

# RUSSELL ON ARBITRATION

Twenty Third Edition

David St John Sutton

Judith Gill

Matthew Gearing

THOMSON

SWEET & MAXWELL

Published in 2007 by  
Sweet & Maxwell Limited of 100 Avenue Road,  
Swiss Cottage, London, NW3 3PF  
*<http://www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk>*  
Typeset by Interactive Sciences Ltd,  
Gloucester  
Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
TJ International, Padstow, Cornwall

No natural forests were destroyed to make this product;  
only farmed timber was used and re-planted

### **British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A CIP catalogue record for this book  
is available from the British Library

ISBN 978 0 421 96680 2

All rights reserved. The Thomson trademark and Star Design are trademarks of Thomson Financial S.A. used herein under licence.  
Crown Copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of the HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction. Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

**RUSSELL ON ARBITRATION**

**Twenty-Third Edition**

**AUSTRALIA**  
**Lawbook Co.**  
**Sydney**

**CANADA and USA**  
**Carswell**  
**Toronto**

**HONG KONG**  
**Sweet & Maxwell Asia**

**NEW ZEALAND**  
**Brookers**  
**Wellington**

**SINGAPORE and MALAYSIA**  
**Sweet & Maxwell Asia**  
**Singapore and Kuala Lumpur**

## FOREWORD

*Russell on Arbitration* is one of the classic legal reference works. It has stood the passage of time and established, and in recent editions reinvented, its own distinct persona and importance in legal literature. Few other texts have become institutions due to acceptance and reliance on by the legal fraternity, including the courts, practitioners and all those involved with arbitration in England and under English law. For many foreign lawyers representing parties in arbitrations in England *Russell* is a reference tool for them too.

Anecdotal evidence suggests there are an ever increasing number of arbitrations in England. Whilst difficult to substantiate, this may be the result of the momentum of the alternative dispute resolution movement which has resulted in decreasing numbers of cases being taken to the courts. Yet hard facts on the number of arbitrations are not available and perhaps impossible to obtain. This is due in large part to the very many different kinds of arbitration, resorted to by parties from different industries, involving arbitrators from different backgrounds and professional experience, and many involving factual issues rather than legal principles. These arbitrations are domestic (i.e. where both parties are from England and the subject matter is in England), international (involving some non-UK element), commercial (involving some business element), investment (arising out of bilateral investment treaties or investments by a party into another country), ad hoc and institutional (such as ICC, LCIA or Stockholm Institute), commodities (food and grain) and industry specific (shipping, insurance, construction).

The only evidence that exists is the annual number of known decisions arising out of, concerning or affecting arbitration in the English courts. A rough estimate suggests that in almost five years since the 22nd edition of *Russell*, there have been 70–80 such decisions a year over this period. However what is important is not the numbers but how the English courts use their power to support and give effect to the agreement of the parties to submit their differences to arbitration. The Arbitration Act 1996 greatly narrowed the opportunities for the English courts to review and interfere with the arbitration process. Happily that approach has been supported and followed in the main by the English courts.

With the passage of 10 years since its enactment, the Arbitration Act 1996 continues to be interpreted and applied with its intended purpose, i.e. giving primary place to the will of the parties and then upholding the authority of the arbitrators to conduct proceedings appropriately in the circumstances of the case. It is also noteworthy that the English courts are looking at factors and influences from outside the United Kingdom, especially the UNCITRAL Model Law of International Commercial Arbitration, the New York Convention and the decisions of other national and international courts on related commercial arbitration issues.

There are four main areas where reported decisions of the English courts have covered important areas of the 1996 Arbitration Act.

