V-OF-JUSTICE I



# AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

THE BILL OF RIGHTS AND SUBSEQUENT AMENDMENTS

VOLUME II

EIGHTH EDITION

Ralph A. Rossum and G. Alan Tarr

Copyright © 2010 by Westview Press

Published by Westview Press, A Member of the Perseus Books Group

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this book may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. For information, address Westview Press, 2465 Central Avenue, Boulder, CO 80301.

Find us on the World Wide Web at www.westviewpress.com.

Every effort has been made to secure required permissions to use all images, maps, and other art included in this volume.

Westview Press books are available at special discounts for bulk purchases in the United States by corporations, institutions, and other organizations. For more information, please contact the Special Markets Department at the Perseus Books Group, 2300 Chestnut Street, Suite 200, Philadelphia, PA 19103, or call (800) 810-4145, x5000, or e-mail special.markets@perseusbooks.com.

Composition in Adobe Garamond by Cynthia Young.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Rossum, Ralph A., 1946–

American constitutional law / Ralph A. Rossum, G. Alan Tarr. — 8th ed.

v. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Contents: v. 1. Structure of government — v. 2. The Bill of Rights and subsequent amendments.

ISBN 978-0-8133-4477-5 (alk. paper) — ISBN 978-0-8133-4478-2 (alk. paper)

1. Constitutional law—United States—Cases. 2. Separation of powers—United States—Cases. 3. Federal government—United States—Cases. 4. Civil rights— United States—Cases. I. Tarr, G. Alan (George Alan) II. Title.

KF4549.R67 2008

342.73—dc22

2009014218



## About the Authors

Ralph A. Rossum is Henry Salvatori Professor of American Constitutionalism and director of the Rose Institute of State and Local Government at Claremont McKenna College. He earned his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago and is the author of several books, including Antonin Scalia's Jurisprudence: Text and Tradition (2006); Federalism, the Supreme Court, and the Seventeenth Amendment: The Irony of Constitutional Democracy (2001); Congressional Control of the Judiciary: The Article III Option (1988); The American Founding: Politics, Statesmanship, and the Constitution (1981); Reverse Discrimination: The Constitutional Debate (1979); and The Politics of the Criminal Justice System: An Organizational Analysis (1978). He has served in the U.S. Department of Justice as deputy director of its Bureau of Justice Statistics and as a board member of its National Institute of Corrections.

G. Alan Tarr is director of the Center for State Constitutional Studies and Distinguished Professor of Political Science at Rutgers University-Camden. He received his doctorate from the University of Chicago. He is the author of several books, including Judicial Process and Judicial Policymaking (5th edition, 2010), Understanding State Constitutions (1998), and State Supreme Courts in State and Nation (1988). He is coeditor of the three-volume State Constitutions for the Twenty-first Century (2005), Constitutional Origins, Structure, and Change in Federal Countries (2005), Federalism, Subnational Constitutions, and Minority Rights (2004), and several other volumes. Three times the recipient of fellowships from the National Endowment for the Humanities and more recently a Fulbright Fellow, Professor Tarr has served as a consultant to the U.S. Department of State, the American Bar Association, the National Center for State Courts, and several state governments. He has lectured on American constitutionalism and federalism throughout the United States, as well as in Africa, Asia, Europe, North America, and South America.

\_\_\_\_



To the Memory of Herbert J. Storing



## **Preface**

American Constitutional Law, Eighth Edition, is designed as a basic text for courses in constitutional law and civil liberties. As with the previous editions, its approach to these subjects is based on three major premises.

First, the study of the Constitution and constitutional law is of fundamental importance to a full and coherent understanding of the principles, prospects, and problems of America's democratic republic. Cases should be examined not merely to foster an appreciation of what court majorities have thought of particular issues at certain points in time (although that is obviously important), but also to gain a deeper and fuller understanding of the principles at the very heart of the American constitutional system. To that end, this text emphasizes precedent-setting cases and presents comprehensive expositions of alternative constitutional positions. Substantial excerpts from cases and other constitutionally significant pronouncements have been included so that students can grapple with the arguments and justifications for these alternative positions. To ensure that the best arguments on all sides of a constitutional question are presented, extensive extracts of both concurring and dissenting opinions have been included.

