



OXFORD

# Copyright and Free Speech

Comparative and International Analyses

Edited by  
Jonathan Griffiths and  
Uma Suthersanen

# COPYRIGHT AND FREE SPEECH

## COMPARATIVE AND INTERNATIONAL ANALYSES

*Edited by*

JONATHAN GRIFFITHS

and

UMA SUTHERSANEN

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi

Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi

New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece

Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan South Korea Poland Portugal

Singapore Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Published in the United States  
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© the editors and contributors 2005

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence

Number C01P0000148 with the permission of HMSO

and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published 2005

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,  
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate  
reprographics rights organizations. Enquiries concerning reproduction  
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,  
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Data available

ISBN 0-19-927604-8 978-0-19-927604-2

3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4

Typeset by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk

Printed in Great Britain

on acid-free paper by

Biddles Ltd., King's Lynn

## FOREWORD

Although we use the word ‘right’ in the phrases ‘intellectual property right’<sup>1</sup> and ‘the right of free speech’, it is used in a quite different sense in the two cases. An IPR is essentially negative and private. It is negative in that it entitles its owner to stop other people doing things, an entitlement which will, if necessary, be enforced by the courts. And it is private because it is vested in a private owner, generally, an individual (real or corporate). The ‘right of free speech’ on the other hand is neutral or positive. Traditionally, under the common law, I suppose the ‘right’ rested essentially on the absence of any law, public or private, forbidding the conduct concerned—all that is not expressly forbidden is permitted. But in many countries the law now goes further—providing some sort of positive right of free speech. Such a law may, and indeed is intended to, come into conflict with any other law which is a law suppressing free speech: the First Amendment is an old example and Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights, given fresh life in this country by incorporation into domestic law, is more recent.

Legislatures have, of course, in various ways, sought to temper IPRs so that they did not interfere, or interfere too much, with free expression. Hence, for instance, ‘fair use’ exceptions to copyright. But the exercise has not been entirely successful. Often, for instance, parody (particularly for commercial purposes) has been stopped. I came across a good example when I was still a law student. *Cleopatra* starring Richard Burton and Elizabeth Taylor had been widely advertised by a poster depicting Burton as Mark Anthony standing imposingly by a chaise longue adorned by Taylor. The Carry On team made *Carry on Cleo* starring Sid James and Barbara Windsor. Its poster was a spoof copy of the *Cleopatra* poster, substituting a grinning Sid James for Burton and a saucy winking Windsor for Taylor. An interlocutory

---

<sup>1</sup> Here I include not only conventional IPRs—patents, designs, trade marks, and particularly copyright, but also what may fairly be called, for present purposes, ‘extended IPRs’ by which I mean things like the torts of defamation or malicious falsehood and the action for breach of confidence. The *Naomi Campbell* case was about enforceability-or-no of a sort of IPR.

injunction was granted, on the basis of copyright.<sup>2</sup> Ridiculous, but probably right.<sup>3</sup>

Courts, also, have sometimes developed rules to temper interference with free expression. I suppose the best example of this is the rule that an interim injunction will not be granted to restrain an alleged defamation or malicious falsehood where the defendant intends to justify. But there are limits to what courts can do, when faced with an IPR—a *property right*. Hence the importance of a countervailing positive right of free expression. Once such a right can be brought into play, then the court must weigh competing rights—a task which gives more room to favour free expression than merely trimming an IPR or its enforcement.

This book is a magnificent survey of the conflict between IPRs, particularly copyright, and free expression. Jonathan Griffiths and Uma Suthersanen of Queen Mary, University of London are to be congratulated on organizing the Seminar which inspired it and on bringing together this collection of essays.

The Rt Hon Sir Robin Jacob  
The Royal Courts of Justice  
October 2004

---

<sup>2</sup> I do not know whether the case was reported—it probably was, in *The Times*, otherwise I would not have known about it.

