



# The Making of Labour Law in Europe

Edited by Bob Hepple

A Comparative Study of  
Nine Countries up to 1945

# The Making of Labour Law in Europe

A Comparative Study of Nine Countries  
up to 1945

Edited by Bob Hepple

MANSELL PUBLISHING LIMITED

LONDON AND NEW YORK

Published in North America (US and Canada) by  
Hart Publishing  
c/o International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213-3786  
USA  
Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190  
Fax: +1 503 280 8832  
E-mail: [orders@isbs.com](mailto:orders@isbs.com)  
Website: <http://www.isbs.com>

© Bob Hepple and the Contributors, 1986

First published and printed in 1986 by Mansell Publishing Ltd,  
Reprinted by Hart Publishing in 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, OX1 2JW  
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710  
E-mail: [mail@hartpub.co.uk](mailto:mail@hartpub.co.uk)  
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-820-6

Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
Lightning Source UK, Ltd

STUDIES IN LABOUR  
AND SOCIAL LAW

GENERAL EDITORS

BOB HEPPLÉ

Professor of English Law in the University of London, at University College

PAUL O'HIGGINS

Regius Professor of Laws, Trinity College, University of Dublin

The Making of Labour Law in Europe

## THE AUTHORS

- BOB HEPPLE Professor of English Law in the University of London, at University College
- ANTOINE JACOBS Formerly Lecturer in Social Law and Social Policy at the University of Tilburg
- THILO RAMM Professor of Civil Law and Labour Law at the Distance University, Hagen
- BRUNO VENEZIANI Professor of Labour Law and Comparative Trade Union Law in the University of Bari
- ELIANE VOGEL-POLSKY Professor of Labour Law and Comparative Labour Law at the University of Brussels
- With the assistance of:*
- OLE HASSELBALCH Professor of Private and Commercial Law at Århus School of Economics
- JEAN-CLAUDE JAVILLIER Professor of Labour Law and Director of the Centre for Comparative Labour Law and Social Security at the University of Bordeaux-I

## PREFACE

This comparative study has been a long time in the making. In 1978 Thilo Ramm prepared an outline and recruited a group of legal scholars who each prepared a national report in response to an agreed questionnaire. The reports covered the then Member States of the EEC: Belgium (Eliane Vogel-Polsky), Britain and Ireland (Bob Hepple), Denmark (Ole Hasselbalch), France (Jean-Claude Javillier), Germany (Thilo Ramm), Italy (Bruno Veneziani) and the Netherlands (Antoine Jacobs), and account was also taken of Luxembourg. These reports were discussed at a meeting in Bad Schlangenbad in December 1979, and individuals were then assigned to draft the separate chapters. Drafts were discussed at a series of further meetings in Canterbury (September 1980), Bari (December 1980), Bordeaux (September 1981), Vught (May 1982) and Bari (May 1985). The drafts were rewritten in English by Bob Hepple, who also edited the book as a whole and prepared the various appendices. The book is thus a co-operative effort, although individuals have accepted ultimate responsibility for particular chapters.

Our purpose, more fully explained in the Introduction, has been to explain the origins of, and the relationships between, the labour laws of nine European countries in their formative period from the beginning of the industrial revolution until 1945, from the viewpoint of comparative law. This is not a 'history' in the traditional sense, but it will be obvious that we have drawn extensively on the writings of historians, sociologists and other social scientists as well as many now forgotten law books. We run the risk of being criticised by the historians for inadequate narrative, by the sociologists for a preoccupation with rules and institutions and by the lawyers for too little technical detail. But the subject has been sadly neglected, and we shall be satisfied if we stimulate a broader perspective in comparative law and in the study of comparative labour relations. We hope that our colleagues in Member States which joined the EEC after this study was begun, and elsewhere, will match their national experiences against the trends discussed in this book. The study could also now be carried forward into the period since 1945.

The book is likely to be used by students who have relatively little knowledge of the development of the constitutions, legal systems, political parties and trade unions of all the countries covered by this study. For their benefit we have included a short guide on these matters (Appendix I), a chronology of labour law from 1789 to 1945 (Appendix II) and comparative statistics (Appendix III). The selection of documents (Appendix IV), which has had to be limited for reasons of space, makes available in English a few examples of the diversity of labour laws in this period. The Bibliography (Appendix V) includes not only works from which direct quotations will be found in the text, but also a wide range of other publications on which the authors have relied.