Second, no interpretation of the Constitution can be evaluated properly without an appreciation of what those who initially drafted and ratified the Constitution sought to accomplish. The text incorporates documentary evidence in seeking to identify and explain the original purposes of the Constitution and the means provided for the achievement of those purposes. This inquiry into the Framers' understanding of the Constitution, in turn, furnishes one of the criteria for evaluating judicial decisions and constitutionally significant pronouncements from the executive and legislative branches.

Third, the study of the Constitution involves much more than an examination of its judicial interpretation. The Constitution is not merely what the Supreme Court says it is; its words are not so many empty vessels into which justices can pour meaning. Accordingly, this volume examines the interpretations of a variety of sources. The original

understanding of the founding generation is one source. Another, equally indispensable source is, of course, the Supreme Court, whose decisions have influenced so profoundly our understanding of the Constitution and its principles. And because other governmental bodies have contributed significantly to the overall interpretation of the Constitution, this text includes decisions of the lower federal courts and state judiciaries and also extrajudicial materials of constitutional significance such as certain congressional acts and resolutions and executive orders.

As we approach constitutional questions throughout this text, we begin by turning to the Framers. We do so, however, not so much for specific answers as for general guidance concerning what the Constitution was designed to accomplish. Obviously no interpretation can be expected to conform strictly to the expectations of the Framers. Other legitimate approaches may also contribute to an understanding of the Constitution, relying variously on analysis of the text itself, judicial precedent, constitutional doctrine, logical reasoning, adaptation of constitutional provisions to changing circumstances, and foreign law and sources. All these approaches are described in Chapter 1.

The structure of the volumes might be seen as a reflection of James Madison's observation in The Federalist, No. 51, that "in framing a government which is to be administered by men over men, the great difficulty lies in this: you must first enable the government to control the governed; and in the next place; oblige it to control itself." Chapter 1 explores in general how the Constitution was designed to resolve this difficulty, and Chapter 2 introduces the reader to the actual process of constitutional adjudication. The remainder of this two-volume work systematically examines how the Constitution and its amendments not only grant the national and state governments sufficient power to control the governed, but also oblige these governments to control themselves. Chapters 3 through 6 of Volume I consider the distribution of power in the national government. As a group, these chapters explore how the constitutional scheme of separation of powers and checks and balances both grants and controls power. Because of the importance of the distribution of power among the branches of the national government, we devote separate chapters to the judiciary, the Congress, the presidency, and war and foreign affairs. Chapters 7 through 11 of Volume I consider the distribution of power between the national government and the states and between the national government and Native American tribal governments. These chapters focus on how the division of power among various governments in the United States helps to advance the ends of the Constitution. Chapter 12 (repeated as Chapter 4 in Volume II) and Chapters 3 through 11 of Volume II shift to an examination of the distribution of power between the government and the individual. The emphasis in these chapters is not so much on institutional contrivances that oblige the government to control itself as on the Bill of Rights and those subsequent amendments that guarantee specific rights and liberties, an emphasis that illuminates the way in which our most precious rights and liberties increasingly have become dependent for their vindication not upon constitutional structure but upon what *The Federalist* called mere "parchment barriers."

With the exception of the first two chapters, each chapter opens with an introductory essay that is then followed by cases and, where appropriate, extrajudicial materials. Each essay ends with extensive notes that provide valuable explanatory details and references to additional materials and a list of suggested readings, including essays in *The Federalist*, additional cases, and scholarly books and articles. Each case also has its own introductory headnote, which provides historical perspective, indicates where the case stands in relation to current law, and gives the final court vote. Some cases have end notes that elaborate on the short- and long-term consequences of the decision. The text includes four

appendices: the Constitution of the United States, a list of Supreme Court justices, a glossary of legal terms, and a table of cases.

