

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

LEHMAN
SCOTT

ETHICAL PROBLEMS
IN THE
PRACTICE OF LAW

*Second
Edition*



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

ETHICAL PROBLEMS IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW

SECOND EDITION

Lisa G. Lerman

Professor of Law and Coordinator of Clinical Programs
The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law

Philip G. Schrag

Professor of Law and Director, Center for Applied Legal Studies
Georgetown University Law Center



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

© 2008 Aspen Publishers. All Rights Reserved.
<http://lawschool.aspenpublishers.com>

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Permissions Department
76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10011-5201

To contact Customer Care, e-mail customer.care@aspenpublishers.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-0-7355-6529-6

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Lerman, Lisa G.

Ethical problems in the practice of law/Lisa G. Lerman, Philip G. Schrag.—2nd ed.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-7355-6529-6

1. Legal ethics—United States. I. Schrag, Philip G., 1943- II. Title.

KF306.L465 2008

174'.3—dc22

2008009029

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Vicki Been

Elihu Root Professor of Law
New York University School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky

Alston & Bird Professor of Law
Duke University School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law
University of Chicago Law School
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow
The Hoover Institution
Stanford University

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business
Stanford University
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business
Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law
The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law
Hofstra University School of Law

Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklansky

Professor of Law
University of California at Berkeley School of Law

Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor
Washington University School of Law

Elizabeth Warren

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading provider of research information and workflow solutions in key specialty areas. The strengths of the individual brands of Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International and Loislaw are aligned within Wolters Kluwer Law & Business to provide comprehensive, in-depth solutions and expert-authored content for the legal, professional and education markets.

CCH was founded in 1913 and has served more than four generations of business professionals and their clients. The CCH products in the Wolters Kluwer Law & Business group are highly regarded electronic and print resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers is a leading information provider for attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, Aspen products offer analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International supplies the global business community with comprehensive English-language international legal information. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on the Kluwer Law International journals, loose-leaves, books and electronic products for authoritative information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a premier provider of digitized legal content to small law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a unit of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York and Riverwoods, Illinois. Wolters Kluwer is a leading multinational publisher and information services company.

FOREWORD

by Peter R. Jarvis



Three things are true about the rules of professional conduct or legal ethics rules. First, the rules provide a significant part of the glue that holds American lawyers together as a profession. Lawyers in a different state may have entirely different practices, but they are all subject to the same rules. In fact, and even though there are many state-to-state variations, the rules are generally more alike than different from one state to the next.

Second, the rules leave much—some would say too much—to interpretation or to the imagination. The rules often operate at a high level of abstraction, and the ability to travel back and forth between the abstract and the concrete is therefore critical. Put another way, the rules themselves do not provide a complete guide for lawyer conduct. They provide a starting point for analysis which ethical and successful practitioners must then learn to apply in the particular contexts of their own careers.

Third, most practicing lawyers care deeply about their own ethics and about the ethics of the profession. We understand that we play critical roles in the operation of our society and in the lives of our clients and others, and we want to play those roles to the best of our ability.

I have been a practicing lawyer for 28 years. My practice has emphasized attorney professional responsibility and risk management issues for 20 of those years. During that time, I have answered thousands of professional responsibility and risk management questions for lawyers throughout the country. There is an old joke to the effect that an optimist is someone who believes that we live in the best of all possible worlds while a pessimist is someone who fears that the optimist is correct. Through my practice, I have come to believe that we need to avoid both of these extremes.

Yes, we have our share of bad apples in the profession just as we have “good” lawyers who, for whatever reasons, may at times do “bad” things. But this is

true of every profession and, for that matter, of humankind as a whole. And yes, too, the malefactors in the legal profession sometimes seek to justify aberrant behavior by asserting that they were only giving their clients the zealous representation that they often incorrectly assert our professional norms require. But once again, they are not alone. One need look no further than modern-day religious extremists to see similar ideas. Nevertheless, few of us would condemn all religions and religious beliefs because some are taken to an extreme.

I submit that the proper attitude toward the profession and toward lawyers' professional responsibility issues today is one of guarded optimism. There has never been a time in the past in which the professional responsibility rules were better than they are today. There also has never been a time when disciplinary enforcement has been as widespread or when as many practicing lawyers received continuing training in professional responsibility. And there has never been a time when bar membership was as diverse in terms of ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religion, or other factors as it is today. We still have a lot of ground left to cover, but we have already covered a lot of ground. Contemporary professional responsibility professors and their students are privileged to stand on the shoulders of those who have come before.

