



# Monopoly, Competition and the Law:

The Regulation of Business Activity  
in Britain,  
Europe and America

---

Tim Frazer

*Lecturer in Law,  
University of Newcastle upon Tyne*



WHEATSHEAF BOOKS • SUSSEX

---

ST. MARTIN'S PRESS • NEW YORK

First published in Great Britain in 1988 by  
WHEATSHEAF BOOKS LTD  
A MEMBER OF THE HARVESTER PRESS PUBLISHING GROUP  
*Publisher: John Spiers*  
16 Ship Street, Brighton, Sussex  
and in the USA by  
ST. MARTIN'S PRESS, INC.  
175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010

© Tim Frazer, 1988

*British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data*

Frazer, Tim

Monopoly, competition and the law: the  
regulation of business activity in  
Britain, Europe and America.

1. Competition—Government policy

I. Title

338.6'048

HD3611

ISBN 0-7450-0444-X

*Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data*

Frazer, Tim

Monopoly, competition and the law.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Antitrust law—United States. 2. Antitrust law—European  
Economic Community countries. 3. Restraint of trade—European  
Economic Community countries. I. Title.

K 3856.F73 1988 343.4'072 87-28837

ISBN 0-312-01671-9 344.0372

Typeset in Times 11/12 point by Witwell Ltd, Liverpool  
Printed in Great Britain by Billing and Sons Ltd, Worcester

All rights reserved

# Monopoly, Competition and the Law

**To Jan**

The functioning of competition not only requires adequate organisation or certain institutions like money, markets, and channels of information...but it depends above all on the existence of an appropriate legal system, a legal system designed both to preserve competition and to make it operate as beneficially as possible.

Hayek, *The Road to Serfdom* (1974)

## Preface

---

Competition policy inhabits something of a no-man's land between the territories of economics and law. Lawyers trained in traditional legal scholarship are perhaps disquieted by the need to take account of economic principles, and economists are deterred by legal methodology. But this state of affairs is changing rapidly; competition law and policy is taking its place among the mainstream subjects in degree courses in economics and in law.

A number of factors have contributed to this change. A growing scholastic alliance between economics and law has fostered an interest in a closer interdisciplinary scrutiny of many policy areas. There have also been some excellent recent publications to assist the new students of competition policy. But perhaps most of all, competition policy has adopted a much higher profile in society at large. The 'mega merger' wave in the UK has placed the issue of corporate acquisitions on everyone's breakfast table. The daily revelations concerning the merger activities of Guinness, and news of the behaviour of corporate managers in the UK and the US have fuelled this growing interest.

As a result of these developments, there is a general realisation of the importance of competition policy as an aspect of economic regulation. It is the purpose of this book to explain the principles underlying the competition policies of the UK, the EEC, and the US, and the way in which these principles are put into practice. The administration of antitrust—its processes and bureaucracy—are as important as its underlying theories in fashioning the practical policy. These

three legal systems have been chosen because their contrasting styles and objectives highlight the often fierce debate concerning the proper goals and achievements of antitrust. The practical importance of these systems to firms and individuals throughout the trading world is beyond doubt.

I have attempted to write this book in a style which will appeal to both economists and lawyers, and I hope it will be of interest to students and practitioners of both disciplines. It is intended to be neither an encyclopaedia of competition law, nor a treatise on economic theory. It *is* intended to be a guide to the practice and application of a policy which is based on economics but which is effected through the law.

Competition policy does not stand still. In the UK, the government is undertaking a comprehensive review of its policy towards mergers and restrictive trade practices. In the US, there is speculation that the Wall Street scandals will precipitate a movement away from the permissive stance of Chicago economics, towards the closer regulation of business activity. These are exciting times!

