EDITED BY

AUSTIN SARAT



THE
BLACKWELL
COMPANION TO
LAW AND
SOCIETY



The Blackwell Companion to Law and Society

Edited by

Austin Sarat



© 2004 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd except for editorial material and organization © 2004 by Austin Sarat

BLACKWELL PUBLISHING 350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK 550 Swanston Street, Carlton, Victoria 3053, Australia

The right of Austin Sarat to be identified as the Author of the Editorial Material in this Work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

First published 2004 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd

3 2007

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The Blackwell companion to law and society / edited by Austin Sarat. p. cm. – (Blackwell companions to sociology; 11)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-631-22896-9 (hardback: alk. paper)
1. Law–Social aspects. I. Sarat, Austin. II. Series.
K370.B554 2004
3407.115–dc22

2003019975

ISBN-13: 978-0-631-22896-7 (hardback : alk. paper)

A catalogue record for this title is available from the British Library.

Set in 10/12pt Sabon by Kolam Information Services Pvt. Ltd, Pondicherry, India Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by TJ International, Padstow, Cornwall

The publisher's policy is to use permanent paper from mills that operate a sustainable forestry policy, and which has been manufactured from pulp processed using acid-free and elementary chlorine-free practices. Furthermore, the publisher ensures that the text paper and cover board used have met acceptable environmental accreditation standards.

For further information on Blackwell Publishing, visit our website: www.blackwellpublishing.com

Preface

The invitation to put together a one volume "companion" to a field as diverse as "law and society" was, as one could readily imagine, both exciting and daunting. Taking up this invitation provided a wonderful opportunity to survey the field, and to renew my acquaintance with the range of work being done in it and the wonderful scholars doing that work. Editing this volume was a re-education in itself. But the very range and diversity of scholarship posed a substantial challenge. What to include? What not to include? How to represent the breadth of theories, methods, and perspectives found in the law and society community?

These were formidable challenges. Despite the hard choices, inevitable omissions, and shades of emphasis, I am satisfied that *The Blackwell Companion to Law and Society* does a reasonable job in providing a guide to the field. Indeed the more than 30 essays in this volume may well constitute the best introduction to the field currently available. While working from a common template, all the authors interpreted their directions in their own ways. Readers will recognize a common format while also appreciating the various ways that format has been adapted. Taken together the essays collected here trace the evolution and history of the field, chart the present state of knowledge produced in law and society, and point to fruitful directions for further inquiry.

As surely as it highlights the diversity and fragmentation of the field of law and society scholarship, a compilation of research such as this inevitably tends toward "canonization" of a particular map of knowledge, a particular set of problems, and a particular set of texts. That is unavoidable and, to some extent, beneficial. Canonization helps us recognize what we share. It also provides a fruitful terrain of conflict and contestation. While having one's work canonized means having the pleasure of seeing one's name in lights, it carries with it the need to endure slings and arrows slung and shot by those seeking to advance new paradigms or just prove their academic mettle. A canon also might be thought of as a staple of shared knowledge, the things all of us must know if we are to be literate as law and society scholars,

PREFACE

the things we need to read regardless of our particular subfield or research specialization.

While canons are about quality, they are also about work that defines who we are by identifying common concerns, concerns that go to the heart of problems and issues that recur in many, if not all, of the subfields that comprise law and society scholarship. Canons make demands on us as readers, requiring us to read beyond the limits of our most narrowly defined expertise, requiring us to remain familiar with theories and methods beyond those with which we are most comfortable.

As an advocate for disciplinization (a truly ugly word), I am drawn to Jack Balkin and Sanford Levinson's claim that "Every discipline, because it is a discipline, has a canon, a set of standard texts, approaches, problems, examples, or stories that its members repeatedly employ or invoke, and which help define the discipline as a discipline. If the study of law," they say, "is a discipline, it too must have its canons and its own sense of the canonical" (see ch. 3, p.31). For me a discipline is less a set of shared methods or theories (by that definition there would indeed be very few disciplines) than a set of shared conversations, or shared communities of readers. For each of us the canon establishes the minimum grammar with which we must be familiar if we are to talk law and society and to have our talk recognized by others. In this sense the canon provides one of the sets of horizontal linkages that define a discipline, setting off one set of intellectual inquiries from another. While the boundaries of the canon, like the boundaries of a grammar, are shifting, fluid, and contested, without a canon there can be little intelligible conversation.

