



WORLD TRADE  
ORGANIZATION

**Dispute Settlement Reports 2008**  
**Volume X: Pages 3505 to 3888**

2008

CAMBRIDGE

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2008  
Volume X

Pages 3505-3888



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Page</i>
<b>United States – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute (WT/DS320)</b>	
Report of the Appellate Body .....	3507
<b>Cumulative List of Published Disputes .....</b>	<b>3869</b>



**UNITED STATES – CONTINUED SUSPENSION  
OF OBLIGATIONS IN THE EC  
– HORMONES DISPUTE**

**Report of the Appellate Body**  
WT/DS320/AB/R

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body  
on 14 November 2008*

European Communities, <i>Appellant/Appellee</i>	Present:
United States, <i>Other Appellant/Appellee</i>	Unterhalter, Presiding Member
Canada, <i>Other Appellant/Appellee</i>	Abi-Saab, Member
Australia, <i>Third Participant</i>	Bautista, Member
Brazil, <i>Third Participant</i>	
China, <i>Third Participant</i>	
India, <i>Third Participant</i>	
Mexico, <i>Third Participant</i>	
New Zealand, <i>Third Participant</i>	
Norway, <i>Third Participant</i>	
Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu, <i>Third Participant</i>	

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	3521
II. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS AND THE THIRD PARTICIPANTS .....	3537
A. Claims of the European Communities – Appellant .....	3537
1. Procedural Issue – Public Observation of the Oral Hearing.....	3537
2. Articles 23.2(a) and 21.5 of the DSU.....	3539
3. Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3542
4. The Panel's Terms of Reference.....	3545
5. The Panel's Suggestion for Implementation.....	3547

6.	The Panel's Selection of Experts.....	3548
7.	Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement.....	3550
8.	Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3556
B.	Arguments of the United States – Appellee .....	3563
1.	Procedural Issue – Public Observation of the Oral Hearing .....	3563
2.	Articles 23.2(a) and 21.5 of the DSU .....	3565
3.	Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3567
4.	The Panel's Terms of Reference .....	3569
5.	The Panel's Suggestion for Implementation.....	3570
6.	The Panel's Selection of Experts.....	3571
7.	Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement.....	3572
8.	Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3577
C.	Arguments of Canada – Appellee .....	3580
1.	Procedural Issue – Public Observation of the Oral Hearing .....	3580
2.	Articles 23.2(a) and 21.5 of the DSU .....	3582
3.	Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3584
4.	The Panel's Terms of Reference .....	3585
5.	The Panel's Suggestion for Implementation.....	3586
6.	The Panel's Selection of Experts.....	3587
7.	Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement.....	3588
8.	Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3594
D.	Claims of the United States – Other Appellant .....	3597
1.	Alleged Discrepancies in the Panel's Description of the Measure at Issue.....	3597
2.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.1 of the DSU ....	3598
3.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.2(a) of the DSU .....	3601
4.	The Scope of the Panel's Mandate and the Panel's Suggestion.....	3602
5.	Conclusion .....	3603
E.	Claims of Canada – Other Appellant .....	3603
1.	The Panel's Examination of Articles 23.1 and 23.2(a) "In Isolation" from Article 22.8 of the DSU ....	3604
2.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.1 of the DSU ....	3606
3.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.2(a) of the DSU .....	3607