1. The courts have sought to give effect to party autonomy and to oblige parties to adhere to their commitment to arbitrate. This has meant the staying of proceedings commenced in the courts despite the existence of a valid arbitration agreement and recognising the differences that exist in the conduct of arbitrations generally. The effect may be to preclude a party from seeking to challenge an award in a country other than the place of arbitration and even, perhaps in due course, ordering a party to participate in an arbitration based on a valid arbitration agreement. A breach of the arbitration agreement could also give an entitlement to monetary damages.
2. Injunctive relief, by way of anti-suit or anti-arbitration injunctions, is a widely sought remedy in the face of an arbitration agreement and gives rise to many concerns of excessive jurisdiction being exercised by the courts. These cases have provided the opportunity for the English courts to consider the interaction between the duty of the court to recognise the autonomy of the arbitration and therefore the importance of not interfering in the process, and the need to exercise jurisdiction and make orders which give effect to the decision of the parties to submit their differences to arbitration. In practice the court's powers have been used sparingly, and only to enforce arbitration agreements and support arbitral practice. They generally are not used to interfere with arbitrations taking place in another country and under some other law.
3. The doctrine of separability, resisted for some time in England, is now well accepted in English law and given effect to in the Act. The English courts have recognised that, with few exceptions, it is for arbitrators to determine the extent of their own jurisdiction, and that the arbitration and the arbitration agreement may be subject to a different national law to that governing the underlying contract. Most significantly, the House of Lords decision in *Premium Nafta Products Ltd & Others v Fili Shipping Co Ltd & Others* (the *Fiona Trust* case) recognised that an arbitral tribunal will not be deprived of jurisdiction where the underlying contract is alleged to have been induced by bribery, or for that matter even if this allegation is upheld.
4. An area where the courts have had some involvement is with respect to the duties of arbitrators. These are stated in section 33 of the Arbitration Act 1996 in language which, whilst original and perhaps revolutionary, expresses the general expectation and understanding of the duty of arbitrators in unique terms. These obligations are to "act fairly and impartially as between the parties", "giving each party a reasonable opportunity of putting his case" and adopting procedures which "avoid unnecessary delay or expense". The meaning and application of these basic standards have still to be thoroughly considered in the English courts. They have however been tested in the English courts largely in the context of applications to challenge awards for serious irregularity under section 68. The English courts have also had the opportunity to consider the IBA Guidelines on

**Conflict of Interest in International Arbitration in reviewing standards for impartiality and independence of arbitrators.**

This 23rd edition of *Russell on Arbitration*, more than 150 years after the first edition, reflects the law on these and other issues up to date in 2007. It will continue to be an indispensable aid to lawyers and non-lawyers involved with arbitration or wishing to understand the principles of the law applicable to arbitration in England. The authors, distinguished and experienced practitioners in all forms of international and domestic arbitration, are to be commended for continually raising the bar in respect of this ever more valuable book.

Julian D M Lew Q.C.  
October 2007

## PREFACE

This book deals with the English law of arbitration as at June 30, 2007, although wherever possible an attempt has been made to incorporate subsequent developments up to the date of finalising the proofs for publication in early October 2007. For example, reference has been included to the potentially important first instance decisions in *Albon v Naza Motor Trading Sdn Bhd (No.4)*, where the court exceptionally granted an injunction to restrain a foreign arbitration, and to *Tamil Nadu Electricity Board v ST-CMS Electric Co Private Ltd*, which deals with the interplay between the law of the matrix contract and the law of the agreement to arbitrate. Both of these cases were decided in July 2007.

Even more recently, in the final days of reviewing the proofs of the book, the House of Lords handed down the important decision in *Premium Nafta Products Ltd v Fili Shipping Co Ltd*. We had given extensive treatment to the decision of the Court of Appeal in this case (there entitled *Fiona Trust & Holding Corp v Yuri Privalov*). The House of Lords decision further bolsters the principle of separability of the agreement to arbitrate contained in section 7 of the Act and lays down sensible and modern guidance on the construction of the wording of agreements to arbitrate and we have therefore sought to incorporate reference to it in so far as possible in the time available.

More than ever, the development of arbitration law is moving at a fast pace and the temptation, which we have resisted, was to postpone publication of this 23rd edition until certain important developments had crystallised, not least because in the five years since the 22nd edition almost every area of arbitration law has received judicial attention and time is now ripe for a fresh statement of the law. One evolving issue worthy of particular note is the continued ability of the courts to grant anti-suit injunctions to restrain proceedings brought in other Brussels Convention countries commenced in breach of an agreement to arbitrate is in doubt following the reference of this question to the European Court of Justice by the House of Lords in *West Tankers Inc v Ras Riunione Adriatica di Sicurata*. The ECJ is not expected to consider the reference until 2009 at the earliest. In Chapter 7, we summarise the current position pending this decision and the arguments for and against the use of anti-suit injunctions.

Finally, we should thank our colleagues at Allen & Overy LLP and elsewhere who have given us great assistance in the process of preparing this addition, in particular, Hannah Ambrose, Chris Mainwaring-Taylor and Conan Lauterpacht, colleagues in the arbitration group at Allen & Overy. We also wish to express our thanks for the tireless secretarial support provided by Maria Iannella, and for the invaluable support and guidance given by our publishers at Sweet & Maxwell.

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

### **Act**

The Arbitration Act 1996.

### **Brussels Regulation**

Council Regulation (EC) No.44/2001 of December 22, 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, including, if applicable, its application to Denmark as from July 1, 2007 by virtue of an agreement made on October 19, 2005 between the European Community and the Kingdom of Denmark on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters.

### **Brussels Convention**

EC Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters, Brussels 1968. The Brussels Convention has been largely replaced by the Brussels Regulation. With respect to legal proceedings instituted and to documents formally drawn up or registered as authentic instruments before July 1, 2007, the Brussels Regulation is not applicable to Denmark. Nor does it apply to certain overseas territories which fall within the geographical scope of the Brussels Convention. The Brussels Convention therefore continues to have a residual application.

