



Teresa Koloma Beck

THE NORMALITY OF CIVIL WAR

Armed Groups and Everyday Life in Angola

campus

Teresa Koloma Beck

The Normality of Civil War

Armed Groups and Everyday Life in Angola



Campus Verlag
Frankfurt/New York

Bibliographic Information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek.
Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie.
Detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.
ISBN 978-3-593-39756-6

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Copyright © 2012 Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Cover illustration © Teresa Koloma Beck, 2006 in Huambo (Angola)

Cover design: Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Typesetting: Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Printed on acid free paper.

Printed in the United States of America

This book is also available as an E-Book.

www.campus.de

www.press.uchicago.edu

Contents

List of Acronyms	7
Acknowledgements	9
1. Introduction	11
1.1 The problem: the expansion of civil war into everyday life...	13
1.2 The challenge: thinking the everyday	16
1.3 The conceptual framework: theories of the everyday	19
1.4 The case study: civil war in Angola (1976–2002)	21
1.5 Outline of the book	24
2. Theorising violent conflict: state of research	27
2.1 Micropolitical theories of violent conflict	28
2.2 Social theory approaches to violent conflict	32
2.3 Summary and implications for research	35
3. Normalities at war: a theoretical perspective on everyday life in violent conflicts	39
3.1 Subject and life-world: theorising “normal” experiences	40
3.2 “Terror as usual”: the transformation of normality in violent conflict	57

4. Historical Background	69
4.1 Pre-colonial societies and weak colonial rule	71
4.2 The Estado Novo and the rise of nationalism	73
4.3 From anti-colonial struggle to independence	75
4.4 From independence to civil war	77
4.5 The Civil War	79
5. From the bush movement to the quasi-state (and back): UNITA as an organisation	83
5.1 The militant anti-colonial guerrilla: 1966–76	85
5.2 The successful armed group: 1976–1989	96
5.3 Disintegration and decline: 1989–2002	104
6. Staging normality: UNITA as a life-world	109
6.1 “I have always been a soldier”: recruiting members	111
6.2. “Weapon, hoe and pen”: forming the UNITA combatant	113
6.3 Like from a different world: the success of UNITA’s social project	117
7. “We lived in an eternal war”: life in the warscapes	121
7.1 Defending everyday life: adaptations to civil war violence ...	124
7.2 States of emergency: limits of normalisation	134
7.3 Orders of violence: accommodation to armed groups’ rule	137
8. Conclusion	143
Bibliography	149
Index	161

The Normality of Civil War

Mikropolitik der Gewalt – Micropolitics of Violence

Volume 7

Edited by Klaus Schlichte and Peter Waldmann

Teresa Koloma Beck is a Fellow for International Conflict Management at the Willy Brandt School of Public Policy at Erfurt University and an associate researcher at the Center for Conflict Studies at Philipps University Marburg.

Teresa Koloma Beck

The Normality of Civil War

Armed Groups and Everyday Life in Angola

Campus Verlag
Frankfurt/New York

Bibliographic Information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek.
Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie.
Detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.
ISBN 978-3-593-39756-6

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Copyright © 2012 Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Cover illustration © Teresa Koloma Beck, 2006 in Huambo (Angola)

Cover design: Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Typesetting: Campus Verlag GmbH, Frankfurt-on-Main

Printed on acid free paper.

Printed in the United States of America

This book is also available as an E-Book.

www.campus.de

www.press.uchicago.edu

Contents

List of Acronyms	7
Acknowledgements	9
1. Introduction	11
1.1 The problem: the expansion of civil war into everyday life...	13
1.2 The challenge: thinking the everyday	16
1.3 The conceptual framework: theories of the everyday	19
1.4 The case study: civil war in Angola (1976–2002)	21
1.5 Outline of the book	24
2. Theorising violent conflict: state of research	27
2.1 Micropolitical theories of violent conflict	28
2.2 Social theory approaches to violent conflict	32
2.3 Summary and implications for research	35
3. Normalities at war: a theoretical perspective on everyday life in violent conflicts	39
3.1 Subject and life-world: theorising “normal” experiences	40
3.2 “Terror as usual”: the transformation of normality in violent conflict	57