4.	The Scope of the Panel's Mandate and the Panel's Suggestion .....	3607
5.	Conclusion .....	3608
F.	Arguments of the European Communities – Appellee .....	3609
1.	The "Harmonious" Interpretation of Articles 21, 22, and 23 of the DSU in the Post-Suspension Stage of a Dispute .....	3609
2.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.1 of the DSU ....	3612
3.	The Panel's Findings under Article 23.2(a) of the DSU .....	3613
4.	The Scope of the Panel's Mandate and the Panel's Suggestion .....	3615
G.	Arguments of the Third Participants .....	3615
1.	Australia .....	3615
2.	Brazil .....	3621
3.	China .....	3624
4.	India .....	3625
5.	Mexico .....	3625
6.	New Zealand .....	3625
7.	Norway .....	3629
8.	Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan, Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu .....	3632
III.	ISSUES RAISED IN THIS APPEAL .....	3632
IV.	THE APPLICATION OF THE DSU IN THE POST-SUSPENSION STAGE OF A DISPUTE .....	3635
A.	Introduction .....	3635
B.	The Panel's Findings.....	3637
1.	Scope of the European Communities' Claims .....	3637
2.	The European Communities' Claim that the United States and Canada Breached Article 23.2(a) of the DSU Read Together with Articles 23.1 and 21.5.....	3639
3.	The European Communities' Claim that the United States and Canada Breached Article 23.1 of the DSU Read Together with Articles 22.8 and 3.7.....	3641
4.	The Panel's Jurisdiction.....	3645
5.	Burden of Proof.....	3645
6.	The Panel's Suggestion.....	3647
C.	Claims and Arguments on Appeal.....	3647
1.	Appeal by the European Communities.....	3647

2.	Other Appeals by the United States and Canada .....	3650
3.	Arguments of the Third Participants .....	3652
D.	Cessation of the Suspension of Concessions – Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3654
1.	Preliminary Comments .....	3654
2.	When Must a WTO Member Cease to Suspend Concessions Pursuant to Article 22.8 of the DSU? .....	3655
3.	The Panel's Analysis Concerning Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3660
4.	Did the Panel Exceed Its Mandate by Addressing the Conformity of Directive 2003/74/EC with the SPS Agreement? .....	3664
E.	Procedural Avenues for Resolving Disagreements as to Whether the Inconsistent Measure Has Been Removed under Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3670
1.	What Is the Appropriate Procedural Avenue to Resolve a Disagreement as to Whether the Inconsistent Measure Has Been Removed? .....	3670
2.	Is the European Communities Precluded from Initiating Article 21.5 Panel Proceedings Regarding Whether Directive 2003/74/EC Has Brought It into Compliance? .....	3675
F.	Analysis of the Panel's Findings of "Procedural Violations" ...	3682
1.	The Prohibition on Certain Unilateral Actions – Article 23 of the DSU .....	3683
2.	The Panel's Alleged Examination of Articles 23.2(a) and 23.1 in Isolation from the Requirements in Article 22.8 of the DSU .....	3686
3.	Whether the Panel Erred in Finding that the United States and Canada Are "Seeking the Redress of a Violation" with Respect to Directive 2003/74/EC .....	3689
4.	Whether the Panel Erred in Finding that the United States and Canada Made a Determination of Violation Without Recourse to the DSU, Within the Meaning of Article 23.2(a) .....	3693
5.	Conclusion .....	3698
G.	The Panel's Finding that It Had No Jurisdiction to Make Findings under the SPS Agreement .....	3699
H.	The Panel's Suggestion .....	3700
V.	DUE PROCESS IN THE PANEL'S CONSULTATIONS WITH THE SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS .....	3701