But canons also provide a vertical or historical connection, a way for one generation to speak to another. Like the good parent who must – or so the books say – provide ways of being in the world for their children, both as a source of stability but also as the fuel of rebellion, so too a discipline that wants to take seriously its obligations to younger scholars must not shy away from the complex task of canonization. While having a hollow core may look like a way of being open, it is, I think, really a way of avoiding one generation's responsibility to another. If we cannot identify the faces that adorn our own Mount Rushmore, we cannot hope to earn the loyalties of those who will come after us, those who we would like to speak to us and about us in an unnamed future. Indeed, as Balkin and Levinson argue, "there is no better way to understand a discipline – its underlying assumptions, its current concerns and anxieties – than to study what its members think is canonical... The study of canons and canonicity is the key to the secrets of the culture and its characteristic modes of thought."

Of course, canons and canonization also generate arguments about what is in and who is out. Listing the canon or candidates for canonization is always perilous, not only because it hurts the feelings of those not named and leads to blaming of the list makers, but because canons cannot be legislated or brought into being merely through naming and claiming. They exist as social facts, as empirical documentable phenomena in our syllabi, our footnotes, our stock of stories, the shared consciousness and taken-for-granted sense of who we are. But so too do the disagreements about the canon. Those disagreements are often healthy even when they are unpleasant.

What is honored by canonization may make us feel marginal if it seems distant from what we do or know. What is criticized as being unworthy of canonization may make us angry if it seems to embody the theories or methods that we deploy in our XII PREFACE

work. But contests over the canon keep the canon fresh; they renew it by requiring those who would defend this or that set of inclusions and exclusions to make explicit the questions or insights they see as defining the field. In so doing history becomes memory, the past becomes present. The taken-for-granted is renewed and reinvigorated as it is made explicit

The Blackwell Companion to Law and Society represents one document in the continuing articulation and contestation of the field called law and society. Because it is produced at a time of both a great vitality and great fragmentation in the field, what it canonizes will for some seem just right and, for others, will seem unduly tilted in this direction or that. However any reader receives it, I hope this book provides a way station, a temporary touchstone, honoring the contributions of law and society scholarship and fueling its further development.

I am grateful to the contributors for taking on the challenging work of field assessment. I am also grateful to Susan Rabinowitz, who proposed that I undertake this project and was very helpful in its earliest stages of development, and to Ken Provencher who saw it through to production. Thanks to Greg Call, Dean of the Faculty at Amherst College for his generous financial support and my colleagues in Amherst College's Department of Law, Jurisprudence, and Social Thought for providing a rich intellectual environment in which to work. Thanks especially to Stephanie, Lauren, Emily, and Benjamin for being the best of all companions.

Austin Sarat July, 2003

Contributors

Gad Barzilai is professor in the political science department and also teaches in the law school. at Tel-Aviv University. He cofounded in 1996 the Law, Politics, and Society Program at Tel Aviv University, which is the first program in Israel in that field for graduate students. Since then he has been its codirector. In 1998 he cofounded the Israel Association of Law and Society, and later was its cochair (2000-1). He is active in several prestigious boards including the American Journal of Political Science, the American Association of Israel Studies, the Israel Association of Law and Society, and the International Committee of the Law and Society Association, He was a member of the Program Committee (2003) of the Law and Society Association. Among his books are Communities and Law: Politics and Cultures of Legal Identities (2003), The Attorney General: Authority and Responsibility. Principles, Institutions in Comparative Perspective, Analysis and Recommendations for Reforms, No. 6 (with David Nachmias, 1997), Wars, Internal Conflicts, and Political Order (1996), The Israeli Supreme Court and the Israeli Public (with Zeev Segal and Efraim Yaar, 1994), and A Democracy in Wartime: Conflict and Consensus in Israel (1992).

Jeannine Bell is Associate Professor of Law at Indiana University. She joined the faculty at Indiana in 1999, and is also an adjunct professor in the Department of Political Science. Her courses include Criminal Process, Seminars on the First Amendment, and Law and Society. Professor Bell is the author of Policing Hatred: Law Enforcement, Civil Rights, and Hate Crime (2002). She is a coauthor of another book, Gaining Access to Research Sites. She has written articles on the Family and Medical Leave Act, and on the legal response to hate crimes.

