

ADVANCES IN APPLIED
MICROBIOLOGY VOL. 42

ADVANCES IN

Applied Microbiology

Edited by

SAUL L. NEIDLEMAN

Oakland, California

ALLEN I. LASKIN

Somerset, New Jersey

VOLUME 42



Academic Press

This book is printed on acid-free paper. (∞)

Copyright © 1996 by ACADEMIC PRESS

All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Academic Press, Inc.

525 B Street, Suite 1900, San Diego, California 92101-4495, USA

<http://www.apnet.com>

Academic Press Limited

24-28 Oval Road, London NW1 7DX, UK

<http://www.hbuk.co.uk/ap/>

International Standard Serial Number: 0065-2164

International Standard Book Number: 0-12-002642-2

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

96 97 98 99 00 01 BB 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

ADVANCES IN

**Applied
Microbiology**

VOLUME 42

CONTENTS

The Insecticidal Proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*

P. ANANDA KUMAR, R. P. SHARMA, AND V. S. MALIK

I. Introduction	1
II. Classification of Bt Toxins	6
III. Structure of Bt Toxin Proteins and Genes	10
IV. Screening for New Insecticidal Proteins and Genes	12
V. Mechanism of Action	14
VI. Bt as a Biological Insecticide	16
VII. Resistance Development and Management Strategies	25
VIII. Epilogue	30
References	34

Microbiological Production of Lactic Acid

JOHN H. LITCHFIELD

I. Introduction	45
II. Microorganisms for Lactic Acid Production	50
III. Lactic Acid Fermentation	54
IV. Product Recovery and Purification	82
V. Process Control	85
VI. Economics	87
References	88

Biodegradable Polyesters

CH. SASIKALA

I. Introduction	97
II. Classification of Biodegradable Polyesters	98
III. Biodegradable Natural Polyesters	100
IV. Biodegradable Synthetic Polyesters	100
V. Poly(L-malate): A Potential Biodegradable Polyester	103

VI. PHAs: A Group of Polyesters Produced Naturally and Synthetically	104
VII. Application of Biodegradable Polyesters	169
VIII. Biodegradation of Polyesters	173
References	198

The Utility of Strains of Morphological Group II *Bacillus*

SAMUEL SINGER

I. Introduction	219
II. General Considerations	221
III. Utility According to Target	222
IV. Utility According to Strain and Species	236
V. Fermentation Processes, Toxins, and Products	250
VI. Past Needs and Future Needs	256
References	259

Phytase

RUDY J. WODZINSKI AND A. H. J. ULLAH

I. Introduction	263
II. Importance of Phytic Acid and Hydrolysis Products	269
III. Sources of Phytase	271
IV. Regulation of Phytase Synthesis	274
V. Biochemistry of Phytase and Acid Phosphatases	279
VI. Feed Studies with Phytase	292
VII. Economics and Potential Effect of Phytase on Pollution Abatement	295
VIII. Future Studies	297
IX. Summary	297
References	298

INDEX	303
CONTENTS OF PREVIOUS VOLUMES	309

The Insecticidal Proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*

P. ANANDA KUMAR,* R. P. SHARMA,* AND V. S. MALIK†

*National Research Centre for Plant Biotechnology
Indian Agricultural Research Institute
New Delhi 110012, India

† U.S. Department of Agriculture
Riverdale, Maryland 20737

- I. Introduction
- II. Classification of Bt Toxins
- III. Structure of Bt Toxin Proteins and Genes
- IV. Screening for New Bt Toxin Proteins and Genes
- V. Mechanism of Action
- VI. Bt as a Biological Insecticide
 - A. Construction of Novel Bt Strains by Conjugation
 - B. Construction of Recombinant Bt Strains
 - C. Construction of Transgenic Microbes
 - D. Baculoviruses as Bt Vectors
 - E. Insect-Tolerant Transgenic Crop Plants
- VII. Resistance Development and Management Strategies
 - A. Rotations
 - B. Mixtures of Toxins
 - C. Refuges
 - D. Toxin Doses
 - E. Gene Expression
- VIII. Epilogue
- References

I. Introduction

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) is a gram-positive, aerobic, endospore-forming bacterium belonging to morphological group I along with *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus anthracis*, and *Bacillus laterosporus* (Parry *et al.*, 1983). All these bacteria have endospores. Bt, however, is recognized by its parasporal body (known as the crystal) that is proteinaceous in nature and possesses insecticidal properties. These insecticidal proteins, synthesized during sporulation, are tightly packed by hydrophobic bonds and disulfide bridges. Various forms of true crystals have been observed using phase contrast microscope (Srinivas *et al.*, 1995; Jung *et al.*, 1995). The most common shape is a bipyramidal structure (Fig. 1). A Bt mutant defective in sporulation accumulates insecticidal proteins to form large crystal inclusion (Fig. 2) that remained encapsulated within the ghost