<sup>3</sup> There is a direct parallel with the famous Warner Bros Legal Department–Groucho Marx correspondence about *Casablanca* and *A Night in Casablanca* ('I am sure the average movie fan could learn in time to distinguish between Ingrid Bergman and Harpo. I don't know whether I could but I'd sure like to try'). The difference is that Warner Bros had no IPR to hang their hat on.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank a number of organizations and people for their help in supporting the conference upon which this volume is based. Clifford Chance LLP was extremely generous in providing the conference venue, catering, and organizational support. Particular thanks are due to Vanessa Marsland and Jane Ramsell. The Intellectual Property Institute gave valuable marketing and administrative support. Sir Robin Jacob and Professor Fiona Macmillan gave their time to chair sessions at the conference.

The conference was partly funded by the Queen Mary Intellectual Property Research Institute and by the Department of Law at Queen Mary. Thanks also to Michael Blakeney, John Cahir, Alison Firth, Joey Johnson, Spyros Maniatis, Adrian Sterling, and Guido Westkamp for their assistance before and during the conference.

We would also like to thank: Graham Dutfeld, for his invaluable assistance in reading several of the chapters and undertaking editorial work while Uma went off to have a baby; Brian Tutt, for patiently reading three versions of his wife's chapter; Chris Rycroft and Katarina Wihlborg for steering this volume firmly into print; Ali, Isaac, and Sam for their patience, and Oliver Kailash Tutt, for providing a sense of perspective in life.

## LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

**Professor Eric Barendt** Professor of Media Law, Faculty of Laws, University College London

Eric Barendt is the Goodman Professor of Media Law, teaching media law, constitutional law, and civil liberties. He writes in the area of media law, including broadcasting law, and on freedom of speech and is published widely. He was the General Editor of the *Yearbook of Copyright and Media Law*, published by Oxford University Press from 1995 to 2002. He was Vice-Dean of the Faculty from 1998–2000, and was a Fellow and Tutor at St Catherine's College, Oxford from 1971–1990.

**Mr Robert Burrell** Reader in Law, University of Queensland

Robert Burrell is Reader in Law at the University of Queensland, and Associate Director of the Australian Centre for Intellectual Property in Agriculture. He worked previously at the Australian National University and at King's College London. He has published widely on intellectual property, is the co-author (with A. Coleman) of *Copyright Exceptions: the Digital Impact* (Cambridge: CUP, 2005), and is sub-editor and co-author of the 14th edition of *Copinger and Skone James on Copyright*.

**Professor Thomas Dreier** Institut für Informationsrecht, Zentrum für angewandte Rechtswissenschaft (ZAR), Universität Karlsruhe

Thomas Dreier, Dr iur (Munich), MCJ (NYU), is Professor of Law at the University of Karlsruhe, Germany, where he is the Director of the Institute for Information Law. He was also a Global Visiting Professor of Law at the New York University, School of Law. Before joining the University of Karlsruhe, Professor Dreier worked at the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Patent, Copyright, and Competition Law, Munich, Germany (1983–1999). He is Vice-President of the Association Littéraire et Artistique Internationale (ALAI) and Vice-Chairman of ALAI's German national group as well as a member of the Advisory Panel on Intellectual Property of the Steering Committee of the Mass Media of the Council of Europe. Professor Dreier also acts as Executive Secretary of the German Computer Law Society (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Recht und Informatik, DGRI).

**Professor Gerald Dworkin** Emeritus Professor, King's College, University of London

Professor Gerald Dworkin is Emeritus Professor of Law, King's College, University of London, and was the Herchel Smith Professor at Queen Mary, University of London. His teaching and research interests cover all aspects of international, European, and national intellectual property law. He is a member of many intellectual property committees, including: Chairman of the British Copyright Council, the UK representative on EC Commission's Academic Committee on Copyright Law; member and immediate past Chairman of the British Literary and Artistic Copyright Association (BLACA); council member of the UK Intellectual Property Institute (IPI) and a member of the UK Law Society Sub-Committee on Intellectual Property.