*London*  
*September 1985*

B.H.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Ole Hasselbalch for providing information on Denmark, for participating in all the meetings and commenting on the various drafts. We also thank Jean-Claude Javillier for preparing a report on France and participating in four of the meetings, including one at Bordeaux which he arranged. We gratefully acknowledge the help of the following: Friedrich Mehrhoff and Carole Bailey for research assistance; Professor B. S. Frenkel, Professor Vic George, Dr David Nelken, Professor Paul O'Higgins, Dr Gerry Rubin, Ms Sandra Fredman and Mr J. Zeÿen for comments and advice; Dr Brian Napier for permission to draw on his unpublished thesis on the contract of service; Sarah Gale, Robert Leurs, Josephine Shaw and Christopher Williams<sup>1</sup> for translations; Ann Lewis for typing three chapters and Bernadette Hurd for typing the rest of the manuscript (presented to her in numerous illegible drafts) and in generally helping to prepare it for publication.

We acknowledge the permission of Macmillan, London and Basingstoke, to draw on statistics from *European Historical Statistics 1750-1970* by B. R. Mitchell, and from *European Political Facts 1848-1919* and *European Political Facts 1918-1973* by Chris Cook and John Paxton.

We received financial assistance from a number of sources to cover travel and some other research expenses. We express our gratitude to the British Academy; the Commission of the European Communities (grant for the development of joint programmes of study between higher institutions in the Member States); Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione (Italy); Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen (Germany); the Social Science Research Council (Britain)/Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft research exchange scheme for social scientists; and the Danish Employers' Confederation research fund.

1. Attention is drawn to the article by Christopher Williams based on his experiences in this translation, 'Towards an Italian-English Glossary of Labour Law Terminology' in *The Incorporated Linguist*, vol. 22 (1983), pp. 70-7, and the comment by Martin Weston, *ibid.*, pp. 207-11.



*We had the advice of the late Sir Otto Kahn-Freund in planning this project. With the permission of his widow, this book is dedicated to his memory.*

# CONTENTS

The Authors	xi
Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	xv
Introduction	
by <i>Bob Hepple</i>	1
Aims, Methods and Scope	1
The 'Social Question' and the Emergence of Labour Law	6
Economic Development and Industrialisation	12
The Modernisation of the State	15
The Character of the Employers' and Labour Movements	22
Ideology and the Growth of Legal Rationality in Labour Relationships	26
1 The Evolution of the Contract of Employment	
by <i>Bruno Veneziani</i>	31
Introduction	31
The Removal of Restrictions on Freedom of Contract	33
Freedom of Movement, the Right to Work and the Right of Assistance	33
The Guild System	37
Apprenticeship in the Guild System	38
Penal Law and the New Social Order	41
The Work-Book and Penal Sanctions	41
Breach of Contract and Private Law	44
Some Pre-industrial Remnants	45
Domestic Servants	45
Agricultural Labourers	47
Public Servants	50
<i>Locatio Conductio</i> and the Contract of Service	54
From Status to Contract	54
The Evolution of the <i>Locatio Conductio</i> Model in the Civil Law Systems	56
The Contract of Service in England	59

Freedom of Contract and the New Conception of Labour Law	62
Inequality of the Contracting Parties	62
The Notion of Subordination	63
The New Conception of the Contract of Employment	66
Conclusions	70
2 <i>Laissez-faire</i> and State Protection of Workers	73
by <i>Thilo Ramm</i>	
<i>Laissez-faire</i> and State Protection	73
The Model: British Protective Legislation until the First World War	77
Protection for Special Groups of Workers in Other Countries	88
Children and Young Persons	89
Women	94
Disabled Persons	96
Home-workers	97
The Protection of All Workers	99
Protection of Wages	100
Safety and Health Standards	103
The Length of the Working Day	104
The Development of State Inspection	107
General Observations	111
3 Welfare Legislation and Wage-Labour	114
by <i>Bob Hepple</i>	
Introduction	114
The Impact of the Industrial Revolution	117
The Old System of Poor Relief	117
The Economic and Social Consequences of Industrialisation	120
The Ideology of Liberalism and Individualism	121
The New Relief Schemes	122
The Civil Liability of the Employer for Accidents and Sickness	124
Mutual Aid	128
The Bismarckian Reforms in Germany	133
The Economic and Ideological Background	133
The Legislation	135
The Influence in Other Countries	137
The Development of Legislation on Accidents and Illness at Work	142
The Emergence of Specific Legislation	142
Extensions of the Legislation	144

