

INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION LAW SERIES

Landmark Cases in Competition Law

Around the World in Fourteen Stories

Edited by
Barry Rodger



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Landmark Cases in Competition Law

Around the World in Fourteen Stories

Edited by

Barry Rodger



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Published by:
Kluwer Law International
PO Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:
Aspen Publishers, Inc.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@aspenpublishers.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:
Turpin Distribution Services Ltd
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-3843-9

© 2013 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

DISCLAIMER: The material in this publication is in the nature of general comment only. It is not offered as advice on any particular matter and should not be taken as such. The authors expressly disclaim all liability to any person with regard to anything done or omitted to be done, and with respect to the consequences of anything done or omitted to be done wholly or partly in reliance on the basis of any matter contained in this volume without first obtaining professional advice regarding the particular facts and circumstances at issue. Any and all opinions expressed herein are those of the particular author, they are not necessarily those of the publisher of this volume and they do not reflect the views of any institution or organization.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA. Email: permissions@kluwerlaw.com

Printed and Bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY.

Editor and List of Contributors

EDITOR

Professor Barry Rodger has been an academic at Strathclyde University Law School since 1993 and has been a Professor there since 2001. Professor Rodger has published widely in competition law (and international private law). His co-authored textbook (with A MacCulloch) *Competition Law and Policy in the EC and UK* (Cavendish) is in its fourth edition and he has published numerous articles in journals such as the European Competition Law Review, Columbia Journal of European Law, The Common Market Law Review, the Juridical Review, the Irish Journal of European Law and World Competition. Many of his recent publications have focused on private enforcement of competition law including his comprehensive studies of all competition-related litigation in the UK courts (ECLR 2006, GCLR 2009), the Kluwer Law International book *Competition Law and Article 234: An Analysis* (2008) which focuses on all competition law Article 234 preliminary rulings, and an empirical study of competition law litigation settlements in the UK between 2000–2005 (2008 ECLR). He is currently coordinating an AHRC-funded European-wide research project into comparative private enforcement and collective redress in the EU (www.clcpecreu.co.uk). Professor Rodger is a member of the organizing committee of the Scottish Competition Law Forum and is the Vice-Chair of the Competition Law Scholars' Forum (www.clasf.org) and co-editor of the Competition Law Review.

CONTRIBUTORS

Dr Caron Beaton-Wells is a Professor at the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne and the Director of the University of Melbourne's Competition Law & Economics Network. She also directs the Law School's masters programme in competition and consumer law. She has published extensively in the area, including *Australian Cartel Regulation: Law, Policy and Practice in an International Context* (Cambridge University Press, 2011), with Professor Brent Fisse, and *Criminalising*

Cartels: Critical Studies of an International Regulatory Movement (Hart, 2011), with Dr Ariel Ezrachi. She is a member of various academic boards and centres and has acted as an advisor to international bodies such as the OECD and the International Competition Network. Caron practised as a barrister for ten years prior to becoming an academic.

Professor Paula A. Forgioni is Full Professor of Commercial Law and Head of the Commercial Law Department at University of São Paulo Law School. She has written one of the most quoted books about antitrust in Brazil '*Fundamentos do Antitruste*' and also published many articles in the same field. She also undertakes research more broadly in relation to business law, commercial contracts and corporations. She practises as a lawyer and arbitrator.

Maira Yuriko Rocha Miura is a lawyer in Brazil and specializes in business law, with an emphasis on antitrust law and arbitration. She holds a Masters in Commercial Law from the University of São Paulo Law School (USP) and graduated in Law from the same institution. She also has a degree in Business Administration from Escola de Administração de Empresas de São Paulo with specialization in business strategy and corporate finance (EAESP-FGV).

Edward M. Iacobucci (B.A. (Hons.) (Queen's) 1991; M.Phil. (Oxon.) 1993 (Rhodes Scholar, Ontario); LL.B. (Toronto) 1996,) is Osler Chair in Business Law and Professor of Law at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, and Associate Dean, Research. He started at the Faculty of Law in 1998 and has visited law schools at New York University, University of Chicago, Columbia University and University of Virginia. His areas of interest include corporate law, competition law, and law and economics more generally.

