

Survival in the Corporate Fishbowl

Making It into Upper and Middle Management

> by John P. Fernandez





Lexington Books

D.C. Heath and Company/Lexington, Massachusetts/Toronto

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Fernandez, John P., 1941– Survival in the corporate fishbowl.

Includes index.

- 1. Industrial sociology—United States.
- 2. Discrimination in employment—United States.
- 3. Executives—United States. 4. Office politics— United States. 5. Quality of work life—United States. I. Title.

HD6957.U6F47 1987 302.3'5 85-40021 ISBN 0-669-10336-5 (alk. paper)

Copyright © 1987 by D.C. Heath and Company

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Published simultaneously in Canada Printed in the United States of America International Standard Book Number: 0-669-10336-5 Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 85-40021

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1984.



ISBN 0-669-10336-5

87 88 89 90 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Survival in the Corporate Fishbowl



Preface and Acknowledgments

As I wrote my previous books, Black Managers in White Corporations (1975), Racism and Sexism in Corporate Life (1981), and Child Care and Corporate Productivity (1985), I became acutely aware of the sometimes overt but most times covert dissatisfaction that many employees have with corporate careers and corporate work environments.

I have sensed an increase in employee dissatisfaction and an intensification of career concerns as the United States has begun losing its economic world dominance. Management has responded to economic hardships by terminating many jobs in the United States and moving operations to foreign countries.

I believe that several crucial factors are leading to increased job dissatisfaction and career concerns. One is that the good opportunities existing in corporate America overall have decreased, while the number of people entering the work force has increased. At the same time, those entering the work force are more educated than previous generations. Educated people have higher aspirations and goals; thus, while there has always been an imbalance between employee aspirations and corporate opportunities, this imbalance is greater today than in the past.

Another factor contributing to the overall low morale of corporate employees is the failure of corporate America to equitably introduce women and minorities into areas once perceived as the domains of white males.

The third factor contributing to the overall dissatisfaction of most employees is the inability of corporate leaders to recognize the inherent inequities and shortcomings of bureaucracies. In addition, they have not understood some basic fundamentals about human nature.

I sincerely believe that until corporate leaders and employees deal with the realities of bureaucracies and human nature, corporations will not operate as efficiently as they can, and many employees will be in a constant state of dissatisfaction.

This book gives readers a realistic understanding of bureaucracies and how the human element affects the effective and efficient operations of a bureaucracy. It also documents the continued existence of racism and sexism which, in most companies, does not allow the full use of more than half of the work force. Only through understanding these factors can employees develop realistic strategies to survive and make it into middle and upper management.

I hope that the readers of this book will walk away with some new insights and a confirmation of some old ones, which will allow them to better achieve their career goals. Corporate executives who read this book to run their companies better should be able to come up with more realistic strategies for their future successes.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my great appreciation to the employees of the thirteen companies whose total cooperation made this book possible. To protect the anonymity of their companies, I cannot name the managers who were my coordinators and advisers. However, I would be remiss if I did not mention that they all went well beyond their normal duties to make my surveys and this book a success.

Peggy Albert, Ann Zinn, Emily Bassman, Wendy Johnson, Mary Ella Brady, Geri Fudge, Jane Balin, Penny Morey, Eileen Hammer, Ann Nelson, Roy Stewart, Miriam Fleming, and Ellen Ball greatly influenced the analyses of all the data and the basic direction of the book, provided very helpful editorial suggestions, and made substantial changes throughout the stages of manuscript preparation. In addition, Eileen and Jane were valued assistants in researching new concepts and ideas.

David Nasatir, Ashima Basu, and Mary Ella Brady assisted me in the use of statistical analyses.

I would also like to thank my students at CIGNA for their help in the editing process: Elizabeth Crosson, Donna Dennis, Catherine D'Imperio, Nanci Goodstein, Christina Grau, Minel Isaminger, Robert Krupak, Carol Kusner, Barbara Niles, Lynn Oliver, Maryann Santitoro, and Catherine Whalen.

Pat Buerkle, Lori Green, Maria Hartman, and Joey White took their valued personal time to assist me in the typing and distribution

of the manuscript.

Maureen T. McGinley served as a typist, editor, researcher, and proofreader and did many other chores, but most of all, she provided moral support at crucial times.

My daughters, Michele, Eleni, and Sevgi, have decided that their lot in life is to have a father who likes to write. I greatly appreciate them not only for their understanding but for being good human beings.