### **ADR**

Alternative dispute resolution.

### **Arbitration PD**

The *Practice Direction—Arbitration* which supplements CPR Pt 62.

### **Chitty**

Beale and others, *Chitty on Contracts* (29th edn, Sweet & Maxwell, 2006).

### **CIArb**

Chartered Institute of Arbitrators.

### **Commercial Court**

The part of the Queen's Bench Division of the English High Court of Justice devoted to commercial cases, including all arbitration applications and appeals.

### **Convention award**

An arbitration award made in a country which is party to the New York Convention. The use of the expression "Convention award" derives from a statutory

definition in the Arbitration Act 1975, s.7: that Act has been repealed. The Arbitration Act 1996, s.100(1) uses the expression “New York Convention award” for awards made outside the United Kingdom under the New York Convention; there are of course other conventions for the enforcement of awards made outside the United Kingdom. However, the expression “Convention award” in the narrower sense is likely to continue to be used.

**CPR**

The Civil Procedure Rules in force as at September 2007.

**DAC**

Departmental Advisory Committee on Arbitration Law set up by the United Kingdom’s Department of Trade and Industry.

**DAC Report**

The DAC (see above) produced a number of reports. Where none is specified, the reference is to their report on the Arbitration Bill of February 1996. If the reference number is to another of the committee’s reports, the title of that report is given in full.

**Dicey & Morris**

Dicey, Morris & Collins, *Conflict of Laws* (Lawrence Collins and other eds, 14th edn, Sweet & Maxwell, 2006).

**Kendall**

J Kendall, *Expert Determination* (3rd edn, Sweet & Maxwell, 2001).

**FIDIC**

*Federation Internationale des Ingenieurs-Conseils*.

**FOSFA**

Federation of Oils and Seeds and Fats Association.

**GAFTA**

Grain and Feed Trade Association.

**Geneva Convention**

Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards signed at Geneva on behalf of His Majesty on September 26, 1927

**Handbook**

Bernstein’s *Handbook of Arbitration Practice* (4th edn, Sweet & Maxwell, 2003).

**IBA Guidelines**

IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.

**IBA Rules**

IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration.

**ICC**

International Chamber of Commerce.

**ICCA**

International Council for Commercial Arbitration.

**ICC Rules**

Rules of Arbitration of the ICC.

**ICE**

Institution of Civil Engineers.

**ICSID**

International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes.

**ICSID Convention**

The Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States, 1965.

**JCT**

Joint Contracts Tribunal.

**LCIA**

London Court of International Arbitration.

**LCIA Rules**

LCIA Arbitration Rules.

**LMAA**

London Maritime Arbitration Association.

**Lugano Convention**

Convention of September 16, 1988 on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. Its effects are materially the same as the Brussels Convention and it governs issues of jurisdiction and enforcement between the European Union member states and the European Free Trade Association countries other than Liechtenstein (namely Iceland, Switzerland and Norway).

**Merkin**

Robert Merkin, *Arbitration Law* (LLP, 1991).

**Model Law**

The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration.

**Mustill and Boyd**

Michael Mustill and Stewart Boyd, *Commercial Arbitration* (2nd edn, 1992), as supplemented by a *2001 Companion* (Butterworths, 2001).

**NEMA guidelines**

Guidelines, laid down by the House of Lords in *BTP Tioxide Ltd v Pioneer Shipping Ltd*, “*The Nema*” [1982] A.C. 724, for appeals from arbitration awards to the courts.

**New York Convention**

The New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958.

**Redfern & Hunter**

Alan Redfern, Martin Hunter, Nigel Blackaby and Constantine Partasides, *Law and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration* (4th edn, Sweet & Maxwell, 2004).

**RIBA**

Royal Association of British Architects.

**RICS**

Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors.

**RSC**

Rules of the Supreme Court of England and Wales now largely replaced by the CPR.

**UNCITRAL**

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law.

**UNCITRAL Rules**

Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, 1976.

**WHITEBOOK**

The CPR and Practice Directions, with Commentary, contained in two volumes.

