Foundations of the AW of TORT

Glanville Williams B. A. Hepple

Butterworths

Foundations of the Law of Tort

GLANVILLE WILLIAMS, Q.C., LL.D., F.B.A. Honorary Bencher of the Middle Temple; Rouse Ball Professor of English Law in the University of Cambridge

B. A. HEPPLE, M.A., LL.B. of Gray's Inn, Barrister; Professor of Comparative Social and Labour Law in the University of Kent at Canterbury

LONDON BUTTERWORTHS 1976

ENGLAND:

Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd.

London: 88 Kingsway, London WC2B 6AB

AUSTRALIA:

Butterworths Ptv. Ltd.

Sydney: 586 Pacific Highway, Chatswood, NSW 2067

Also at Melbourne, Brisbane, Adelaide and Perth

CANADA:

Butterworth & Co. (Canada) Ltd.

Toronto: 2265 Midland Avenue, Scarborough, M1P 4S1

NEW ZEALAND:

Butterworths of New Zealand Ltd.

Wellington: 26-28 Waring Taylor Street, Wellington 1

SOUTH AFRICA:

Butterworth & Co. (South Africa) (Pty.) Ltd.

Durban: 152-154 Gale Street, Durban

USA:

Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Inc.

Boston: 19 Cummings Park, Woburn, Mass. 01801

C Glanville Williams & B. A. Hepple 1976

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

ISBN Cased o 406 68384 o Limp o 406 68385 9

This book is sold subject to the Standard Conditions of Sale of Net Books and may not be re-sold in the UK below the net price fixed by Butterworths for the book in our current catalogue.

Foundations of the Law of Tort

Preface

We hope that this book may prove useful to three classes of reader: the beginner who wants to know something about the scope, purposes and basic concepts of the subject he is about to study; the student who has progressed some way but wishes to test rules critically in the light of the law's purposes; and those ordinary members of the public who would like some understanding of the legal framework in which such topical issues as the thalidomide tragedy and the reform of the law relating to

compensation for personal injuries are being debated.

Almost twenty-five years have elapsed since the essay entitled "The Aims of the Law of Tort" was published ((1951) 4 Current Legal Problems 137). Its purpose was to show that the law of tort pursued conflicting purposes, inevitably with no more than partial success. Towards the end of the 1950s a trickle of writings continued the discussion; these turned into a flood in the 1960s and they were joined by a series of official and unofficial inquiries and reports in both civil law and common law countries. In the 1970s legislation in the United States and New Zealand has curtailed or abolished an important part of the law of tort. (Even more far-reaching proposals in Australia have been affected by the change of Government in that country.) Nor has the case law stood still. The time is ripe for a re-examination of the theme. This book does so in a form both more extended and more elementary than the original essay.

Chapters 5 and 6 are the work of the second-named author; the earlier Chapters represent the joint work of the authors.

G.L.W.

March 1976

B.A.H.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance Group for permission to quote the extract from one of their liability policies on p. 131; and the copyright holders for permission to quote the lines from Anthony Shaffer's *Sleuth* (published by Calder and Boyars) on p. 123.

Table of Statutes

References in this Table to "Statutes" are to Halsbury's Statutes of England (Third Edition) showing the Volume and page at which the annotated text of the Act will be found.