Susan B. Boyd is Professor of Law and holds the Chair in Feminist Legal Studies at the University of British Columbia, Canada. She is author of *Child Custody, Law, and Women's Work* (2003). Her edited collection *Challenging the Public/Private Divide: Feminism, Law, and Public Policy* was published in 1997.

Rosemary J. Coombe is a Tier One Canada Research Chair in Law, Communication, and Cultural Studies at York University in Toronto, where she teaches in the Communications and Culture Joint PhD/MA Program, and is cross-appointed to the Osgoode Hall Faculty of Law Graduate Program, and the Graduate Program in Social and Political Thought. Her work addresses the cultural, political, and social implications of intellectual property laws. Her book, *The Cultural Life of Intellectual Properties* is a legal ethnography of the ways in which intellectual property law shapes cultural politics in consumer societies. Recently, she has been working on two projects. With Andrew Herman she is engaged in a study of the ethics of property and propriety involved in the management of trademarks on the worldwide web and the ways in which digital environments enable consumers to interrupt and to contest the corporate assumption of goodwill.

Roger Cotterrell is Professor of Legal Theory at Queen Mary College, University of London. He is author of *The Sociology of Law* (2nd edn., 1992), *The Politics of Jurisprudence* (1989; US edn. 1992), *Law's Community* (1995), and *Emile Durkheim: Law In a Moral Domain* (1999), and editor of *Law, Democracy and Social Justice* (with B. Bercusson, 1988), *Law and Society* (1994), and *Sociological Perspectives on Law* (2001). In addition, he has published over 70 articles and essays related to his primary specialties, sociologal theory and jurisprudence, as well as papers on public law, criminology, and the law of trusts. He is a member of the advisory boards of the *Journal of Law and Society*, *Sociologia del Diritto*, and the *Griffith Law Review*. He was Trustee of the Law and Society Association (USA) (1996–9), Chair of the LSA's Articles Prize Committee (1999–2000), and a member of the UK National Research Assessment Exercise Law Panel (1999–2001).

Eve Darian-Smith is Professor of Law and Society at the University of California, Santa Barbara. Her book *Bridging Divides: The Channel Tunnel and English Legal Identity* (1999) won the Law and Society Association's Best Book Prize. She is coeditor of *Laws of the Postcolonial* (1999) and author of the forthcoming *Culture*, *Custom, Power, Law: The Implications of Legal Anthropology for the Study of Law.* She is coeditor with Bill Felstiner of *Onati Proceedings*.

Lauren B. Edelman is Professor of Law and Sociology in the Jurisprudence and Social Policy Program at the University of California-Berkeley. She has published widely on the intersection of law and work, including empirical studies of organizational response to civil rights law, and of how human resource professionals interpret, implement, and transform civil rights law. She received a Guggenheim Fellowship in 2000, was President of the Law and Society Association in 2002–3, and was Fellow at the Center for Advanced Studies in the Social and Behavioral Sciences in 2003–4.

Lee Epstein is the Edward Mallinckrodt Distinguished University Professor of Political Science and Professor of Law at Washington University. She is the author or coauthor of over 70 articles and essays, as well as 12 books, including *The Supreme Court and Legal Change*, the Constitutional Law for a Changing America Series (moving into its 5th edition), *The Supreme Court Compendium* (now in its 3rd edition; winner of a Special Recognition Honor from the Law and Courts Section of the American Political Science Association and an Outstanding Academic Book Award from Choice), and *The Choices Justices Make* (winner of the Pritchett award for the Best Book on Law and Courts). She is a former chair of the Law and

Courts Section of the American Political Science Association and is currently the President of the Midwest Political Science Association.

Patricia Ewick is Professor of Sociology at Clark University. She is coeditor of Studies in Law, Politics, and Society and was associate editor of the Law & Society Review. Among her publications are "The Architecture of Authority: Law, Space and Science" (with Susan Silbey, in The Place of Law, Austin Sarat and Thomas Kearns, eds., 2003), "Mending Fences: Beyond the Epistemological Divide," Law & Society Review (2001), Social Science, Social Policy, and the Law (with Robert Kagan and Austin Sarat, 1999), and The Common Place of Law: Stories from Everyday Life (with Susan Silbey, 1998).