## TABLE OF CASES

A v B [2006] EWHC 2006 (Comm) .....	2-105, 2-106, 4-144, 5-072, 6-052, 7-004, 7-011, 7-013, 7-024, 7-025, 7-028, 7-030, 7-037, 7-055, 7-060, 7-183, 7-184, 8-051, 8-199
A v B (Costs) [2007] EWHC 54 (Comm) .....	2-106, 7-019, 7-022, 8-209
A&B v C&D [1982] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 166, QBD (Comm) .....	7-042
A&B v C&D [1989] 1 Q.B. 488 .....	2-079
A Cameron v John Mowlem & Co, [1990] 52 B.L.R. 24, CA .....	2-034, 6-002, 8-011
ABB AG v Hochtief Airport GmbH [2006] EWHC 388; [2006] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 529; [2006] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 1, QBD (Comm) ...	5-050, 6-032, 6-082, 7-167, 8-073, 8-080, 8-083, 8-095, 8-112
ABB Lummus Global Ltd v Keppel Fels Ltd (formerly Far East Levingston Shipbuilding Ltd) [1999] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 24, QBD (Comm) ...	2-095, 2-101, 2-103, 5-063, 7-066, 7-143, 7-154, 7-170
ABCI (formerly Arab Business Consortium International Finance & Investment Co) v Banque Franco-Tunisienne [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 511; [2002] I.L.Pr. 31, QBD (Comm) .....	8-005
AIG Capital Partners Inc v Kazakhstan [2005] EWHC 2239; [2006] 1 W.L.R. 1420; [2006] 1 All E.R. 284; [2006] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 1; [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 45, QBD (Comm) .....	8-045, 8-049
AIG Europe SA v QBE International Insurance Ltd [2001] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 622; [2001] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 268; [2001] C.L.C. 1259; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 22, QBD (Comm) .....	2-065
AIG Europe (UK) Ltd v Ethniki; sub nom. AIG Europe (UK) Ltd v Anonymous Greek Co of General Insurances; Anonymous Greek Co of General Insurances v AIG Europe (UK) Ltd [2000] 2 All E.R. 566; [2000] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 65; [2000] C.L.C. 446; [2000] I.L.Pr. 426; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 343, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-047
APJ Shalin, The [1991] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 62, QBD (Admlty) .....	7-206
A/S D/S Svendborg v Akar; sub nom. Maersk Sealand v Akar [2003] EWHC 797, QBD (Comm) .....	7-019
A/S Det Dansk Franske Dampskibssel v Compagnie Financiere D'Investissements Transatlantiques SA (The Himmerland) [1965] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 353, QBD (Comm) .....	5-004, 5-007
ASM Shipping Ltd v Harris [2007] EWHC 1513 (Comm), The Times, August 6, 2007, QBD (Comm) .....	1-017, 4-115, 4-119, 7-124, 7-127
ASM Shipping Ltd of India v TTMI Ltd of England [2005] EWHC 2238 (Comm); [2006] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 122; [2006] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 375; [2006] 1 C.L.C. 656, QBD (Comm) ....	4-110, 4-111, 4-114, 4-118, 4-120, 4-122, 4-125, 4-128, 7-113, 7-124, 7-127, 8-072, 8-088, 8-105, 8-110, 8-111, 8-114
ASM Shipping Ltd of India v TTMI Ltd of England (Permission to Appeal) [2006] EWCA Civ 1341; [2007] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 136; [2007] C.P. Rep. 5; [2006] 2 C.L.C. 471, CA (Civ Div) .....	7-201, 8-118, 8-159
AT&T Corp v Saudi Cable Co [2000] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 625; [2000] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 127; [2000] C.L.C. 1309; [2000] B.L.R. 293, CA (Civ Div) .....	4-051, 4-109, 4-112, 4-116, 4-127, 7-112
AWG Group Ltd (formerly Anglian Water Plc) v Morrison; sub nom. Morrison v AWG Group Ltd (formerly Anglian Water Plc) [2006] EWCA Civ 6; [2006] 1 W.L.R. 1163; [2006] 1 All E.R. 967, CA (Civ Div) .....	4-114, 4-117, 4-123