**Mr Kevin Garnett, QC** Joint Head of Hogarth Chambers, London

Kevin Garnett has practised extensively in this field and is the Senior Editor of *Copinger and Skone James on Copyright*, one of the leading practitioners' texts in this field. He sits as a Deputy High Court Judge and is a Recorder. He is a co-author of a new work on moral rights and is the author of a variety of articles in the Intellectual Property and Media fields. He is an associate fellow of the Society of Advanced Legal Studies and a member of the Executive Committee of the British Library and Artistic Copyright Association (BLACA). He is a Bencher of Lincoln's Inn. As from 1st February 2005, he will be a legal member of the Boards of Appeal at the European Patent Office Munich.

**Professor Ysolde Gendreau** Professor, Faculté de droit, Université de Montréal

Ysolde Gendreau has also taught at McGill University, Université de Paris II, Université de Nantes, Université de Strasbourg III, Université de Lyon 2, University of Victoria, University of San Diego, and Monash University. She is currently President of the *Association for the Advancement of Teaching and Research in Intellectual Property* (ATRIP) and an associate member of the International Academy of Comparative Law.

**Professor Wendy J Gordon** Professor of Law and Paul J Liacos Scholar in Law, Boston University School of Law

Wendy Gordon's scholarship employs economics, philosophy and common-law analogues to investigate how the law should address the generation and



circulation of beneficial products, particularly works of culture and information. With Richard Watt she co-edited *The Economics of Copyright* (2003), and her many articles include 'An Inquiry into the Merits of Copyright' (*Stanford Law Review*), 'A Property Right in Self-Expression' (*Yale Law Journal*), 'Fair Use as Market Failure' (*Columbia Law Review*), 'Render Copyright Unto Caesar' (*U of Chicago Law Review*), and 'On Owning Information' (*Virginia Law Review*). She also authored the *Oxford Handbook on Legal Studies* chapter on 'Intellectual Property'. She has served as Visiting Senior Research Fellow for Oxford's St. John's College, as a Fulbright Scholar, as an American Law & Economics Association Area Organizer for Intellectual Property (IP), and as Chair of the IP Section of the Association of American Law Schools. Her editorial board service includes the new *Review of Economic Research on Copyright Issues*.

**Mr Jonathan Griffiths** Senior Lecturer, Department of Law, Queen Mary, University of London

Jonathan Griffiths has written widely in the fields of copyright and information law. He is a solicitor and is co-author of *Blackstone's Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 2000* (2nd edn, Oxford: OUP, 2004).

**The Rt Hon Lord Justice Jacob** Court of Appeal of England and Wales  
Sir Robin Jacob was appointed to the Bench in October 1993 and was Senior Judge of the Patents Court from 1995 to 1997. He was Supervising Chancery Judge for Birmingham, Bristol, and Cardiff from 1997 to 2001. He was appointed to the Court of Appeal in October 2003. Prior to his appointment as a judge he practised at the Patent Bar from 1967 and was appointed a QC in 1981.

**Professor Fiona Macmillan** School of Law, Birkbeck College, University of London

Fiona Macmillan is Professor of Law at Birkbeck College, University of London. She has previously held academic positions at the University of New South Wales, Leicester University, the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies (University of London), and at Murdoch University. She was the inaugural Copyright Director of the Asia Pacific Intellectual Property Law Institute. Her areas of expertise are intellectual property law and corporate regulation. Fiona Macmillan has published extensively in the areas of intellectual property law, corporate regulation, and WTO law. She is the author of *WTO and the Environment* (Sweet and Maxwell, 2001) and is currently working on a

new monograph for Hart Publishing entitled *The World Trade Organization and Human Rights*.

**Professor Neil Weinstock Netanel** Professor, UCLA School of Law  
Neil Weinstock Netanel teaches and writes in the areas of copyright, international intellectual property, and Internet governance. His most recent scholarship examines copyright in the digital environment and the conflict between copyright and free speech. Professor Netanel is a co-editor of *The Commodification of Information* (Kluwer Law International, 2003) and is currently completing a book entitled, *Copyright's Paradox: Property in Expression/Freedom of Expression*, to be published by Oxford University Press.

**Professor Raymond T Nimmer** Leonard Childs Professor of Law, Law Center, University of Houston  
Raymond Nimmer is Leonard Childs Professor of Law at the University of Houston Law Center and Co-Director of the University of Houston Institute for Intellectual Property and Information Law.