PAGE	PAGE
Accident Compensation Act 1972	Factories Act 1961 (13 Statutes 400) . 102
(New Zealand) . 161, 167, 169	s. 14 (1) 99, 101
Administration of Justice Act 1969—	28 100 29 (1) 100
s. 22 73	29 (1) 100
Animals Act 1971 (41 Statutes 84)—	Forcible Entry Act 1381 (18 Statutes
s. I (I) IIO, III	405) 10
2 II	Forcible Entry Act 1623 (18 Statutes
4	412) 10
4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Guard Dogs Act 1975 (45 Statutes
7	27) 10
(1), (2) 9	Health and Safety at Work etc.
9 (3)	Act 1974 (44 Statutes 1083): 103,
11 9, 10	169
Carriage by Air Act 1961 (2 Statutes	s. 47 (I)
604)—	s. 47 (1)
Sch. 1	Law Reform (Contributory Negli-
Chancery Amendment Act 1858	gence) Act 1945 (23 Statutes
[Lord Cairn's Act] (25	789) 122, 157
Statutes 703) 63, 64	Law Reform (Limitation of Actions,
Civil Evidence Act 1968 (12 Statutes	&c.) Act 1954 (19 Statutes 99): 15,
910)—	35
s. II 4	Law Reform (Miscellaneous Pro-
Coal Mines Act 1911—	visions) Act 1934 (13 Statutes
s. 102 (8)	115)—
Common Law Procedure Act 1852: 28, 44	s. I (I)
Control of Pollution Act 1974 170	(2) 70
Defamation Act 1952 (19 Statutes 34)—	Law Reform (Miscellaneous Pro-
75,126	visions) Act 1970 (35 Statutes
s. 11	553)—
Employers' Liability (Compulsory	s. 5 70
Insurance) Act 1969 (40 Statutes	Law Reform (Miscellaneous Pro-
	visions) Act 1971 (41 Statutes 1028)—
Employer's Liability (Defective	1028)—
Equipment) Act 1969 (40	s. 4 74
Statutes 551)—	Law Reform (Personal Injuries) Act
s. I (2)	1948 (35 Statutes 548)—
Employment Protection Act 1975 (45	s. I 157
Statutes 316)	(3)
Sch. 16, Pt. III 66	(3)
	xi
	M.

PAGE	PAGE
Limitation Act 1939 (19 Statutes 60)-	Representation of the People Act
s. 2 34	1949 (11 Statutes 543)—
(1) 35	s. 50 57
Limitation Act 1975 (45 Statutes 847): 35	Reserve and Auxiliary Forces (Pro-
Manchester Ship Canal Act 1897 . 20	tection of Civil Interests) Act
Mineral Workings (Offshore Instal-	1951 (29 Statutes 708)—
lations) Act 1971 (41 Statutes	s. 13 (2) 68
940) 97	Road Traffic Act 1930 (28 Statutes
s. II 97	146)
Mines and Quarries Act 1954 (22	Road Traffic Act 1934 (28 Statutes
Statutes 279)—	146) 132, 133 Road Traffic Act 1972 (42 Statutes
s. 48 99	Road Trame Act 1972 (42 Statutes
157	1633)—
Misrepresentation Act 1967 (22	s. 145
Statutes 675)—	(4)
s. 4	
Statutes 976)—	(i)
Sch. 5	(4)
National Insurance (Industrial Injur-	T40 T27 T22 T28
ies) Act 1943 (23 Statutes 473): 154	(2), (3)
Norris La Guardia Act 1932	(2), (3)
(U.S.A.) 65	Road Traffic Act 1974 (44 Statutes
Nuclear Installations Act 1965 (37	1339)—
	s. 20 139
Statutes 430) 170 s. 16 (1)	Sex Discrimination Act 1975 (45
Nuclear Installations Act 1969 (37	Statutes 221) 172
Statutes 466) 170	Social Security Act 1975 (45 Statutes
Offences against the Person Act 1861	1071) 154 s. 52, 55 162
(8 Statutes 147)—	s. 52, 55
s. 45 3	Solicitors Act 1974 (44 Statutes
Offices, Shops and Railway Premises	1478)—
Act 1963 (13 Statutes 584) . 102	s. 37
Policyholders Protection Act 1975	.s. I
(45 Statutes 784) 135	Supreme Court of Judicature Act
Post Office Act 1969 (25 Statutes	1873 16, 28, 62
470)—	Third Parties (Rights against In-
s. 29 (1)	surers) Act 1930 (3 Statutes
Powers of Criminal Courts Act 1973	175)—
(43 Statutes 288) 5	S. I
s. 38	Trade Disputes Act 1906 (37 Statutes
Public Health Act 1936 (26 Statutes	1001)—
189) 92	s.4 60
Race Relations Act 1968 (40 Statutes	Trade Union and Labour Relations
103)	Act 1974 (44 Statutes 1766)—
Rent Act 1965 (18 Statutes 620)—	s. 14
s. 32 10	1 17 66