AXA Re v Ace Global Markets Ltd [2006] EWHC 216; [2006] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 683, QBD (Comm) .....	2-027, 7-149
Abdullah M Fahem & Co v Mareb Yemen Insurance Co [1997] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 738, QBD (Comm) .....	2-004
Absolute Rentals Ltd v Gencor Enterprises Ltd (2001) 17 Const. L.J. 322, QBD (TCC) .....	2-034
Abu Dhabi Gas Liquefaction Co v Eastern Bechtel Corp; Eastern Bechtel Corp and Chiyoda Chemical Engineering & Construction Co Ltd v Ishikawajima-harima Heavy Industries Co Ltd; Bechtel Corp v Ishikawajima Harima Heavy Industries Co Ltd [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 425; [1982] Com. L.R. 215; (1982) 126 S.J. 524, CA (Civ Div) .....	3-050
Abu Dhabi Investment Co v H Clarkson & Co Ltd [2006] EWHC 1252; [2006] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 381, QBD (Comm) .....	2-097, 7-024, 7-035, 7-048, 7-055
Action Navigation Inc v Bottiglieri di Navigazione SpA (The Kitsa); sub nom. Action Navigation Inc v Bottigliere Navigation SpA [2005] EWHC 177; [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 432; [2005] 1 C.L.C. 153, QBD (Comm) .....	6-032
Aectra Refining & Marketing Inc v Exmar NV (The New Vanguard and The Pacifica) [1994] 1 W.L.R. 1634; [1995] 1 All E.R. 641; [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 191, CA (Civ Div) .....	6-016
Aggeliki Charis Compania Maritima SA v Pagnan SpA (The Angelic Grace) [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 87, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-004, 2-070, 2-075, 2-105, 7-014, 7-015
Agrimex Ltd v Tradigrain SA [2003] EWHC 1656; [2003] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 537; (2003) 153 N.L.J. 1121, QBD (Comm) .....	4-059, 6-056, 6-074
Agromet Motoimport Ltd v Maulden Engineering Co (Beds) Ltd [1985] 1 W.L.R. 762; [1985] 2 All E.R. 436, QBD .....	8-017
Ahad v Uddin [2005] EWCA Civ 883; (2005) 149 S.J.L.B. 772, CA (Civ Div) ....	7-043
Aiden Shipping Co Ltd v Interbulk Ltd (The Vimeira) (No.2) [1986] A.C. 965; [1986] 2 W.L.R. 1051; [1986] 2 All E.R. 409; [1986] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 117; (1986) 130 S.J. 429, HL .....	5-051, 6-146
Aiglon Ltd v Gau Shan Co Ltd; L'Aiglon SA v Gau Shan Co Ltd [1993] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 164; [1993] B.C.L.C. 321, QBD (Comm) .....	7-195, 8-006
Air India Ltd v Caribjet Inc [2002] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 76; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 314, QBD (Comm) .....	8-009
Akai Pty Ltd v People's Insurance Co Ltd [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 90; [1997] C.L.C. 1508; [1999] I.L.Pr. 24, QBD (Comm) .....	7-014
Al-Hadha Trading Co v Tradigrain SA [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 512, QBD (Merc) .....	6-170
Al-Midani v Al-Midani; Al-Midani v Kayal [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 923; [1999] C.L.C. 904, QBD (Comm) .....	2-065, 8-002
Al-Naimi (t/a Buildmaster Construction Services) v Islamic Press Agency Inc; sub nom. Al-Naimi (t/a Buildmaster Construction Services) v Islamic Press Services Inc [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 522; [2000] C.L.C. 647; [2000] B.L.R. 150; (2000) 2 T.C.L.R. 499; 70 Con. L.R. 21, CA (Civ Div) ...	7-025, 7-027, 7-030, 7-031, 7-032, 7-033, 7-036, 7-040, 7-049, 7-055
Albany Marine Inc v South Loyal Shipping Inc (The Ville de Titana) [1994] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 541, QBD (Comm) .....	5-222
Albon (t/a NA Carriage Co) v Naza Motor Trading Sdn Bhd [2007] EWHC 665 (Ch); [2007] 2 All E.R. 1075; [2007] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 1, Ch D .....	7-011, 7-025, 7-030, 7-032, 7-046, 7-055, 7-058, 7-060
Alfred C Toepfer International GmbH v Molino Boschi Srl [1996] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 510; [1996] C.L.C. 738; [1997] I.L.Pr. 133, QBD (Comm) .....	2-105
Alfred C Toepfer International GmbH v Societe Cargill France; sub nom. Toepfer International GmbH v Societe Cargill France [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 379; [1998] C.L.C. 198, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-022, 2-027, 2-105
Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v RMG Electrical [1998] A.D.R.L.J. 33 .....	2-047
Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v Unex Corp, [1994] 70 B.L.R. 26; [1994] N.P.C. 16, CA (Civ Div) .....	3-015, 6-184

