

The Bill of Rights



# THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY

Edited by Robert Winters

The Bill of Rights



# THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY

Edited by Robert Winters

Bruce Glassman, *Vice President*

Bonnie Szumski, *Publisher*

Helen Cothran, *Managing Editor*

Scott Barbour, *Series Editor*

**GREENHAVEN PRESS**

*An imprint of Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation*

**THOMSON**  
—★—  
**GALE**

Detroit • New York • San Francisco • San Diego • New Haven, Conn.  
Waterville, Maine • London • Munich



© 2005 by Greenhaven Press, a part of The Thomson Corporation.

Thomson and Star Logo are trademarks and Gale and Greenhaven Press are registered trademarks used herein under license.

*For more information, contact*

Greenhaven Press

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at <http://www.gale.com>

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.**

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

Every effort has been made to trace the owners of copyrighted material.

Cover credit: © Bettmann/CORBIS. Jurors listen to testimony in November 1954 during the murder trial of Dr. Samuel Sheppard.

Dover Publications, 92

Library of Congress, 15

National Archives, 51

**LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA**

The right to a trial by jury / Robert Winters, book editor.

p. cm. — (The Bill of Rights)

ISBN 0-7377-1937-0 (lib. : alk. paper)

1. Jury—United States. 2. Jury—United States—History. I. Winters, Robert, 1963–. II. Bill of Rights (San Diego, Calif.)

KF8972.A5.R54 2005

345.73'056—dc22

2004052282



<b>Foreword</b>	8
-----------------	---

<b>Introduction</b>	11
---------------------	----

## **Chapter 1: Trial by Jury Becomes a Fundamental American Right**

<b>1. The Medieval Origins of Trial by Jury</b>	18
---	----

BY LEONARD W. LEVY

Jury trials emerged in medieval England and slowly developed from a method for expanding royal control to a right protecting the individual from arbitrary arrest and punishment.

<b>2. The Colonies Embrace Trial by Jury</b>	31
--	----

BY FRANCIS H. HELLER

One of the “rights of Englishmen” that colonists cherished the most was the right to trial by jury, although colonies had different rules for how they implemented this right.

<b>3. The Constitution Threatens Trial by Jury</b>	40
--	----

PART I: BY “FEDERAL FARMER”; PART II: BY THE PENNSYLVANIA DELEGATION MINORITY

Anti-Federalists argue that the Constitution threatened the right to trial by jury, at least in civil cases, and therefore undermined democracy.

<b>4. The Constitution Does Not Threaten Trial by Jury</b>	46
--	----

BY ALEXANDER HAMILTON

The author of the *Federalist Papers* argued that the Constitution specifically guarantees trial by jury in criminal cases and in no way threatens the right in civil cases.

## **Chapter 2: The Supreme Court Defines the Right to Trial by Jury**

### **1. States Must Provide Jury Trials for Serious Crimes**

BY BYRON R. WHITE

56

In its decision in *Duncan v. Louisiana*, the Court held for the first time that the right to trial by jury was a fundamental right that had to be honored by states as well as the federal government.

### **2. The Supreme Court Allows Smaller Juries**

BY RANDOLPH N. JONAKAIT

66

In its ruling in *Williams v. Florida*, the Court found that juries can be fair without having the traditional twelve members, overturning a previous ruling that seemed to mandate the higher number.

### **3. The Supreme Court Allows Majority Verdicts in State Trials**

BY JEFFREY ABRAMSON

72

In two close decisions, the Supreme Court ruled that jury unanimity is not a requirement for a fair trial. In state trials a verdict by the majority of the jurors is sufficient.

### **4. Peremptory Challenges Cannot Be Used to Create a Racially Stacked Jury**

BY LEWIS POWELL

81

In ruling that a black man had been unfairly convicted by an all-white jury, the Court restricted the prosecution's power to eliminate jurors of a particular race or gender through peremptory challenges.

## **Chapter 3: Controversies and Perspectives on Trial by Jury**

### **1. The Jury System Promotes Democracy**

BY ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE

90

Juries provide ordinary American citizens an opportunity to familiarize themselves with their rights and to engage in thoughtful deliberation, making them better citizens.