List of Cases

A PAGE	Chesworth v. Farrar (1967) 15
Ackworth v. Kempe (1778) 143 Adams v. Andrews (1964) 138	Clark v. Kirby-Smith (1964) 16 Close v. Steel Co. of Wales, Ltd.
Albert v. Motor Insurers' Bureau (1972)	(1962)
American Cyanamid Co., Ltd. v.	Constantine v. Imperial London Hotels, Ltd. (1944) . 15, 53, 58, 59,172
Ethicon, Ltd. (1975)	Corfield v. Groves (1950) 139
Ashby v. White (1703) . 56, 57, 58, 59	Coward ν . Baddeley (1859) 54
AG. v. Canter (1939) 8 AG. for Dominion of Canada v.	D
Ritchie Contracting and Supply	Denny v. Supplies and Transport
Co., Ltd. (1919) 63 Austin v. Dowling (1870) 41	Co., Ltd. (1950) 90 Dering v. Uris (1964) 54
	Dickenson ν . Watson (1682) 45
В	Dicks ν . Brooks (1880) 54 Dies ν . British and International
Bagot v. Stevens, Scanlon & Co., Ltd. (1966)	Mining and Finance Corpn., Ltd.
Bailey v. Bullock (1950) 60	(1939)
Beals v. Hayward (1960)	Life Assurance Corpn. (1943) . 130 Donoghue v. Stevenson. See
Dest v. Samuel Fox & Co., Ltd.	M'Alister (or Donoghue) v.
(1952)	Stevenson. Dutton v. Bognor Regis U.D.C.
Bolton v. Stone (1951) 105 Bourhill v. Young. See Hay (or	(1972) 92
Bourhill) v. Young	E
Brown v. Boorman (1844) 16 Brown v. National Coal Board	Eaves v. Morris Motors, Ltd. (1961). 101
(1962) 99	Edwards v. Mallan (1908)
Buchanan v. Motor Insurers' Bureau (1955)	Esso Petroleum Co., Ltd. v. Mardon
Button v. Elliott Machine Tools	(1976) 16
(1974)	F
	Fire and All Risks Insurance Co., Ltd. v. Powell (1966) . 126, 128
Collines The (-2)	Fletcher v. Rylands (1866) 109
Calliope, The (1891) 29 Cassell & Co., Ltd. v. Broome	Forrest v. Sharp (1963)
(1972) 68, 69, 70, 71, 80 Cassidy v. Ministry of Health (1951)	Fletcher v. Rylands (1866) 109 Flint v. Lovell (1935)

PAGE	PAGE
G	L
Gibbons v. Pepper (1695)	Launchbury v. Morgans (1971) . 142 Leeds Industrial Co-operative Society, Ltd. v. Slack (1924) 63 Letang v. Cooper (1965) . 29, 30, 35, 44, 45, 53 Lister v. Romford Ice and Cold Storage Co., Ltd. (1957) 142 Livingstone v. Rawyards Coal Co. (1880) 67 Lloyd v. Grace Smith & Co.
H	(1912)
Hadley v. Baxendale (1854)	M M'Alister (or Donoghue) v. Stevenson (1932)
Houston v. Buchanan (1940) 136 Huckle v. Money (1763) 68 Hulton v. Hulton (1917) 7	
Hunt v. Dowman (1618) 55	N
J James v. British General Insurance Co. (1927)	National Coal Board v. J. E. Evans & Co. (Cardiff), Ltd. (1951) . 48 Nettleship v. Weston (1971) . 95 Newstead v. London Express Newspaper (1940) 53 Nicholls v. Ely Beet Sugar Factory, Ltd. (1936) 55 Nova Mink, Ltd. v. Trans-Canada Airlines (1951) 107, 108
See Summers (John) & Sons, Ltd.	0
p. Frost. Johnson p. F. E. Callow (Engineers), Ltd. (1971) 101	Oakley v. Lyster (1931) 28 Oliver v. Ashman (1962) 80
	P
K Keates ν. Woodward (1902)	Parry v. Cleaver (1970)
Koufos v. Czarnikow (1969) . 13, 14, 15	Post Office v. Norwich Union Fire