FIG. 1. Scanning electron micrograph of *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystals: (A) bipyramidal crystals produced by a lepidopteranactive strain; (B) spherical crystals produced by a mosquito-active strain; (C and D) irregularly shaped crystals produced by nontoxic strains (arrows indicate crystals). Reproduced with permission from Chikote, C.N. and Wigley P.J. (1994). *Agric. Eco systems Environ.* **49**, 51-57.




FIG. 2. Electron micrographs of a SpoOA mutant strain overproducing the CryIIIA crystal protein. Reproduced with permission from D. Lereclus, Institut Pasteur, Paris.

cell (Lereclus *et al.*, 1915). The first record on Bt goes back to 1901, when Ishiwata discovered a bacterium from diseased silkworm larvae that he named *Bacillus sotto* (Ishiwata, 1901). Between 1909 and 1912, Berliner (1915), working at a research station for grain processing in Berlin, investigated an infectious disease of the Mediterranean flour moth (*Ephestia kuehniella*). The infected insects were originally obtained from a mill in the district of Thuringen. In a detailed report, Berliner (1915) described a spore-forming bacterium as the causative agent and designated it as *B. thuringiensis*.

The first practical application of Bt was reported by Husz (1928) who isolated a Bt strain from *Ephestia* and tested it on European corn borer. This work eventually led to the first commercial product, Sporeine, which was produced in France in 1938 (Luthy *et al.*, 1982). The development of potent organic insecticides, however, prevented the interest for biological alternatives for pest control to some extent. The pioneering research of Steinhaus (1951) on Bt and a growing realization that organic insecticides are deleterious to the environment and human health spurred a renewed interest in Bt in the 1960s. This led to the introduction of viable Bt biopesticides like Thuricide and Dipel. For many years, the inclusion body protein and spores were generally recognized as the two essential ingredients for most of the insecticidal activity of *B. thuringiensis*. Scientists at the Sandoz company and Asano and Hori (1995) discovered in the supernatant of the *B. thuringiensis* a growth medium potency-enhancing factor, Kurstakolin (Fig. 3), which enhances the insecticidal activity of *B. thuringiensis* cellular preparations by 30%.

There are many subspecies and serotypes of Bt with a range of well-characterized insecticidal proteins or Bt toxins. Known Bt toxins kill subsets of insects among the Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Diptera (Hofte and Whiteley, 1989), and nematodes (Feitelson *et al.*, 1992). The host range of Bt has expanded considerably in recent years due to extensive




FIG. 3. Structure of Kurstakolin.

screening programs (Table I). By virtue of the lack of toxicity toward other species of animals, human beings, and plants, there is tremendous potential for exploiting Bt as a biological control agent (Jones and Khachatourians, 1995; Salama *et al.*, 1995; Bradley *et al.*, 1995).

Various aspects of Bt fermentation (Capalbo, 1995; Gangurde and Shethna, 1995), biology and genetics (Bulla *et al.*, 1978; Aronson, 1986), molecular biology (Hofte and Whiteley, 1989; Yoshisue *et al.*, 1995a; Dervyn *et al.*, 1995), mechanism of action (Gill *et al.*, 1992; Knowles, 1994), application as biopesticide (Gawron-Burke and Baum, 1991;

TABLE I
HOST RANGE OF *Bacillus thuringiensis*

Order	Toxin	Susceptible families	
		Family	Example
Insecta			
Lepidoptera	δ -Endotoxin	Most lepidopteran families susceptible examples	
		Spingidae	Hawkmoths
		Pieridae	Cabbage worms
		Lymantriidae	Tussock moths
		Tortricidae	Leafroller moths
		Noctuidae	Cutworms/armyworms
Diptera	δ -Endotoxin	Culicidae	Mosquitoes
		Simuliidae	Blackflies
		Anisopodidae	Gnats
		Chironomidae	Midges
		Psychodidae	Moth flies
		Sciaridae	Black fungus gnats
		Tipulidae	Crane flies
	Thuringiensin	Muscidae	Houseflies
		Calliphoridae	Blowflies
Coleoptera	δ -Endotoxin	Chrysomelidae	Leaf beetles
Phthiraptera		Philopteridae	Bird lice
		Trichodectidae	Mammalian lice
Arachnida			
Acari	Thuringiensin	Dermanyssidae	Animal mites
		Tetranychidae	Phytophagous mites
Nematoda			
Strongylida	?	Trichostrongylidae	Animal endoparasitic nematodes
Tylenchida	?	Tylenchidae	Phytophagous nematodes