<b>2. Juries Must Judge the Validity of the Laws</b>	97
<i>BY LYSANDER SPOONER</i>	
Juries must be allowed to decide on the admissibility of the evidence and the justice of the law itself, as well as the facts of the case.	
<b>3. Juries Should Not Be Abolished in Civil Cases</b>	103
<i>BY PAULA DiPERNA</i>	
Juries often provide convenient scapegoats for other failings in the civil justice system. Despite their flaws, civil juries continue to serve the cause of justice.	
<b>4. The Criminal Jury Should Be Abolished</b>	111
<i>BY MICHAEL LIND</i>	
The jury is a barbaric, outdated institution that is prone to reach unjust conclusions. Instead, a panel of judges and trained professionals should decide cases.	
<b>5. The Criminal Jury System Is the Best Means to Secure Justice</b>	117
<i>BY BARBARA ALLEN BABCOCK</i>	
While they are certainly capable of making mistakes, juries by and large provide fair verdicts and remain a vital part of American democracy.	
<b>Appendix</b>	
<b>The Origins of the American Bill of Rights</b>	127
<b>Supreme Court Cases Involving the Right to a Trial by Jury</b>	130
<b>For Further Research</b>	134
<b>Index</b>	137

The Bill of Rights



# **THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY**

Other books in this series:

Freedom from Cruel and Unusual Punishment

Freedom of Religion

Freedom of Speech

Freedom of the Press



The Bill of Rights



# THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY

Edited by Robert Winters

Bruce Glassman, *Vice President*

Bonnie Szumski, *Publisher*

Helen Cothran, *Managing Editor*

Scott Barbour, *Series Editor*

**GREENHAVEN PRESS**

*An imprint of Thomson Gale, a part of The Thomson Corporation*

**THOMSON**



**GALE**



© 2005 by Greenhaven Press, a part of The Thomson Corporation.

Thomson and Star Logo are trademarks and Gale and Greenhaven Press are registered trademarks used herein under license.

*For more information, contact*

Greenhaven Press

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at <http://www.gale.com>

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.**

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

Every effort has been made to trace the owners of copyrighted material.

Cover credit: © Bettmann/CORBIS. Jurors listen to testimony in November 1954 during the murder trial of Dr. Samuel Sheppard.

Dover Publications, 92

Library of Congress, 15

National Archives, 51

**LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA**

The right to a trial by jury / Robert Winters, book editor.

p. cm. — (The Bill of Rights)

ISBN 0-7377-1937-0 (lib. : alk. paper)

1. Jury—United States. 2. Jury—United States—History. I. Winters, Robert, 1963– . II. Bill of Rights (San Diego, Calif.)

KF8972.A5.R54 2005

345.73'056—dc22

2004052282



<b>Foreword</b>	8
-----------------	---

<b>Introduction</b>	11
---------------------	----

## **Chapter 1: Trial by Jury Becomes a Fundamental American Right**

<b>1. The Medieval Origins of Trial by Jury</b> <i>BY LEONARD W. LEVY</i>	18
--	----

Jury trials emerged in medieval England and slowly developed from a method for expanding royal control to a right protecting the individual from arbitrary arrest and punishment.

<b>2. The Colonies Embrace Trial by Jury</b> <i>BY FRANCIS H. HELLER</i>	31
---	----

One of the “rights of Englishmen” that colonists cherished the most was the right to trial by jury, although colonies had different rules for how they implemented this right.

<b>3. The Constitution Threatens Trial by Jury</b> <i>PART I: BY “FEDERAL FARMER”; PART II: BY THE PENNSYLVANIA DELEGATION MINORITY</i>	40
--	----

Anti-Federalists argue that the Constitution threatened the right to trial by jury, at least in civil cases, and therefore undermined democracy.

<b>4. The Constitution Does Not Threaten Trial by Jury</b> <i>BY ALEXANDER HAMILTON</i>	46
--	----

The author of the *Federalist Papers* argued that the Constitution specifically guarantees trial by jury in criminal cases and in no way threatens the right in civil cases.