Laura E. Gómez is Professor of Law and Sociology at UCLA. She is the author of Misconceiving Mothers: Legislators, Prosecutors, and the Politics of Prenatal Drug Exposure. She is currently working on a book about law, race, and politics in nineteenth-century New Mexico. At UCLA she served as the codirector, with Professor Jerry Kang, of the School of Law's Concentration in Critical Race Studies, founded in 2000. She clerked for Judge Dorothy W. Nelson of the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and worked in Congress as an aide to Senator Jeff Bingaman. She has served as an officer and member of the Board of Trustees of the Law and Society Association.

Lisa Hajjar teaches in the Law and Society Program at the University of California-Santa Barbara. She is the author of Authority, Resistance and the Law: A Study of the Israeli Military Court System in the West Bank and Gaza (forthcoming), "Law Against Order: Human Rights Organizations and the Palestinian Authority," University of Miami Law Review (2002), and "Sovereign Bodies, Sovereign States, and the Problem of Torture," Studies in Law, Politics, and Society (2002). She is a member of the Board of Trustees of the Law and Society Association.

Kathryn Hendley is Professor of Law and Political Science at the University of Wisconsin. She is a member of the Advisory Board, Central and East European Law Initiative of the American Bar Association and the Advisory Board on the Initiative in the Former Soviet Union, The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. Recent publications include "Which Mechanisms Support the Fulfillment of Sales Agreements? Asking Decision-makers in Firms," *Economic Letters* (with Peter Murrell, 2002), "Suing the State in Russia," *Post-Soviet Affairs* (2002), and "Punitive Damages for Contractual Breaches in Comparative Perspective: The Use of Penalties by Russian Enterprises, *Wisconsin Law Review* (with Peter Murrell and Randi Ryterman, 2001).

Valerie P. Hans is Professor of Criminal Justice and Psychology at the University of Delaware and holds a secondary appointment in the Legal Studies Program. In addition, she has been a visiting scholar at Stanford Law School, University of Pennsylvania Law School, the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania, and the School of Law at the University of Cardiff in Wales. She served as Codirector of Research for the Special Committee on Gender of the District of Columbia Circuit's Task Force on Gender and Racial Bias, and is a member of the Delaware Task Force on Effective Use of Juries. She has participated in the grant review panel for the Law and Social Sciences Program of the National Science Foundation, and has been a member of the executive boards of the Law and

Society Association and the American Psychology-Law Society. Among her publications are *Judging the Jury* (with Neil Vidmar, 1986) and *Business on Trial: The Civil Jury and Corporate Responsibility* (2000).

Robert A. Kagan is Professor of Political Science and Law at the University of California, Berkeley, and director of the Center for the Study of Law and Society. His books include Regulatory Justice; Going By the Book (with E. Bardach), Regulatory Encounters: Multinational Corporations and American Adversarial Legalism, Adversarial Legalism: The American Way of Law, and Shades of Green: Business, Regulation, and the Environment (with N. Gunningham & D. Thornton).

Jack Knight is Sidney W. Sovers Professor of Government; Resident Fellow, Center in Political Economy; and Member, Committee on Social Thought and Analysis at Washington University. He has a BA and a JD from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and MA and PhD from the University of Chicago. His primary areas of interest are modern social and political theory, law and legal theory, political economy, and philosophy of social science. His publications include *Institutions and Social Conflict* (1992) and *Explaining Social Institutions* (with Itai Sened, 1995), and *The Choices Justices Make* (with Lee Epstein, 1997), as well as articles in various journals and edited volumes.

Nicola Lacey is Professor of Criminal Law at the London School of Economics and Adjunct Professor of Social and Political Theory at the Research School of Social Sciences of the Australian National University. She is the author of *State Punishment* (1988), *The Politics of Community* (with Elizabeth Frazer, 1993), *Unspeakable Subjects* (1998), and *Reconstructing Criminal Law* (with Celia Wells and Oliver Quick, 3rd edn., 2003). She is a Fellow of the British Academy and was in 2001 and 2003 visiting member of the Global Law Faculty at New York University.

Michael McCann is the Gordon Hirabayashi Professor for the Advancement of Citizenship at the University of Washington. He is author of Rights at Work: Pay Equity Reform and the Politics of Legal Mobilization (1994) and coauthor of Distorting the Law: Politics, Mass Media, and the Litigation Crisis (2004). He is currently working on a series of projects analyzing the political backlash against egalitarian civil rights and related social policies in the United States over the last 50 years.