A.	The Panel's Findings.....	3701
B.	Claims and Arguments on Appeal.....	3707
C.	Did the Panel Infringe the European Communities' Due Process Rights and Fail to Make an Objective Assessment of the Matter in the Consultations with the Scientific Experts.....	3710
VI.	THE CONSISTENCY WITH ARTICLE 5.1 OF THE <i>SPS AGREEMENT</i> OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES' IMPORT BAN ON MEAT FROM CATTLE TREATED WITH OESTRADIOL-17B FOR GROWTH-PROMOTION PURPOSES ...	3735
A.	Introduction .....	3735
B.	The European Communities' Risk Assessment for Meat from Cattle Treated with Oestradiol-17 $\beta$ .....	3735
C.	The Panel's Findings.....	3740
D.	Claims and Arguments on Appeal.....	3748
E.	The Panel's Assessment of Directive 2003/74/EC under Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement .....	3753
1.	General Disciplines Applicable to the Adoption of an SPS Measure.....	3753
2.	The Panel's Interpretation and Application of Articles 5.1 and 5.2 of the SPS Agreement.....	3759
3.	The Panel's Specificity Requirement.....	3769
4.	Quantification of Risk .....	3773
5.	Burden of Proof.....	3777
6.	The Panel's Articulation and Application of the Standard of Review under Article 5.1 of the SPS Agreement.....	3782
F.	Conclusion.....	3795
VII.	THE CONSISTENCY WITH ARTICLE 5.7 OF THE <i>SPS AGREEMENT</i> OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES' PROVISIONAL IMPORT BAN ON MEAT FROM CATTLE TREATED WITH TESTOSTERONE, PROGESTERONE, TRENBOLONE ACETATE, ZERANOL, AND MGA FOR GROWTH-PROMOTION PURPOSES .....	3796
A.	Introduction .....	3796
B.	The European Communities' Evaluation of the Five Hormones Subject to the Provisional Ban.....	3797
C.	The Panel's Findings.....	3799
D.	Claims and Arguments on Appeal.....	3816

E.	The Panel's Finding that the Relevant Scientific Evidence in Relation to the Five Hormones Was Not "Insufficient" Within the Meaning of Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3823
1.	Insufficiency and the Acceptable Level of Protection..	3827
2.	Relevance of International Standards under Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3830
3.	The Panel's "Critical Mass" Standard for Determining "Insufficiency" under Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3834
4.	The Panel's Allocation of the Burden of Proof under Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3840
5.	The Panel's Application of Article 5.7 of the SPS Agreement.....	3843
F.	Conclusions.....	3848
VIII.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	3850
ANNEX I	NOTIFICATION OF AN APPEAL BY THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES	
ANNEX II	NOTIFICATION OF AN OTHER APPEAL BY THE UNITED STATES	
ANNEX III	NOTIFICATION OF AN OTHER APPEAL BY CANADA	
ANNEX IV	PROCEDURAL RULING OF 10 JULY 2008 TO ALLOW PUBLIC OBSERVATION OF THE ORAL HEARING	

TABLE OF CASES CITED IN THIS REPORT

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>Argentina – Footwear (EC)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Argentina – Safeguard Measures on Imports of Footwear</i> , WT/DS121/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR 2000:I, 515
<i>Argentina – Textiles and Apparel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Argentina – Measures Affecting Imports of Footwear, Textiles, Apparel and Other Items</i> , WT/DS56/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 22 April 1998, DSR 1998:III, 1003
<i>Australia – Salmon</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon</i> , WT/DS18/AB/R, adopted 6 November 1998, DSR 1998:VIII, 3327
<i>Brazil – Desiccated Coconut</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Brazil – Measures Affecting Desiccated Coconut</i> , WT/DS22/AB/R, adopted 20 March 1997, DSR 1997:I, 167
<i>Brazil – Retreaded Tyres</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Brazil – Measures Affecting Imports of Retreaded Tyres</i> , WT/DS332/AB/R, adopted 17 December 2007
<i>Canada – Aircraft</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Affecting the Export of Civilian Aircraft</i> , WT/DS70/AB/R, adopted 20 August 1999, DSR 1999:III, 1377
<i>Canada – Aircraft (Article 21.5 – Brazil)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Affecting the Export of Civilian Aircraft – Recourse by Brazil to Article 21.5 of the DSU</i> , WT/DS70/AB/RW, adopted 4 August 2000, DSR 2000:IX, 4299
<i>Canada – Continued Suspension</i>	Panel Report, <i>Canada – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS321/R, circulated to WTO Members 31 March 2008