**Professor Jeremy Phillips** Visiting Professorial Fellow, Queen Mary Intellectual Property Research Institute; Visiting Professor, UCL, Universities of Alicante and Bournemouth; Intellectual Property Consultant, Slaughter and May (London)

Professor Phillips joined the Queen Mary Intellectual Property Research Institute in 2003. He has been Intellectual Property Consultant to London-based solicitors Slaughter and May since 1994 and is the Founder-Editor of Sweet & Maxwell's *European Trade Mark Reports* and *European Copyright and Design Reports*. Professor Phillips previously held posts at Trinity College Dublin and the University of Durham. The author of numerous books and articles on intellectual property, Professor Phillips is Consultant Editor of the *Butterworths Intellectual Property Law Handbook* and the *Butterworths E-commerce and Information Technology Law Handbook* as well as Editor of the *Information Technology Law Reports* (Lawtext Publications). He is also co-author (with Alison Firth) of *Introduction to Intellectual Property Law* (4th edn, Butterworths, 2002).

**Mr James Stellios** Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Australian National University  
James Stellios is a Lecturer at the Australian National University Faculty of Law. Prior to joining the Faculty in July 2001, he spent a number of years working for the Federal Attorney-General's Department in Australia, princi-

pally in the area of constitutional litigation. Immediately prior to joining the Faculty, he was Counsel Assisting the Solicitor-General of Australia. He writes and teaches in the areas of constitutional law and public and private international law. He is a co-author of the Australian supplement to DJ Harris' *Cases and Materials on International Law*, and is currently working on a monograph on the constitutional role of juries.

**Professor Alain Strowel** Covington & Burling, Brussels, and Facultés universitaires Saint-Louis

Alain serves as a professor at the Saint-Louis University (Brussels), the University of Liège and the Catholic University of Brussels-Leuven, where he mainly teaches copyright and design law. A member of the Brussels Bar since 1988, Professor Strowel graduated in law (1983) and obtained a PhD in law (1992) from the University of Louvain-la-Neuve. In addition, he obtained graduate degrees in economics (1984) and philosophy (1985). Prior to joining Covington & Burling in 2001, he worked in the Brussels IP section of the Dutch firm NautaDutilh. He has written numerous articles, and books including *Droit d'auteur et copyright* (LGDJ and Bruylant, 1993), *Of Authors and Origins* (Clarendon Press, 1994), and *Droit d'auteur et numérique: logiciels, bases de données, multimédia* (Bruylant, 2001).

**Professor (Dr) Mira T Sundara Rajan** Assistant Professor of Law, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver

Professor Sundara Rajan is The University of British Columbia's nominee for a Canada Research Chair in Intellectual Property Law. She holds a DPhil in Copyright Law from the Oxford Intellectual Property Research Centre, St Peter's College, Oxford University. Her book, *Copyright and Creative Freedom*, will be forthcoming in 2005 from Routledge. She has published extensively on Intellectual Property matters, and has taught and consulted in the UK, the EU, Russia, India, and Australia, as well as Canada and the United States of America.

**Dr Uma Suthersanen** Senior Lecturer, Queen Mary Intellectual Property Research Institute, University of London

Dr Suthersanen was appointed Lecturer in Intellectual Property Law at Queen Mary in October 1998, and Senior Lecturer in 2002. She is an Executive Committee Member of the Association Littéraire et Artistique Internationale, Executive Committee Member of the British Literary and Artistic Copyright Association, and a Committee Member of the Legal

Advisory Committee of the British Computer Society. She is a joint General Editor, along with Michael Blakeney, of the Queen Mary Studies on Intellectual Property Series and the Assistant Editor of the European Copyright and Design Reports. Her publications include *Design Law in Europe* (Sweet & Maxwell, 2000), *Copyright: World Law and Practice* (with Morag Macdonald, Sweet & Maxwell, 2004), and *Global Intellectual Property Law* (with G Dutfield and S Maniatis, Edward Elgar, 2005).