Ali Shipping Corp v Shipyard Trogir [1999] 1 W.L.R. 314; [1998] 2 All E.R. 136; [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 643; [1998] C.L.C. 566, CA (Civ Div) .....	5-182, 5-183, 5-187, 5-188, 5-189, 5-190
Allianz Versicherungs AG v Fortuna Co Inc (The Baltic Universal); sub nom. Versicherungs AG v Fortuna Co Inc [1999] 1 W.L.R. 2117; [1999] 2 All E.R. 625; [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 497; [1999] C.L.C. 258, QBD (Comm) .....	5-022
Allied Marine Transport v Vale do Rio Doce Navegacao SA (The Leonidas D); Vale do Rio Doce Navegacao SA v Ocean Freighters Corp [1985] 1 W.L.R. 925; [1985] 2 All E.R. 796; [1985] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 18; (1985) 82 L.S.G. 2160; (1985) 129 S.J. 431, CA (Civ Div) .....	5-229
Allied Vision Ltd v VPS Film Entertainment GmbH [1991] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 392, QBD (Comm) .....	2-016, 2-073, 2-105, 5-064, 5-069, 7-143
Almare Societa di Navigazione SpA v Derby & Co Ltd (The Almare Prima) [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 376, QBD (Comm) .....	2-004, 2-073
Alphapoint Shipping Ltd v Rotem Amfert Negev Ltd (The Agios Dimitrios) [2004] EWHC 2232; [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 23, QBD (Comm) .....	8-151
Amalgamated Metal Corp v Khoon Seng Co [1977] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 310, HL .....	5-090
Amec Civil Engineering Ltd v Secretary of State for Transport [2005] EWCA Civ 291; [2005] 1 W.L.R. 2339; [2005] B.L.R. 227; 101 Con. L.R. 26; (2005) 21 Const. L.J. 640; [2005] 12 E.G. 219 (C.S.); (2005) 102(20) L.S.G. 30, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-002, 2-033, 5-003, 8-071
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co v Abbott Laboratories [2002] EWHC 2714; [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 267; [2004] Lloyd's Rep. I.R. 815, QBD (Comm) .....	2-047
Anangel Peace Compania Naviera SA v Bacchus International Commerce Corp (The Anangel Peace) [1981] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 452, QBD (Comm) .....	5-094, 6-076
Andre et Cie SA v Marine Transocean Ltd (The Splendid Sun) [1981] Q.B. 694; [1981] 3 W.L.R. 43; [1981] 2 All E.R. 993; [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 29; [1981] Com. L.R. 95; (1981) 125 S.J. 395, CA (Civ Div) .....	5-229
Andrews & Bredshaw [2000] B.L.R. 6 .....	4-058
Angelic Grace, The. See Aggeliki Charis Compania Maritima SA v Pagnan SpA (The Angelic Grace)	
Anglia Oils Ltd v Owners and/or Demise Charterers of the Marine Champion [2002] EWHC 2407, QBD (Admlty) .....	2-012, 7-025
Annefield, The. See Owners of the Annefield v Owners of Cargo Lately Laden on Board the Annefield	
Anon (1468) Y.B., 8 Edw. IV, fo 1, p.1 .....	1-008
Anonymous Greek Co of General Insurances v AIG Europe (UK) Ltd. See AIG Europe (UK) Ltd v Ethnik	
Antaios Compania Naviera SA v Salen Rederierna AB (The Antaios) [1985] A.C. 191; [1984] 3 W.L.R. 592; [1984] 3 All E.R. 229; [1984] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 235; (1984) 81 L.S.G. 2776; (1984) 128 S.J. 564, HL ...	1-015, 1-050, 8-137, 8-138, 8-160
Antelizo Shipping Corp v Food Corp of India (The Antelizo) [1992] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 558, CA (Civ Div) .....	6-125
Apis AS v Fantazia Kereskedelmi KFT (No.1) [2001] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 348, QBD (Comm) .....	8-006, 8-009
Arab African Energy Corp v Olie Producten Nederland BV [1983] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 419; [1983] Com. L.R. 195, QBD (Comm) .....	2-063
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim (No.3) [1991] 2 A.C. 114; [1991] 2 W.L.R. 729; [1991] 1 All E.R. 871; [1991] B.C.C. 180; (1991) 135 S.J.L.B. 311, HL .....	3-039
Arab National Bank v El-Abdali [2004] EWHC 2381; [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 541, QBD (Comm) ....	1-040, 2-100, 2-101, 2-102, 7-148, 7-150, 7-152, 8-089, 8-099, 8-113
Arab National Bank v Registrar of Companies [2005] EWHC 3047, Ch D .....	6-072
Arbitration between Hohenzollern Actien Gesellschaft fur Locomotivban and the City of London Contract Copr., Re (1886) 54 L.T. 596 .....	2-077

