

Edited by

MEGAN M. DRAHEIM, FRANCINE MADDEN,
JULIE-BETH McCARTHY, & E.C.M. PARSONS

# HUMAN-WILDLIFE CONFLICT

Complexity in the Marine Environment



## Human-Wildlife Conflict

## Complexity in the Marine Environment

Edited By

MEGAN M. DRAHEIM



Independent Researcher

E. C. M. PARSONS

Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University



Human-Wildlife Conflict: Complexity in the Marine Environment. Edited by Megan M. Draheim, Francine Madden, Julie-Beth McCarthy, and E. C. M. Parsons © Oxford University Press 2015. Published 2015 by Oxford University Press.



#### Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, ox2 6DP, United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.

It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Oxford University Press 2015

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2015 Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015934243

ISBN 978-0-19-968714-5 (hbk.) ISBN 978-0-19-968715-2 (pbk.)

Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CRo 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

#### Human-Wildlife Conflict

#### Preface

Conflict, or the potential for conflict, is inherent in human communities. The impacts of social conflict on conservation efforts are pervasive. Yet, conservation study and practice is still at a relatively early stage of understanding and addressing these impacts. Despite conservation's several-hundred-year-long history, it is only fairly recently that the field has broadened its disciplinary reach to include elements of psychology, anthropology, neurology, sociology, behavioral economics, systems thinking, and other human-oriented sciences into conservation research and practice. And in many ways we are still early in our journey to fully integrate the wisdom from these fields into what it means to do conservation.

Today many, perhaps even most, conservation researchers and practitioners intuitively understand the importance of conflict to their work, but typical educational and training paths do not develop the suite of skills and capacities needed to constructively transform conflict. In response, my organization—the Human–Wildlife Conflict Collaboration (HWCC)—adapted principles and approaches of conflict transformation developed over decades in the peace-building field, and introduced them to our field in 2008 as conservation conflict transformation. We continue to adapt, evolve, and improve our practice.

Recognition of the need to deepen our field's understanding of conflict was the inspiration and starting point for this book. This recognition is the first step toward transforming conflict so that it can support, rather than hinder, conservation. It is to the credit of this book's editors and authors that they took this goal to heart. Their willingness to learn this new approach and integrate conservation conflict transformation with their existing work and expertise demonstrates humility, courage, creativity, and adventurousness.

Our journey began in 2008, after Megan Draheim participated in a capacity-building workshop led by HWCC. A couple of years later, I was delighted when she invited me to co-edit a book that would interweave the conservation conflict transformation approach into a set of cases of marine-based human-wildlife conflict. We were subsequently enriched as an editorial team when Julie-Beth McCarthy and Chris Parsons joined us, bringing both marine conservation expertise and an open-minded willingness to learn about conservation conflict transformation. We sought, and found, chapter authors in both the research and practitioner communities who shared our sense of adventure and willingness to take on a new challenge.

For most of the contributors, this was their first encounter with conservation conflict transformation. A few had worked with me in one of HWCC's conservation conflict transformation capacity-building workshops in recent years. In every case, the editors and authors were enthusiastic to engage in short, intensive orientation or refresher seminars to build proficiency in one of the key analytical components of conservation conflict transformation—levels of conflict—as well as some of the principles of process design. They were then asked to apply that learning as part of the analysis in their case and chapter.

This was not an insignificant undertaking. Typically, an author in an edited volume is expected to write on a topic over which they have mastery. Rarely are authors (or editors) asked to go a step further, to learn and apply a new and very different approach and discuss their existing work or expertise in that new context. This required courage, patience, flexibility, and intellectual curiosity. It was an adventure in what futurist Alvin Toffler has said is the very definition of literacy in the twenty-first century: the capacity to learn, unlearn, and relearn. In this book, contributors are articulating not only what they know well but also new concepts they have begun to learn and apply within their areas of mastery.

In traveling this path, our team modeled the challenging stance that will be needed for conservation success: being willing to let go of what is known and comfortable and to remain open to and engaged with the paradoxical realities of a changing world. In doing so, our field will more readily embrace and more successfully engage with its increasing complexity to improve conservation outcomes.