Aronson, 1994, Pedersen *et al.*, 1995; Farrar and Ridgway, 1995; Yang *et al.*, 1995; Gibson *et al.*, 1995; Li *et al.*, 1995), and Bt transgenic plants (Peferoen, 1992; Kumar and Sharma, 1994) have been reviewed. Here, the classification and mode of action of Bt toxins are discussed. Strategies to screen new Bt strains/genes, expression of the toxin protein in transgenic microorganisms (Shin *et al.*, 1995), and plants and various resistance management strategies in agricultural systems are examined. The review puts emphasis on agricultural application of Bt.

II. Classification of Bt Toxins

A large number of Bt isolates are now available in laboratories around the world (Schnepf, 1995; Jung *et al.*, 1995; Burtseva *et al.*, 1995; Shin *et al.*, 1995). New strains are being added every year. Bt strains can be characterized by a number of techniques including serotyping, crystal serology, crystal morphology, protein profiles, peptide mapping, DNA probes, and insecticidal activity. De Barjac first attempted to classify Bt toxins based on flagellar (H) agglutination (De Barjac and Bonnefoi, 1962). Recently, the classification of Bt based on H antigen was revised (De Barjac and Franchon, 1990) (Table II). More than 40 H-serotypes are

TABLE II
CLASSIFICATION OF *Bacillus thuringiensis*

H-antigen	Variety	Toxicity ^a
1	<i>thuringiensis</i>	L.D
2	<i>finitimus</i>	
3a.3c	<i>alesti</i>	L
3a.3b.3c	<i>kurstaki</i>	L.D
3a.3d	<i>sumiyoshiensis</i>	
3a.3d.3e	<i>fukuokaensis</i>	D
4a.4b	<i>sotto</i>	L
4a.4c	<i>kenyae</i>	L.D
5a.5b	<i>galleriae</i>	L.C
5a.5c	<i>canadensis</i>	L
6	<i>entomocidus</i>	L
6a.6c	<i>oyamensis</i>	
7	<i>aizawai</i>	L.D
8a.8b	<i>morrisoni</i>	L.D.C
8a.8c	<i>ostriniae</i>	L
8b.8d	<i>nigeriensis</i>	

(continues)

TABLE II—Continued

H-antigen	Variety	Toxicity ^a
9	<i>tolworthi</i>	
L,D10a,10b	<i>darmstadiensis</i>	L,D
10a,10c	<i>londrina</i> 11a,11b	
<i>toumanoffi</i>		
11a,11c	<i>kyushuensis</i>	L,D
12	<i>thompsoni</i>	L,D13
	<i>pakistani</i>	
14	<i>israelensis</i>	D
15	<i>dakota</i>	
16	<i>indiana</i>	
17	<i>tohokuensis</i>	
18a,18b	<i>kumamotoensis</i>	C
18a,18c	<i>yosoo</i>	
19	<i>tochigiensis</i>	
20a,20b	<i>yunnanensis</i>	L
20a,20c	<i>pondicheriensis</i>	L
21	<i>colmeri</i>	
22	<i>shandongiensis</i>	L
23	<i>japonensis</i>	C
24a,24b	<i>neoleonensis</i>	
24a,24c	<i>novosibirsk</i>	
25	<i>coreanensis</i>	
26	<i>silo</i>	
27	<i>mexicanensis</i>	L
28a,28b	<i>monterrey</i>	
28a,28c	<i>jegathesan</i>	D
29	<i>amagiensis</i>	
30	<i>medellin</i>	D
31	<i>toguchini</i>	
32	<i>cameroun</i>	
33	<i>leesis</i>	
34	<i>konkukian</i>	
35	<i>seoulensis</i>	
36	<i>malaysiensis</i>	D
37	<i>andalousiensis</i>	
38	<i>oswaldocruzi</i>	
39	<i>brasiliensis</i>	
40	<i>huazhongensis</i>	
41	<i>sooncheon</i>	
42	<i>jinghongiensis</i>	
43	<i>guiyangiensis</i>	
44	<i>higo</i>	
45	<i>roskildiensis</i>	

^a L, lepidopteran active; D, dipteran active; C, coleopteran active.

now available and in many of these the array of Bt toxin genes present in isolates from a particular serovar are the same (Rabinovitch *et al.*, 1995). A notable exception is the presence of very different Bt toxin genes in subspecies *morrisoni* and *tenebrionis* within serotype 8a.b. Some of the serotypes are divided into subserotypes that can be differentiated by PCR (Bourque *et al.*, 1993; Brousseau *et al.*, 1993). However, a high level of sequence similarity among *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus*, and *B. Thuringiensis* does not permit construction of sequence-specific probes to be used in identification (Bourque *et al.*, 1994).

