

STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

EDITED BY

Günter Rohdenburg and Julia Schlüter

# One Language, Two Grammars?

Differences between British and American English



CAMBRIDGE



30806152

# One Language, Two Grammars?

Differences between British  
and American English

---

Edited by

GÜNTER ROHDENBURG

AND

JULIA SCHLÜTER



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo,  
Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America  
by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521872195](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521872195)

© Cambridge University Press 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without  
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009

Reprinted 2009

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data*

One language, two grammars? : differences between British and American English /  
edited by Günter Rohdenburg, Julia Schlüter.

p. cm. – (Studies in English language)

ISBN 978-0-521-87219-5

1. English language – Grammar, Comparative. 2. English language – Grammar,  
Historical. 3. English language – Variation. 4. English language – Great  
Britain. 5. English language – United States. I. Rohdenburg, Günter,  
1940-. II. Schlüter, Julia, 1973-. III. Title. IV. Series.

PE1072.O54 2008

427–dc22

2008019562

ISBN 978-0-521-87219-5 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or  
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to  
in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such  
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## One Language, Two Grammars?

It is well known that British and American English differ substantially in their pronunciation and vocabulary, but differences in their grammar have largely been underestimated. This volume focuses on British–American differences in the structure of words and sentences and supports them with computer-aided studies of large text collections. Present-day as well as earlier forms of the two varieties are included in the analyses. This makes it the first book-length treatment of British and American English grammar in contrast, with topics ranging from compound verbs to word order differences and tag questions. The authors explore some of the better-known contrasts, as well as a great variety of innovative themes that have so far received little or no consideration. Bringing together the work of a team of leading scholars in the field, this book will be of interest to those working within the fields of English historical linguistics, language variation and change, and dialectology.

**Günter Rohdenburg** is Professor Emeritus of English Linguistics in the Department of English and American Studies at the University of Paderborn.

**Julia Schlüter** is Assistant Professor in English Linguistics and Language History at the University of Bamberg.

## STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

### *General editor*

Merja Kytö (Uppsala University)

### *Editorial Board*

Bas Aarts (University College London), John Algeo (University of Georgia), Susan Fitzmaurice (Northern Arizona University), Charles F. Meyer (University of Massachusetts)

The aim of this series is to provide a framework for original studies of English, both present-day and past. All books are based securely on empirical research, and represent theoretical and descriptive contributions to our knowledge of national and international varieties of English, both written and spoken. The series covers a broad range of topics and approaches, including syntax, phonology, grammar, vocabulary, discourse, pragmatics and sociolinguistics, and is aimed at an international readership.

### **Already published in this series:**

Christian Mair: *Infinitival Complement Clauses in English: A Study of Syntax in Discourse*

Charles F. Meyer: *Apposition in Contemporary English*

Jan Firbas: *Functional Sentence Perspective in Written and Spoken Communication*

Izchak M. Schlesinger: *Cognitive Space and Linguistic Case*

Katie Wales: *Personal Pronouns in Present-Day English*

Laura Wright: *The Development of Standard English, 1300–1800: Theories, Descriptions, Conflicts*

Charles F. Meyer: *English Corpus Linguistics: Theory and Practice*

Stephen J. Nagle and Sara L. Sanders (eds.): *English in the Southern United States*

Anne Curzan: *Gender Shifts in the History of English*

Kingsley Bolton: *Chinese Englishes*

Irma Taavitsainen and Päivi Pahta (eds.): *Medical and Scientific Writing in Late Medieval English*

Elizabeth Gordon, Lyle Campbell, Jennifer Hay, Margaret MacLagan, Andrea Sudbury and Peter Trudgill: *New Zealand English: Its Origins and Evolution*

Raymond Hickey (ed.): *Legacies of Colonial English*

Merja Kytö, Mats Rydén and Erik Smitterberg (eds.): *Nineteenth Century English: Stability and Change*

John Algeo: *British or American English? A Handbook of Word and Grammar Patterns*

Christian Mair: *Twentieth-Century English: History, Variation and Standardization*

Evelien Keizer: *The English Noun Phrase: The Nature of Linguistic Categorization*