## **Chapter 2: The Supreme Court Defines the Right to Trial by Jury**

### **1. States Must Provide Jury Trials for Serious Crimes**

BY BYRON R. WHITE

56

In its decision in *Duncan v. Louisiana*, the Court held for the first time that the right to trial by jury was a fundamental right that had to be honored by states as well as the federal government.

### **2. The Supreme Court Allows Smaller Juries**

BY RANDOLPH N. JONAKAIT

66

In its ruling in *Williams v. Florida*, the Court found that juries can be fair without having the traditional twelve members, overturning a previous ruling that seemed to mandate the higher number.

### **3. The Supreme Court Allows Majority Verdicts in State Trials**

BY JEFFREY ABRAMSON

72

In two close decisions, the Supreme Court ruled that jury unanimity is not a requirement for a fair trial. In state trials a verdict by the majority of the jurors is sufficient.

### **4. Peremptory Challenges Cannot Be Used to Create a Racially Stacked Jury**

BY LEWIS POWELL

81

In ruling that a black man had been unfairly convicted by an all-white jury, the Court restricted the prosecution's power to eliminate jurors of a particular race or gender through peremptory challenges.

## **Chapter 3: Controversies and Perspectives on Trial by Jury**

### **1. The Jury System Promotes Democracy**

BY ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE

90

Juries provide ordinary American citizens an opportunity to familiarize themselves with their rights and to engage in thoughtful deliberation, making them better citizens.

<b>2. Juries Must Judge the Validity of the Laws</b>	97
<i>BY LYSANDER SPOONER</i>	
Juries must be allowed to decide on the admissibility of the evidence and the justice of the law itself, as well as the facts of the case.	
<b>3. Juries Should Not Be Abolished in Civil Cases</b>	103
<i>BY PAULA DiPERNA</i>	
Juries often provide convenient scapegoats for other failings in the civil justice system. Despite their flaws, civil juries continue to serve the cause of justice.	
<b>4. The Criminal Jury Should Be Abolished</b>	111
<i>BY MICHAEL LIND</i>	
The jury is a barbaric, outdated institution that is prone to reach unjust conclusions. Instead, a panel of judges and trained professionals should decide cases.	
<b>5. The Criminal Jury System Is the Best Means to Secure Justice</b>	117
<i>BY BARBARA ALLEN BABCOCK</i>	
While they are certainly capable of making mistakes, juries by and large provide fair verdicts and remain a vital part of American democracy.	
<b>Appendix</b>	
<b>The Origins of the American Bill of Rights</b>	127
<b>Supreme Court Cases Involving the Right to a Trial by Jury</b>	130
<b>For Further Research</b>	134
<b>Index</b>	137

*"I cannot agree with those who think of the Bill of Rights as an 18th Century straightjacket, unsuited for this age. . . . The evils it guards against are not only old, they are with us now, they exist today."*

—Hugo Black, associate justice of the  
U.S. Supreme Court, 1937–1971

**T**he Bill of Rights codifies the freedoms most essential to American democracy. Freedom of speech, freedom of religion, the right to bear arms, the right to a trial by a jury of one's peers, the right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment—these are just a few of the liberties that the Founding Fathers thought it necessary to spell out in the first ten amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

While the document itself is quite short (consisting of fewer than five hundred words), and while the liberties it protects often seem straightforward, the Bill of Rights has been a source of debate ever since its creation. Throughout American history, the rights the document protects have been tested and reinterpreted. Again and again, individuals perceiving violations of their rights have sought redress in the courts. The courts in turn have struggled to decipher the original intent of the founders as well as the need to accommodate changing societal norms and values.

The ultimate responsibility for addressing these claims has fallen to the U.S. Supreme Court. As the highest court in the nation, it is the Supreme Court's role to interpret the Constitution. The Court has considered numerous cases in which people have accused government of impinging on their rights. In the process, the Court has established a body of case law and precedents that have, in a sense, defined the Bill of Rights. In doing so, the Court has often reversed itself and introduced new ideas and approaches that have altered

the legal meaning of the rights contained in the Bill of Rights. As a general rule, the Court has erred on the side of caution, upholding and expanding the rights of individuals rather than restricting them.