There are limits on the extent to which professional responsibility as experienced in the field by practicing lawyers can truly be understood by law students. It is very hard to think through how to handle multiple would-be incorporators who would all like to become a lawyer's clients if one is not yet certain how to form a corporation or where and how future disagreements between the incorporators may arise. Similarly, there is only so much one can understand about client perjury or countless other problems until one has "been there" and "done that." Nor can purely theoretical classroom exercises fully inoculate future lawyers against the pressures that they will have to face from future colleagues, clients, adversaries, and judges.

On the other hand, a lot can be learned — even in a single-term course. One can come to a better understanding of the importance to society at large of how we lawyers conduct ourselves. One can come to a better understanding of the interrelationships between the duties that lawyers owe to their clients, to nonclients, and to themselves. And one can come to a better understanding that our law of professional responsibility will continue to evolve as long as our society continues to evolve.

This is an outstanding book. The authors have avoided any hint of sanctimony or of talking down to practicing lawyers. They have covered issues that are of day-to-day and cutting edge importance to contemporary lawyers and have done so in a way that is both practical and professional. In addition, the method of presentation — principles first followed by

factually oriented materials which call upon the students to apply the principles they have just learned—is particularly well-suited to this subject. When those of us in the “real world” are confronted by new or difficult questions of professional responsibility, we go back and forth between the rules and the facts in search of an appropriate balance—exactly what students must do here.

It is possible to be both ethical and successful just as it is possible to be both unethical and unsuccessful. The links between ethics and success include the ability to balance emotion and objectivity, pride of craft, love of the law, and a decent respect for oneself and for the rights of others. Neither we nor any subsequent generation of lawyers will see an end to debate about the ethics of our profession. This is as it should be. How else can each new generation claim this essential but evolving body of law as its own?

Peter Jarvis is a partner in the Portland, Oregon office of Hinshaw & Culbertson, LLP. Before joining Hinshaw in 2003, Jarvis was a partner at Stoel Rives, LLP, which he joined in 1976. He handled in-house ethics and risk avoidance issues at Stoel Rives for more than 15 years. His practice focuses on attorney professional responsibility and risk management matters, as well as general contract and business matters. Jarvis has served as president of the Association of Professional Responsibility Lawyers and as chair of the Planning Committee for the ABA National Conference on Professional Responsibility. He has authored or co-authored several books and articles on issues of attorney professional responsibility and risk management.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION FOR TEACHERS AND STUDENTS

Lawyers make, interpret, and apply law, but the legal profession is also governed by law. This book is an introduction to the law that governs lawyers and to the legal profession.

Our goals

Our principal goals in writing this book were to offer an overview of the law governing lawyers and to provide materials through which law students may explore some of the ethical problems that lawyers encounter in practice. Also we sought to provide opportunities for law students to consider the various professional roles that lawyers occupy and the moral quandaries that students will struggle with when they begin to practice law. For example, in negotiating a settlement for a client, a lawyer might say that his client would refuse to accept less than \$100,000, even though the client has told the lawyer that he would be delighted to receive \$50,000. This is deceptive, but lawyers commonly use this tactic to obtain favorable outcomes for their clients. Does the pervasiveness of this type of deception make it acceptable? Is a lawyer's only duty to get the best result for his client, or does he also owe his opposing counsel a duty of honesty?

This book provides an overview of the law that governs lawyers. The book does not include an encyclopedic analysis of every ethical rule, much less the entire body of law governing the legal profession. We focus primarily on the subjects that are most likely to arise during the first years of an individual's law practice. For example, many new lawyers become associates in law firms, so this book explores what an associate should do when a more senior associate or a partner asks the associate to do something that seems improper. Also, most new lawyers in private practice make

frequent decisions about how to record their time for billing purposes. This book includes many problems that arise from everyday practice issues. Most of the examples and problems in this book involve lawyers who represent individuals or businesses in matters involving contracts, torts, criminal prosecution and defense, civil litigation, real estate, and family law. We have sought to develop problems and to select cases in which a student can understand the facts and the ethical issues regardless of whether the student has taken advanced courses in law school.