Dr Sebastian Peyer is a Post Doctoral Research Fellow with the ESRC Centre for Competition Policy at the University of East Anglia. He holds a degree in Law from Potsdam University (2004), an LLM in Competition Policy (2008) and a Ph.D. (2011) from the University of East Anglia. Sebastian has worked extensively on private antitrust enforcement conducting empirical studies, analysing and comparing German, English and US antitrust enforcement rules. He is also interested in comparative law, litigation systems and class actions. For past projects he has also undertaken research in relation to consumer protection and merger control.

Dr Arianna Andreangeli is a Lecturer in Competition law at Edinburgh Law School, University of Edinburgh. Her research interests lie in the area of EU and domestic competition law, both procedural and substantive: she has written widely on questions of due process and human rights' protection in the context of competition enforcement (*EU Competition enforcement and human rights*, 2008; Cheltenham, E Elgar) and on the application of the EU competition rules to the behaviour of undertakings active in innovative markets. She commented on the Microsoft case on the Common Market Law Review [(2008) 44(3) 863] and wrote on the issues of interoperability and Article 102 TFEU for the European Law Review [(2009) 34(4) 584].

Dr Katalin Cseres is associate professor of law at the European Law Department of the University of Amsterdam and a research fellow, both at the Amsterdam Centre

for Law & Economics (ACLE) and at the Amsterdam Centre for European Law and Governance (ACELG). Her main fields of interest include competition law and economic regulation, the role of consumers in market regulation, law enforcement and institutional economics. Her publications deal with the interplay between consumer law and competition law and the institutional and economic angles of economic regulation and law enforcement. She investigates these research subjects in the dynamic framework of European law and national law, with a special interest in the legal and institutional developments of Central and Eastern European countries.

Dr Pál Szilagyi (Ph.D., LL.M.) is the Director of the Competition Law Research Centre in Hungary. He is a lecturer at the Pázmány Péter Catholic University (Faculty of Law and Political Sciences and the Faculty of Humanities) where he teaches competition law and European law. Pal obtained postgraduate diplomas from King's College London and University of Cambridge and an LL.M. in Competition Law at King's College London. He is the director of the postgraduate diploma in competition law programme at PPCU and is the author of several articles and book chapters in Hungarian and English on competition law and European Law.

Dr Michele Carpagano holds a Degree in Law from Trento University (2003) and a Ph.D. cum laude in EU and Private Comparative Law from Trento University and Autonoma University of Madrid (2008). He teaches EU Competition Law at Trento University, Faculty of Law and is Co-Director of the Osservatorio Permanente sull'Applicazione delle Regole di Concorrenza, a research centre created by Trento University aimed at fostering private antitrust enforcement knowledge. He is an Associate of Law Firm Gianni, Origoni, Grippo, Cappelli & Partners, based in the Rome office, and is a member of the Rome Bar. His practice focuses on Italian and EU competition law and its enforcement. Dr Carpagano is author of several articles on antitrust law and has co-authored four books on private antitrust litigation in Italy.

Dr Simon Vande Walle is a JSPS research fellow at the University of Tokyo. As a former litigator working in a major law firm in Brussels, he takes a particular interest in private enforcement of antitrust law and recently authored *Private Antitrust Litigation in the European Union and Japan- A comparative perspective* (Maklu Publishers). He obtained his doctor of law degree from Kyushu University with a thesis on this topic and has published on competition law, civil litigation and comparative law. He studied law at Leuven University in Belgium (LL.B., LL.M.), and at Université Jean-Moulin Lyon III, France (Erasmus), Kyushu University, Japan (LL.M.) and Georgetown University Law Center, US (LL.M.).

Dr Anna Gerbrandy is Associate Professor in Public Economic Law at the Europa Institute of Utrecht University School of Law. After graduating, she practised as a lawyer and worked at the Competition Law Chamber of the District Court of Rotterdam. In 2009 she obtained her doctorate with a dissertation awarded *cum laude* on 'Convergence in Competition Law'. Dr Gerbrandy regularly publishes in national and international journals on topics relating to the broad area of public economic law, including substantive and procedural law and the interplay between national and European levels of regulation. She is editor of the *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Europees*

Recht and holds a position as Deputy Judge at the Appeals Tribunal for Tariffs and Trade.

Eva Lachnit LL.M. is a Ph.D. candidate at Utrecht University School of Law, writing a thesis on alternative public enforcement of competition law, which includes comparative research on enforcement practices in the Netherlands, the UK and Italy. She received her Masters degrees from Utrecht University in both Corporate Law and European Law (*cum laude*). Eva Lachnit is a contributor to the Dutch academic Journal SEW on European and Economic Law, has written case notes in the field of competition law and most recently has contributed to a book on the protection of human rights after the Lisbon Treaty.