**Professor François Tulkens** Saint-Louis University, Brussels

François Tulken was admitted to the Brussels Bar in 1986. He is a professor at the Facultés universitaires Saint-Louis where he lectures on constitutional law and environmental law and is in charge of exercises in the field of contemporary legal thinking. He is the Assistant-Secretary of the law journal *Journal des Tribunaux*, a member of the Board of Editors of the *Revue belge de droit constitutionnel* and *Revue Aménagement-Environnement*. He is also the editor and author of several publications, particularly in the field of constitutional law and administrative law, urban development law and environmental law, as well as media law.

## TABLES OF CASES

### Australia

AG (UK) v Heinemann Publishers Australia Pty Ltd and Wright (1987) 10 NSWLR 86; (1988) 77 ALR 449 .....	3.01
Ansett Transport Industries (Operations) v Wardley (1980) 142 CLR 237 .....	11.04
Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Hanson, 28 September 1998 (Queensland Court of Appeal) .....	11.18, 11.20
Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Lenah Game Meats (2001) 208 CLR 199 ....	11.08
Australian Capital Television v Commonwealth (1992) 177 CLR 106 .....	11.03, 11.05, 11.07, 11.21
Brander v Ryan (2000) 78 SASR 234 .....	11.19–11.20
Channel Nine v Network Ten (2002) 55 Intellectual Property Report 112 (Federal Ct of Australia, Full Court) .....	11.26, 11.28–11.29, 11.35
Coleman v Power [2004] HCA 39 .....	11.08, 11.17, 11.20, 11.23, 11.45
Collier Constructions v Foskett (1990) 97 ALR 460 .....	11.39
Commonwealth of Australia v John Fairfax and Sons Ltd (1980) 147 CLR 39 (High Court of Australia) .....	2.34, 3.01, 11.27, 11.29, 11.31–11.32, 11.37–1.38, 11.45
Corrs Pavey Whiting & Byrne v Collector of Customs for the State of Victoria (1987) 14 FCR 434; (1987) 10 Intellectual Property R 53 .....	3.42, 11.39
Cuncliffe v Commonwealth (1994) 182 CLR 272 .....	11.06–11.07, 11.09, 11.11, 11.21
Davis v Commonwealth (1988) 166 CLR 79 .....	11.04
Gramophone Company v Leo Feist Incorporated (1928) 41 CLR 1 .....	11.25
Lange v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (1997) 189 CLR 520 ...	11.07–11.09, 11.16, 11.19, 11.21, 11.23, 11.36, 11.39
Langer v Commonwealth (1996) 186 CLR 302 .....	11.07
Levy v Victoria (1997) 189 CLR 579 .....	11.08–11.09, 11.14, 11.17
McClure v Australian Electoral Commission (1999) 163 ALR 734 .....	11.09
McGinty v Western Australia (1996) 186 CLR 140 .....	11.07
Miller v TCN Channel Nine Pty Ltd (1986) 161 CLR 556 .....	11.04
Muldowney v South Australia (1996) 186 CLR 352 .....	11.07
Mulholland v Australian Electoral Commission [2004] HCA 41 .....	11.08–11.09, 11.13, 11.23
Nationwide News v Wills (1992) 177 CLR 1 .....	11.05, 11.07
Nine Network Australia v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (1999) 48 Intellectual Property Report 333 .....	11.29