The most useful scheme for classification of Bt toxins is based primarily on homology of toxin gene sequences and the spectrum of insecticidal activity (Hofte and Whiteley, 1989; Ogiwara *et al.*, 1995). A large number of distinct Bt toxin genes have been cloned and sequenced since the first report published in 1981 (Schnepf and Whiteley, 1981). Hofte and Whiteley (1989) have classified 42 Bt genes into 14 distinct types and grouped them into four major classes. The classes are *cryI* (Lepidoptera specific), *cryII* (Lepidoptera and Diptera specific), *cryIII* (Coleoptera specific), and *cryIV* (Diptera specific). Many more Bt genes have since been sequenced and analyzed. Following the analysis of toxin domains of 29 distinct Bt toxin proteins, Feitelson *et al.* (1992) added two new major classes, *cryV* and *cryVI*. Several novel genes were also added within the previously defined classes (Table III). The nomenclature of Hofte and Whiteley (1989), based mainly on insecticidal activity, failed to accommodate genes that were highly homologous to known genes but with a different insecticidal spectrum. *cryIIA* and *IIB* were included in the Diptera-specific class because it is known that *cryIIB* is inactive against Diptera. *cryIC* is toxic to both Diptera and Lepidoptera (Smith and Ellar, 1994). Several genes with differing homology and bioactivity were named *cryV*, the next available Roman number in the original system (Gleave *et al.*, 1992; Tailor *et al.*, 1992).

Based on amino acid identity of full-length gene products, Crickmore *et al.* (1996) have introduced a systematic nomenclature for classifying the *cry* genes and their protein products. Most *cry* genes retain the name assigned by Hofte and Whiteley with a substitution of Arabic for Roman numerals (e.g., *cryI Aa*) to accommodate the newly discovered genes. Fifty genes comprising 16 homology groups are systematically arranged. Their dendrogram depicts the possible evolutionary relationships between the entire set of Bt toxins. Primary through quaternary ranks are based on 45, 75, and 95% level of sequence identity. Eighteen sets at the primary rank, CytA, CytB, and Cry1 through -16, are defined into 4 homology groups. Cry1, -3, -4, -7, -8, -9 and -10 form the largest group. Cry2 and Cry11 are the second group. The third group is Cry5, -12, -13 and -14. The fourth group is the two Cyt proteins. The Cry6, -15, and -16 consist of unique proteins.

TABLE III
Bacillus thuringiensis CRYSTAL PROTEIN GENES

Gene designation	Predicted M_r	Toxicity ^a
<i>cryIA(a),(b),(c)</i>	131–133	L
<i>IB</i>	137	L
<i>IC</i>	134	L
<i>ID</i>	133	L
<i>IE</i>	137	L
<i>IF</i>	134	L
<i>IG</i>	130	L
<i>cryIIA</i>	71	L,D
<i>IIB</i>	71	L
<i>IIC</i>	71	L
<i>cryIIIA</i>	73	C
<i>IIIB</i>	73	C
<i>IIIC(a),(b)</i>	73	C
<i>cryIVA</i>	134	D
<i>IVB</i>	128	D
<i>IVC</i>	77	D
<i>IVD</i>	72	D
<i>cryV</i>	80	L,C
Genes not yet cloned	130	?
	100	?
	40	?

^a L. Lepidoptera; D. Diptera; C. Coleoptera; Based on Hofte and Whiteley (1989).

Crickmore *et al.* (1996) define *cry* as a gene from *B. thuringiensis* encoding a parasporal inclusion protein that exhibits pesticide activity or is homologous to a known *cry* gene.

1. The mnemonic *cry* shall remain for the crystal-forming pesticidal genes from *B. thuringiensis*. The *cry* gene nomenclature shall be distinguished at all ranks on the basis of comparative amino acid sequence identity of the full-length gene products.

2. The primary rank of the nomenclature shall be Arabic numbers. The *cry* genes whose products share less than 45% amino acid homology shall be characterized by different Arabic numbers.

3. The secondary rank shall be an uppercase letter. The *cry* genes of the same rank whose products show less than 75% homology shall be separated into different secondary ranks.

4. The tertiary rank shall be a lowercase letter without parentheses. The *cry* genes whose products share less than 95% homology shall be given different tertiary ranks.