Raymond Hickey: *Irish English: History and Present-Day Forms*

## List of contributors

---

KARIN AIJMER, University of Gothenburg  
D. J. ALLERTON, University of Basle  
EVA BERLAGE, University of Paderborn  
DOUGLAS BIBER, Northern Arizona University  
WILLIAM J. CRAWFORD, Northern Arizona University  
DAVID DENISON, University of Manchester  
JOHAN ELSNESS, University of Oslo  
PETER ERDMANN, Technical University of Berlin  
JACK GRIEVE, Northern Arizona University  
MARIANNE HUNDT, University of Zurich  
GINA IBERRI-SHEA, Northern Arizona University  
GÖRAN KJELLMER, University of Gothenburg  
MAGNUS LEVIN, Växjö University  
BRITTA MONDORF, University of Mainz  
GÜNTER ROHDENBURG, University of Paderborn  
JULIA SCHLÜTER, University of Bamberg  
GUNNEL TOTTIE, University of Zurich  
UWE VOSBERG, University of Kiel

# Contents

---

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>List of tables</i>	xvi
<i>List of contributors</i>	xxiv
Introduction GÜNTER ROHDENBURG AND JULIA SCHLÜTER	I
1 <i>Colonial lag, colonial innovation or simply language change?</i> MARIANNE HUNDT	13
2 Compound verbs PETER ERDMANN	38
3 The formation of the preterite and the past participle MAGNUS LEVIN	60
4 Synthetic and analytic comparatives BRITTA MONDORF	86
5 Phonology and grammar JULIA SCHLÜTER	108
6 Prepositions and postpositions EVA BERLAGE	130
7 Argument structure DAVID DENISON	149
8 Reflexive structures GÜNTER ROHDENBURG	166
9 Noun phrase modification DOUGLAS BIBER, JACK GRIEVE AND GINA IBERRI-SHEA	182
10 Nominal complements GÜNTER ROHDENBURG	194
11 Non-finite complements UWE VOSBERG	212
12 The present perfect and the preterite JOHAN ELSNES	228
13 The revived subjunctive GÖRAN KJELLMER	246
14 The mandative subjunctive WILLIAM J. CRAWFORD	257

vi Contents

15	The conditional subjunctive	JULIA SCHLÜTER	277
16	Tag questions	D. J. ALLERTON	306
17	The pragmatics of adverbs	KARIN AIJMER	324
18	How different are American and British English grammar? And how are they different?	GUNNEL TOTTIE	341
19	New departures	GÜNTER ROHDENBURG AND JULIA SCHLÜTER	364
	<i>Bibliography</i>		424
	<i>Index</i>		452



## Figures

---

1.1	Comparative forms in ARCHER-1	page 16
1.2	Superlative forms in ARCHER-1	17
1.3	Progressive passives in BrE and AmE	18
1.4	<i>Have</i> vs. <i>be</i> as perfect auxiliaries with intransitives in BrE and AmE	18
1.5	The <i>get</i> -passive in BrE and AmE in ARCHER-1	19
1.6	Relative frequency of progressives with animate and inanimate subjects in ARCHER-1 – BrE and AmE compared	19
1.7	Past tense and past participle forms in fictional writing – adjectival uses included	26
1.8	Past tense and past participle forms in fictional writing – adjectival uses excluded	27
3.1	The correlation between aspect and verb inflections in the preterite in <i>Ind</i> 2000	67
3.2	The use of <i>-ed</i> in <i>NYT</i> 1995 and <i>Ind</i> 2000 (preterite and past participle forms combined)	69
3.3	The use of <i>-ed</i> in LSAC and the spoken part of the BNC (preterite and past participle forms combined)	70
3.4	The use of <i>-ed</i> in <i>NYT</i> 1995	72
3.5	The use of <i>-ed</i> in <i>Ind</i> 2000	72
3.6	The use of <i>-ed</i> in LSAC	72
3.7	The use of <i>-ed</i> in BNC (spoken)	72
3.8	The use of irregular past participle forms in passives and actives in <i>Ind</i> 2000	73
3.9	The correlation between the number of tokens and irregular inflection in <i>NYT</i>	77
3.10	The correlation between the number of tokens and irregular inflection in <i>Ind</i>	77
3.11	The correlation between the number of tokens and irregular inflection in LSAC	77
3.12	The correlation between the number of tokens and irregular inflection in BNC (spoken)	77
		vii

