The Century Education Series

TRADITIONAL EXAMINATIONS AND NEW-TYPE TESTS

BY

C. W. ODELL, Ph.D.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
BUREAU OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH,
UNIVERSITY OF LILLINOIS

THE CENTURY CO.

New York & London

PREFACE

In the preparation of this book the needs of teachers actually in service have been foremost in the writer's mind, though it has been his intention also to make the book serviceable to prospective teachers and others interested in the field covered. Its purpose is to present both the traditional and the new-type examination in rather detailed fashion, to point out the merits and the limitations of each, and to suggest how each may be so constructed and used as to yield the greatest returns. It would perhaps have been desirable to include standardized tests as well as examinations made by the teacher, but anything approaching a complete treatment of both is hardly practicable within the limits of a single volume. It seemed more practicable because of the much smaller amount of space required, and also more essential, to include a discussion of school marks. Accordingly two chapters have been devoted to this topic. The remainder of the book deals rather directly with the two general types of examinations already referred to, the traditional and the new-type.

In view of the very considerable amount of attention devoted to testing in the educational literature of the past few years, it is possible that readers will ask what justification there is for another treatment of the subject. Standardized tests have received the lion's share of this recent attention and the new-type tests most of the rest. The treatment of the traditional examination has been mostly incidental and has consisted largely

of destructive rather than constructive criticism. Moreover, most of the mass of material dealing with newtype tests is in the form of periodical articles, pamphlets, monographs, single chapters in books, and so forth and is, therefore, decidedly fragmentary. The chief merit claimed for this book is that it is based upon a comprehensive review of the material just referred to, and therefore, it is hoped, has gathered together the best of this material into a single unified treatment, rather than that it is to any considerable extent an original contribution.

C. W. ODELL

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

Almost all who are engaged in educational work, either as students or teachers, are vitally interested in the subject of marks and examinations. While a not inconsiderable number of instructors are prone to say that they have no confidence in the results of tests of any kind and wish that they could be eliminated, there is doubtless little probability that there will be any radical change in the means by which recognition is given for the successful completion of work in education. It is also fully as probable that for a long time to come instructors will feel compelled, in order to assure themselves from time to time of the character of the work which is being given in their courses, to offer some kind of so-called test designed to furnish this information.

This situation makes the publication of a book on marks and the new examinations especially timely. For a number of years a large amount of experimentation has been in progress looking to the formulation of new types of examinations which may be assumed to be more objective in character than the traditional examinations and which may lessen, if not eliminate, some of the evils which are incident to the use of the older type of test. A large number of educational institutions, ranging from the elementary schools to the professional schools, are making a more or less extensive use of the various types of the so-called new examinations. The author of this volume has made an unusually

vii

thorough study of the whole subject and presents a definitely organized statement and explanation of all of the more commonly known types of new examinations and many of the modified types which have not received as wide publicity and have not been used to nearly as great an extent.

The subject is of such vital importance for all classes of school work that it seems highly desirable that a popular presentation should be available to all types of educators. While there is very little reason to believe that the educational world is going to abandon the traditional examinations, because there are certain educational values obvious to all in their use, there is little doubt that many of the newer types of examinations as illustrated in this volume will be used with increasing frequency. All those engaged in education should be well informed concerning these variants, their weaknesses and their advantages.

In the belief that this volume will prove of real value in extending widely the intelligent use of objective tests and the more critical and desirable selection of questions for discussion along traditional lines, this volume is submitted.

C. E. CHADSEY

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	v.	PAGE
I	THE PAST AND PRESENT STATUS OF EX-	
	AMINATIONS	3
	1 Introduction. A brief history of examina-	
	TIONS AND OF THE CRITICISMS THEREOF	3
	2 Adverse criticisms of examinations	9
	3 THE DEFENSE OF EXAMINATIONS AGAINST THE CRITICISMS JUST GIVEN	11
	4 ADVANTAGES OF STANDARDIZED TESTS OVER EXAM-	11
	INATIONS PREPARED BY THE TEACHER	19
	5 Advantages of examinations prepared by the	
	TEACHER OVER STANDARDIZED TESTS	27
	6 SUMMARY	30
II	WHAT ARE GOOD EXAMINATIONS?	32
	1 The purposes examinations should serve .	32
	2 The qualities of good examinations	40
	3 SUMMARY	58
III	HOW TO MAKE AND GIVE EXAMINA-	
	TIONS	59
	1 The preparation of good examinations	59
	2 The administration of examinations	64
	3 Summary	80
IV	SCORING PUPILS' RESPONSES	81
	1 The weighting of exercises	81
	2 Scoring examination and test papers	86
	3 Changing scores into marks	97
	4 Summary	107
	ix	