	The Spread of Insurance	145
	The Development of Protection against Non-vocational Risks	146
	Old Age, Disability and Death	146
	Sickness and Maternity	148
	Family Support	150
	Conclusions	152
4	The Problem of Unemployment	154
	by <i>Eliane Vogel-Polsky</i>	
	Introduction	154
	Specific Policies Related to Unemployment	159
	Labour Exchanges	159
	Public Works Programmes	165
	Emigration	166
	Remedies against the Consequences of Unemployment:	
	Unemployment Insurance	172
	Protection of Workers against Dismissal	180
	General Rules	180
	Protection of Special Groups	183
	Limitations on the Right to Terminate the Contract	185
	Conclusions	189
5	Collective Self-Regulation	193
	by <i>Antoine Jacobs</i>	
	Introduction	193
	From Repression to Toleration	196
	Origins and Nature of the Bans on Combinations	196
	The Repeal of the Combination Bans	200
	Specific Trade Union Legislation	207
	Industrial Disputes and the Criminal Law	211
	From Toleration to Recognition	215
	The Rise of Modern Trade Unions	215
	The Growth of Employers' Associations	222
	The Movement towards Recognition	223
	Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration	229
	Collective Agreements and the Law	232
	Industrial Disputes and the Civil Law	237
	Conclusions	240
6	Workers' Participation, the Representation of Labour and	
	Special Labour Courts	242
	by <i>Thilo Ramm</i>	
	The Problem	242

Plant Level	243
Regional and National Representation of Labour	260
Approaches towards a Comprehensive System	266
Special Labour Courts	270
General Observations	274
7 Epilogue: The New Ordering of Labour Law 1918–45 by <i>Thilo Ramm</i>	277
The Structure of Labour Law before the First World War	277
The Establishment of International Labour Law	279
The Democratic States and Labour Law	285
The Totalitarian States and Labour Law	289
The Second World War and Labour Law	296

## APPENDICES

I The Countries: A Short Guide	301
Belgium	301
Britain	304
Denmark	309
France	311
Germany	315
Ireland	321
Italy	323
Luxembourg	326
The Netherlands	327
II Chronology of Labour Law 1789–1945	330
III Statistics	360
1 Population at Censuses	360
2 Emigration from Europe by Decades	361
3 Economically Active Population by Major Industrial Groups	362
IV Documents	367
1 A Joiner's Work-Book Issued in Paris by the Prefect of Police (1829)	367
2 The 'Document' Used in the Builders' Lock-out in London (1859)	368
3 Extracts from an Act to Regulate the Labour of Chil-	

	dren and Young Persons in the Mills and Factories of the United Kingdom, 29 August 1833	368
4	An Extract from the Highest Imperial Message to the German Reichstag Given on the 17 November 1881	376
5	<i>Loi Le Chapelier</i> (France), Decree of 14–17 June 1791	376
6	Penal Code of 13 March 1810 (France), Articles 414–415	378
7	Law of 25 May 1864 (France)	378
8	<i>La Loi Waldeck-Rousseau</i> , 21 March 1884 (France)	379
9	The Matignon Agreement, 7 June 1936 (France)	380
10	The Programme of the Conseil National de la Résistance (CNR) Resolved at the Plenary Session on 13 March 1944 and called ' <i>Le Programme d'Action de la Résistance</i> ' (France)	381
11	The September Agreement Between the Danish Employers' Association and the Danish Federation of Labour, 15 September 1899	383
12	The Weimar Constitution, 11 August 1919, Articles 157, 159, 165	385
	Bibliography	387
	Index	403

# INTRODUCTION

Bob Hepple

## AIMS, METHODS AND SCOPE

The title of this book uses the word 'making' in order to underline the theme that labour law is part of a *process*. This theme stands in opposition to conceptions of labour law as a relatively static and neutral set of rules and institutions which regulate employment. The authors share the view that the rules and institutions are shaped by the historically given possibilities within which various sectional groups pursue their often conflicting objectives. Labour law is made by men and women in a society not of their own making.

This idea is far from being a new one. In recent years it has influenced, either explicitly or implicitly, a number of studies of national labour laws. However, save in the hands of a few masters of the craft, such as Kahn-Freund, comparative studies have tended to concentrate on narrow topics within a very limited time-frame. Sometimes there is a brief 'historical introduction', but then all ideas of process are forgotten as the author becomes immersed in the ephemeral details of the present. Much of comparative labour law remains in the age of still photographs and is only beginning to discover motion pictures.

What this book seeks to contribute to the understanding of labour law as part of a process, is an explanation of the relationships between the development of labour laws in a number of European countries, in the formative stages of those laws from the start of the Industrial Revolution until the end of the Second World War. Those relationships are of two main kinds. The first is the direct historical relationship of legal transplants. The second is the 'inner' social, economic and political relationship of parallel developments in different countries.