5. The quaternary rank shall be allele numbers. The *cry* genes whose products differ in amino acid sequence, but are more than 95% identical to each other, shall be given separate quaternary ranks.

Crickmore *et al.* (1996) are the *B. thuringiensis cry* Gene Nomenclature Committee, a standing committee of the Bacillus Genetic Stock Center. They will assist workers in the field of *B. thuringiensis* genetics in assigning names of new *cry* genes and periodically review the literature of the *cry* genes.

III. Structure of Bt Toxin Proteins and Genes

Bt toxin genes are usually plasmid borne (Gonzalez *et al.*, 1995) but also chromosomally located (Carlson and Kolsto, 1993; Klier *et al.*, 1982; Kronstad *et al.*, 1983). The Bt toxin genes are encoded on plasmids of molecular weight 40–150 mDa (Carlton and Gonzalez, 1985; Jensen *et al.*, 1995). Most of the plasmids are of low copy number. In addition to the toxin-encoding plasmids, there are often several other cryptic plasmids of 4–150 mDa whose function is not clearly known. Many of the plasmid-encoded toxin genes are bordered by transposons and/or insertion sequences (Delecluse *et al.*, 1990). Dervyn *et al.* (1995) examined the transcriptional regulation of the *cryIVD* gene operon from *B. thuringiensis* subspecies *israelensis*.

Hofte and Whiteley (1989) compared sequences among a number of toxins with varying specificities and found five well-conserved regions designated blocks 1–5 (Fig. 4). Exceptions to this include CryIVC toxin of Bt subspecies *israelensis* and a novel toxin from subspecies *thompsoni* (Brown and Whiteley, 1992). Blocks 1 and 2 are very hydrophobic and are present as amphipathic α -helices with membrane-spanning potential. The protoxins designated CryIA–CryIG, CryIVA, and CryIVB contain 1100–1200 amino acids and the toxin is processed from within the amino half as shown in Fig. 4. The CryII, CryIII, and CryIVD protoxins are smaller, with processing to toxins as indicated. The carboxyl halves of the CryI, CryIVA, and CryIVB protoxins are also highly conserved except that there is a deletion of 26 amino acids in CryIA(b) protoxins.

On the basis of the conservation of the defined blocks, it was postulated that all of the Bt toxins probably have a three-dimensional conformation similar to that of a CryIIIA toxin reported by Li *et al.* (1991) (Fig. 5). According to this, the first 285 residues are present as a bundle of seven amphipathic α -helices, wherein six are arranged in a circle, and helix 5 is in the center (domain I). Residues 286–500 are organized as three β -sheets (domain II) and contribute to the toxin specificity. The remaining amino acids are also present as β -sheets and arranged like a




FIG. 4. General structural features of protoxins as deduced from gene sequences and other related data. Protoxins designated CryIA–CryIG, CryIVA, and CryIVB contain 1000–2000 amino acids, and the toxin is processed from within the amino half as shown. The CryII, CryIII, and CryIVD protoxins are smaller, with processing to toxins as indicated (not known for CryIVD). Regions marked 1–5 are highly conserved among the CryI, CryIII, CryIVA, and CryIVB toxins and less so (primarily regions 1 and 2) for the CryII and CryIVD toxins. The carboxyl halves of the CryI, CryIVA, and CryIVB protoxins are also extensively conserved. A major difference is the deletion of 26 amino acids ($\Delta 26$) in most of the CryIA(b) protoxins. Other portions of the toxins are more or less conserved within a particular class (i.e., those designated CryI or CryII, etc.) but not between these classes. Reproduced with permission from Dr. Aronson.

sandwich (domain III). All the three domains have specific functional roles. The first domain is required for toxicity, and domain II is important for specificity. Although the function of Domain III near the carboxyl end was not defined, it is speculated that it may have a role in the processing of protoxin (Aronson, 1994, Martens *et al.*, 1995) and channel-forming function (Chen *et al.*, 1993).

Wu and Aronson (1992) induced localized mutagenesis in central helix of domain I and found loss of toxicity but not the capacity to bind midgut membranes. A synthetic peptide of helix 5 could insert itself into membrane and form ion channels that confirmed the importance of this helix (Gazit and Shai, 1995). Single site mutations in the conserved alternating arginine region affect ionic channels formed by CryIA(a), a Bt toxin (Schwartz *et al.*, 1995). The assembly and organization of the α -5 and α -7 helices from the pore-forming domain of *B. thuringiensis* δ -endotoxin is relevant to a functional model for pore formation (Gazit and Shai, 1995). Similarly, a truncated peptide corresponding to the domain I of