Sally Engle Merry is Marion Butler McLean Professor in the History of Ideas and Professor of Anthropology at Wellesley College. She is also codirector of the Peace and Justice Studies Program. Her recent book, Colonizing Hawai'i: The Cultural Power of Law (2000), received the 2001 J. Willard Hurst Prize from the Law and Society Association. Her other books include Law and Empire in the Pacific: Hawai'i and Fiji (coedited with Donald Brenneis, forthcoming), The Possibility of Popular Justice: A Case Study of American Community Mediation (coedited with Neal Milner, 1993), Getting Justice and Getting Even: Legal Consciousness among Working Class Americans (1990), and Urban Danger: Life in a Neighborhood of Strangers (1981). She is past president of the Law and Society Association and the Association for Political and Legal Anthropology.

Elizabeth Mertz is Professor of Law at the University of Wisconsin and Senior Research Fellow at the American Bar Foundation. Recent publications include "The Perfidy of Gaze and the Pain of Uncertainty: Anthropological Theory and the Search for Closure," in Ethnography in Unstable Places: Everyday Lives in Contexts of Dramatic Political Change (2002), Ethnography in Unstable Places: Everyday Lives in Contexts of Dramatic Political Change (edited with Carol Greenhouse and Kay Warren, 2002), and "Teaching Lawyers the Language of Law: Legal and Anthropological Translations," John Marshall Law Review (2001). Her current study, "The Language of Legal Education: A Sociolinguistic/Semiotic Study of the First-Year Law School Classroom," involves observational research in eight law schools across the country. This project was jointly funded by the American Bar Foundation and the Spencer Foundation. Her most recent project, conducted jointly with anthropologist Carol Greenhouse and sociologist Wamucii Njogu, will examine the careers of senior legal academics.

Leslie J. Moran is Head of Department of the Faculty of Law at Birkbeck College, University of London. He has written extensively on matters relating to gay issues in the law. He is one of a multidisciplinary team undertaking the largest study of lesbians, gay men, violence, and safety in the UK. The project is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council as part of an initiative on violence research. In 2000 he was visiting research fellow at Macquarie University undertaking research with Andrew Sharpe on violence against transgender people in Sydney. In December 2000 he organized a multidisciplinary seminar at Birkbeck on Critical Reflections on Hate Crime. He jointly organized "Law's Moving Image: A Conference on Law and Film," held at the Tate Gallery, Millbank in January 2001. He is currently editing a special edition of Law and Critique on "Critical Reflections on Hate Crime," and a volume of essays on law and film. He is completing a book provisionally entitled Queer Violence. He is a member of the editorial boards of Law & Society Review, Law and Critique, and The Liverpool Law Review.

Frank Munger is Professor of Law at New York Law School. A former editor of Law & Society Review and President of the Law and Society Association, his recent publications include Laboring Below the Line: The New Ethnography of Poverty, Low-Wage Work, and Survival in the Global Economy and Rights of Inclusion: Law and Identity in the Lives of Americans with Disabilities (with David Engel).

David Nelken is Distinguished Professor of Sociology and Legal Institutions and Social Change at the University of Macerata in Italy and Distinguished Research Professor of Law in Cardiff Law School, University of Wales. He previously taught law at Cambridge (1974–76), Edinburgh (1976–84), and University College, London (1984–90; Visiting Professor 1990–2000). He received a Distinguished Scholar award from the American Sociological Association (Criminology section) in 1985 and is currently a Trustee of the USA Law and Society Association and Vice President of the Research Committee on Law of the International Sociological Association. From 1992–2000 he was a member of the Secure Cities Committee of experts of the Emilia Romagna region of Italy. He has been Visiting Professor at Berkeley, Copenhagen, Florence, Jerusalem, New York, and Tel Aviv. He edits two series of criminology books and is on the editorial boards of 14 scientific journals. Recent books include Comparing Legal Cultures, Contrasting Criminal Justice, Adapting Legal Cultures, and Law's New Boundaries.