The adaptation of the model of nineteenth-century British factory legislation by other countries was described by Stephan Bauer (1924, p. 401) as being at least as important for humanity as the reception of

the Roman law of property and obligations. Bauer (1865–1934) was Secretary of the International Association for Statutory Workers' Protection, founded in 1901, and Director of the International Labour Office (ILO), which became an organ of the League of Nations in 1919. His claim was extravagant, not least because the British Acts cannot be compared with the Romanistic legal techniques which promoted national civil laws (see Ch. 2, below). But his analogy serves to emphasise the historical relationship between the labour laws of different countries.

Many other examples will be found in the pages of this book. These include the extraordinary influence of the *Code Napoléon* in the development of contractual ideas of the employment relationship in Belgium, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Italy and the German territories west of the Rhine, long after the withdrawal of French occupation (see Ch. 1, below); the spread of Bismarckian-style schemes of compulsory insurance to the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Britain and later to most other European countries (see Ch. 3, below); the emulation in Britain of the German system of labour exchanges; the popularity of the Belgian (Ghent) system of publicly subsidised voluntary unemployment insurance in the Netherlands, Germany, Italy and France; and the inspiration given by Article 84 of the Weimar Republic's Works Councils Act of 1920 to the concept of unjustified dismissal throughout Europe (see Ch. 4, below). The internationalisation of labour law, especially since the foundation of the ILO, has accelerated this process of 'harmonisation upwards'. The ILO's conventions and recommendations are based on the practice of leading countries and have had an important influence on national developments (see Ch. 7, below).

The point is that many rules of national systems of labour law are either derived from or have been strongly influenced by other systems. The generalisation that 'borrowing (with adaptation) has been the usual way of legal development' (Watson, 1974, p. 7) is at least as true for labour law as it is for other branches of law. These borrowings prompt the question: Do transplantations survive, and if so, under what circumstances and with what adaptations? In considering this question, two theoretical insights are useful. The first belongs to Renner (1949): the same rule can have different functions at different times dependent upon changes in material society. To this Watson adds a comparatist's rider, that 'a rule transplanted from one country to another . . . may equally operate to different effect in the two societies, even though it is expressed in apparently similar terms in the two countries' (1974, p. 20). An example from the present study (see Ch. 1, below) is the material change in function of the Romanistic concept of *locatio conductio* (letting and hire) in the civil law countries to



support the employer's power of subordination and control of dependent workers in large-scale capitalist enterprises.

A second insight belongs to Kahn-Freund (1974): while the transplantation of rules directly regulating the individual employment relationship may be relatively straightforward, rules and institutions relating to collective labour relations are usually too closely connected with the structure and organisation of political and social power in a particular environment to be successfully imported elsewhere. The emergence of trade unions from illegality was a series of parallel developments rather than the adoption of 'models'; the timing and extent of the repeal of the bans on combinations and strikes was heavily influenced by national features such as the ideology and strength of the workers' movements, the stage at which the working-class was enfranchised and the power enjoyed by the judiciary within the different legal traditions of the common law and civil law systems. Moreover, there were different routes to trade union recognition, through positive legal rights in some countries such as Germany (1918–23) and France (1936–39), but by negative immunities and voluntary methods in Britain and Denmark (see Ch. 5, below). This did not, however, preclude foreign influences on specific matters. For example, German and French ideas moulded the law of collective agreements in the Netherlands (see Ch. 5, below), and Luxembourg copied the German law on works councils (see Ch. 6, below).

Historical relationships of the kind described are the most obvious form of connection between legal systems, including labour law. A second, far more complex object of comparative study is what has been described as the 'inner relationship' between systems (Watson, 1974, p. 8). At times the search for such a relationship has rested upon the belief 'now regarded as invalid . . . that mankind, with its common psyche, follows the same path of development in everything regardless of location or race' (Zweigert and Kötz, 1977, p. 8). The idea that a natural relationship exists between all early legal systems and that each system follows the same process of development until special national characteristics are imprinted upon it, was influential in the nineteenth century, through the writings of legal anthropologists such as Sir Henry Maine (1861). As recently as 1933 Edouard Lambert could write that the comparative history of law endeavoured to 'bring out . . . the rhythms or natural laws of the succession of social phenomena which directs the evolution of legal institutions' (1933, p. 127). This approach still dominates some contemporary theories of labour law, such as the claim that there is a universal trend in democratic industrial societies towards 'juridification' (Simitis, 1984), and the much-criticised convergence thesis that the 'logic of industrialism' (i.e. the structural