Contents

Preface and Acknowledgments xi

1. Introduction 1

The Issues 1
Purposes of the Book 7
Overview of Chapters 10

2. The True Character of Bureaucracies and Human Nature 15

Employees' Perceptions of Corporate Life 15 The Ideal versus the Real Bureaucracy 18 Some Basic Concepts about Human Nature 24 Conclusions 29

- Career Problems in Corporate America 31
 Motivational Theories 32
 Six Case Studies of Corporate Careers 37
 Specific Career Problems of Study Participants 44
 Perceptions of Being Rewarded and Valued 51
 Conclusions 54
- 4. The Real Criteria for Advancement 57

 Advancement Theories 57

 Who Gets Ahead and Why 59

Impact of Objective Evaluation Criteria 69
Impact of Race, Gender, and Age 71
Impact of Business Growth and Competition 73
Impact of Bosses, Mentors, and Politics 75
Conclusions 79

5. How to Sort through the Corporate Games and Make Them Work for You 83

Reviewing the Issues 84 Understanding People 84 Dealing with Bosses, Peers, and Subordinates Knowing Yourself 91 The Game 95 Corporate Politics 96 Manipulation 97 Power 99 Loyalty 102 Honesty 103 Trust 104 Building Your Team 105 Managing with Equity 106 Your Attitude toward Work 106 Managing Your Career 108 Conclusions 113

6. Socialization: Racism and Sexism 115

Employees' Views of Women and Minorities 115
Racism and Sexism Defined 120
History of Racism 121
History of Sexism 126
Forms of Racism and Sexism in the 1980s 130

Development of Racist and Sexist Attitudes and Behaviors 131
Impact of Racism and Sexism on Society 137
Racist and Sexist Stereotypes 140
Specific Stereotypes about Women 140
Specific Stereotypes about Minorities 150
Racism and Sexism in Society 161
Conclusions 163

7. Special Career Problems of White Males and Their Solutions 167

White Males' Perceptions of Reverse Discrimination 167
A Change in White Males' Advancement Opportunities 169
Reality No. 1: Corporate America Is Unfair to All 173
Reality No. 2: Image Is Vital to Success 174
Reality No. 3: Competition Is Keen 175
Reality No. 4: White Males Are Still Advantaged 175
Reality No. 5: "Reverse Discrimination" Is Based on Lies 177
Reality No. 6: Nothing Excuses Poor Performance 181
Reality No. 7: Adjust for Success 182
Conclusions 183

8. Extraordinary Problems of Women Employees and Their Solutions 185

The Situation of Women in Corporations 185

Overview of Gender Discrimination in Corporations 187

A Serious Contradiction Women Face 196

Should Women Conform? 197

Social-Interaction Problems Caused by Sexism 200

Strategies to Deal with Social-Interaction Problems 208

Power and Authority Problems 210

Strategies to Gain Power and Authority 213

Dual Performance Standards 214
Solutions to Dual Performance Standards 217
Impact of Dual Roles on Women's Careers 219
Solutions to Women's Dual-Role Problems 223
Conclusions 224

9. Extraordinary Problems of Minority Employees and Their Solutions 227

Discrimination against Different Minorities 227
Participants' Perceptions of Racial Discrimination 228
A Recent History of Race Discrimination in Corporate
America 234
Race Discrimination in Corporate America Today 235
The Problem of Racist Stereotypes 238
Strategies to Counteract Racist Stereotypes 245
Dual Performance Standards 247
Solutions to Dual Performance Standards 251
Power and Authority Problems 252
Strategies to Increase Power and Authority 254
Exclusion from Informal Work Groups 255
Strategies for Inclusion in Informal Work Groups 256
Other Survival Tactics for Minorities 259
Conclusions 263

10. Bringing It All Together 267

Surviving and Making It 269
What Corporations Must Do 272
Equal Opportunity Emphasis 275

Appendix 279 Notes 287 Contents

Bibliography 297 Index 303 Dedication 313

About the Author 315

1 Introduction

The Issues

The comments presented in the following chapters represent responses of more than 12,000 management and nonmanagement employees in thirteen companies.* For this book, since 1984, I have surveyed employees' perceptions of their careers with a particular focus on the social aspects of their work lives: social interaction, cross-cultural/cross-racial experiences, race/gender effects, and pluralism issues. By pluralism I mean the reflection within the corporate hierarchy of the heterogeneous mixture of people that make up U.S. society in the 1980s. These surveys reveal grave concerns that employees have about corporate America: that it is unimaginative and authoritarian, and has untrustworthy leadership, a debilitating environment not conducive to risk taking, a plethora of politicking, insufficient opportunities, lack of proper recognition and reward, and discrimination based on race, gender, and age.

These comments come from both genders and from all races and levels of the corporate hierarchy—a sure sign that something is wrong with corporate America.

The comments were made in response to open-ended statements such as: "Please make any additional comments you wish to make about yourself and your career." In other words, the statements were neutral in tone and unbiased toward eliciting either negative or positive responses.

Throughout this book I discuss three race groups: blacks, other minorities, and whites. Other than blacks, Hispanics were by far the largest minority group in these studies. Since the responses of Hispanics, Asians, and Native Americans were very similar in most cases, I combined all minorities except for blacks under the name of other minorities.

The following responses primarily concern corporate leadership:

There is very little feedback concerning what is considered when promoting, giving raises or bonuses. Our department head and his high level staff do not fight for the staff, and the staff is aware of this.

-black, male, upper-level manager

I feel stranded without support, goals, paths or challenges. I feel underemployed as compared to my positions in the past. I want a chance to succeed but cannot find a way. I want to use the knowledge and skills I've obtained.

-white, female, lower-level manager

As a new employee with a college degree, I feel my contributions will be better recognized in another company where creativity, risk, ambition and marketing are recognized as key components for success.