R v Director-General of Social Welfare (Vic), ex p Henry (1975) 133 CLR 369 .....	11.04
Rann v Olsen (2000) 76 SASR 450 .....	11.21
Roberts v Bass (2002) 212 CLR 1 .....	11.08
Sillery v The Queen (1981) 180 CLR 353 .....	11.04
Smith, Kline & French Laboratories (Australia) Ltd v Secretary, Department of Community Services & Health (1990) 17 Intellectual Property R 545 .....	3.42
Stephens v West Australian Newspapers (1994) 182 CLR 272 .....	11.06–11.08
Theophanous v Herald & Weekly Times (1994) 182 CLR 104 .....	11.06–11.08, 11.16
Wigginton v Brisbane TV (1992) 25 IPR 58 .....	11.29
<b>Austria</b>	
Copyright In Translated Legal Document, <i>Re</i> [1999] ECC 131 .....	5.04
<b>Belgium</b>	
Auteurs & Media, 5 April 2001 (Supreme Ct) .....	12.22
Index v Biblio, 25 September 2003, RW [2003–2004] (Ct of Cassation); Opinion of A-G Bressseleers; RABG, [2004/4], 205 .....	12.38–12.40
<b>Canada</b>	
Allen v Toronto Star Newspapers Ltd (1997) 78 CPR (3d) 115 .....	10.09
Aubry v Éditions Vice-Versa Inc [1998] 1 SCR 591 .....	10.23–10.25, 10.28
British Columbia Automobile Association v Office and Professional Employers’ International Union, Local 37 (2001) 10 CPR (4th) 423 .....	10.18
Canadian Tire Corporation Ltd v Retail Clerk Union, Local 518 of United Food & Commercial Workers Union (1985) 7 CPR (3d) 415 .....	10.13
CCH Canadian Ltd v Law Society of Upper Canada, 2004 SCC 13 .....	10.18
Cie Générale des Établissements Michelin—Michelin & Cie v CAW Canada (1996) 71 CPR (3d) 348 (Fed Ct of Canada, Trial Division) ...	10.12, 10.15, 10.17–10.18, 11.28
Field v United Amusements Corp Ltd [1971] CS 283 .....	10.22
Laoun v Malo [2003] JQ no 80 .....	10.24, 10.28
Malo v Laoun [2000] RJQ 458 .....	10.24–10.25, 10.28
Michaud v Turgeon (1998) 80 CPR (3d) 416 .....	10.26, 10.28
Productions Avanti Ciné-Vidéo Inc v Favreau (1999) 1 CPR (4th) 129 (Que CA) ....	10.12
R v Ghnaim (1988) 28 CPR (3d) 463 .....	10.04
R v James Lorimer & Co Ltd (1984) 77 CPR (2d) 262 .....	10.08, 10.10–10.11, 10.13–10.15
Rôtisseries St-Hubert Ltée v Le Syndicat des Travailleurs(euses) de la Rôtisserie St-Hubert de Drummondville (CSN) (1986) 17 CPR (3d) 461 .....	10.13

Snow v Eaton Centre (1982) 70 CPR (2d) 105 .....	9.11
Turgeon v Michaud REJB 2003–43940 .....	10.28
Wilson & Lafleur Ltée v SOQUIJ [1998] RJQ 2489 .....	10.06
<b>France</b>	
Areva v Greenpeace, 26 February 2003 (Paris Ct of Appeal); 9 July 2004 (Paris Court of First Instance) .....	12.23, 12.31–12.32
Chant du Monde v Fox Europe, 22 December 1959, Cass 1 av, (1960) 28 RIDA 361 ..	9.21
Danone, 30 April 2003 (Paris) Ubiquité-Rev dr techn inf [2003/17] ...	12.23–12.27, 12.29
EMI Music v Brel, 25 June 1996 (1997) 171 RIDA 337 (CA Paris) .....	9.21, 9.49, 9.60
Esso v Greenpeace, 4 July 2001 (TGI Paris); 26 February 2003 (Paris Ct of Appeal); 30 July 2004 (Paris Ct of First Instance) .....	12.23, 12.28–12.30
Godot, 15 October 1992 (1993) 155 RIDA 225 (TGI Paris (3rd Chamber)) ...	9.02, 9.52, 9.60
J Besset et Société Presse du Midi v Ministère public, 13 June 2002, unpublished (Ct of App, Toulouse) .....	12.13
Microfor v Le Monde, 9 November 1983 and 30 October 1987 (Ct of Cassation) ....	12.40
Société Reed Expositions France (formerly Groupe Miller Freeman) v Société Tigest Sarl [2003] ECDR 206 (Cour d'appel de Paris) .....	16.22
Turner Entertainment Company v Huston, 28 May 1991, (1991) 149 RIDA 197 (Cour Cass); 10 December 1994, (1995) 164 RIDA 256 (CA Versailles) .....	9.02, 9.13
Utrillo, 23 February 1999, [2000] RIDA 374 (Paris Court of First Instance); 30 May 2001, [2001] Dalloz 2504 (Paris Court of Appeal); 13 November 2003 (Supreme Court), JCP, 19 May 2004, no 21–22, 955 .....	12.37, 12.41–12.42
<b>Germany</b>	
'Alcolix', 11 March 1993, (1994) 25 IIC 605 (BGH) .....	9.26
Böll, 54 BverfGE 208 (1980) .....	2.09
CB-Infobank I, 16 January 1997—I ZR 9/95; GRUR Int, 1997, 459 (BGH) .....	12.44
CB-Infobank II, 16 January 1997—ZR 38/96; GRUR Int, 1997, 464 (BGH) .....	12.43–12.44
Decision of 7 July 1971, GRUR, 1972, 481 (Constitutional Court) .....	12.12
Decision of 29 June 2000, Zeitschrift für Urheber-und Medienrecht, 2000, 867 (BverfG) .....	12.44
Neo-Fascist Slant in Copyright Works, <i>Re</i> (Case II U 63/94) 6 December 1994, [1996] ECC 375 (OLG Frankfurt-am-Main) .....	9.22, 9.49, 5.10