PAGE	PAGE
Price v. Hilditch (1930) 55	Summers (John) & Sons, Ltd. v. Frost
Pride of Derby and Derbyshire	(1000)
Angling Association v. British	(1955) 99
Celanese, Ltd. (1953) 65, 173	T
	Tattersall v. Drysdale (1935) 132
TO TO	Tattersall v. Drysdale (1935) 132
R	Thurston v. Todd (1966-67) 74
Randall v. Motor Insurers' Bureau	Tinline v. White Cross Insurance
(1969) 140	Association (1921) 126
Rapier v. London Tramways Co.	Tsouvalla v. Bini (1966) 48
	U
(1893)	
Read v. Coker (1853) 53	Uddin v. Associated Portland
Read v. J. Lyons & Co., Ltd. (1947) . 106	Cement Manufacturers (1965) . 102
Redland Bricks, Ltd. v. Morris (1970): 62	United Australia, Ltd. v. Barclays
Reynolds v. Clarke (1725) 42	Bank, Ltd. (1941) 30, 32
Roe v. Minister of Health (1954) . 120	Uren v. John Fairfax & Sons (Pty.),
River Wear Commissioners v.	Itd (1066)
Adamson (1877)	Ltd. (1966) 68, 80
Rivoli Hats, Ltd. v. Gooch (1953) . 29	V
Rookes v. Barnard (1964) . 13, 68, 69	Vacwell Engineering Co., Ltd. v.
Dose v. Ford (1904) . 13, 00, 09	
Rose v. Ford (1937)	Vandepitte v. Preferred Accident
Rylands v. Fletcher (1808) . 41, 45,	Vandepitte V. Freierred Accident
87, 109, 110, 112, 170	Insurance Corpn. of New York
	(1933)
S .	W
S.C.M. (United Kingdom) Ltd. v.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Whittell & Con Ltd (room)	Wagon Mound (No. 2), The (1967) . 105
Whittall & Son Ltd. (1971) . 142	Walmsley v. Humenick (1954) . 48
Schwan, The (1892)	Weaver v. Ward (1616) . 45, 49
	Wells v. Cooper (1958) 95
Seager v. Copydex, Ltd. (1967) . 17	West (H.) & Son, Ltd. v. Shephard
Seager v. Copydex, Ltd. (No. 2)	(1964)
(1969)	Westwood v. Post Office (1974) . 102
Sedleigh-Denfield v. O'Callaghan	NORTH TO BE TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL
(1940) 105	White v. London Transport (1971) . 140
Simpson v. Crowle (1921) 62	White v. White (1950) 120
CI . C	Wilkinson v. Downtown (1897) . 36
Stater v. Swann (1730) 54	Williams ν . Holland (1833) 43
Smith v. Stone (1647)	Williams v. Humphreys (1975) . 44
Sparrow v. Fairey Aviation Co.,	Williams v. Peel River Land and
Ltd. (1964)	Mineral Co., Ltd. (1886) 55
Spartan Steel and Alloys, Ltd. v.	Williams v. Morland (1824) 55
Martin & Co. (Contractors), Ltd.	Wise v. Kay (1962)
	12
Stanley v. Powell (1891) . 47, 48	Y
Stanley v. Powell (1891) . 47, 48	Y
Stanley v. Powell (1891) . 47, 48 Stonedale, The (No. 1) (1956) . 20	Y Yates v. Whyte (1838) 76
Stanley v. Powell (1891) . 47, 48	Y