4. Historical Background	69
4.1 Pre-colonial societies and weak colonial rule	71
4.2 The Estado Novo and the rise of nationalism	73
4.3 From anti-colonial struggle to independence	75
4.4 From independence to civil war	77
4.5 The Civil War	79
5. From the bush movement to the quasi-state (and back): UNITA as an organisation	83
5.1 The militant anti-colonial guerrilla: 1966–76	85
5.2 The successful armed group: 1976–1989	96
5.3 Disintegration and decline: 1989–2002	104
6. Staging normality: UNITA as a life-world	109
6.1 “I have always been a soldier”: recruiting members	111
6.2. “Weapon, hoe and pen”: forming the UNITA combatant	113
6.3 Like from a different world: the success of UNITA’s social project	117
7. “We lived in an eternal war”: life in the warscapes	121
7.1 Defending everyday life: adaptations to civil war violence ...	124
7.2 States of emergency: limits of normalisation	134
7.3 Orders of violence: accommodation to armed groups’ rule	137
8. Conclusion	143
Bibliography	149
Index	161

List of Acronyms

ELNA	<i>Exército de Libertação Nacional de Angola</i> , National Liberation Army of Angola (armed forces of FNLA)
FALA	<i>Forças Armadas de Libertação de Angola</i> , Armed Forces of the Liberation of Angola (armed forces of UNITA)
FAPLA	<i>Forças Armadas Populares de Libertação de Angola</i> , People's Armed Forces for the Liberation of Angola (armed forces of the Angolan government)
FNLA	<i>Frente Nacional de Libertação de Angola</i> , National Front for the Liberation of Angola
GRAE	<i>Governo revolucionário de Angola no exílio</i> , Revolutionary Exile Government of Angola
MPLA	<i>Movimento Popular de Libertação de Angola</i> , Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola
PIDE	<i>Polícia Internacional e de Defesa do Estado</i> , International and State Defence Police
SADF	South African Defence Forces
SWAPO	South West Africa People's Organisation
UNITA	<i>União Nacional para a Independência Total de Angola</i> , National Union for the Total Independence of Angola

Acknowledgements

This book is the result of research conducted between 2004 and 2008 whilst being a member of the research group “Micropolitics Of Armed Groups” at Humboldt University Berlin, Germany. It is based on empirical data, gathered during a seven months field research stay in Angola, in 2005/06. I am deeply indebted to a multitude of people who in various ways contributed to the progression of this work, there being so many that I unfortunately cannot name them all.

First and foremost, I am obliged to my interviewees in Angola for sharing their memories and knowledge with me.

I also would like to thank those who facilitated the research in Angola by helping me to meet the challenges of everyday life: Sybille and Tita Correia da Silva and Margarethe Roth in Luanda who heartily supported me and my family upon our arrival and departure; Daniel and Petra Rehm who provided important support in Huambo; Fatinha, Oliveira and our other neighbours in Huambo’s *cidade baixa* who warmly received us as guests in their community; and Sister Sandra whom we could count on in the most distressing situations and who became a dear friend.

A number of people provided crucial support in the run-up to the research stay: Hendrik Dane, ambassador, ret’d., and his wife who played all their cards to bypass the problems imposed by restrictive visa policies. Benedict Schubert of the Swiss *mission 21* who mediated important first contacts in Luanda and Huambo. Maggie Schauer, Thomas Elbert and their colleagues at the *Psychotrauma Research- and Outpatient Clinic for Refugees* at the *University of Konstanz* who received me for a three-day visit to learn more about war induced traumata.

I would also like to thank the *Volkswagen Foundation* for their generous funding of the research, especially for their willingness to financially support my family joining me in the field research stay.

Immeasurably grateful I am to my project colleagues, Daria Isachenko, Alex Veit and Stephan Malthaner, for the numerous and sometimes passionate discussions of drafts and ideas, taking place in the lenient spirit of collegial dispute. To Klaus Schlichte, the project director, I feel deeply obliged for his support of my work throughout a number of trying situations. To Susanne Buckley-Zistel, director of the research project I was subsequently working in, I am grateful for her patience and support in finishing this work. I also would like to thank Ivana Domazet and Fausto Brindis, who as student assistants provided support in producing the final draft.