The problem-based approach

This book offers opportunities to explore ethical dilemmas that have actually arisen in practice, some of which have resulted in published judicial decisions. While we have excerpted numerous important judicial opinions in the book, we have transformed a larger number of cases into problems for class discussion. Instead of reprinting the appellate opinions, we have presented the essential facts of these cases as one of those lawyers saw them, walking them backward in time to the moment at which that lawyer had to make a difficult choice based on both ethical and strategic considerations. Rather than reviewing the predigested legal analysis of a judicial opinion, we invite students to put themselves in the shoes of a lawyer who faces a difficult choice among possible actions. The dilemmas in most of our problems are based on tough situations that have confronted real lawyers.

Evaluating ethical dilemmas in class will help students to handle similar quandaries when they encounter them in practice. A student who has worked through the problems assigned in this course will know where in the law a particular issue might be addressed, how to begin to analyze the relevant rules, and what questions to ask. Grappling with these problems also will increase students' awareness of ethical issues that otherwise might have gone unnoticed.¹

We set out to write an introduction to the law governing lawyers that students will enjoy reading. Studies show that by the third year of law school, the class attendance rate is only about 60 percent, and that a majority of those students who do attend class read the assignments for the

1. See Steven Hartwell, *Promoting Moral Development Through Experiential Teaching*, 1 Clin. L. Rev. 505, 527 (1995) (reporting on his empirical research, which shows that professional responsibility students' moral reasoning skills made significant advances during a course in which students discussed simulated ethical dilemmas); and Lisa G. Lerman, *Teaching Moral Perception and Moral Judgment in Legal Ethics Courses: A Dialogue About Goals*, 39 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 457, 459 (1998) (explaining the reasons to use experiential methodology in professional responsibility classes).

half or fewer than half of the classes they attend.² Increasingly, law students use their computers to play solitaire or write e-mail during class.³ This data suggests that law schools are failing in their efforts to retain the interest and attention of their students, particularly third-year law students. We have sought to write a book whose content and methodology will capture and sustain the reader's interest. This aspiration is reflected in our choice of topics and materials, our concise summaries of the law, our challenging problems, and our use of graphic materials.

Defining features of this book

These are some defining features that we built into this book:

- Compared to several other professional responsibility texts, this book is relatively short, so the reading assignments need not be burdensome.
- We have begun almost every section of the book with a summary of the relevant doctrine, which provides the legal background students need to analyze the problems that follow.
- Most of the summary of various aspects of the rules and doctrine is in question-and-answer format. This structure provides an ongoing roadmap, anticipating readers' questions, forecasting the content of the next subtopic, and explaining why one might want to understand it. In addition, numerous concrete examples, set off from the text, illustrate the general doctrinal principles.
- We have included several judicial opinions, most of which will be familiar to teachers of professional responsibility. We have edited those opinions carefully and have provided brief summaries of others. The book, however, is not built primarily around appellate decisions. Our main focus is on clear explanation of rules and doctrines, followed by challenging application problems.
- Many of the cases that are widely taught in professional responsibility classes are presented as problems rather than as judicial opinions. We recount the facts of the cases in narrative form to allow students to analyze the issues as if they were the lawyers facing those dilemmas. We believe that this structure produces livelier discussion than does the autopsy method traditionally used in law classes, in which teachers invite post hoc dissection of court opinions.

2. Mitu Gulati, Richard Sander & Robert Sockloskie, *The Happy Charade: An Empirical Examination of the Third Year of Law School*, 51 J. Leg. Educ. 235, 244-245 (2001).

3. Ian Ayres, *Lectures vs. Laptops*, N.Y. Times A25 (Mar. 20, 2001); David Cole, *Laptops vs. Learning*, Wash. Post A13 (Apr. 7, 2007).

- We have included nearly 70 problems in this book. These may become the primary focus of class discussion.
- We have included the text of pertinent rules of professional conduct in the book so that students will not need to flip constantly back and forth between this text and a statutory supplement. When studying a particular rule, students will find it worthwhile to review the entire rule and comments in another published source or on the Internet.⁴ However, this text is structured so that it can be read without constant reference to a supplement.
- When we reproduce court opinions, we have inserted headings into them to help orient students to the logic of the opinions.
- We have included many bulleted lists and tables to clarify legal doctrines and other conceptual material.
- We have included photographs, diagrams, and cartoons. Some of these, like the photographs of some of the lawyers, parties, judges, and scholars, add important context. Others, like the cartoons, offer a change of pace from the textual narrative.