V	THE MARKING SYSTEM AND ITS MEAN-	
	ING	109 113 133
VI	THE DISTRIBUTION OF MARKS	141
	1 Should marks follow the normal or any other fixed frequency distribution? 2 Suggested practices concerning the use of	141
	A STANDARD DISTRIBUTION OF MARKS 3 ASSIGNING MARKS TO PUPILS IN SELECTED OR	
	NON-AVERAGE GROUPS	159
	NOT CONFORM TO THE STANDARD 5 SUMMARY	164 173
VII	MERITS AND LIMITATIONS OF TRADITIONAL AND NEW-TYPE EXAMINATIONS	175
	1 Merits and advantages of traditional, and Limitations and disadvantages of new-type, examinations	
	2 Merits and advantages of New-Type, and Limitations and disadvantages of tradi-	
	TIONAL, EXAMINATIONS	
VIII		
	1 Types of mental activity to be tested . 2 Examples of essay questions calling for the twenty types of mental activity named	
	ABOVE	
	LITERATURE	210

	5 Traditional examination questions selected	
	FROM THOSE ACTUALLY USED BY PUBLIC-	
	SCHOOL TEACHERS	221
	6 Traditional examination exercises based	
	UPON QUOTATIONS	233
	7 SUMMARY	236
IX	THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF NEW-	
	TYPE EXAMINATIONS	237
	1 Constructing New-Type examinations	237
	2 Scoring New-Type examinations and han-	
	DLING THE RESULTS	246
	3 The selection of the most appropriate types	
	FOR CLASS-ROOM USE	248
	4 SUMMARY	
\mathbf{X}	SINGLE-ANSWER OR RECALL TESTS	255
	1 General discussion	255
	2 Ordinary single-answer tests	258
	3 SINGLE-ANSWER TESTS EACH CONTAINING ONLY	
	ONE EXERCISE	261
	4 So-called "association" single-answer tests	263
	5 Definition or description single-answer	
	TESTS	267
	6 Single-example tests	270
	7 Plural or multiple-example tests	272
	8 Compound single-answer tests	277
	9 Summary	279
XI	MULTIPLE-ANSWER TESTS	281
	1 General discussion	281
	2 Ordinary multiple-answer tests	
	3 Plural multiple-answer tests	
	4 Compound multiple-answer tests	
	5 Multiple-reason tests	322
	6 Multiple-description tests	329
	7 SUMMARY	

XII	ALTERNATIVE TESTS			. 334
	1 General discussion			. 334
	2 True-false exercises			. 347
	3 Yes-no questions			. 350
	4 OTHER VARIETIES OF TESTS HAVING OF	NL.	Y TW	0
	POSSIBLE ANSWERS			. 351
	5 ALTERNATIVE TESTS WHICH PROVIDE	A	THIR	D
	POSSIBLE ANSWER			. 354
	6 SUMMARY			. 356
XIII	COMPLETION TESTS			. 358
	1 General discussion			. 358
	2 SIMPLE COMPLETION TESTS			. 362
	3 COMPLETION TESTS WITH SUGGESTED AN	ISV		
	4 Other varieties of completion tests			. 372
	5 SUMMARY			. 374
XIV	MATCHING TESTS			. 376
Z.L. V	4 0	٠		. 376
	2.0	٠		. 378
	2 2	•	-	. 384
	4 0	۰		. 387
	4 SUMMARY	٠		. 501
XV	INCORRECT-STATEMENTS TESTS			. 388
	1 General discussion			. 388
	2 Examples of incorrect-statements	TE	ESTS	. 390
	3 SUMMARY	٠		. 394
XVI	MISCELLANEOUS TYPES OF THE	E	NEV	V
	EXAMINATION			. 395
	1 GENERAL DISCUSSION			. 395
	2 7			. 395
	3 Distinguishing tests			. 403
	4 CONTINUITY OR REARRANGEMENT TESTS			. 405
	5 VERIFICATION OR JUDGMENT TESTS .			. 412
	6 Analogies tests			. 416
	7 SUMMARY			. 420

	CONTENTS	X111
XVII	OBJECTIVE TESTS IN INSTITUTIONS OF	
	HIGHER LEARNING	422
	1 General discussion	422
	2 Objective tests in liberal arts courses	424
	3 Objective tests in professional courses	430
	4 Summary	435
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	439
	INDEX	463

TRADITIONAL EXAMINATIONS AND NEW-TYPE TESTS

比为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook



TRADITIONAL EXAMINATIONS AND NEW-TYPE TESTS

CHAPTER I

THE PAST AND PRESENT STATUS OF EXAM-INATIONS

1. Introduction. A brief history of examinations and of the criticisms thereof. Examinations are not new. They are in no sense a modern fad or a newfangled procedure recently introduced into school practice. On the contrary, they have been employed as a regular and integral part of school work for hundreds, even thousands, of years. A merely casual study of the educational practices of the past is sufficient to yield the information that the use of examinations of some sort or other has been the rule rather than the exception. In most countries, and in most of the schools in these countries, tests 1 of achievement have had their place. Probably the best known, and certainly one of the most elaborate, of the ancient systems of examinations was that maintained by the Chinese; but the Greeks, the Romans, and many other peoples employed them as well. In fact, definite tests of what has been learned have had their place in practically every scheme of education of which we have any record. Many of them

¹ For the sake of variety, the word test will be used synonymously and interchangeably with examination.

were not written or even verbal, being rather tests of ability to apply and to do, but none the less they were examinations designed to test mastery of what was supposed to have been learned. When we come to medieval education, we find numerous accounts not only of public disputations and other testing procedures different from those commonly employed at present, but also of others very similar to the examinations with which all readers are undoubtedly familiar. In some cases these exercises, whatever their nature, were taken seriously by both teachers and pupils, whereas in others they were mere formalities, but the name and practice were general. Not only were they employed in connection with school work, but also, notably in China, they found a place outside the school-room for purposes which are now mostly included under the term civil service. At present, examinations are probably more prevalent than ever before, being used by practically all teachers in rating pupils, by government departments in choosing officials, by numerous business concerns in selecting and promoting employees, and by various other agencies.