Arbitration between the Owners of the Steamship Catalina and the Owners of the Motor Vessel Norma, Re (1938) 61 Ll. L. Rep. 360, KBD .....	4-108
Arduina Holdings BV v Celtic Resources Holdings Plc [2006] EWHC 3155 (Comm), QBD (Comm) .....	8-082, 8-085, 8-110
Arenson v Arenson. See Arenson v Casson Beckman Rutley & Co	
Arenson v Casson Beckman Rutley & Co; sub nom. Arenson v Arenson [1977] A.C. 405; [1975] 3 W.L.R. 815; [1975] 3 All E.R. 901; [1976] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 179; (1975) 119 S.J. 810, HL .....	1-012, 2-029, 2-031, 4-004
Argonaut Insurance Co v Republic Insurance Co [2003] EWHC 547, QBD (Comm) .....	4-110, 4-124
Armar Shipping Co v Caisse Algerienne d'Assurance et de Reassurance (The Armar) [1981] 1 W.L.R. 207; [1981] 1 All E.R. 498; [1980] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 450, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-104
Armitage v Walker (1855) 2 Kay & J. 211 .....	6-100
Arnold v National Westminster Bank Plc (No.1) [1991] 2 A.C. 93; [1991] 2 W.L.R. 1177; [1991] 3 All E.R. 41; (1991) 62 P. & C.R. 490; [1991] 2 E.G.L.R. 109; [1991] 30 E.G. 57; [1991] E.G. 49 (C.S.); (1991) 135 S.J. 574, HL .....	6-176
Ascot Commodities NV v Olam International Ltd [2002] C.L.C. 277, QBD (Comm) .....	6-032, 6-082, 8-082
Asghar v Legal Services Commission [2004] EWHC 1803; The Times, August 5, 2004, Ch D .....	2-004, 2-070, 2-075, 2-080, 7-036, 7-041
Ashmore v Corp of Lloyd's [1992] 1 W.L.R. 446; [1992] 2 All E.R. 486; [1992] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 1; (1992) 136 S.J.L.B. 113, HL .....	A2-050
Ashville Investments Ltd v Elmer Contractors Ltd; sub nom. Elmer Contractors Ltd v Ashville Investments Ltd [1989] Q.B. 488; [1988] 3 W.L.R. 867; [1988] 2 All E.R. 577; [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 73 (Note); (1987) 3 Const. L.J. 193; (1988) 132 S.J. 1553, CA (Civ Div) .....	1-033, 2-070, 2-075, 2-076, 2-077, 2-078, 2-079, 2-081, 6-114
Aspel v Seymour [1929] W.N. 152 .....	3-018
Assimina Maritime Ltd v Pakistan National Shipping Corp (The Tasman Spirit) [2004] EWHC 3005; [2005] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 460; [2005] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 525; [2005] 2 C.L.C. 448, QBD (Comm) .....	7-197, 7-192, 7-193, 7-203, 7-204
Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Ltd v European Reinsurance Co of Zurich [2003] UKPC 11; [2003] 1 W.L.R. 1041; [2003] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 253; [2003] 2 C.L.C. 340; (2003) 100(11) L.S.G. 31; (2003) 147 S.J.L.B. 148m, PC (Ber) .....	1-010, 5-182, 5-183, 5-188, 6-163, 6-176, 8-015
Astel-Reiniger Joint Venture v Argos Engineering and Heavy Industries Co Ltd (1995) A.D.L.R.J. 41 .....	2-053
Astra Insurance v Sphere Drake, May 17, 2000 .....	8-067
Astro Venturoso Compania Naviera v Hellenic Shipyards SA (The Mariannina) [1983] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 12, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-093
Astro Vencedor Compania Naviera SA of Panama v Mabanaft GmbH (The Damianos) [1971] 2 Q.B. 588; [1971] 3 W.L.R. 24; [1971] 2 All E.R. 1301; [1971] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 502; (1971) 115 S.J. 284, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-068, 2-075
Athletic Union of Constantinople (AEK) v National Basketball Association [2002] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 70; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 305, QBD (Comm) .....	2-016
Atlanska Plovidba v Consignaciones Asturianas SA (The Lapad) [2004] EWHC 1273; [2004] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 109;	
Atlantic Underwriting Agencies Ltd and David Gale (Underwriting) Ltd v Compania di Assicurazione di Milano SpA [1979] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 240, QBD (Comm) .....	2-093
Atlas Levante Linie AG v Gesellschaft Fuer Getriedehandel AB (The Phonizien) [1966] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 150, QBD (Comm) .....	2-056
Attorney General of New Zealand v Mobil Oil N2 Ltd [1989] 2 N.Z.L.R. 649 ...	1-037
Aughton Ltd (formerly Aughton Group Ltd) v MF Kent Services Ltd, 57 B.L.R. 1; 31 Con. L.R. 60CA (Civ Div) .....	A2-09, 2-046, 2-047, 2-053, 2-056