What's new in the second edition

Teachers who have used the first edition of this book will discover much that is familiar as well as several new elements:

- We have reorganized the 10 chapters of the first edition into 15 shorter chapters in this edition, making each unit easier for students to digest.
- We have added new material on client protection funds, the Sarbanes-Oxley law, the controversy over the federal government's requests for corporate waivers of the attorney-client privilege, lawyers as counselors, aggregate settlements, the special responsibilities of prosecutors, advertising by lawyers, the ethical responsibilities of judges, and law firms' use of temporary and contract lawyers and of lawyers who work in India and other countries.
- We have added several new problems that are sure to stimulate lively class discussion.
- We have expanded the contextual material on the "buried bodies" case in Chapter 3.
- We have updated material throughout the book to take account of recent cases, bar opinions, institutional developments, scandals, and scholarship.

4. For example, students will find the full text of the Model Rules of Professional Conduct and the explanatory comments interpreting each rule at http://www.abanet.org/cpr/mrpc/mrpc_toc.html (last visited Feb. 11, 2008).

- We have increased the font size to make this book easy on the eyes of both students and teachers.
- We have increased the number of New Yorker cartoons from 9 to 22.

We hope that you will have a lot of fun with this book, and we welcome your reactions and suggestions, small or large, for the next edition. Please send any comments or questions to lerman@law.edu.

Lisa G. Lerman
Philip G. Schrag

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Hundreds of law professors, practitioners, and judges have worked to regulate the practice of law, to study its regulation, and to publish their ideas. Decades of effort have gone into the drafting of successive model codes for lawyers, rules of state bars, and the Restatement. Academics have made countless contributions in the form of books and law review articles on the legal profession and papers delivered to conferences convened under the auspices of the American Bar Association, the Association of American Law Schools, the Keck Foundation, and other organizations. This book is in part a summary of many of those efforts.

We particularly want to acknowledge our intellectual debt to the authors of the Restatement and of the other treatises and textbooks that are used in courses on legal ethics and the American legal profession. We have consulted these books frequently in the course of writing this volume, and some of the materials we have used were called to our attention by other casebook authors.

We have received invaluable encouragement and assistance from our editors at Aspen, especially Susan Boulanger, Melody Davies, Troy Froebe, Richard Mixer, Barbara Roth, Mei Wang, and Lisa Wehrle. Several colleagues have reviewed various drafts of the book and have given us amazingly insightful and detailed comments and suggestions. These include Russell Engler, Susan Saab Fortney, William Freivogel, Steve Goldman, Peter Joy, Ann Juergens, Arlene Kanter, Judith Maute, Ben Mintz, Ted Schneyer, and Brad Wendell. Many other people assisted us by answering questions and providing needed information. These include Kathleen Clark, Nathan Crystal, Susanna Fischer, Art Garwin, Stephen Gillers, John Gleason, Bruce Green, James Grogan, Alexandra Lahav, David Luban, Carrie Menkel-Meadow, Nancy Moore, Lucian Pera, Jennifer Renne, John Rooney, Roy Simon, Leah Wortham, and Ellen Yaroshefsky. We also benefited from excellent research assistance by Dori Antonetti, Noel DeSantos, Jessica Kendall, Connie Lynch, Keith Palfin, Jason Parish, and Michael Provost. Special thanks go to Jason Parish, who cite-checked the entire manuscript of the first edition of this book and

drafted the tables of cases, rules, and articles. He did all of this work with amazing precision and care. For contributions to the second edition, we would like to thank Erica Pencak, Ruth Snively, and Taylor Strickling.

We appreciate the support given us (in the form of leaves of absence from teaching and summer writing grants) by our employers, Catholic University and Georgetown University.

We would like to thank our children, Samuel Schrag Lerman and Sarah Lerman Schrag (to whom the book is dedicated). Both of them are exquisitely sensitive to moral and ethical issues. Our understanding of ethical problems has been much advanced by our many conversations with them about the dilemmas that they have confronted. These conversations have informed our analysis of several problems in this book.⁵ Besides being our ethics tutors, Sam and Sarah sat through an untold number of conversations about the book at dinner, on vacations, and elsewhere. They also provided us with many good ideas about teaching and learning.

We thank these owners of literary and photographic rights for granting permission to reprint copyrighted material including excerpts from articles and books, photographs, and cartoons:

Textual material

American Law Institute, Restatement of the Law Governing Lawyers. Copyright 2000 by the American Law Institute. Reproduced with permission. All rights reserved.