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>Canada – Wheat Exports and Grain Imports</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Relating to Exports of Wheat and Treatment of Imported Grain</i> , WT/DS276/AB/R, adopted 27 September 2004, DSR 2004:VI, 2739
<i>Chile – Price Band System (Article 21.5 – Argentina)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Chile – Price Band System and Safeguard Measures Relating to Certain Agricultural Products – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Argentina</i> , WT/DS207/AB/RW, adopted 22 May 2007
<i>EC – Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i> , WT/DS291/R, WT/DS292/R, WT/DS293/R, Corr.1, and Add.1 to Add.9, adopted 21 November 2006, DSR 2006:III, 847
<i>EC – Asbestos</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products</i> , WT/DS135/AB/R, adopted 5 April 2001, DSR 2001:VII, 3243
<i>EC – Commercial Vessels</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting Trade in Commercial Vessels</i> , WT/DS301/R, adopted 20 June 2005, DSR 2005:XV, 7713
<i>EC – Hormones</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> , WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, adopted 13 February 1998, DSR 1998:I, 135
<i>EC – Hormones</i>	Award of the Arbitrator, <i>EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones) – Arbitration under Article 21.3(c) of the DSU</i> , WT/DS26/15, WT/DS48/13, 29 May 1998, DSR 1998:V, 1833
<i>EC – Hormones (Canada)</i>	Panel Report, <i>EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), Complaint by Canada</i> , WT/DS48/R/CAN, adopted 13 February 1998, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, DSR 1998:II, 235

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>EC – Hormones (Canada)</i> (Article 22.6 – EC)	Decision by the Arbitrators, <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> , Original Complaint by Canada – Recourse to Arbitration by the European Communities under Article 22.6 of the DSU, WT/DS48/ARB, 12 July 1999, DSR 1999:III, 1135
<i>EC – Hormones (US)</i>	Panel Report, <i>EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> , Complaint by the United States, WT/DS26/R/USA, adopted 13 February 1998, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, DSR 1998:III, 699
<i>EC – Hormones (US)</i> (Article 22.6 – EC)	Decision by the Arbitrators, <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> , Original Complaint by the United States – Recourse to Arbitration by the European Communities under Article 22.6 of the DSU, WT/DS26/ARB, 12 July 1999, DSR 1999:III, 1105
<i>EC – Sardines</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Trade Description of Sardines</i> , WT/DS231/R and Corr.1, adopted 23 October 2002, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS231/AB/R, DSR 2002:VIII, 3451
<i>India – Patents (US)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>India – Patent Protection for Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Chemical Products</i> , WT/DS50/AB/R, adopted 16 January 1998, DSR 1998:I, 9
<i>Japan – Agricultural Products II</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting Agricultural Products</i> , WT/DS76/AB/R, adopted 19 March 1999, DSR 1999:I, 277
<i>Japan – Apples</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples</i> , WT/DS245/AB/R, adopted 10 December 2003, DSR 2003:IX, 4391

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>Japan – Apples</i>	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples</i> , WT/DS245/R, adopted 10 December 2003, upheld by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS245/AB/R, DSR 2003:IX, 4481
<i>Mexico – Corn Syrup (Article 21.5 – US)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Mexico – Anti-Dumping Investigation of High Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS) from the United States – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the United States</i> , WT/DS132/AB/RW, adopted 21 November 2001, DSR 2001:XIII, 6675
<i>Thailand – H-Beams</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Thailand – Anti-Dumping Duties on Angles, Shapes and Sections of Iron or Non-Alloy Steel and H-Beams from Poland</i> , WT/DS122/AB/R, adopted 5 April 2001, DSR 2001:VII, 2701
<i>US – 1916 Act</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Act of 1916</i> , WT/DS136/AB/R, WT/DS162/AB/R, adopted 26 September 2000, DSR 2000:X, 4793
<i>US – Carbon Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Duties on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany</i> , WT/DS213/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 19 December 2002, DSR 2002:IX, 3779
<i>US – Certain EC Products</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Import Measures on Certain Products from the European Communities</i> , WT/DS165/R and Add.1, adopted 10 January 2001, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS165/AB/R, DSR 2001:II, 413
<i>US – Continued Suspension</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS320/R, circulated to WTO Members 31 March 2008
<i>US – FSC</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Tax Treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations"</i> , WT/DS108/R, adopted 20 March 2000, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS108/AB/R, DSR 2000:IV, 1675