# viii List of figures

4.1	Analytic comparatives of fifty-four mono- and disyllabic adjectives according to position in the British corpus (without the BNC) and the American corpus	91
4.2	Analytic comparatives of non-attributive monosyllabic adjectives in the British corpus (without BNC)	101
4.3	Analytic comparatives of non-attributive monosyllabic adjectives in the American corpus	102
5.1	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and <i>lighted</i> in a series of British prose corpora	113
5.2	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and <i>lighted</i> according to syntactic function in a series of British and American prose corpora	115
5.3	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and <i>lighted</i> according to syntactic function in a British and American newspaper corpus	117
5.4	The distribution of the participial variants <i>knit</i> and <i>knitted</i> according to syntactic function in a British and American newspaper corpus	118
5.5	The distribution of the participial variants <i>knit</i> and <i>knitted</i> in a series of British prose corpora	119
5.6	The distribution of <i>a quite</i> and <i>quite a(n)</i> before attributive adjectives in a series of British prose corpora	122
5.7	The distribution of <i>a quite</i> and <i>quite a(n)</i> before attributive adjectives in a series of British and American prose corpora	123
5.8	The distribution of <i>a quite</i> and <i>quite a(n)</i> before attributive adjectives according to mode in present-day BrE and AmE	125
6.1	Postpositional <i>not included</i> , <i>excepted</i> , <i>aside</i> and <i>apart</i> in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	132
6.2	Postpositional <i>notwithstanding</i> in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	134
6.3	Postpositional <i>notwithstanding</i> from the late fourteenth to eighteenth centuries	136
6.4	Postpositional <i>notwithstanding</i> during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries	136
6.5	Postpositional <i>notwithstanding</i> associated with NPs of up to ten words excluding NPs with dependent clauses in a set of American historical newspapers from 1895 to 1955	137

6.6	The average number of words associated with simple and complex NPs that occur before or after <i>notwithstanding</i> in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	141
6.7	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> associated with simple vs. complex NPs in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	141
6.8	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> in relation to word counts with simple NPs in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	143
6.9	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> in relation to word counts with complex NPs in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	144
6.10	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> associated with NPs of different structural types in a set of present-day British and American newspapers	146
7.1	Development of reversed <i>substitute</i>	154
9.1	Pre-modifiers across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	187
9.2	Post-modifiers across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	189
9.3	Relative clause types across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	190
9.4	Copula BE across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	191
II.1	The development of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>have (got) no business</i> in various historical and present-day corpora	215
II.2	The development of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>have (got) no business</i> in various British historical and present-day corpora	216
II.3	The development of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>have (got) no business</i> in various American historical and present-day corpora	216
II.4	The development of non-finite complements of the verb <i>decline</i> in various historical and present-day corpora	217
II.5	The development of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>lay claim</i> in various historical and present-day corpora	220
II.6	The distribution of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>lay claim(s)</i> in various British present-day newspapers for 1990–2004	221

## x List of figures

11.7	The distribution of non-finite complements dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>lay claim(s)</i> in various British present-day newspapers	223
11.8	The development of non-finite complements dependent on the verb <i>cannot/could not stand</i> in various historical and present-day corpora	224
12.1	The present perfect (with HAVE, active/passive) as percentage of all past-referring verb forms	231
12.2	The present perfect (with HAVE, active/passive) as percentage of all past-referring verb forms <i>when science category is disregarded</i> in Present-Day English	232
12.3	The present perfect as percentage of all past-referring verb forms in some text categories in the history of British English	234
12.4	The present perfect of twenty high-frequency verbs in the Brown, LOB, Frown and FLOB corpora	242
14.1	Mandates and non-mandates in British and American news writing	263
14.2	Mandates and non-mandates in verbal triggers in British and American news writing	265
14.3	Mandates and non-mandates in noun triggers in British and American news writing	266
14.4	Mandates and non-mandates in adjective triggers in British and American news writing	267
14.5	Distribution of verb trigger mandates in British and American news writing	269
14.6	Distribution of noun trigger mandates in British and American news writing	270
14.7	Distribution of adjective trigger mandates in British and American news writing	272
15.1	The shape of the complex conjunction <i>on (the) condition (that)</i>	280
15.2	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate clauses dependent on <i>(up)on (the) condition (that)</i>	288
15.3	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate clauses dependent on <i>(up)on (the) condition (that)</i>	289
15.4	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate clauses dependent on <i>on condition that</i>	290
15.5	Textual frequencies of the semi-formula <i>(up)on (the) condition (that) NP not be Ved</i> and of the semantically equivalent formula <i>(up)on (the) condition of anonymity</i>	294
15.6	Realizations of the verbal syntagm for <i>be</i> and other verbs in subordinate clauses dependent on <i>(up)on</i>	