Autothreptic Steam Boiler Co Ltd and Townsend Hook & Co's Arbitration, Re (1888) L.R. 21 Q.B.D. 182, QBD .....	6-130, 6-131
Azov Shipping Co v Baltic Shipping Co (No.1) [1999] 1 All E.R. 476; [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 68; [1998] C.L.C. 1240, QBD (Comm) .....	5-064, 8-067
Azov Shipping Co v Baltic Shipping Co (No.2) [1999] 1 All E.R. (Comm.) 716; [1999] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 39; [1999] C.L.C. 624, QBD (Comm) .....	8-206
BEA Hotels NV v Bellway LLC [2007] EWHC 1363 (Comm), QBD (Comm) ...	2-112, 8-061
BLCT (13096) Ltd v J Sainsbury Plc [2003] EWCA Civ 884; [2004] 1 C.L.C. 24; [2004] 2 P. & C.R. 3; (2003) 147 S.J.L.B. 815, CA (Civ Div) .....	1-039, 8-149
BMBF (No.12) Ltd v Harland & Wolff Shipbuilding & Heavy Industries Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 862; [2001] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 385; [2001] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 227; [2001] C.L.C. 1552, CA (Civ Div) .....	5-087, 6-020, 8-164
BNP Paribas v Deloitte & Touche LLP [2003] EWHC 2874; [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 233; [2004] 1 C.L.C. 530; [2004] B.L.R. 90; (2003) 153 N.L.J. 1841, QBD (Comm) .....	7-204
Babanaft International Co SA v Avanti Petroleum Inc (The Oltenia); sub nom. Babanaft International Co SA v Avant Petroleum Inc [1982] 1 W.L.R. 871; [1982] 3 All E.R. 244; [1982] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 99; [1982] Com. L.R. 104; [1983] E.C.C. 365; (1982) 79 L.S.G. 953; (1982) 126 S.J. 361, CA (Civ Div) ....	7-073, 7-074, 7-172
Bakwin Eire International Trading Co Inc v Sothebys, November 22, 2005, QBD ...	7-054
Bandwith Shipping Corp v Intaari (A Firm) [2006] EWHC 2532, QBD (Comm) ....	4-106, 5-050, 6-082, 8-073, 8-080, 8-085
Bangladesh Chemical Industries Corp v Henry Stephens Shipping Co and Tex-Bilan Shipping Co (The SLS Everest) [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 389; [1981] Com. L.R. 176, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-093
Bank Mellat v GAA Development Construction Co Ltd [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 44; [1988] F.T.L.R. 409, QBD (Comm) .....	6-050
Bank Mellat v Helleniki Techniki SA [1984] Q.B. 291; [1983] 3 W.L.R. 783; [1983] 3 All E.R. 428; [1983] Com. L.R. 273; (1983) 133 N.L.J. 597; (1983) 127 S.J. 618, CA (Civ Div) .....	2-100, 2-102, 5-057
Bankers Trust Co v PT Jakarta International Hotels and Development [1999] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 785; [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 910, QBD (Comm) .....	7-015
Barnes v Braithwaite and Nixon (1857) 2 H. & N. 569 .....	4-064
Baron v Sunderland Corp [1966] 2 Q.B. 56; [1966] 2 W.L.R. 363; [1966] 1 All E.R. 349; 64 L.G.R. 86; (1965) 109 S.J. 1029, CA .....	2-017
Bay Hotel and Resort Ltd v Cavalier Construction Co Ltd [2001] UKPC 34, PC (TCI) .....	1-051, 2-061, 2-102, 3-047, 6-029, 6-032
Baytur SA v Finagro Holdings SA [1992] Q.B. 610; [1991] 3 W.L.R. 866; [1991] 4 All E.R. 129; [1992] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 134; (1991) 135 S.J.L.B. 52, CA (Civ Div) .....	3-008, 3-017, 3-018, 3-020, 3-031
Beattie v E&F Beattie Ltd [1938] Ch. 708; [1938] 3 All E.R. 214, CA .....	2-051
Beaufort Developments (NI) Ltd v Gilbert-Ash (NI) Ltd [1999] 1 A.C. 266; [1998] 2 W.L.R. 860; [1998] 2 All E.R. 778; [1998] N.I. 144; [1998] C.L.C. 830; (1998) 14 Const. L.J. 280; [1998] E.G. 85 (C.S.); (1998) 95(24) L.S.G. 33; (1998) 95(31) L.S.G. 34; (1998) 148 N.L.J. 869; (1998) 142 S.J.L.B. 172; [1998] N.P.C. 93; [1998] N.P.C. 91, HL (NI) .....	2-085
Beck v Sargent (1812) 4 Taunt. 232 .....	6-059
Beck Peppiatt Ltd v Norwest Holst Construction Ltd [2003] EWHC 822; [2003] B.L.R. 316, QBD (TCC) .....	5-003
Beegas Nominees Ltd v Decco [2003] EWHC 1891; [2003] 3 E.G.L.R. 25; [2003] 43 E.G. 138; [2003] 33 E.G. 63 (C.S.); (2003) 153 N.L.J. 1271; (2003) 147 S.J.L.B. 1086; [2003] N.P.C. 103, Ch D .....	7-167
Belgravia Property Co Ltd v S&R (London) Ltd [2001] C.L.C. 1626; [2001] B.L.R. 424; (2003) 19 Const. L.J. 36, QBD (TCC) .....	6-182, 7-157