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>US – FSC (Article 21.5 – EC)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Tax Treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations" – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by the European Communities</i> , WT/DS108/AB/RW, adopted 29 January 2002, DSR 2002:I, 55
<i>US – Gambling</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services</i> , WT/DS285/AB/R, adopted 20 April 2005, DSR 2005:XII, 5663, and Corr.1
<i>US – Offset Act (Byrd Amendment)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000</i> , WT/DS217/AB/R, WT/DS234/AB/R, adopted 27 January 2003, DSR 2003:I, 375
<i>US – Offset Act (Byrd Amendment)</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act of 2000</i> , WT/DS217/R, WT/DS234/R, adopted 27 January 2003, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS217/AB/R, WT/DS234/AB/R, DSR 2003:II, 489
<i>US – Section 211 Appropriations Act</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998</i> , WT/DS176/AB/R, adopted 1 February 2002, DSR 2002:II, 589
<i>US – Section 301 Trade Act</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Sections 301-310 of the Trade Act of 1974</i> , WT/DS152/R, adopted 27 January 2000, DSR 2000:II, 815
<i>US – Shrimp</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products</i> , WT/DS58/AB/R, adopted 6 November 1998, DSR 1998:VII, 2755
<i>US – Shrimp (Article 21.5 – Malaysia)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Malaysia</i> , WT/DS58/AB/RW, adopted 21 November 2001, DSR 2001:XIII, 6481

Short Title	Full Case Title and Citation
<i>US – Softwood Lumber IV</i> (Article 21.5 – Canada)	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Final Countervailing Duty Determination with Respect to Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada – Recourse by Canada to Article 21.5 of the DSU</i> , WT/DS257/AB/RW, adopted 20 December 2005, DSR 2005:XXIII, 11357
<i>US – Softwood Lumber VI</i> (Article 21.5 – Canada)	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Canada</i> , WT/DS277/AB/RW, adopted 9 May 2006, and Corr.1
<i>US – Underwear</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Restrictions on Imports of Cotton and Man-made Fibre Underwear</i> , WT/DS24/R, adopted 25 February 1997, as modified by Appellate Body Report, WT/DS24/AB/R, DSR 1997:1, 31
<i>US – Upland Cotton</i> (Article 21.5 – Brazil)	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Subsidies on Upland Cotton – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Brazil</i> , WT/DS267/AB/RW, adopted 20 June 2008
<i>US – Wool Shirts and Blouses</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Measure Affecting Imports of Woven Wool Shirts and Blouses from India</i> , WT/DS33/AB/R, adopted 23 May 1997, and Corr.1, DSR 1997:I, 323



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS REPORT

Abbreviation	Description
1999 Opinion	"Opinion of the SCVPH – Assessment of Potential Risks to Human Health from Hormone Residues in Bovine Meat and Meat Products" (30 April 1999) (Exhibits US-4 and CDA-2 submitted by the United States and Canada, respectively, to the Panel)
2000 Opinion	"Review of Specific Documents relating to the SCVPH Opinion of 30 April 99 on the Potential Risks to Human Health from Hormone Residues in Bovine Meat and Meat Products" (adopted on 3 May 2000) (Exhibits US-17 and CDA-4 submitted by the United States and Canada, respectively, to the Panel)
2002 Opinion	"Opinion of the SCVPH on Review of Previous SCVPH Opinions of 30 April 1999 and 3 May 2000 on the Potential Risks to Human Health from Hormone Residues in Bovine Meat and Meat Products" (adopted on 10 April 2002) (Exhibits US-1 and CDA-17 submitted by the United States and Canada, respectively, to the Panel)
ADI	Acceptable daily intake
Codex	Codex Alimentarius Commission
CVMP	Committee on Veterinary Medicinal Products of the European Union (a subcommittee of the European Medicines Agency (EMA))
DSB	Dispute Settlement Body
DSU	<i>Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes</i>
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FDA	Food and Drug Administration of the United States
GATT 1994	<i>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994</i>