Professor Rex Ahdar received his LL.B. (Hons) and LL.M. from the University of Canterbury and his Ph.D. from Otago University. He is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Otago, where he has taught since 1985. He has been a Fulbright Senior Research Scholar at Boalt Hall School of Law, UC Berkeley and a Visiting Scholar at the Department of Private Law, Edinburgh University and Emory University Law School. He is the editor of *Competition Law and Policy in New Zealand* (Sydney: Law Book Co, 1991) and has published numerous articles on competition law in journals such as The Antitrust Bulletin, Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, European Competition Law Review, Utilities Law Review, Journal of International Franchising and Distribution Law, Australian Business Law Review, and the Competition & Consumer Law Journal.

Professor Philip Sutherland has a BComm and LLB degree from the University of Stellenbosch and a Ph.D. from the University of Edinburgh. He worked as an assistant to Professor Reinhard Zimmermann at the University of Regensburg in Germany and returned to South Africa in 1997. He has been a Professor of Mercantile Law at the University of Stellenbosch, South Africa, since 2004. He teaches and researches in the areas of corporate, competition and financial services law. He has published more than thirty articles and chapters in South African and international journals and books. He is also the co-author of the book, *Competition Law of South Africa*. His current research focus is on alternative enforcement methods for regulatory institutions in the area of commercial law and the impact of human rights on commercial law.

Professor Francisco Marcos is an expert in economic analysis of law and commercial law. He teaches law at IE Business School, having studied as a Fulbright scholar (1994–1995) in University of California in Berkeley and having enjoyed a scholarship for the Real Colegio di Spagna (1997–1998) in Bologna (Italy). Professor Marcos holds a law degree from Universidad de Oviedo, an LL.M. from Berkeley and a Ph.D. from the University of Bologna. He worked as a lawyer in PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, and currently he serves as the Client and Users' Ombudsman at SGAE, the main copyright collecting society for composers and music publishers in Spain. He also works as an independent consultant, advising governments, companies and firms on different matters in the area of market regulation and antitrust law. In October 2006 he was appointed General Director of Competition Policy at the Regional Antitrust Authority in Madrid, stepping down from that position in September 2009. He is member of the Editorial Board of the European Business Organization Law Review (TMC Asser Press) and of European Company Law (Kluwer Law International). Author

of numerous academic works, including two books, he also has written more than sixty contributions to collective books or law-review publications.

Richard Brunell is Senior Advisor for Competition Matters at the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (His contribution reflects only his own views and not those of the FTC or any Commissioner). He was previously the Director of Legal Advocacy of the American Antitrust Institute (AAI) in Washington D.C. A contributing editor of the Antitrust Law Journal, Mr Brunell is the author of numerous antitrust articles, including *The Social Costs of Mergers: Restoring 'Local Control' as a Factor in Merger Policy* in the North Carolina Law Review. He wrote the AAI's *amicus* briefs in several Supreme Court antitrust cases, including *American Needle, Inc. v. NFL*, *Pacific Bell Tel. Co. v. linkLine Communc'ns, Inc.*, and *Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.*, and argued before the Supreme Court in *linkLine*. He is a graduate of Swarthmore College and the Harvard Law School, where he was an editor of the Harvard Law Review. Mr Brunell has taught as an adjunct or visiting professor at several law schools.

Summary of Contents

Editor and List of Contributors	v
Introduction <i>Barry J. Rodger</i>	1
CHAPTER 1 Australia <i>Caron Beaton-Wells</i>	27
CHAPTER 2 Brazil <i>Paula A. Forgioni & Maira Yuriko Rocha Miura</i>	47
CHAPTER 3 Canada <i>Edward M. Iacobucci</i>	63
CHAPTER 4 European Union <i>Arianna Andreangeli</i>	89
CHAPTER 5 Germany <i>Sebastian Peyer</i>	121
CHAPTER 6 Hungary <i>K.J. Cseres & P. Szilágyi</i>	145