Our goal in this book is to instill a sense of intellectual curiosity in you, the reader—the same curiosity that motivated us to embark on this expedition. As an exploration, this book does not offer solutions but rather insights and perspectives. This book is not prescriptive, nor should it be. Conflict and the specific processes needed to transform it are highly context specific. Having said that, we believe that the analysis of conservation conflict transformation across the wide range of marine settings discussed here argues persuasively for the broad applicability of conservation conflict transformation across a variety of cultures, contexts, species, and regions.

I should note that this book is intended to provide a small window into what it means to understand conflict through a conservation conflict transformation lens. By design, this book hones in on a single, important analytical tool—levels of conflict analysis—and demonstrates its replicability across many cases. That said, levels of conflict analysis is just one of several analytical tools in the conservation conflict transformation practitioner's toolbox. This toolbox also contains a suite of theories, principles, processes, strategies, and skills that are essential for transforming conflict but are largely beyond the scope of this book. While an understanding of levels of conflict analysis may give the reader (and the authors) deeper insights into cases, such insights do not necessarily translate into an immediate capacity to then transform the conflict they present. That capacity requires broader, deeper, and more holistic understanding of, personal engagement with, and years of practice in conservation conflict transformation. It is important to note that a complete engagement with conservation conflict transformation is outside the scope of this, or any, publication.

This book represents a stage in an intellectual expedition, in which a committed group of editors and authors explored the potential for a new perspective and analysis—that of conservation conflict transformation. Adopting a conservation conflict transformation perspective has enabled our authors to gain new and deeper insights into the social conflict and systemic complexity in their case studies in marine conservation. Conservation conflict transformation serves to compliment and augment the author's existing topical, disciplinary, species, and regional expertise. I admire and wish to thank the contributors for their curiosity, open-mindedness, and willingness to take risks and put in extra effort. I particularly

appreciate authors such as Jill Lewandowski, Rachel Sprague, and Catherine Booker, who have been on a longer journey of understanding and integrating conservation conflict transformation within their work. Their efforts to provide leadership in their respective institutions, fields, and areas of expertise give me inspiration and great hope. I am proud of the work that our team has achieved in this book, and I hope that you find the reading of it to be as stimulating, informative, and thought-provoking as we found the writing.

Francine Madden Human–Wildlife Conflict Collaboration Washington, DC



#### Acknowledgments

Any book project is a large undertaking, especially one that was as much of a collaborative effort as this. As such, the editors, Megan M. Draheim, Francine Madden, Julie-Beth McCarthy, and E. C. M. (Chris) Parsons, have a lot of people to acknowledge. Most importantly, we'd like to send our sincere thanks to our authors for all of the time and effort they put into this project to make it a success. They brought their own extensive experience in a wide variety of fields to the table, were all willing to tackle what was in most cases a new paradigm, and shared their knowledge and expertise as a reviewer for their co-contributors as well. We cannot thank them enough for their energy, time, and patience throughout. We would also like to thank Lucy Nash and Ian Sherman at Oxford University Press for all of their help and support throughout this process. They were both a delight to work with.

Megan M. Draheim would first like to thank her co-editors for being willing to start this project in the first place and for all of their work throughout. Her co-author on Chapter 7, Rachel Sprague, deserves special thanks as well. Kieran Lindsay and Heather Eves provided invaluable feedback and support, especially as the project neared completion, and she hopes to be able to return the favor in the future. Her parents, James Draheim and Linda Jo Clough, her stepfather, Rodney Clough, and countless friends have helped in many ways, big and small. Her husband, David Harris, deserves special credit for tirelessly letting her discuss the project and for his helpful comments throughout this process. For that, and for so much more, she can never thank him enough.