We encourage readers to visit the Web site for American Constitutional Law, which is found at www.westviewconlaw.com. We include three sets of cases on the Web site. First, under New Cases, we will periodically post our edited versions of major court rulings decided after the publication of the Eighth Edition. Instructors can use these cases to supplement and update materials presented in the casebook, and the cases listed on the Web site give a good indication of those cases likely to be included in subsequent editions of American Constitutional Law. Second, we include several cases and other materials that appear in one volume of the casebook but that may also be of interest to instructors using the other volume. Cases appearing in Volume I and reproduced on the Web site include Marbury v. Madison, Korematsu v. United States, The Civil Rights Cases, Dred Scott v. Sandford, and Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Company. Cases and materials appearing in Volume II and reproduced on the Web site include Rostker v. Goldberg, Griswold v. Connecticut; Roe v. Wade; City of Boerne v. Flores, Archbishop of San Antonio; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991. Finally, to accommodate the varying needs of instructors while keeping the casebook a manageable length, we also include cases that appeared in earlier editions of American Constitutional Law but do not appear in the current edition.

We thank the excellent editorial staff at Westview Press for so smoothly and efficiently bringing the eighth edition into print. We express particular gratitude to Toby Wahl, senior acquisition editor; Meredith Smith, project editor; Cathleen Tetro, publisher; Erica Lawrence, marketing manager; Kelsey Mitchell, editorial assistant; and Beth Wright and Ann Delgehausen of Trio Bookworks, copyeditors.

Any errors of fact or interpretation are, of course, solely our responsibility. Finally, we wish to express our gratitude to our wives, Constance and Susan, for their patience, understanding, and loving support throughout this project.

Ralph A. Rossum G. Alan Tarr



## Note to the Reader

The authors of American Constitutional Law have adopted a convention to inform the reader of how each justice then serving on the Supreme Court voted in each of the cases presented in these two volumes. The convention is perhaps best explained by an example. At the end of the headnote to Kelo v. City of New London (2005), a case found in "Economic Due Process and the Takings Clause" (Chapter 12 in Volume I and Chapter 4 in Volume II), the following language is found: "Opinion of the Court: Stevens, Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer. Concurring opinion: Kennedy. Dissenting opinions: O'Connor, Rehnquist, Scalia, Thomas; Thomas." This language indicates that (1) the Court in Kelo was divided 5 to 4 on the question before it; (2) Justice Stevens wrote the Opinion of the Court in which Justices Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, and Breyer joined (for any opinion, be it the Opinion of the Court, the judgment of the Court, a concurrence, or a dissent, the author's name is listed first and underscored, followed by the names of the other justices who join in that opinion, listed in order of seniority); (3) Justice Kennedy wrote a separate concurring opinion (concurring opinions are listed separately from opinions in which justices concur only in the judgment of the Court); (4) Justices O'Connor, Scalia, and Thomas and Chief Justice Rehnquist dissented; (5) Justice O'Connor wrote a dissenting opinion in which Chief Justice Rehnquist and Justices Scalia and Thomas joined; and (6) Justice Thomas wrote a separate dissenting opinion (each concurring or dissenting opinion is separated from the others by a semicolon). Throughout the casebook, the name of any justice who wrote an opinion in a case is underscored whether or not excerpts from that opinion are actually included in the text.

# AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW



# Contents

# PREFACE xvii NOTE TO THE READER xxi

#### 1 INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION 1

Approaches to Constitutional Interpretation 4
The Approaches in Perspective 14
The Ends of the Constitution 15
Constitutional Means to Constitutional Ends 16
Notes 21
Selected Reading 24

#### 2 CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION 26

The Justices of the Supreme Court 27
The Supreme Court in the Federal Judicial System 29
How Cases Get to the Supreme Court 30
How the Supreme Court Decides Cases 34
The Impact of Supreme Court Decisions 38
Analyzing Supreme Court Decisions 42
Sources in Constitutional Law 44
Notes 46
Selected Reading 48