Contents

Pref	ace	***			**	* *	V
Tab	le of Statutes	***	* *	* *	* *	* *	xi
List	of Cases		* *	* *	* *	* *	xiii
ı:	THE SCOPE AND I	FUNC'	TION	OF TO	ORT		
	The meaning of "tort'	,					I
	The overlap between			e			2
	The action for damage				nedies		6
	Extra-judicial remedie					* *	8
	Tort and breach of co			* *	* *		10
	Tort and equitable wr			**		* *	16
	Tort and quasi-contrac						18
	Claims for statutory co						20
	Summary of the defin			* *			22
	The aims of the law o			* *	* *	* *	23
2:	THE GHOST STOR	Y					
	Old rules as to the for	ms of a	action				27
	The abolition of the fo						28
	The influence of the fo	rms of	action	on lega	al categ	ories	33
	Their surviving impor						34
	The action of trespass						37
	The action upon the c						39
	The element of fault in		ass	* *		* *	44
	The burden of proof						48

viii Contents

3:	DAMAGE					
	Damage and injury					51
	Nominal damages and the form					52
	General and special damage					60
	Injunctions					61
	Aims of damages: the case of o			nages		67
	Compensation for personal inj					72
	Glossary				٠.	82
4:	FAULT					
4.	The forms of fault: intentio	n, reck	lessnes	s and	in-	
	advertent negligence			4.5		85
	Negligence as an independent	tort				88
	Negligence as a conflict of value	ies				93
	The standard of the reasonable	man				95
	Negligence and strict statutory	duties				97
	Negligence and nuisance				* *	104
	Hazards and strict liability			* *		108
	,	**			\star \star	112
	An evaluation of the fault prin	ciple			• •	115
5:	INSURANCE					
	Social justification					123
	Intentional torts an exception					125
	Limits of liability insurance					128
	Types of liability insurance					129
	Compulsory insurance					131
	Third-party rights					132
	Failure to insure				10.00	135
	The Motor Insurers' Bureau	* *				137
	The gaps that remain	**				139
	The effect of liability insurance			f tort		141
	The effect of insurance on the					144
	Evaluation					146

		C_{0}	ontents			ix		
6:	: TORT AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW							
	3					150		
	No-fault insurance fo	r	automobile	accidents	in			
	Canada and the U.S.	A.		* *	* *	158		
	Comprehensive social in	nsu	rance—New	Zealand	and			
	Australia			*.0*	*:*	161		
	Market deterrence	* ×	** **	* *	***	165		
	Administrative sanctions			* 1*	***	168		
	Residual role of tort law			**	* *	170		
API	PENDIX: FURTHER READING	ř		* *	***	174		
Inde	ex			4114	erw	177		

The Scope and Function of Tort

It was complaind that thou hadst done great tort Unto an aged woman, poore and bare.

Spencer, Faerie Queene II v 17

THE MEANING OF "TORT"

There is no branch of English law the name of which conveys so little meaning to the average layman as tort. What is a tort? The word comes to us from the Norman-French; etymologically, it signifies any wrong, and springs from the Latin tortus, meaning "twisted" or "wrung". And the very word "wrung" is merely another form of the word "wrong". In the loose and untechnical sense of "wrong", the word "tort" was in quite general use; in that sense it is found in literature as late as the eighteenth century, and of course it is still so used in the French language. In England, however, it is now purely technical. A tort is a wrong recognised by law.¹