Tim Frazer, February 1987



## Table of Cases and Decisions

---

- ABTA: Assoc. of British Travel Agents Agmnt., [1984] ICR 12.
- Addyston Pipe and Steel Co. v. US, 85 F.271 (6th Cir., 1898).
- Addyston Pipe and Steel Co. v. US, 175 US 211 (1899).
- AEG-Telefunken v. E.C. Commission, [1984] 3 CMLR 325.
- AKZO *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 374/1.
- Aloca: US v. Aluminum Co. of America, 148 F. 2d 416 (2nd Cir., 1945).
- Aluminium Imports *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 92/1.
- American Tobacco v. US, 328 US 781 (1946).
- Anderson Strathclyde—*see* Secretary of State, R v.
- Argyll—*see* Monopolies and Mergers Commission, R v.
- Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Society, 457 US 332 (1981).
- Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp., 105 S. Ct. 2847 (1985).
- Associated Transformer Manufacturers' Agmnt., LR 2 RP 295 (1961).
- Bakers' Agmnt.: Federation of Wholesale and Multiple Bakers Agmnt., LR 1 RP 387 (1959).
- Benelux Flat Glass *Decision*, OJ 1984 L 212/3.
- Berkey Photo, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co., 603 F. 2d 263 (2nd Cir., 1979).
- Binon v. Agence et Messageries de la Presse, [1985] 3 CMLR 800.
- Birmingham Building Trades Agmnt., LR 4 RP 54 (1963).
- Black Bolt and Nut Assoc. Agmnt., LR 2 RP 50 (1960).

- Black Bolt and Nut Assoc. Agmnt. (No. 3), LR 6 RP (1965).  
Blanket Manufacturers Agmnt., LR 1 RP 271 (1959).  
Boat Showrooms of London Ltd. v. Horne Brothers (Boat Builders) Ltd, 1980 (*unreported*)  
BPCL/ICI *Decision*, OJ 1984 L 212/1.  
BP Kemi: ATKA v. BP Kemi, [1979] 3 CMLR 684.  
Brasserie De Haecht S.A. v. Wilkin (No. 1), [1967] ECR 407.  
British Basic Slag Ltd v. Registrar of Restrictive Trading Agreements, LR 4 RP 116 (1963).  
British Bottle Assoc. Agmnt., LR 2 RP 345 (1961).  
British Heavy Steel Makers Agmnt., LR 5 RP 33 (1964).  
British Iron and Steel Founders Agmnt., LR 4 RP 299 (1964).  
British Jute Trade Agmnts., LR 4 RP 399 (1963).  
British Leyland v. E.C. Commission, *The Times* 12 November 1986  
British Paper and Board Makers Assoc. Agmnt., LR 4 RP 1 (1963).  
Broadcast Music v. Columbia Broadcasting System, 441 US 1 (1979).  
Brown Shoe v. US, 370 US 294 (1962).  
Bull v. Pitney Bowes Ltd, [1967] 1 WLR 273.  
Byars v. Bluff City News, 609 F. 2d 843 (6th Cir., 1979).
- Camera Care Ltd. v. E.C. Commission, [1980] 1 CMLR 334.  
Carbon Gas Technologies *Decision* [1984] 2 CMLR 275.  
Carlsberg *Decision*, [1985] 1 CMLR 735.  
Cast Iron and Steel Rolls, [1984] 1 CMLR 694.  
Cellophane: US v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., 351 US 377 (1956).  
Cement Makers Federation Agmnt., LR 2 RP 241 (1961)  
Centrafarm v. Sterling, [1974] ECR 1147.  
Chemist Federation Agmnt. (No. 2), LR 1 RP 75 (1958).  
Chicago Board of Trade v. US, 246 US 231 (1918).  
Clubtwo Ltd v. Ongakusha Ltd, 1983 (*unreported*).  
Colgate: US v. Colgate & Co., 250 US 300 (1919).  
Columbia Steel, US v. 334 US 495 (1948)  
Commercial Solvents v. E.C. Commission, [1974] 1 CMLR 309.  
Consten and Grundig v. E.C. Commission, [1966] CMLR 418.  
Continental Can v. E.C. Commission, [1973] ECR 215.

**Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.**, 104 S. Ct. 2731 (1984).

**Deacons v. Bridge**, [1984] 2 All ER 19.

**John Deere Decision**, OJ 1985 L 35/58.

**Demo-Studio Schmidt v. E.C. Commission**, [1984] 1 CMLR 63.

**Deutsche Philips Decision**, [1973] CMLR D241.

**Diazo Copying Materials**, [1984] ICR 429.

**Distant Water Vessels Development Scheme**, LR 6 RP 242 (1966).

**Doncaster and Retford Co-operative Society Agmnt.**, LR 2 RP 105 (1960).

**Dr Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Park and Sons**, 320 US 373 (1911).

**Dyer's case**: John Dyer, Year Book, 2 Hen V, pl. 26 (1414).

**Dyestuffs: ICI v. Commission**, [1972] CMLR 557.

**Dystuffs Decision**, OJ 1969 L195/11.

**Eastham v. Newcastle United Football Club Ltd**, [1964] 1 Ch. 413.

**Esso Petroleum Ltd v. Harper's Garage (Stourport) Ltd**, [1968] AC 269

**Faccenda Chicken Ltd v. Fowler**, [1985] 1 All ER 724.

**Falls City Industries v. Vanco Beverage**, 460 US 428 (1983).

**Federation of British Carpet Manufacturers Agmnts.**, LR 1 RP 472 (1960)

**FEDETAB v. E.C. Commission**, [1980] ECR 3125.

**Finance Houses Assoc. Agmnt.**, LR 5 RP 366 (1965).

**Ford (No. 2) Decision**, [1984] 1 CMLR 596.

**Ford Body Panels Settlement**, Bull-EC 1-1986 point 2.1.49 (1986).

**FTC v. Motion Picture Advertising**, 344 US 392 (1953).

**FTC v. Sperry and Hutchinson Co.**, 405 US 233 (1972).

**Garden Cottage Foods v. Milk Marketing Board** [1984] AC 130.

**General Dynamics Corp., US v.**, 415 US 486 (1974).

**General Motors v. E.C. Commission**, [1976] 1 CMLR 95.

Glazed and Floor Tile Home Trade Assoc. Agmnt., LR 4 RP 239 (1963).

Greer v. Sketchley Ltd, [1979] IRLR 445.

Greig v. Insole [1978]. 3 All ER 449.

Grinnell Corp., US v., 334 US 100 (1966).

Grohe *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 19/17.

GVL v. E.C. Commission, [1983] 3 CMLR 645.

Hag, Van Zuylen v. [1974] 2 CMLR 127.

Hasselblad v. E.C. Commission, [1984] 1 CMLR 559.

Henkel/Colgate *Decision*, JO 1972 L 14/4.

Hoffman-La Roche v. E.C. Commission, [1979] 3 CMLR 211.

Hugin Kassaregister v. E.C. Commission, [1979] 3 CMLR 345.

IBM v. E.C. Commission, [1981] 3 CMLR 93.

International Salt Co. v. US, 332 US 392 (1947).

Ivoclar *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 369/1.

Japanese Ball-Bearings *Decision*, [1975] 1 CMLR D8.

Jefferson Parish Hospital v. Hyde, 466 US 2 (1984).

Laker Airways v. British Airways, [1984] 3 All ER 39.

Lectro-Vend Corp. v. Vendo Co., 660 F. 2d 255 (7th Cir., 1980).

Lexington Bank: US v. First National Bank and Trust Co. of Lexington, 376 US 665 (1964).

Linoleum Manufacturers Assoc. Agmnt., LR 2 RP 395 (1961).

Littlewoods Organisation Ltd v. Harris, [1978] 1 All ER 1026.

Locked-Coil Ropemakers Assoc. Agmnt., LR 5 RP 146 (1964).

Lorain Journal, US v. 342 US 143 (1951).

Maize Seeds: Nungesser v. E.C. Commission, [1983] 1 CMLR 278.

