legal Aid Certificate. This would authorise him to prepare an application for an order requiring Mr Sikes to leave the house and enable him to instruct counsel to attend the court at the hearing of the application. Mrs Sikes there and then completed the appropriate forms.

When Mrs Sikes had left the offices, Mr Dodson dictated a divorce petition and drafted an application for an ouster injunction and an affidavit setting out his client's case. (These are to be found in counsel's brief at pp. 4 and 6 infra.) The next day Mrs Sikes came back to the office and then accompanied Mr Dodson's clerk to the Divorce Registry at Somerset House in the Strand where she swore to the facts set out in her affidavit (the details of the oath – called 'the jurat' – are to be found at the bottom of the affidavit). Mr Dodson's assistant, Mr Guppy, then handed over to the clerk in the court office (a) two copies of the petition (b) two copies of a completed form setting out the arrangements proposed for the children (c) a certificate from Mr Dodson that he had *not* discussed with Mrs Sikes the possibility of a reconciliation between her and her husband (d) a copy of her marriage certificate and (e) a certificate to the effect she was receiving advice under

the Legal Aid Scheme and so was exempt from paying the £40 petition fee. The court clerk entered details of the petition in the cause book kept at the registry and (because Mr Dodson proposed effecting personal service on Mr Sikes) handed over to Mr Guppy a copy of the petition duly sealed with the court stamp together with a form entitled 'Notice of Proceedings', an Acknowledgment of Service form and a copy of the Statement of Arrangements. The notice of proceedings is an explanation for the respondent of the steps he should take.

Mr Dodson had told his assistant that he proposed to apply to the court for an injunction ordering Mr Sikes out of the house. Mr Guppy therefore had to issue an Originating Summons (see p. 6 post) which would be served on Mr Sikes telling him of the date and time when Mrs Sikes would apply to the court for an order for his eviction. At the same time he issued a Notice of Application for Interim Custody.

Late that evening Mr Guppy went to the Sikes home in Ealing; he was of course apprehensive. He was armed with the divorce papers, the summons for the injunction hearing and a copy of the affidavit Mrs Sikes had sworn. He also had a photograph of Mr Sikes – which had increased his apprehension. When he knocked on the door, Mr Sikes opened it. He told Mr Guppy he had been expecting his wife to take some steps and he had already instructed solicitors. He intended to pass on the papers to them next morning.

Three days went by and nothing more was heard; if Mr Guppy had had the presence of mind to inquire the name of Mr Sikes' solicitors, his principal would have telephoned them to see whether there was any chance of agreement. On the Friday an affidavit arrived in the post (the affidavit is set out at p. 10 in counsel's brief). Its contents did not come as a great surprise to Mr Dodson. In a nutshell Mr Sikes' case was that he had only twice been violent to his wife and those occasions were after he had found out that she was associating with another man. It seemed clear there would be a real contest over the injunction proceedings. On the next pages are set out extracts from the brief to counsel instructed to make the application. Study these carefully and then read the transcript of the hearing. Note that the divorce proceedings are being heard in the High Court because Mr Sikes has filed an answer to the petition. Undefended divorce cases proceed in the county court (or Divorce Registry in London); defended divorce cases proceed in the High Court.

#### In the Divorce Registry

No 2061 of 1983

Between:

NANCY SIKES Petitioner

and

WILLIAM SIKES Respondent

Brief to Counsel for Petitioner

#### Counsel has herewith:

- 1. Copy Petition
- Acknowledgment of Service
- Proposed Answer
- 4.
- Originating Summons Affidavit of Petitioner 5.
- Affidavit of Respondent 6.
- Legal Aid Certificate.
- Notice of Custody Application

In this case the parties were married in 1970 and there are two children aged six and three. There is a history of violence in this marriage and on 23rd July our client left the matrimonial home after she had been abused and knocked about by the Respondent. We would respectfully draw counsel's attention to the allegations set out in paragraphs 3-5 of the Respondent's affidavit. Our client has not contacted us despite messages left with her parents so we can only hope that she will be able to give detailed instructions on these matters at court. She has been told to attend not later than 10 o'clock.

> Counsel is instructed to apply for an injunction on behalf of the Petitioner.