An example of this trend is the definition of cruel and unusual punishment. The Eighth Amendment specifically states, "Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted." However, over the years the Court has had to grapple with defining what constitutes "cruel and unusual punishment." In colonial America, punishments for crimes included branding, the lopping off of ears, and whipping. Indeed, these punishments were considered lawful at the time the Bill of Rights was written. Obviously, none of these punishments are legal today. In order to justify outlawing certain types of punishment that are deemed repugnant by the majority of citizens, the Court has ruled that it must consider the prevailing opinion of the masses when making such decisions. In overturning the punishment of a man stripped of his citizenship, the Court stated in 1958 that it must rely on society's "evolving standards of decency" when determining what constitutes cruel and unusual punishment. Thus the definition of cruel and unusual is not frozen to include only the types of punishment that were illegal at the time of the framing of the Bill of Rights; specific modes of punishment can be rejected as society deems them unjust.

Another way that the Courts have interpreted the Bill of Rights to expand individual liberties is through the process of "incorporation." Prior to the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment, the Bill of Rights was thought to prevent only the federal government from infringing on the rights listed in the document. However, the Fourteenth Amendment, which was passed in the wake of the Civil War, includes the words, "... nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." Citing this passage, the Court has ruled that many of the liberties contained in the Bill of Rights apply to state and local governments as well as the federal government. This

process of incorporation laid the legal foundation for the civil rights movement—most specifically the 1954 *Brown v. Board of Education* ruling that put an end to legalized segregation.

As these examples reveal, the Bill of Rights is not static. It truly is a living document that is constantly being reinterpreted and redefined. The Bill of Rights series captures this vital aspect of one of America's most cherished founding texts. Each volume in the series focuses on one particular right protected in the Bill of Rights. Through the use of primary and secondary sources, the right's evolution is traced from colonial times to the present. Primary sources include landmark Supreme Court rulings, speeches by prominent experts, and editorials. Secondary sources include historical analyses, law journal articles, book excerpts, and magazine articles. Each book also includes several features to facilitate research, including a bibliography, an annotated table of contents, an annotated list of relevant Supreme Court cases, an introduction, and an index. These elements help to make the Bill of Rights series a fascinating and useful tool for examining the fundamental liberties of American democracy.



## INTRODUCTION

Juries constitute one of the last vestiges of direct democracy. As jurors, average Americans assume powers that other cultures have left in the hands of kings and lords or, at least, trained and carefully selected judges. Juries drawn from a cross-section of the populace decide on questions of guilt or innocence, freedom or imprisonment, massive fines in the form of punitive damages, even life or death. For most Americans it is hard to imagine a fair system of criminal justice without jury trials, but the truth is that most countries, including most democracies, have chosen to keep criminal justice in the hands of trained professionals or traditional elites. Partly by historical accident but also very much by design, the United States has retained this legacy from medieval England, and by this time most Americans simply take it for granted.

For the Founders, the jury was a matter of vital interest. In its list of complaints against George III, the Declaration of Independence condemned the king for “depriving us, in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury.” Article III of the Constitution guarantees jury trials in criminal cases, specifying that these trials will be held in the state in which the crime was committed. Not satisfied with this assurance, the Constitution’s critics insisted that the right to a public trial by an impartial jury in both criminal and most civil cases be guaranteed. As a result the Sixth and Seventh amendments codify the right to a jury trial in criminal and civil cases, respectively. Citing jury trials three times in the Constitution could be viewed as overkill, considering that such fundamental rights as freedom of speech, religion, and the press fit neatly into the First Amendment. But for the revolutionary generation, the right to trial by jury was the final bulwark against arbitrary government, the linchpin that held these rights in place. As Thomas Jefferson put it in a letter to Tom Paine, “I consider [trial by jury] as the only anchor ever yet