Paperboy, 17 July 2003—I ZR 259/00; NJW, 2003, 3406 (BGH) .....	12.45
<b>Netherlands</b>	
Church of Scientology v Dataweb Arrondissementsrechtbank 's-Gravenhage, 9 June 1999, Computerrecht, 1999/4, 200; 4 September 2003, Computerrecht, 2003/6, 357, Auteurs & Media, 2004/1, 44 .....	12.46–48
Dior v Evora, 20 October 1995, NJ, 1996, 682 (Hoge Raad) .....	12.47
Fonds Anne Frank, 12 November 1998, Mediaforum, 1999, 39 (Pres Rb, Amsterdam); 8 July 1999, Informatierecht/AMI, 1999, 116 (Hof Amsterdam) .....	12.48
<b>New Zealand</b>	
A-G (UK) v Wellington Newspapers Ltd [1988] 1 NZLR 129 .....	3.01
Copyright Licensing Ltd v University of Auckland (2002) 53 Intellectual Property R 618 .....	11.29
<b>United Kingdom</b>	
A v B plc and C [2003] QB 195 (CA) .....	8.19, 8.37, 9.38
A-G v Guardian Newspapers (No 2) [1990] 1 AC 109 .....	3.01
A-G v Jonathan Cape Ltd [1976] QB 752 .....	3.01
American Cyanamid Company v Ethicon Ltd [1975] AC 396 .....	8.14, 8.35–8.37, 8.62
Ashdown v Telegraph Group Ltd [2001] Ch 685 (ChD); [2001] EMLR 44; [2001] EWCA (Civ) 1142; [2002] Ch 149 (CA); [2002] ECDR 32 .....	1.08, 2.03, 2.07, 2.18, 2.30, 3.42, 5.10, 7.37, 7.42, 7.47, 8.03, 8.05, 8.10–8.11, 8.15, 8.17–8.18, 8.20–8.21, 8.26, 8.29, 8.33, 8.39, 8.41–8.42, 8.44, 8.46, 8.52, 8.56, 8.62–8.63, 8.65, 9.23, 9.31, 9.34, 9.36–9.37, 11.26, 11.28
BBC v Time Out [1984] FSR 64 .....	3.06
Beggars Banquet Records Ltd v Carlton Television [1993] EMLR 349 .....	3.42
Beloff v Pressdam [1973] 1 All ER 241 .....	3.01, 8.50–8.52
Bonnard v Perryman [1891] 2 Ch 269 (CA) .....	9.45
Bramwell v Halcomb (1836) 2 My & Cr 737; 40 ER 1110 .....	3.41
British Oxygen v Liquid Air [1925] 1 Ch 383 .....	11.27
British Steel Corporation v Granada Television Ltd [1981] AC 1096 .....	8.11, 8.54
Brown (Margaret) v Stott, aka Brown v Procurator Fiscal (Dunfermline) [2001] 2 WLR 817 .....	5.14
Campbell v MGN Limited [2004] UKHL 22 .....	8.19
Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [2004] 2 WLR 1232 (HL) .....	9.38
Cary v Longman (1801) 1 East 358; 102 ER 138 .....	3.41
Clark v Associated Newspapers [1998] 1 All ER 959 .....	9.52
Confetti Records v Warner Music UK Ltd [2003] EMLR 35 ...	9.08, 9.14–9.18, 9.44, 9.60
Cram (GA) & Sons Ltd v Smythson (Frank) Ltd [1944] AC 329 (HL) .....	5.08
Cream Holdings Ltd v Banerjee [2004] UKHL 44 .....	8.37–8.38, 8.62
de Freitas v Permanent Secretary of Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Lands and Housing [1999] 1 AC 69 .....	5.14