Francine Madden is grateful to her co-editors and all the authors for their enthusiasm for learning about and addressing levels of conflict and conservation conflict transformation in their chapters, as well as their boundless patience through the editing process. Words can't express the gratitude Francine has for her husband, partner, and best friend, David Downes; among other virtues, he is a gifted editor. Francine is appreciative of and inspired by her team at the Human–Wildlife Conflict Collaboration (HWCC), and all the wonderful leaders, practitioners, and stakeholders she has had the honor and pleasure of working with these last nine years. And to Francine's co-author on Chapter 1, Brian McQuinn—HWCC would not be where it is today without his critical support, generosity, and intelligence during those early years.

Julie-Beth McCarthy would first like to thank her co-editors for bringing her on board and engaging in such a unique and interdisciplinary project. Kendra Marks must be thanked profusely for bravely diving into the abyss, armed with her incredible research skills, and providing invaluable assistance early on. Julie-Beth would also like to thank her parents (Paul McCarthy and Maureen Duke-Renouf) who have long supported her various endeavors and who helped out in their own ways from both near and far. Finally, though most importantly, she would like to thank her husband, Ian Sturgess, for his patience, advice, and

#### x Acknowledgments

unwavering support; and her kids, Solveig and Healy, for ensuring that things are always kept in perspective.

Chris Parsons thanks Naomi Rose for her careful proofreading, correction of his dyslexic lapses, and editorial advice; he also thanks various delegates at the IWC, from both sides of the argument, who engaged in numerous discussions about the whaling issue in a number of bars and pubs around the world.

#### List of Contributors

Catherine Booker, Community Conch, George Town, Exuma, Bahamas

Megan M. Draheim, Center for Leadership in Global Sustainability, Virginia Tech, Arlington, VA, USA

Christine Gleason, Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

Jill Lewandowski, Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA, and Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Herndon, VA, USA

Francine Madden, Human-Wildlife Conflict Collaboration, Washington, DC, USA

d'Shan Maycock, ECOnnect, Freeport, Grand Bahama, Bahamas

Julie-Beth McCarthy, Independent researcher, Sparwood, BC, Canada

Brian McQuinn, St Cross College, University of Oxford, UK

E. C. M. Parsons, Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

Rachel S. Sprague, Pacific Islands Regional Office, NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu, HI, USA

Carlie Wiener, Faculty of Environmental Studies, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada

Sarah Wise, MARUM, Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, and ARTEC, Institute for Sustainability Studies, University of Bremen, Germany

### List of Acronyms

ATOC: Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate

BEST: Bahamas Environment Science and Technology Commission

**BNT:** Bahamas National Trust

CEBSE: Conservación y Ecodesarrollo de la Bahía de Samaná y su Entorno

**DMR:** Department of Marine Resources

EHMSC: Elizabeth Harbour Management Steering Committee

FRIENDS: Friends of the Environment GEF: Global Environment Facility

HWCC: Human-Wildlife Conflict Collaboration

IWCAM: Integrating Watershed and Coastal Area Management

IWC: International Whaling Commission LFA: low-frequency active sonar systems MFA: mid-frequency active sonar systems

MPA: marine protected area

NMFS: National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NSF: National Science Foundation PG&E: Pacific Gas and Electric

REA: Rapid Ecological Assessment RMP: Revised Management Procedure SIDS: Small Island Developing States

SNS: sacred natural sites

SPZ: seal protection zone

TEK: traditional ecological knowledge

UNCLOS III: Third United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea

WNP: West Side National Park



#### Introduction

While human-wildlife conflict has long been recognized as a serious conservation threat within the wildlife conservation community, there have been too few opportunities for the sharing of lessons learned and communicating best practices in understanding and addressing the social complexity within the human-wildlife conflict context, perhaps especially in the marine realm. Human-wildlife conflict has classically been defined as a situation where wildlife impacts humans negatively (physically, economically, or psychologically), and where humans likewise negatively impact wildlife. However, there is growing consensus in the human-wildlife conflict community that the conflict between people about wildlife is as much a part of human-wildlife conflict as is the conflict between people and wildlife. Human-wildlife conflict not only affects the conservation of one species in a certain geographic area but also impacts an individual's, community's, and society's desire to support conservation programs in general.