CHAPTER 7	
Italy	
<i>Michele Carpagnano</i>	167
CHAPTER 8	
Japan	
<i>Simon Vande Walle</i>	183
CHAPTER 9	
The Netherlands	
<i>Anna Gerbrandy & Eva Lachnit</i>	203
CHAPTER 10	
New Zealand	
<i>Rex Tauati Ahdar</i>	231
CHAPTER 11	
South Africa	
<i>Philip Sutherland</i>	255
CHAPTER 12	
Spain	
<i>Francisco Marcos</i>	287
CHAPTER 13	
United Kingdom	
<i>Barry J. Rodger</i>	319
CHAPTER 14	
United States	
<i>Richard Brunell</i>	345
Index	369

Table of Contents

Editor and List of Contributors	v
Summary of Contents	xi
Introduction <i>Barry J. Rodger</i>	1
CHAPTER 1	
Australia	
The Billionaire, Prime Minister and Chairman: Australia's Cardboard Box Cartel Case	
<i>Caron Beaton-Wells</i>	27
§1.01 Introduction	27
§1.02 Background	28
§1.03 Facts of the Case	30
[A] The Market and Its Participants	30
[B] The Conduct	30
[C] The Contraventions	31
§1.04 The Decision	32
§1.05 The Penalties	33
[A] The Corporate Penalty	34
[B] Individual Penalties	37
§1.06 The Private Follow-On Litigation	40
§1.07 Conclusion	44
CHAPTER 2	
Brazil	
The Brazilian Beer Bottles Case	
<i>Paula A. Forgioni & Maira Yuriko Rocha Miura</i>	47
§2.01 Introduction	47

§2.02	Brazilian Antitrust Legal System	48
§2.03	Background	50
§2.04	The Beer Distribution System in Brazil	52
§2.05	The Attempt to Destroy the Common Distribution System	55
§2.06	The Position of the Brazilian Antitrust Authority	58
§2.07	Conclusion	60
CHAPTER 3		
Canada		
The <i>Superior Propane</i> Saga: The Efficiencies Defence in Canada		
<i>Edward M. Iacobucci</i>		63
§3.01	Introduction	63
§3.02	The Facts and Legal Issues	65
§3.03	The Decisions	67
	[A] Background	67
	[B] The Initial Decision	68
	[C] The First Appeal	69
	[D] The Second Decision	71
	[E] The Second Appeal	73
§3.04	Discussion	74
	[A] Section 96	74
	[1] Section 96 at the Tribunal and Court of Appeal	74
	[2] An Ex Post Facto Justification for the Outcome on Section 96	76
	[3] A Puzzle in the Debate over Section 96	77
	[B] <i>Superior Propane</i> and Canadian Competition Policy Institutions	79
	[1] The Competition Bureau and Commissioner	79
	[2] The Tribunal	81
	[3] Federal Court of Appeal	85
§3.05	Conclusion	85
CHAPTER 4		
European Union		
Spotlight on the IT Industry: The Microsoft Case – Protecting Rivalry on Innovative Markets ... but at What Price for Their Future?		
<i>Arianna Andreangeli</i>		89
§4.01	Introduction	89
§4.02	Competition Scrutiny of the Personal Computer Operating Systems* Market: The Case against Microsoft	91
	[A] The 2004 Commission Decision: of Media Players and Servers	91
	[B] The 2007 Microsoft Judgment: ‘Old Wine’ in ‘New Skins’?	93
	[1] Refusals to License Interoperability Protocols: The Applicable Law	93

Table of Contents

	[2] The Refusal to License Interconnection Protocols in the <i>Microsoft</i> Appeal—between Furthering Innovation and Supporting Rivalry	95
	[3] Restricting Competition by Design: Tying As Abusive Behaviour or As a ‘Legitimate’ Form of Technological Innovation?	102
	[C] Terminating Microsoft’s ‘Bad Behaviour’: Necessity, Legality and Proportionality in the Determination of Competition Remedies in Article 102 TFEU Cases	108
§4.03	From the 2007 Judgment to the 2009 Guidance Paper and beyond—Assessing the Legacy of a ‘Landmark Case’	112
	[A] Protecting Competition or Protecting Competitors? the Impact of <i>Microsoft</i> on the Rules Governing Prima Facie Abusive Refusals to License	112
	[B] Tying, Technological Integration and Article 102 TFEU: Policing Dominant Undertakings by Controlling their Product Design Choices?	115
§4.04	The 2007 <i>Microsoft</i> Judgment: A Landmark Case but for Dubious Reasons?	118
§4.05	Conclusion	119
CHAPTER 5		
Germany		
E.ON & Ruhrgas: Merging Competition and Industrial Policy		
<i>Sebastian Peyer</i>		
§5.01	Introduction	121
§5.02	The Background	122
	[A] Merger Control and Ministerial Authorization	122
	[B] German Energy Markets	126
§5.03	Decision of the FCO	128
	[A] Merging Entities	128
	[B] Affected Markets	130
	[C] Anti-competitive Effects	130
§5.04	The Public Interest Test	131
	[A] Reports of the Monopoly Commission	132
	[B] First Decision By the Minister	136
	[C] Judicial Intervention and Legal Issues	138
§5.05	Conclusion	141
CHAPTER 6		
Hungary		
The Hungarian Car Insurance Cartel Saga		
<i>K.J. Cseres & P. Szilágyi</i>		
§6.01	Introduction	145