Laura Beth Nielsen is Research Associate at the American Bar Foundation. Recent publications include "Subtle, Pervasive, Harmful: Racist and Sexist Remarks in Public as Hate Speech," *Journal of Social Issues* (2002) and "Situating Legal

Consciousness: Experiences and Attitudes of Ordinary Citizens About Law and Street Harassment," Law & Society Review (2000). She is a member of the Board of Trustees, Law and Society Association and is coeditor of Law & Social Inquiry. She won the Dissertation Prize of the Law and Society Association in 2000.

Pat O'Malley is Canada Research Chair in Criminology and Criminal Justice, and Professor in the Departments of Sociology and Anthropology and of Law, at Carleton University, and was until recently Professor of Law and Deputy Dean of the Faculty of Law and Management, and Director of the National Centre for Socio-Legal Studies, at La Trobe University, Australia. He is the author and editor of many publications in the field of risk and security, and has been a member of various government bodies working in related areas of criminal justice, drug policy, and crime prevention. He is an editor of the Cambridge University Press "Law and Society" series, and serves on the editorial and advisory boards of many major international journals in the field. Much of his recent work has focused on the role of risk-based models in the government of social problems, including two edited collections: Crime and the Risk Society and Crime Prevention in Australia. Other recent published work has included field studies of drug dealing, analysis of the globalization of legal sanctions, and theoretical examination of contemporary governance. In 2000 he was awarded the Sellin-Gleuck Award by the American Society of Criminology for outstanding contributions to the discipline.

Tanina Rostain is Associate Professor of Law Codirector, Center for Professional Values and Practice at New York Law School. Her current research focuses on how lawyers and accountants interpret legal questions, a topic that has assumed great visibility after the collapse of energy giant Enron and the subsequent investigation of its accounting firm, Arthur Andersen. Among her publications are "Professional Commitments in a Changed World," Fordham Law Review (2002), "Educating Homo Economicus: Cautionary Notes on the New Behavioral Law and Economics Movement," Law & Society Review (2000), and "Ethics Lost: Limitations of Current Approaches to Lawyer Regulation," Southern California Law Review (1998).

Austin Sarat is William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Jurisprudence and Political Science and Professor of Law, Jurisprudence, and Social Thought at Amherst College. He is a past President of the Law and Society Association and the Association for the Study of Law, Culture, and the Humanities. He is the author or editor of more than 40 books, including When the State Kills: Capital Punishment and the American Condition, Law's Violence (with Thomas Kearns), The Killing State, Pain, Death, and the Law, and Divorce Lawyers and Their Clients (with William Felstiner). In 1997 he received The Harry Kalven Award given by the Law and Society Association for "distinguished research on law and society."

Stuart A. Scheingold is Professor Emeritus of Political Science at the University of Washington. Recent publications include Cause Lawyering and the State in a Global Era and Cause Lawyering: Political Commitments and Professional Responsibilities (both with Austin Sarat), and Politics, Crime Control and Culture. Previous publications include The Politics of Rights: Lawyers, Public Policy and Political Change, The Politics of Law and Order: Street Crime and Public Policy, and The Politics of Street Crime: Criminal Process and Cultural Obsession. He was a 1995 Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and in 1998–9 he held the

Walter S. Owen Visiting Chair in Law at the University of British Columbia. In 2001 he was awarded the Harry J. Kalven, Jr. Prize by the Law and Society Association.

Carroll Seron is Professor of Public Affairs and Sociology at Baruch College of the City University of New York. She is the author of *The Part-time Paradox: Time Norms, Professional Life, Family and Gender* (with Cynthia Fuchs Epstein, Bonnie Oglensky, and Robert Saute, 1999), *The Business of Practicing Law: The Work Lives of Solo and Small-Firm Attorneys* (1996), *Rationalizing Justice: The Political Economy of the Federal District Courts* (with Wolf Heydebrand, 1990), and *Court Reorganization: The Politics of Reform in the Federal Bankruptcy Court* (1978).

Richard K. Sherwin is Professor of Law at New York Law School. An expert on the use of visual representations and visual persuasion in litigation and litigants' public relations, he has written widely on the interrelationship between law and culture, including interdisciplinary works on law and rhetoric, discourse theory, political legitimacy, and the theoretical and practical dimensions of the relationship between law and film/television. A frequent public speaker both in the USA and abroad, he is a regular commentator for television, radio, and print media on the relationship between law, culture, and film, and has appeared on NBC's "Today Show," CourtTV, WNET, and National Public Radio. He is the author of When Law Goes Pop: The Vanishing Line Between Law and Popular Culture (2000).