Human-Wildlife Conflict: Complexity in the Marine Environment explores the complexity inherent in situations where human-wildlife conflict plays a role in influencing human actions. The book covers the theory, principles, and practical applications of human-wildlife conflict work, making it accessible and usable for conservation practitioners, as well as of interest to researchers more concerned with a theoretical approach to the subject.

This book is the culmination of several years of work by our entire team. As Francine Madden mentioned in the preface to this book, this was an ambitious project—contributors were expected to not only write about their field of expertise, but they were also given the task of incorporating an innovative theoretical framework, conservation conflict transformation, and more specifically a conservation conflict transformation analysis tool, the levels of conflict model, which was in most cases a new mode of thinking about their case studies. This was challenging, and they deserve great credit for their efforts. From the beginning, we wanted to explore the connections between diverse marine conservation conflict cases. The levels of conflict model gave us a framework in which to do so. Our ultimate goal was to paint a rather broad canvas of case studies that demonstrates the complexity of human-wildlife conflict in the marine environment. As such, we were hesitant to break the chapters into what are ultimately rather arbitrary sections. However, providing some structure to the book was necessary, so three sections were created for the sake of clarity: "Introduction to the levels of conflict," "Policy and human-wildlife conflict," and "Narratives and human-wildlife conflict." Each chapter includes both the thematic levels of conflict analysis and a discussion about the authors' cases from a different disciplinary lens, ranging from the role that storytelling can play in conflict to the challenges of wicked problems and common pool resources, among others.

We wanted to ensure that the connections between all the chapters and sections were clear. While including a levels of conflict analysis in each chapter provided a strong connection across the entire book, we also drew links across the chapters and sections in order to underline this point. Our hope is that it will show the reader that similar elements are found in most case studies of human-wildlife conflict. To further make the book a cohesive whole, the editors also created a short "lessons learned" textbox at the end of each chapter. These are not the only lessons to be had from these rich chapters; rather, they are points that stood out to us as editors.

Although our case studies are marine oriented, our strong belief is that conservation researchers and practitioners who work in terrestrial systems could also benefit from the case studies in this book. At the outset of our project, one of our stated goals was to bring this work to the marine conservation community, but we believe that there are lessons to be learned from these case studies across the entire conservation community. Therefore, our hope is that the audience for this book will not be limited to marine conservationists but will include conservationists across all disciplines.

Our first section, "Introduction to the levels of conflict," has a standalone chapter. Here, Francine Madden and Brian McQuinn provide an introduction to conservation conflict transformation and the levels of conflict model in "Understanding social conflict and complexity in marine conservation." Madden and McQuinn provide an overview of conservation conflict transformation, an innovative framework for understanding and dealing with conservation-related conflict. The levels of conflict model is a conflict analysis tool in the conservation conflict transformation suite and is used throughout this book. Madden and McQuinn provide the introduction to this powerful instrument, as well as providing insight into how to tackle the complex conflict that conservation practitioners and researchers are often faced with.

Our second section, "Policy and human-wildlife conflict," contains five chapters. Catherine Booker and d'Shan Maycock discuss two conservation interventions in the Bahamas in their chapter "Conservation on island time: stakeholder participation and conflict in marine resource management." The first case involves a community-based harbor management initiative, and the second, a program to help make the country's spiny lobster fishery more sustainable. Booker and Maycock explore the different approaches that were brought to these projects, and why one was ultimately more successful than the other. They close with a discussion of best practices when it comes to participatory processes that must tackle conservation-related conflict.

Jill Lewandowski tackles wicked environmental problems in "Transforming wicked environmental problems in the government arena—a case study of the effects of marine sound on marine mammals." Anthropogenic noise in the marine environment has been an ongoing controversy in the United States, involving everyone from environmental groups to the U.S. Navy, to energy and other business interests. It also meets all of the standards of a wicked environmental problem (it has scientific uncertainty, political and regulatory complexity, competing stakeholder interests, a background of conflict and distrust between parties, and decisionmaking processes that only lead to further conflict). Lewandowski explores anthropogenic