Not only are examinations an institution of long standing, but also adverse as well as constructive criticism of them is nothing new. To go no further back than the eighteenth century, one finds an Oxford student of 1766 quoted to the effect (2,² p. 16) that his examination for the degree was an absolute farce, consisting of one very easy question in Hebrew and one in history. In this country Horace Mann, writing in 1845 (10, p. 37), stated certain points of superiority of what he called the "new" method of examining. Presumably,

² The numbers in parentheses refer to references listed in the Bibliography beginning on p. 439.

therefore, he had a more or less unfavorable opinion of the examination methods in general use in his time. Mann especially praised the use of uniform written questions instead of oral ones, which were usually different for different pupils. Apparently, however, no such volume of criticism of both the function and form of examinations as has appeared within the last few years ever arose during any previous period, and seemingly few persons at any one time in the past believed that anything serious was wrong with current practices regarding examinations or that any major change was needed. Therefore the form of examinations and the methods of using them underwent comparatively little change during a long period and only recently have modifications of any importance occurred or even been strongly urged. Although the last half-century or less has witnessed unusual advances in providing better buildings and material equipment, constructing more adequate curricula, and improving the technique of instruction, supervision, and administration, examinations were little affected during most of this period, but continued to exist in essentially unchanged form and to be employed in practically unchanged circumstances. It is only within the last few years that they have been subjected to any considerable amount of critical evaluation and discussion and that the twofold question as to their proper function and best form has been raised.

Undoubtedly the chief cause contributing to raise the question just mentioned was the publication of the results from a number of investigations which showed, or appeared to show, great unreliability ³ and varia-

³ Reliability may be briefly defined as accuracy in measuring whatever is measured. For a fuller discussion, see p. 41.

bility of the marks given examination papers by teachers. Prominent in making the studies referred to were Johnson (40), Starch and Elliott (81, 82, 83), Kelly (41), and Dearborn (17). Their work, and also

	that of others along this line, is too
Marks Number	
	well known and has produced too
90-942	similar results to justify detailed ac-
85-89 7	
	counts of the various studies here. A
80–84 11	single striking example, which is also
75-7926	
	probably the best-known one, will
70-7413	suffice. Starch and Elliott (82) sub-
65-6918	
	mitted an examination paper in ge-
60-6417	ometry to a number of teachers of
55–59 8	
50-54 5	mathematics, and were able to secure
00-04	the marks given the paper by 114
25-497	teachers, each marking independ-
	teachers, each marking independs

ently. The accompanying distribution of marks is reported. The actual marks ranged from 28 to 92 per cent, 75 being the passing mark. One or two examples even more extreme than this have come to the writer's attention, and many others showing nearly as great variability have been mentioned in educational literature. Altogether a large mass of data has been accumulated, published, and generally accepted as valid, which offers strong evidence that if pupils' answers to examination questions of the type almost exclusively used until very recently are marked by the same person a second time after he had forgotten his first marks, or by two or more individuals working independently, very great differences in the marks assigned will frequently result.

It is only fair to say, however, that although the men named above and others have presented the many data referred to, their conclusions have not been accepted by all persons interested as representative of

ordinary conditions in the schools. In general, those who do not admit the validity of the conclusions drawn maintain that the marks collected and used were not assigned under ordinary school circumstances, but rather under conditions which tended to produce much greater variability than is the case in general school practice. Not only has the evidence for great variability been criticized, but also some data have been presented which indicate a decidedly high degree of reliability or agreement among teachers. Bolton (5), for example, reports on the scoring of twenty-four arithmetic papers by twenty-two Seattle teachers. The papers were scored by the ordinary percentile system and the variations from the average mark for each paper computed. The average variation was approximately 5 per cent. About one-sixth of the variations were not greater than 1 per cent, and one-third more, or one-half in all, not greater than 3 per cent. Further data presented by the same writer show that in the marking of single arithmetic questions almost a third of the teachers agreed with the average rating exactly, and that more than another third differed by not over 1 per cent. Furthermore, Bolton analyzes some of the data which Starch used as evidence of great variability, and as a result claims that they actually show decided uniformity in marking.

Even though it is admitted that the evidence which shows great unreliability and variability among teachers' marks is not conclusive, the fact remains that it has been generally enough accepted as valid to create a wide-spread doubt as to the reliability of marks as commonly given. The question of how to improve the situation by constructing examinations, training teachers, or both, so that much closer if not