—black, female, lower-level manager

I really like the challenge of my job, but things would go much smoother if I had a middle-level manager who appreciated my knowledge and job expertise. Instead, decisions are always second-guessed because he manages by the "shut up and do it because I am the boss" style of management.

-white, male, craftsworker

Biggest obstacle to my advancement and satisfaction lies in the amount of *exposure* I receive and a lack of commitment on the part of my management (not immediate supervisor) to help me and let me perform functions that I do best.

-white, female, lower-level manager

I was told that I was "acting" so long (over two years) because I was being punished for referring a job issue to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

-white, female, lower-level manager

It's pretty difficult when your middle-level supervisor lies to you and afterwards admits that he lied in order to advance his own objectives. It would be a lot less aggravating if he were honest in his approach; after all, he is middle management and has final

Introduction 3

word. Being pluralistic, I believe, is necessary in order to compete; however, being honest in one's approach is most important.

-Hispanic, female, craftsworker

We still profess a positive atmosphere of "risk taking," yet the result is squelching creativity through non-acceptance and reprimand.

-white, male, lower-level manager

Lip service and hot air! Are there other jobs out there that could use my talents? If there are, then how do I find them? Managerial job openings are hidden and will never be advertised because our V.P. of Personnel doesn't want managerial job openings advertised. By the time an opening is heard about, the job is filled. Can't even compete! Blah! . . . you have people with tunnel vision running this company . . .

-Hispanic, male, lower-level manager

I have had good, challenging assignments although my abilities and skills have been underutilized. Organizational politics seem dishonest and insincere to me so I have not succeeded well in that arena.

-white, male, upper-level manager

The next series of responses demonstrate the pervasiveness of politics as perceived by employees in the corporate bureaucracy:

Generally, I believe promotions are too often given to those who have "showcased" or otherwise exercised political means to get recognition. Often the results are not evaluated but the window dressing is rewarded. In our efforts to encourage a pluralistic mix, I hope we will not continue this propensity toward promoting the "flashers." Among all groups of employees there are those who deserve promotion and don't necessarily "grab the microphone" at every opportunity.

-white, female, upper-level manager

I truly feel that most women who advanced got there for favors rendered or for knowing the right person. Management is a buddy system that promotes friends and partners. Rarely does someone who really deserves it get promoted to management.

-black, female, craftsworker

We fear internal repercussions from filing an Equal Employment Opportunity complaint. No support from superiors, or their bosses. Internal merit pay raises were outright discrimination—popularity contests, the "good old boy" network at its finest. Utterly discouraging...

-Asian, female, lower-level manager

I wish playing good-old-boy politics were not a prerequisite for any type of promotion—it makes me feel bad.

-American Indian, female, craftsworker

I feel that the sponsorship program to get into management should be eliminated because the majority of management people are whites. A lot of people of color that are qualified are overlooked because whites sponsor other whites regardless of qualifications. I have facts to back this statement.

-black, female, lower-level manager

I have found in my 13.5 years with the company that most of the people that get ahead aren't necessarily the best qualified. They were picked a lot by whom they knew and who they are. I don't see that it will ever change—it has gone on too long and it will continue. Prejudices still exist at the company that will never change.

—Hispanic, female, lower-level manager

Although there is much rhetoric about "excellence," we seem to be managing the same old way. The company still seems to place more weight on political (good old boy/girl) acceptability than on honest, dedicated performance. My last appraisal was based 100 percent on perceptions of personality, not on performance.

-white, male, lower-level manager

Surrounded by a climate of poor leadership and politics, it is not surprising that employees sense a system that is not organically responsive to them as individuals:

Even though I am an over 40 white male with over 20 years' experience, I feel I still have something to give to this company. If I am told one more time I ought to be glad I still have a job, I'll scream.

-white, male, middle-level manager

I feel the "surplus" conditions are handled unfairly—those with a few years of service are working twice as hard as those who have 20 years of service and are out the door first, even though the ones who have less seniority may be better qualified for a particular job.

-white, female, craftsworker

I have finally come to grips with what is possible in this company and what isn't—that is, unless you're willing to sell your soul and give up who you are, you won't advance. I now work for quality in my life and what I have control of and I have quit worrying about what I don't.

-white, female, lower-level manager

It used to be fun working for this company; now you don't know whether you have a job or not.

-white, male, craftsworker

Considering the previous comments, it is not surprising that employees doubt that they are valued and rewarded:

I am a hard-working, conscientious, devoted employee. I should be treated as a valued employee who earns his money and then some—instead of the same or not as good as the flunky who doesn't earn his pay and gets promoted.

-Hispanic, male, craftsworker

My experience has been that informal rewards are seldom received. "No news is good news" holds true here. You are told only about the mistakes, and no one says "That was a good job." This is a shame because often a lack of informal rewards leads to frustration.

-white, female, lower-level manager

I feel that many employees with talent and ability are routinely overlooked and unappreciated *regardless* of race, sex, or cultural background. The company does not discriminate against any particular group nearly as much as it discriminates against individuals who show signs of intelligent, independent thought.

-white, female, craftsworker

As employees believe that management has poor leadership