Mallaig and N.W. Fishermen Assoc. Agmnt., LR 7 RP 178 (1970).

Matsushita Electrical Industrial Co. Ltd v. Zenith Radio Corp., 106 S. Ct. 1348 (1986).

- MCI Communications Corp v. AT & T, USCA (7th Cir., 12 January 1983).
- Mecaniver/PPG Industries *Decision*, [1985] 3 CMLR 359.
- Merck v. Stephar [1981] 3 CMLR 463.
- Metro v. E.C. Commission, [1978] 2 CMLR 1.
- Michelin v. E.C. Commission, [1985] 1 CMLR 282.
- Mitchel v. Reynolds, 1 P. Wms 181 (1711).
- Monopolies and Mergers Commissions *ex parte* Argyll, R. v. [1986] 2 All ER 257.
- Monsanto Co. v. Spray Rite Service Corp., 104 S. Ct. 1464 (1984).
- Motor Vehicle Distribution Scheme Agmnt., LR 2 RP 173 (1961).
- Musique Diffusion Française v. E.C. Commission, [1983] 3 CMLR 221.
- Nagle v. Feilden, [1966] 2 QB 633.
- National Federation of Retail Newsagents etc. Agmnt. (Nos 3 and 4), LR 7 RP 27 (1969).
- National Panasonic (UK) Ltd v. E.C. Commission, [1980] 3 CMLR 169.
- National Society of Professional Engineers v. US, 435 US 679 (1978).
- National Sulphuric Acid Assoc. Agmnt., LR 4 RP 169 (1963).
- Net Book Agmnt., LR 3 RP 246 (1963).
- Newspaper Proprietors Assoc. Agmnt., LR 2 RP 453 (1961).
- Nordenfelt v. The Maxim Nordenfelt Guns and Ammunition Co. Ltd, [1894] AC 535.
- Northern Pacific Railroad Co. v. US, 365 US 1 (1958).
- Nutricia *Decision*, [1984] 2 CMLR 165.
- Nutricia: Remia BV and Bedrijven Nutricia NV v. E.C. Commission [1987] 1 CLMR 1
- Official Airline Guides v. F.T.C., 630 F. 2d 920 (2nd Cir., 1980).
- Otter Tail Power Co. v. US, 410 US 366 (1973).
- Paramount Pictures, US v. 334 US 131 (1948).
- Permanent Magnet Assoc. Agmnt., LR 3 RP 119 (1962).
- Peroxygen Products *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 35/1.

Petrofina (Gt. Britain) Ltd. v. Martin, [1966] 1 Ch 146.  
Pharmon v. Hoechst, [1985] 3 CMLR 775.  
Phenol Producers Agmnt., LR 2 RP 1 (1960).  
Philadelphia National Bank, US v. 374 US 321 (1963).  
Polistil/Arbois *Decision*, OJ 1984 L 136/9.  
Pronuptia v. Pronuptia, [1986] 1 CMLR 414.

R v. (*see name of opposing party*)

Ravenseft Properties Ltd v. DGFT, [1977] ICR 136.  
Registrar of Restrictive Trading Agreements v. W.H. Smith,  
[1969] 1 WLR 1460.  
Reuter/BASF *Decision*, [1976] 2 CMLR D44.  
Rockwell-Iveco *Decision*, [1983] 3 CMLR 709.

Schwinn: US v. Arnold, Schwinn & Co., 338 US 365 (1967).  
Scottish Assoc. of Master Bakers Agmnt., LR 1 RP 347 (1959).  
Scottish Daily Newspapers Society Agmnt., LR 7 RP 401  
(1972).

Secretary of State *ex parte* Anderson Strathclyde PLC, R. v.  
[1986] 2 All ER 257.

Sells Engineering, US v. 463 US 418 (1983).

A. Shroeder Music Publishing Co. v. Macaulay, [1974] 3 All  
ER 616.

SHV/Chevron *Decision*, [1975] 1 CMLR D68.