Derbyshire County Council v Times Newspapers Ltd [1993] 2 WLR 449 .....	3.01
Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2001] 1 All ER 700 .....	9.30
Douglas v Hello! Ltd [2001] QB 967 (CA) .....	8.19, 8.37
Francome v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd [1984] 1 WLR 892 (CA) .....	3.01, 8.11
Fraser v Evans [1969] 1 QB 349 .....	8.47–8.48, 8.54
Gartside v Outram (1856) 26 LJ Ch 113 .....	3.01
George Hensher v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) [1976] AC 64 (HL) .....	9.19
Hubbard v Vosper [1972] 2 QB 84 (CA) .....	3.01, 8.15, 8.49, 8.54, 8.65, 9.31, 11.26–11.27
Hyde Park Residence Ltd v Yelland [1999] RPC 655; [2000] EMLR 363; [2001] Ch 143 (CA) .....	3.42, 7.37, 8.10, 8.21, 8.41, 8.54, 8.57–8.60, 8.62, 9.36, 11.26–11.27, 11.45
IBCOS Computers Ltd v Barclays Mercantile Highland Finance Ltd [1994] FSR 275 .....	8.07
Imutran Ltd v Uncaged Campaigns Ltd and Daniel Louis Lyons [2001] 2 All ER 385; [2001] ECDR 191 .....	8.32, 8.37, 8.61–8.62, 16.31, 16.38
Initial Services Ltd v Putterill [1968] 1 QB 396 .....	8.11, 8.54
Khashoggi v Smith (1980) 124 SJ 149 (CA) .....	8.54
LB (Plastics) Ltd v Swish Products Ltd [1979] RPC 551 .....	5.08
Lion Laboratories Ltd v Evans [1985] QB 526 (CA) .....	3.01, 8.10–8.11, 8.14–8.15, 8.53–8.56, 8.60, 8.62, 9.38
Mars UK Ltd v Teknowledge Ltd [2000] ECDR 99 .....	9.38
Morrison Leahy Music Limited v Lightbond Limited [1993] EMLR 144 .....	9.08–9.09, 9.18, 9.48
Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks and Spencer [2001] Ch 257 (CA) .....	11.45
NWL Ltd v Woods [1979] ICR 867 .....	8.35
Pasterfield v Denham [1999] FSR 168 (Plymouth County Court) ...	9.08, 9.12–9.14, 9.18, 9.60
PCR Ltd v Dow Jones Telerate Ltd [1998] FSR 170 .....	7.37, 8.07–8.08
Pro Sieben Media v Carlton UK Television [1999] 1 WLR 605 (CA) ...	8.09, 8.65, 9.23, 9.31, 11.28, 11.45
R v Department of Health, ex p Source Informatics Ltd [2000] 1 All ER 786 (CA); [1999] 4 All ER 185 .....	16.24
R v Home Secretary, ex p Simms [2000] 2 AC 115 .....	5.18
R v Shayler [2002] 2 WLR 754 .....	5.14
Ravenscroft v Herbert [1980] RPC 193 .....	5.08, 8.06
Reynolds v Times Newspapers Ltd [2001] 2 AC 127 .....	9.45
Sayre v Moore (1785) .....	3.41
Schering Chemicals Ltd v Falkman Ltd [1982] QB 1 .....	8.54