	( <i>the</i> ) condition ( <i>that</i> ), excluding semi-formulaic instances of the type ( <i>up</i> )on ( <i>the</i> ) condition ( <i>that</i> ) NP not be Ved	297
15.7	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in negated and non-negated subordinate clauses dependent on ( <i>up</i> )on ( <i>the</i> ) condition ( <i>that</i> ), excluding semi-formulaic instances of the type ( <i>up</i> )on ( <i>the</i> ) condition ( <i>that</i> ) NP not be Ved	300
15.8	Choice of modal auxiliaries in subordinate clauses dependent on ( <i>up</i> )on ( <i>the</i> ) condition ( <i>that</i> )	303
16.1	Types of appendage	309
16.2	From question to statement	320
18.1	The frequency of tag questions in British and American English, calculated per million words	355
18.2	Distribution of polarity in two subsets of BNC-S and LSAC (1,000 instances each)	356
18.3	Proportions of auxiliary use in question tags in BNC-S and LSAC	357
18.4	Proportions of pronouns in question tags in BNC-S and LSAC	357
18.5	Proportions of types of pragmatic meanings of question tags in BNC-S and LSAC	360
18.6	Speaker change after tag questions in BNC-S and LSAC	361
19.1	The rivalry between <i>really</i> and <i>real</i> intensifying adjectives (and the occasional adverb) in two matching British and American corpora	367
19.2	The rivalry between <i>wholly</i> , <i>whole</i> and <i>a whole lot</i> as intensifiers preceding <i>different</i> in selected British and American newspapers	368
19.3	The rivalry between suffixed and suffixless manner adverbs (and the <i>may</i> -periphrasis) in selected British and American newspapers	369
19.4	Adverbial uses of <i>likely</i> in four matching British and American English corpora	370
19.5	The distribution of <i>-ward</i> and <i>-wards</i> with adverbs and adjectives in selected British and American newspapers	371
19.6	The use of <i>plenty</i> and <i>overly</i> as premodifiers of adjectives and adverbs in selected British and American newspapers	372
19.7	The rivalry between <i>many</i> and <i>much</i> in the type <i>many/much fewer (books)</i> in selected British and American newspapers	372

## xii List of figures

19.8	The use of <i>kind of/kinda</i> and <i>sort of/sorta</i> modifying elements other than nouns/noun phrases in four matching British and American corpora	373
19.9	The rivalry between <i>twice</i> and <i>two times</i> in three major syntactic environments in selected British and American newspapers	374
19.10a	The distribution of <i>for longer</i> in selected British and American newspapers	375
19.10b	Comparative sequences of the type <i>fresher (for) longer</i> in selected British and American newspapers	375
19.11	The occurrence of <i>nary</i> 'not/never/neither' in selected British and American newspapers	376
19.12a	Negated infinitives governed by and immediately following the verbs <i>begin</i> and <i>start</i> in selected British and American newspapers	377
19.12b	The use of infinitives split by single adverbs ending in <i>-ly</i> in four matching British and American corpora	377
19.13	Verb-based attitudinal disjuncts like <i>admittedly</i> and <i>allegedly</i> in four matching British and American corpora	378
19.14a	The distribution of selected sentence adverbs across different positions in British and American newspapers	379
19.14b	The distribution of six evaluative sentence adverbs ( <i>amazingly, astonishingly, curiously, interestingly, oddly, strangely</i> ) in four matching British and American corpora	380
19.15	The rivalry between the prepositions <i>into</i> and <i>in</i> in four frequent collocations in selected British and American newspapers	382
19.16	The distribution of the preposition <i>to</i> in <i>near (to) tears/death</i> in selected British and American newspapers	383
19.17	Causal/instrumental prepositional phrases involving <i>courtesy</i> in selected British and American newspapers	384
19.18	The use of the novel preposition <i>absent</i> and the prepositional phrase <i>in (the) absence of</i> in selected British and American newspapers	385
19.19	The use of prepositions (immediately) preceding interrogative <i>if</i> -clauses dependent on <i>it depends</i> in selected British and American corpora	386
19.20	The use of prepositions introducing interrogative <i>whether</i> -clauses dependent on <i>the question</i> in selected British and American newspapers	386