Finally, working on a PhD project while raising three small children is impossible without the help of a number of dear people. I am deeply indebted to my mother, Petra Beck, to Vazira Iskakova, baby-sitter for one year, to my mother in law, Rita Rosa Martin, and all others who supported our adventurous family life.

But, above all, I want to thank my husband, Christian Widdascheck, to whom this work is dedicated.

1. Introduction

“The conditions in the Central Highlands were very complex. Especially in the villages it happened, for example, that at night it knocked on the door of a father. And his son, who was fighting on the other side, stood there, asking for money. Money for medicine, money for food. ‘Father, we are dying out there!’ he would say. What would this man do in such a situation? Would he act as one from the other side? Or as a father?” (Interview, 2005m)

The sound of shooting, the flickering of muzzle flash, burning houses and vehicles, people fleeing from the scenes of violence, corpses left behind—such or similar are the images that come to our mind thinking about war. Popular as well as academic discourse have cultivated an image of war as a state of emergency, as a temporary deviation from the “normal” course of affairs, a deviation that is marked by destructive and disruptive forces. But civil wars are not fought in one day; many of them last several years, some decades. In Sudan and Chad, Sierra Leone and Liberia, Angola and Mozambique, in Peru, Columbia and Nicaragua, in the Palestinian areas of Israel and the Kurdish territories of Turkey and Iraq, in Lebanon, as well as in East-Timor, Myanmar and Vietnam violent conflicts have been going on for ten to thirty years.¹ Given the time horizons of existential human activities such as cultivating fields or raising children, this seems to be a rather long period to be experienced as a transitory state of emergency. Moreover, as psychological research shows, a persistent experience of crisis quickly leads to a breakdown (Schauer, Elbert and Neuner, 2005). Therefore, a “state of emergency”-perspective on wars might be useful in the reconstruction of political and legal problems. Yet, its contribution to the understanding of the social processes in war situations can only be limited. This observation, however, raises a fundamental epistemological question: if not as a state of emer-

¹ The data is drawn from the online database of AKUF (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Kriegsursachenforschung, Research Group Causes of War) at Hamburg University, Germany (AKUF, 2012).

gency, how can war situations otherwise be conceived? The aim of this book is to propose an answer to this question. In the spirit of qualitative empirical research, the discussion develops around a case study on civil war in Angola.

The account cited at the beginning vividly illustrates the limitations of an state of emergency-perspective on violent conflict. Collected during field research in Angola, it describes a situation, which, according to the interviewee, was typical for the civil war period: a father finds himself in a dilemma to choose between, on the one hand, loyalty to the armed group that is ruling the area he lives in, and, on the other hand, loyalty to his combatant son who is "from the other side". The confrontation takes place at his very doorstep, and the decision he is confronted with affects him in a rather personal and emotional way. Fatherly loyalty would be the obvious choice; yet, it would also bring him into perilous conflict with the armed actors ruling the territory. The story shows how, in a civil war situation, the civilian and the combatant milieu come to meet in a contentious everyday life. Moreover, the wording of the interviewee suggests that, to his experience, the scene was all but exceptional. This story, thus, defies the notion of war as a state of emergency; moreover, it contradicts the commonly assumed distance between the realm of the combatants and the non-combatants. While putting common implicit presumptions about violent conflict into question, it also serves to reveal the very same presuppositions: in the common epistemology of war, the latter appears, firstly, as crisis suspending "normality". And secondly, it is assumed that this crisis is driven by a particular constellation of agents, by the violent confrontation between at least two armed groups, fighting at the expense of an innocuous civilian population. The distinction between those who are waging war and those who fall prey to it is supposed to be identifiable and clear cut as well as the distinction between the armed opponents themselves.

Tacitly orienting research and analysis, these implicit presumptions have major implications for the study of wars in academia and beyond. Systematically, they draw the researcher's attention, on the one hand, to events indicative or emblematic for a state of emergency; and on the other, to the protagonists of war situations: armies and armed groups. Invariably, the warring parties and the so-called civilian population appear as mutually exclusive and intrinsically contradicting spheres, touching each other but episodically, typically in moments of violence and destruction.

The origins of these preconceptions can be found in the ideal of trinitarian warmaking, described by Carl von Clausewitz against the background of