Socony Vacuum Oil Co. v. US, 310 US 150 (1940).

Sperry & Hutchinson, FTC v. 405 US 223 (1972)

Standard Metal Window Group Agmnt., LR 3 RP 198 (1962).

Standard Oil of California and Standard Stations v. US, 337  
US 293 (1949).

Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey v. US, 221 US 1 (1911).

Stenhouse Australia Ltd v. Phillips, [1974] 1 All ER 117.

Sugar: Suiker Unie U.A. v. E.C. Commission, [1975] ECR  
1663.

Sylvania: Continental TV v. GTE Sylvania, 433 US 36 (1977).

Synthetic Fibres *Decision*, [1985] 1 CMLR 787.

Tampa Electrical Co. v. Nashville Coal Co., 365 US 320  
(1961).

Tele-Marketing v. CLT and IPB, [1986] 2 CMLR 558.

Telex Corp. v. IBM, 510 F. 2d 894 (10th Cir., 1975).

Terminal Railroad Assoc. of St Louis, US v. 224 US 383 (1912).

Terrapin (Overseas) Ltd v. Terranova Industrie, [1976] 2 CMLR 482.

Texaco Ltd v. Mulberry Filling Stations Ltd, [1972] 1 All ER 513.

Theatre Enterprises v. Paramount Film, 346 US 537 (1954).

Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America, 549 F. 2d 594 (9th Cir., 1976).

Topco Associates, US v. 405 US 596 (1972).

Trans-Missouri Freight Assoc., US v. 166 US 1290 (1897).

Tyre Trade Register Agmnt., LR 3 RP 404 (1963).

Uniform Eurocheques *Decision*, OJ 1985 L 35/43.

United Brands Co. v. E.C. Commission, [1978] 1 CMLR 429.

United Shoe Machinery Corp., US v. 110 F. Supp. 295 (1953).

United States v. (*see name of opposing party*)

United State Gypsum Co., US v. 438 US 422 (1978).

Uranium Antitrust Litigation, 67 F. 2d 1248 (1980)

VBBB/VBVB *Decision*, [1982] 2 CMLR 344.

VBVB and VBBB v. E.C. Commission, [1985] 1 CMLR 27.

Vegetable Parchment *Decision*, OJ 1977 L 70/54.

Vimpolto *Decision*, [1983] 3 CMLR 619.

VW/Man *Decision*, [1984] 1 CMLR 621.

Waste Management Inc., US v. 743 F. 2d 976 (1984).

Water Services Inc. v. Tesco Chemicals Inc., 410 F. 2d 163 (1969).

Water-Tube Boiler Makers Assoc., LR 1 RP 285 (1959).

Wholesale Confectioners Alliance Agmnt., LR 2 RP 135 (1960).

Windsurfing International Inc. v. AMF Inc., 782 F. 2d 995 (1986).

Yarn Spinners Assoc. Agmnt., LR 1 RP 118 (1959).

Yves Rocher *Settlement*, OJ 1986 C95/3.

Zinc Producer Group *Decision* [1985] 2 CMLR 108.

Gerhard Zuchner v. Bayerische Vereinsbank, [1982] 1 CMLR 313.

# Contents

---

<i>List of Tables</i>	viii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases and Decisions</i>	xi
1. The Policy of Antitrust	1
2. Monopoly Policy	9
3. Merger Policy	71
4. The Restraint of Trade Doctrine	106
5. Restrictive Trade Practices	119
6. Anticompetitive Practices	197
7. Extraterritoriality	241
<i>Bibliography</i>	248
<i>Index</i>	259



## List of Tables

---

Table 1: Recent monopoly reports of the MMC	20
Table 2: Recent merger reports of the MMC	83
Table 3: 'Gateway' decisions of the Restrictive Practices Court	141
Table 4: Competition reports of the Director General of Fair Trading	202
Table 5: Competition reports of the MMC	206