Ken Armstrong & Maurice Possley, Trial and Error, Part 1: Verdict: Dishonor Reprinted with permission of the Chicago Tribune; copyright Chicago Tribune; all rights reserved.

Michael Asimow, "Embodiment of Evil: Law Firms in the Movies," originally published in 48 UCLA L. Rev. 1339 (2001). Reprinted with permission.

Jon Bauer, The Character of the Questions and the Fitness of the Process: Mental Health, Bar Admissions, and the Americans with Disabilities Act, originally published in 49 UCLA L. Rev. 93 (2001). Reprinted with permission.

Scott Brede, A Notable Case of Exceptionally Unsafe Sex, Conn. Law Tribune, July 10, 2000. Reprinted with permission of the Law Tribune Newspapers.

Wayne D. Brazil, Views from the Front Lines: Observations by Chicago Lawyers About the System of Civil Discovery, American Bar Foundation Research Journal (1980). Reprinted with permission of the University of Chicago Press, Blackwell Publishing, and Wayne D. Brazil.

5. The ethical problems that lawyers encounter are often similar to those faced by non-lawyers, including adolescents; both kinds of problems involve issues such as loyalty, confidentiality, truthfulness, and conflicts of interest. For example, suppose that you are a teenager and that your friend tells you in confidence about a serious problem that she is having (e.g., with drugs or depression). Should you consult your parents despite your promise of confidence? What if your parents might inform your friend's parents who do not yet know about the problem? If your friend might pose a danger to others, should you tell the administration at your school or talk directly to your friend's parents?

- Stacy L. Brustin, *Legal Services Provision Through Multidisciplinary Practice: Encouraging Holistic Advocacy While Protecting Ethical Interests*. Reprinted with permission of the University of Colorado Law Review and Stacy L. Brustin.
- California Bar Journal, Notice, John Wali Mustafa (suspended from the practice of law), June 2002. Reprinted with permission.
- Center for Law & Social Policy, *Securing Justice for All* (2003, revised 2007). Reprinted with permission.
- Richard Dieter, *With Justice for Few: The Growing Crisis in Death Penalty Representation*. Reprinted with permission of the Death Penalty Information Center.
- Darryl van Duch, *Best Snitches: Land of Lincoln Leads the Nation in Attorneys Turning in Their Peers*. Reprinted with permission of American Lawyer Media, Inc. from the January 27, 1997, issue of the National Law Journal.
- Sarah Helene Duggin, *The Impact of the War over the Corporate Attorney-Client Privilege on the Business of American Health Care*, 22 J. Contemp. Health L. & Poly. 301 (2006). Reprinted with permission.
- Sarah Helene Duggin, *Internal Corporate Investigations: Legal Ethics, Professionalism, and the Employee Interview*, 2003 Colum. Bus. L. Rev. 859. Reprinted with permission.
- Florida Ethics Opinion 95-4. Reprinted with permission of the Florida Bar.
- Charles Fried, *The Lawyer as Friend: The Moral Foundations of the Lawyer-Client Relationship*, 85 Yale L.J. 1060 (1976). Reprinted from the Yale Law Journal, vol. 85, pages 1060-1089 by permission of the Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company.
- Stephen Gillers, "Can a Good Lawyer Be a Bad Person?" 84 Mich. L. Rev. 1011 (1986), revised and reprinted in 2 J. Inst. Study Leg. Ethics 131 (1999). Reprinted with permission.
- Stephen Gillers, *It's an MJP World*, from the American Bar Association Journal, December 2002. Reprinted with permission of the American Bar Association Journal.
- Martin Guggenheim, *A Paradigm for Determining the Role of Counsel for Children*, 64 Fordham L. Rev. 1399 (1996). Reprinted with permission.
- Harvard Law School, Office of Public Interest Advising, *Serving the Public: A Job Search Guide* (14th ed. 2003). Reprinted with permission.
- Frances Gall Hill, *Clinical Education and the "Best Interest" Representation of Children in Custody Disputes: Challenges and Opportunities in Lawyering and Pedagogy*, 73 Ind. L.J. 605 (1998). Reprinted with permission of the Indiana Law Journal.
- Jim Hoagland, *Gadhafi's Lawyer*, Washington Post, July 14, 1993. Copyright 1993 The Washington Post Writers Group. Reprinted with permission of the Washington Post Writers Group.
- Lisa G. Lerman, *Gross Profits? Questions about Lawyer Billing Practices*, 22 Hofstra L. Rev. 645 (1994). Reprinted with permission of the Hofstra Law Review Association.
- Lisa G. Lerman, *Lying to Clients*, 138 U. Pa. L. Rev. 659 (1990). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and William S. Hein & Co, Inc.
- David Margolick, *New York Court Shields Lawyers Who Report Dishonest Colleagues*, N.Y. Times, Dec. 23, 1992. Reprinted with permission of the New York Times Company.
- Carrie Menkel-Meadow *Ethics, Morality and Professional Responsibility in Negotiation*, published in *Dispute Resolution Ethics: A Comprehensive Guide*. Copyright 2002 American Bar Association. Reprinted with permission of the American Bar Association.
- Robert L. Nelson, *The Discovery Process as a Circle of Blame: Institutional, Professional, and Socio-Economic Factors That Contribute to Unreasonable, Inefficient, and Amoral Behavior in Corporate Litigation*, 67 Fordham L. Rev. 773, (1998). Reprinted by permission.