Susan S. Silbey is Professor of Anthropology at MIT. She has written about the social organization of law in diverse institutional and informal settings including attorney generals' offices, courts, schools, private homes, and businesses; she has also studied alternative forms of dispute resolution including negotiation and mediation. She edited Studies in Law, Politics, and Society (1990–97) and Law & Society Review (1998–2000). In 1998, she published The Common Place of Law: Stories from Everyday Life (with Patricia Ewick) describing the ways in which Americans imagine, use, and construct the rule of law. Her current research looks at the roles and conceptions of law in scientific laboratories, comparing the place of law in expert communities and popular culture. She is supervising research on the development of new safety regimes in research labs, the effects of laboratory organization on gender hierarchies in science, and variations in engineering education. Professor Silbey is Past President of the Law and Society Association, and a fellow of the American Academy of Political and Social Science.

Jonathan Simon is Professor of Jurisprudence and Social Policy at the University of California, Berkeley. He obtained an AB in 1981, a JD in 1987, and a PhD in jurisprudence and social policy in 1991, all from the University of California at Berkeley. After law school he clerked for Judge William C. Canby, Jr., of the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He has written widely on criminal law and crime generally. In November 1999, he was awarded a Soros Senior Justice fellowship from the Open Society Institute to develop into a book his scholarship on the role of crime policy in transforming governance. Recent publications include Cultural Analysis, Cultural Studies, and the Law: Moving Beyond Legal Realism (with Austin Sarat, 2003) and Embracing Risk: The Changing Culture of Insurance and Responsibility (with Tom Baker, 2002).

Francis Snyder is Professor of European Law at the Université d'Aix-Marseille III, France, Centennial Visiting Professor at the London School of Economics, and

Professor of Law at the College of Europe (Bruges and Natolin). He is Codirector of the Academy of International Trade Law, Macao, China. His special interests are in European law, international trade law, and globalization and the law. The many honors, prizes, and research awards that he has received include Phi Beta Kappa, Charles Washburn Clark Prize, Wrexham Prize, Fulbright Fellowship, Ford Foundation Foreign Area Fellowship, Research Associate Award of the Canadian International Development Research Center, nomination for the Herskovits Prize, and Nuffield Foundation Social Science Personal Research Fellowship. He is the author or editor of approximately 20 books and the author of 160 articles. His books include International Trade and Customs Law of the European Union (1998), Introduction to European Union Law (in Chinese, 1996, 2nd edition in preparation), New Directions in European Community Law (1990), Common Agricultural Policy of the European Community (1990), and Law of the Common Agricultural Policy (1985). Among books he has edited are The Europeanization of Law: The Legal Effects of European Integration (2000), Constitutional Dimensions of European Economic Integration (1996), and European Community Law (1993).

Susan Sterett is Professor of Political Science at the University of Denver. She is the author of Creating Constitutionalism? (1997), Public Pensions: Gender and Civic Service in the States, 1850–1937 (2003) and articles in journals including Comparative Political Studies, Law and Social Inquiry, Studies in American Political Development, and Law & Society Review.

Tom Tyler is Professor of Psychology and Law at New York University. He works in the areas of the dynamics of authority in groups, organizations, and societies; the psychology of justice; and law and regulation. Publications include *The Social Psychology of Procedural Justice* (with Allen Lind, 1980), "Public Trust and Confidence in Legal Authorities: What Do Majority and Minority Group Members Want From the Law and Legal Authorities?" *Behavioral Science and the Law* (2001); and *Why People Obey The Law: Procedural Justice*, Legitimacy, and Compliance (2000).

Francisco Valdes is Professor of Law at the University of Miami. He is a leading figure in the "LatCrit" movement and in gay rights scholarship. Professor Valdes is codirector of the Center for Hispanic and Caribbean Legal Studies and cochair of LatCrit, Inc. He teaches civil procedure, comparative law, critical race theory, law and sexuality, law and film, and US constitutional law. Recent publications include "Barely at the Margins: Looking for Latinas/os in the Law School Curriculum – A Survey With LatCritical Commentary," *University of Florida Law Review* (2001), "Centering the Politics of Race in the Selection of George the Second: Scholars of Color Invoking the Traditions of Remembrance and Resistance," *Dickinson Law Review* (2001), and "Introduction, LatCrit at Five: Growing the Movement, Building the Institution, Incubating the Future," *Denver University Law Review* (with Elizabeth M. Iglesias, 2001).