§6.02	Legislative/Historical Background	146
§6.03	Institutional Framework	150
§6.04	Vertical Agreements	152
§6.05	Facts of the Case	153
§6.06	Legal Ruling and Reasoning	154
[A]	GVH's Decision	154
[1]	Vertical Agreements Regarding the Hourly Payments for Repair Works	155
[2]	Agreements between an Insurance Company and an Insurance Broker: Level of Commission	155
[B]	Judicial Review in the Insurance Cartel Case	156
§6.07	Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Metropolitan Court)	157
§6.08	Judgment of the Metropolitan Court of Appeal	158
§6.09	Commentary On the Impact of This Landmark Case	162
[A]	Decision of Association of Undertakings: An Indicator of Competition Culture	162
[B]	Tying, Price-Fixing and Insurance Target Commissions in the Shadow of the Grey Economy	164
[C]	The Consumer Welfare Standard in Competition Law Enforcement	165
§6.10	Conclusion	166

CHAPTER 7

Italy

One, No One and One Hundred Thousand The Car Insurance Cartel and Private Enforcement in Italy

	<i>Michele Carpagnano</i>	167
§7.01	Introduction	167
§7.02	Competition Law Enforcement in Italy	169
§7.03	The Italian Competition Authority Decision in the Car Insurance Cartel	171
§7.04	The Follow-On Actions Seeking Compensation	172
[A]	The Legal Dispute before the Giudice Di Pace	174
[B]	The Legal Dispute before the Corte Di Appello	175
§7.05	The Corte Di Cassazione's View	176
[A]	The Decision of 2002: Consumers Cannot Invoke Antitrust Law	176
[B]	The Decisions of 2005 and of 2007: Antitrust Law Protects All Types of Entities within a Particular Market (Companies and Consumers)	176
[C]	The Decision of 2009: The Italian Competition Authority's Decision in Civil Proceedings	178
§7.06	The Manfredi Case before the European Court of Justice	178

Table of Contents

[A]	The Reference for a Preliminary Ruling by the Giudice Di Pace of Bitonto	179
[B]	The Decision of the Court of Justice	180
[C]	The Decision of the Giudice Di Pace of Bitonto	180
§7.07	Conclusion	181

CHAPTER 8

Japan

The Saitama Saturday Club Case: Political Meddling, Public Opinion, and Antitrust Enforcement in Japan at a Turning Point

	<i>Simon Vande Walle</i>	183
§8.01	Introduction	183
§8.02	The Saitama Saturday Club Case	184
[A]	Bid-Rigging in Saitama Prefecture	184
[B]	Enforcement by the Japan Fair Trade Commission	185
[C]	Public Outrage and Conviction for Bribery	188
[D]	The Damages Action by Local Saitama Residents	190
§8.03	The Significance of the Case	193
[A]	The Beginning of a Surge in Damages Actions	193
[B]	An Illustration of the Difficulties Facing Japan's Antitrust Enforcement Agency	197
[C]	The Start of a Period of More Vigorous Public Enforcement	197
[1]	Criminal Cases after the Saitama Case	198
[2]	Higher Penalties	199
[3]	A More Competitive Bidding System	200
[4]	Stricter Guidelines on Public Bidding	201
§8.04	Conclusion	201

CHAPTER 9

The Netherlands

Bid-Rigging with Gingerbread Candy: Adventures in the Land of the Dutch Construction Cartel