19.21a	The expression of dispreferred alternatives with the verb <i>prefer</i> by means of the prepositions <i>over</i> and <i>to</i> in selected British and American newspapers	387
19.21b	The use of the preposition <i>over</i> to indicate dispreferred alternatives with the verbs <i>prefer</i> , <i>select</i> , <i>recommend</i> and <i>choose</i> in selected British and American newspapers	388
19.22	The distribution of the participial variants <i>dread</i> and <i>dreaded</i> in attributive function in British and American newspapers	390
19.23	The distribution of the phrases <i>the next/past/last/first</i> <i>Ø/few/several/couple of years/months/weeks/days/hours/minutes/seconds</i> in British and American newspapers	391
19.24a	The rivalry between <i>both these/those</i> and <i>both of these/those</i> in (predominantly) narrative historical corpora of British and American English	392
19.24b	The rivalry between <i>both these/those</i> and <i>both of these/those</i> in spoken corpora of British and American English	393
19.24c	The rivalry between <i>all these/those</i> and <i>all of these/those</i> in selected British and American newspapers	393
19.25	The variable use of the preposition <i>of</i> after sequences of the predeterminers <i>as/so/how/this/that/too</i> , the adjectives <i>big/high/good</i> and following nouns in selected British and American newspapers	394
19.26	The use of <i>sufficient</i> in constructions like <i>sufficient of his energies/talent</i> in British and American newspapers	395
19.27	The use of <i>all</i> postmodifying interrogative <i>who</i> and <i>what</i> in selected British and American corpora	396
19.28	The use of <i>ain't/aint</i> representing negated forms of <i>be</i> and <i>have</i> in four matching British and American corpora	398
19.29	The rivalry between the types <i>X has/have to do with Y</i> and <i>X is/are to do with Y</i> in selected British and American newspapers	398
19.30	The rivalry between <i>X comes down to Y</i> and <i>X is down to Y</i> in selected British and American newspapers	399
19.31	The rivalry between the verbs <i>have</i> and <i>take</i> in complex verbal structures involving the sequence <i>a look</i>	400
19.32	The use of the <i>may</i> -construction with different verbs in selected British and American newspapers	401
19.33	Prepositional particle verbs involving the combinations <i>up on</i> or <i>in on</i> in four matching British and American corpora	402

# xiv List of figures

19.34a	The use of the pseudo-passive constructions <i>be sat</i> and <i>be stood</i> in selected British and American newspapers	403
19.34b	The rivalry between <i>X is heading</i> and <i>X is headed</i> + directional phrase in selected British and American newspapers	403
19.34c	The rivalry between non-attributive and intransitive uses of <i>sprawling</i> and <i>sprawled</i>	404
19.35	The variable use of <i>as</i> with nominal predicatives containing the definite and indefinite articles immediately following the sequence <i>was named</i> in selected American and British newspapers	405
19.36	The use of <i>being</i> to introduce different predicative expressions associated with the negator <i>far from</i> in selected British and American newspapers	406
19.37a	The use of the adverbial conjunctions <i>being (that/as (how))</i> , <i>given (that)</i> , <i>on the basis (that)</i> and <i>for fear (that)</i> in selected British and American newspapers	408
19.37b	The use or omission of the subordination signal <i>that</i> with the conjunction <i>for fear</i> in selected British and American newspapers	409
19.38	The use of subjunctives in adverbial clauses introduced by <i>lest</i> in selected British and American newspapers	410
19.39	The use of <i>that</i> -, <i>if</i> - and <i>whether</i> -clauses associated with and following <i>no matter</i> in selected British and American newspapers	411
19.40	The omission of the verbal coda in topic-restricting <i>as far as</i> -constructions in written and spoken British and American corpora	412
19.41	The relativization of the standard of comparison by means of <i>than which/whom</i> in selected British and American newspapers	413
19.42a	The use of the complex interrogative <i>how come</i> in four matching British and American corpora	414
19.42b	The use of the interrogative <i>how come</i> in selected British and American newspapers	414
19.43	The rivalry between the reversed pseudo-cleft construction <i>this is how</i> + S and the upcoming type <i>here is how/here's how</i> + S in selected British and American newspapers	415
19.44	Marked and unmarked infinitives with pseudo-cleft constructions involving <i>what</i> , <i>all</i> , <i>thing(s)</i> or <i>the</i>	



	<i>least/most/best/worst</i> + pro-verb <i>do</i> in four matching British and American corpora	416
19.45a	Subjectless gerunds associated with <i>as well as</i> and <i>in addition to</i> in selected British and American newspapers	417
19.45b	Subjectless gerunds associated with <i>apart from/as well as/besides/aside from/in addition to</i> in four matching corpora	418
19.46	The rivalry between possessive and objective case pronouns as logical subjects of the gerund <i>being</i>	419