Neil Vidmar is Russell M. Robinson II Professor of Law at Duke University. He has written numerous articles on criminal and civil juries and is coauthor of *Judging the Jury* (with Valerie Hans, 1986). In 1995 he published *Medical Malpractice and the American Jury: Confronting the Myths About Jury Incompetence, Deep Pockets and Outrageous Damage Awards*. A current jury project, supported by the National

Science Foundation, the State Justice Institute, and the American Bar Foundation, involved unprecedented access to the videotaped deliberations of 50 civil juries from the state of Arizona. Other research has involved the topics of alternative dispute resolution, procedural justice, battered woman syndrome and other forms of expert evidence, the Ontario Business Practices Act, mediation in domestic violence cases, and the death penalty. Two recent book chapters explore the social and psychological dynamic of retribution and revenge.

Jonathan Yovel is a senior lecturer of law and philosophy at the University of Haifa, Israel, as well as an author, lawyer, and civil rights activist. He was previously engaged in graduate studies of law, philosophy, and linguistics at Tel-Aviv, Oxford, Chicago and Northwestern. His diverse areas of research fall into two general categories: law and language; and theories of contract, commercial law, and related obligation. He has written and taught in the areas of contracts, ethics, political theory, jurisprudence, law and literature, and law and social sciences. Recent work appeared in Northwestern University Law Review, Emory Law Journal, Cardozo Law Review, Cardozo Studies in Law and Literature, Stanford Agora, International Journal for the Semiotics of Law, and elsewhere. He is a recipient of several research grants, and was a visitor at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law, Max Planck Institute, Heidelberg, Fordham University School of Law, and other academic institutions. His current interests center around legal polyphony, conceptions of tragedy, lay conceptions of justice, and relational and distributive approaches to contract law. His collection of short stories in Hebrew, Trojan Horse, has recently appeared.

Contents

Preface List of C	ontri	butors	x xiii
	1	Vitality Amidst Fragmentation: On the Emergence of Postrealist Law and Society Scholarship Austin Sarat	1
PART I	PERSPECTIVES ON THE HISTORY AND SIGNIFICANCE OF LAW AND SOCIETY RESEARCH		
	2	Law in Social Theory and Social Theory in the Study of Law Roger Cotterrell	15
	3	Profession, Science, and Culture: An Emergent Canon of Law and Society Research Carroll Seron and Susan S. Silbey	30
PART II	THE CULTURAL LIFE OF LAW		61
	4	The Work of Rights and the Work Rights Do: A Critical Empirical Approach Laura Beth Nielsen	63
	.5	Consciousness and Ideology Patricia Ewick	80
	6	Law in Popular Culture Richard K. Sherwin	95

	7	Comparing Legal Cultures David Nelken	113
PART III	INS	TITUTIONS AND ACTORS	129
	8	The Police and Policing Jeannine Bell	131
	9	Professional Power: Lawyers and the Constitution of Professional Authority Tanina Rostain	146
	10	Courts and Judges Lee Epstein and Jack Knight	170
	11	Jurors and Juries Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar	195
	12	Regulators and Regulatory Processes Robert A. Kagan	212
	13	The Legal Lives of Private Organizations Lauren B. Edelman	231
PART IV	DO	MAINS OF POLICY	253
	14	Legal Regulation of Families in Changing Societies Susan B. Boyd	255
	15	Culture, "Kulturkampf," and Beyond: The Antidiscrimination Principle under the Jurisprudence of Backlash Francisco Valdes	271
	16	The Government of Risks Pat O'Malley	292
	17	Thinking About Criminal Justice: Sociolegal Expertise and the Modernization of American Criminal Justice <i>Jonathan Simon</i>	309
	18	Rights in the Shadow of Class: Poverty, Welfare, and the Law Frank Munger	330
	19	Immigration Susan Sterett	354
	20	Commodity Culture, Private Censorship, Branded Environments, and Global Trade Politics: Intellectual Property as a Topic of Law and Society Research Rosemary J. Coombe	369