	<i>Anna Gerbrandy & Eva Lachnit</i>	203
§9.01	Introduction	203
§9.02	Construction Cartels Been There, Done That?	204
[A]	The Cartel and the Whistleblower	205
[B]	Political Reaction	206
§9.03	The Construction Case in Legal Terms	207
§9.04	A Fast Lane Procedure at the NMa	209
[A]	The Taskforce Construction Industry	209
[B]	Fine-Reductions under the Fast Lane Procedure	210
§9.05	Waiving of Procedural Rights versus Full Judicial Review	211
[A]	The Nature of Fast Lane Procedure Decisions	212
[B]	Waiving of Procedural Rights	212

[C]	Reformatio in Peius	214
[D]	Full Review of Facts and Fine versus Waiving of Procedural Rights	214
[E]	ECHR and CJEU on Full Review	215
[F]	The Tribunal's Review of Fast Lane Decisions	216
[G]	Finding the Balance	216
§9.06	Investigative Measures	217
[A]	Forensic Imaging	217
[B]	Exchanging Information	219
[C]	Chinese Walls	221
§9.07	Proof and Evidence of the Infringement	222
[A]	The NMa's Rule of Proof	223
[B]	Standard of Proof in Court	223
§9.08	Cartel-Culture Shock Effects	224
[A]	End of Cartelization	225
[B]	Or Not?	226
§9.09	Conclusion	228
CHAPTER 10		
New Zealand		
The Counterfactual in New Zealand Monopolization Law: The Unfortunate Legacy of <i>Clear v. Telecom</i>		
<i>Rex Tauati Ahdar</i>		231
§10.01	Introduction	231
§10.02	Clear versus Telecom	233
[A]	High Court	233
[B]	Court of Appeal	236
[C]	Privy Council	239
§10.03	The Counterfactual Test	242
[A]	The Equal Right to Compete Premise	242
[B]	'Taking Advantage' and the Counterfactual Test	245
[C]	The Weaknesses of the Counterfactual Test	246
§10.04	An Opportunity Gone Begging: The <i>Telecom (0867)</i> Case	249
§10.05	Conclusion	253
CHAPTER 11		
South Africa		
The Ansac Saga and the Enforcement of Per Se Prohibited Horizontal Restrictive Practices in South Africa		
<i>Philip Sutherland</i>		255
§11.01	Introduction	255
§11.02	Regulatory Structure within Which the Ansac Cases Were Decided	256
§11.03	A Basic Outline of the Ansac Cases and the Events That Gave Rise to These Cases	256

§11.04	Procedural Aspects	260
[A]	The Nature of Complaint Procedures	260
[B]	Intervention by Outside Parties in Competition Matters	262
[C]	Applications for Interdicts by Intervenors	263
[D]	Appeals from the CAC to the Supreme Court of Appeal	265
§11.05	The Two Substantive Issues	267
[A]	Per Se Horizontal Restrictions: Introduction	267
[1]	Per Se Horizontal Restrictions: Tribunal	268
[2]	Per Se Horizontal Restrictions: Competition Appeal Court	272
[3]	Per Se Horizontal Restrictions: Supreme Court of Appeal	275
[B]	Jurisdictional Issues	279
§11.06	Conclusion	283
§11.07	ANSAC Case Law Chronology and Citations	285
CHAPTER 12		
Spain		
When Competition Is the Last Concern: The Battle for the Control of ENDESA		
<i>Francisco Marcos</i>		287
§12.01	Introduction	287
§12.02	Background	289
§12.03	The Beginning of the Battle: Gas Natural Bid	292
[A]	Competition Law Issues	294
[1]	Does the Transaction Fall within the Scope of EU Merger Review?	295
[2]	Upward Referral to the European Commission from Portuguese and Italian Competition Authorities?	297
[3]	The Pre-merger Disposal Agreement: Up-front Buyer Proposal as an Anti-competitive Agreement?	298
[4]	Decision under the Spanish Domestic Merger Review System	299
[5]	Injunction against the Government's Decision	301
[B]	Energy Regulation Issues	302
[C]	Corporate Law and Securities Regulation Issues	303
§12.04	A White Knight Comes on Stage: Eon enters the Battle	304
§12.05	The End of the Battle: Enel and Acciona	309
§12.06	Lessons from the Endesa Saga	311
[A]	Competition and Regulation as Defensive Tools against Hostile Takeovers	311
[B]	Government Intervention Distorting the Outcome of the Takeover Battle	312
[C]	Competition and Regulation	314
[D]	Political Interference and Influence Peddling in Business Decisions	317