But torts are not the only wrongs recognised by law. The

^{1.} So well established is the technical meaning of the word at the present day that it is easy for us to forget how recent this meaning is. Although the department of law that we now call "tort" is ancient, and although the word "tort" in the sense of wrong is ancient, the word was not generally used as a term of art designating this department of law until the second half of the nineteenth century. Blackstone had foreshadowed its use (Commentaries iii 118), but the first treatise bearing the name "Torts" was issued in 1859 by Hilliard, an American author. The first English treatise under this name was by Addison (1860). As late as 1870 a judge noticeably avoided using the word "tort", and expressed the contract-tort dichotomy as "contract-duty" (Francis v. Cockrell (1870), L.R. 5 Q.B. 501 at 509).

reader will probably know the names of the major torts, such as negligence, nuisance, defamation, conversion, trespass to goods, trespass to the person (assault, etc.) and trespass to land; but he may still need guidance on the distinction between torts and other legal wrongs. The great cleavage is between criminal wrongs, variously called *crimes* or *offences*, which may result in a prosecution and punishment, and *civil wrongs* which lead not to a criminal prosecution but to a civil proceeding for damages or other private redress. To explain in detail the distinctive features of the criminal prosecution is the task of the criminal lawyer: suffice it here to say that all legal proceedings that are not criminal are civil. Civil proceedings are the residuary class.

THE OVERLAP BETWEEN TORT AND CRIME

The distinction between torts and crimes is rendered slightly difficult by an area of overlap. We generally think of murder as a crime, because the criminal punishment is dramatic; but murder is at the same time a tort to the person killed and to his dependants. So also is manslaughter. Theft is a crime, but it is also the tort of conversion of property. As a crime, it can be prosecuted and punished. As a tort, it gives rise to an action for the value of the property stolen. It is broadly true to say that all crimes are torts if they amount to a physical interference with the plaintiff or his property, at least if they cause actual damage to him. But a crime is not generally a tort if, although potentially dangerous, it has not yet caused damage (dangerous driving where no injury has been inflicted, or attempted murder, where the victim fortunately remains unaffected by the abortive attempt). Also, a crime consisting in a violation of general public order is not a tort if no ascertainable individual is affected: an example is treason.1

I. If treason caused actual harm to the State, as represented by the Crown, it might amount to a tort; but the question has never been argued. An action for damages will not lie at the suit of a person who has suffered damage as a result of perjury (a crime): Hargreaves v. Bretherton, [1959] I Q.B. 45; [1958] 3 All E.R. 122.

Just as there are crimes that are not torts, so there are torts that are not crimes. The traditional example is trespass to land, which is a tort but is a crime only in certain circumstances. (The courts have been adding to the list of criminal trespasses, but still the ordinary trespass on a farmer's field is not a crime, though it is a tort.)

What underlies this distinction between crime and tort? The answer is that the object of the criminal law is broadly different from that of the civil law (of which the law of tort forms a part). The criminal law aims at controlling conduct, and this chiefly by threatening punishment if undesirable behaviour is indulged in. In modern times punishment does not occupy the whole of the picture, because criminal courts have other orders at their disposal, such as a probation order, a community service order, or (if the offender is a driver convicted of one of specified offences) an order disqualifying him from driving. But, whatever order is made by the court, the criminal law is principally directed towards influencing behaviour. In contrast, the aim of the law of tort is principally to compensate the victim of wrongdoing. The typical outcome of an action in tort is the award of damages to the plaintiff against the defendant, and these damages are intended to be roughly equivalent to the plaintiff's loss.

When an act is a crime as well as a tort, both the criminal and the civil remedy may be pursued. The wrongdoer may both be prosecuted as a criminal and sued as a tortfeasor; he may both be punished and made to pay damages to his victim. Generally it does not matter which proceeding is brought first, although the court will usually stay a civil action while a prosecution is actually proceeding.

A few statutes provide that prosecutions under them shall bar a civil action. The most important of these is the Offences against the Person Act 1861, s. 45, by which acquittal or conviction of assault and battery by a court of summary jurisdiction (a magistrates' court) bars a subsequent civil action. There are some qualifications upon the operation of this section which need not be