- Omaha World Journal, How Simpson Lawyers Bamboozled a Jury (editorial), Oct. 10, 1996. Reprinted with permission.
- Deborah L. Rhode, Cultures of Commitment: Pro Bono for Lawyers and Law Students, 67 Fordham L. Rev. 2415 (1999). Reprinted by permission.
- Patrick J. Schiltz, On Being a Happy, Healthy, and Ethical Member of an Unhappy, Unhealthy, and Unethical Profession, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 871 (1999). Reprinted with permission of the Vanderbilt Law Review.
- Ted Schneyer, Moral Philosophy's Standard Misconception of Legal Ethics, 1984 Wis. L. Rev. 1529 (1985). Copyright 1984 by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System. Reprinted by permission of the Wisconsin Law Review and the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.
- Carroll Seron, Excerpted material from The Business of Practicing Law: The Work Lives of Solo and Small-Firm Attorneys. Used by permission of Temple University Press. Copyright 1996 by Temple University. All rights reserved.
- Susan Shapiro, Tangled Loyalties: Conflict of Interest in Legal Practice. Reprinted with permission of the University of Michigan Press.
- William Simon, Virtuous Lying: A Critique of Quasi-Categorical Moralism, 12 Geo. J. of Legal Ethics 433 (1999). Reprinted with permission of the Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics.
- Harry Subin, The Criminal Defense Lawyer's "Different Mission": Reflections on the "Right" to Present a False Case, 1 Geo. J. of Legal Ethics 129 (1987). Reprinted with permission of the Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics.
- Margaret Graham Tebo, Fee Shifting Fallout, American Bar Association Journal, July 2003. Reprinted with permission of the American Bar Association Journal.
- Paul Tremblay, On Persuasion and Paternalism: Lawyer Decisionmaking and the Questionably Competent Client, 1987 Utah L. Rev. 515 (1987). Reprinted with permission of the Utah Law Review and Paul Tremblay.
- David Vladeck, Statement Before the ABA Commission on Non-lawyer Practice, June 25, 1993. Reprinted with permission.
- Duff Wilson, Hearing Ends in Disbarment for Prosecutor in Duke Case, New York Times, June 16, 2007. Reprinted with permission of the New York Times. All rights reserved.

Images

- "And should you retain us, Mr. Hodal..." Cartoon. Copyright The New Yorker Collection 1991 Leo Cullem from cartoonbank.com. All rights reserved. Reproduced with permission.
- Frank H. Armani, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Frank H. Armani.
- Michael Asimow, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Michael Asimow.
- "Attention, please." Cartoon. Copyright The New Yorker Collection 1984 Leo Cullum from cartoonbank.com. All rights reserved. Reproduced with permission.
- Francis Richard Belge, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Frances M. Belge.
- Wayne D. Brazil, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Wayne D. Brazil.
- "Warren A. Brown, Attorney at Law." Advertisement. Reproduced by permission of Warren A. Brown.
- Stacy Brustin, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Thomas Haederle.
- Nancy Quattlebaum Burke, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Gray Plant Mooty.
- Bus accident, photograph. Reproduced with permission of dcfd.com.
- Kathleen Clark, photograph. Reproduced with permission of Kathleen Clark.