#### 3 RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION 49

Rights and the Founding 50
The Fourteenth Amendment 52
Due Process and the Bill of Rights 54
Rights During Wartime and Other Emergencies 59
The Second Amendment 63
Notes 66
Selected Reading 68

#### CASES

Barron v. Baltimore (1833) 70
Palko v. Connecticut (1937) 71
Adamson v. California (1947) 73
Duncan v. Louisiana (1968) 76
Ex parte Milligan (1866) 79
Korematsu v. United States (1944) 82
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld (2004) 86
Boumediene v. Bush (2008) 96
District of Columbia v. Heller (2008) 106

#### 4 ECONOMIC DUE PROCESS AND THE TAKINGS CLAUSE 119

The Fourteenth Amendment 120

The Evisceration (and Possible Recent Restoration?) of the Privileges or Immunities Clause 121

Economic Regulation and the Rise of Substantive Due Process 123

The Demise of Substantive Due Process in the Economic Realm 126

Punitive Damages: An Exception to the Demise of Substantive

Due Process in the Economic Realm? 127

The Emergence of Substantive Due Process in the Civil

Liberties Realm 129

The Takings Clause 130

Notes 134

Selected Reading 136

#### CASES

The Slaughter-House Cases (1873) 138
Saenz v. Roe (1999) 143
Munn v. Illinois (1877) 150
Lochner v. New York (1905) 153
West Coast Hotel Company v. Parrish (1937) 156
Williamson v. Lee Optical Company (1955) 158

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Campbell (2003) 159
United States v. Carolene Products Company (1938) 162
Kelo v. City of New London (2005) 163
Nollan v. California Coastal Commission (1987) 170
Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council (1992) 175
Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning

Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (2002) 178

Dolan v. City of Tigard (1994) 183

#### 5 FREEDOM OF SPEECH, PRESS, AND ASSOCIATION 186

The Meaning of the First Amendment 187

First Amendment Standards 189

Political Expression 192

The Regulation of Speech 195

Restraints on the Press 201

Libel and Invasion of Privacy 204

Obscenity 205

Conclusions 207

Notes 208

Selected Reading 209

#### **CASES**

Gitlow v. New York (1925) 211

Schenck v. United States (1919) 213

Dennis v. United States (1951) 214

Barenblatt v. United States (1959) 218

Brandenburg v. Ohio (1969) 222

McConnell v. Federal Election Commission (2003) 223

Boy Scouts of America v. Dale (2000) 239

Texas v. Johnson (1989) 246

R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul (1992) 249

Hill v. Colorado (2000) 252

Near v. Minnesota (1931) 257

New York Times Company v. United States (1971) 259

Branzburg v. Hayes (1972) 263

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Federal Communications

Commission (1987) 265

New York Times v. Sullivan (1964) 268

Miller v. California/Paris Adult Theater I v. Slaton (1973) 270

Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union (1997) 273

Indianapolis Anti-Pornography Ordinance (1984) 278

#### 6 FREEDOM OF RELIGION 280

Establishment of Religion 281

Free Exercise of Religion 286

Reconciling the Religion Clauses 289

Trends and Prospects 290

Notes 291

Selected Reading 292

#### CASES

Everson v. Board of Education (1947) 293

School District of Abington Township v. Schempp (1963) 295

Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971) 298

Wallace v. Jaffree (1985) 301

Lee v. Weisman (1992) 304

McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union (2005) 310

Van Orden v. Perry (2005) 315

Rosenberger v. University of Virginia (1995) 320

Zelman v. Simmons-Harris (2002) 324

West Virginia Board of Education v. Barnette (1943) 333

Sherbert v. Verner (1963) 336

Lyng v. Northwest Indian Cemetery Protective Association (1988) 338

Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of

Oregon v. Smith (1990) 341

City of Boerne v. Flores, Archbishop of San Antonio (1997) 346

#### 7 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 350

The Ex Post Facto Clauses 352

Search and Seizure 353

Self-Incrimination and Coerced Confessions 363

Due Process of Law 366

The Right to Counsel 368

The Insanity Defense 370

The Entrapment Defense 371

Trial by Jury 372

The Right to a Speedy Trial 374

The Right to Confrontation 374

Plea Bargaining 375

Bail and Pretrial Detention 376

Cruel and Unusual Punishments 377

Prisoners' Rights 379

Retroactive Application of Criminal Procedure Guarantees 381

Basic Themes in the Court's Criminal Procedure Decisions 382

Notes 383

Selected Reading 386

**CASES** 

Stogner v. California (2003) 388

Smith v. Doe (2003) 391

Board of Education of Independent School District No. 92 of

Pottawatomie County v. Earls (2002) 395

Indianapolis v. Edmond (2000) 400

Mapp v. Ohio (1961) 403

Olmstead v. United States (1928) 407

Katz v. United States (1967) 410

Miranda v. Arizona (1966) 412

Dickerson v. United States (2000) 419

Nix v. Williams (1984) 424

Connecticut Department of Public Safety v. Doe (2003) 429

Powell v. Alabama (1932) 430

Gideon v. Wainwright (1963) 432

Clark v. Arizona (2006) 434

Jacobson v. United States (1992) 438

Blakely v. Washington (2004) 442

Davis v. Washington (2006) 448

Gregg v. Georgia (1976) 452

Roper v. Simmons (2005) 457

Kennedy v. Louisiana (2008) 465

Harmelin v. Michigan (1991) 475

Ewing v. California (2003) 480

#### 8 THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE AND RACIAL DISCRIMINATION 488

Race and the Founding 489

Racial Desegregation 494

Private Discrimination and the Concept of State Action 502

Racial Discrimination in Jury Trials 505

Racial Discrimination in Prisons 506

Proof of Discrimination: Impact Versus Intent 507

Notes 508

Selected Reading 510

CASES

Plessy v. Ferguson (1896) 512

Brown v. Board of Education (1954) 514

Bolling v. Sharpe (1954) 517

Brown v. Board of Education (1955) 518

Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education (1971) 519
Milliken v. Bradley (1974) 522
United States v. Fordice (1992) 528
Missouri v. Jenkins (1995) 536
Shelley v. Kraemer (1948) 543
Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis (1972) 545
Georgia v. McCollum (1992) 548
Johnson v. California (2005) 552
Wards Cove Packing Co. v. Atonio (1989) 558
The Civil Rights Act of 1991 561

#### 9 SUBSTANTIVE EQUAL PROTECTION 563

The Two-Tier Approach 565
The Development of an Intermediate Level of Review 566
Suspect Classifications 568
Fundamental Rights 581
The Future of Equal Protection Analysis 582
Notes 583
Selected Reading 584

#### CASES

Richmond v. Croson Company (1989) Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena (1995) 596 Grutter v. Bollinger (2003) 602 Gratz v. Bollinger (2003) 615 Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1 (2007) 619 Foley v. Connelie (1978) 632 Trimble v. Gordon (1977) 634 Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia (1976) 638 Frontiero v. Richardson (1973) 641 United States v. Virginia (1996) 643 Rostker v. Goldberg (1981) Shapiro v. Thompson (1969) 654 San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez (1973) Nordlinger v. Hahn (1992)

#### 10 VOTING AND REPRESENTATION 667

Equal Protection and the Right to Vote 668
Race and Representation: The Fifteenth Amendment and the Voting Rights Act 676

Notes 680

Selected Reading 681

#### **CASES**

Wesberry v. Sanders (1964) 684

Reynolds v. Sims (1964) 686

Vieth v. Jubelirer (2004) 690

Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections (1966) 698

Dunn v. Blumstein (1972) 701

Crawford v. Marion County Election Board (2008) 704

Bush v. Gore (2000) 710

Katzenbach v. Morgan (1966) 721

Thornburg v. Gingles (1986) 724

Shaw v. Reno (1993) 726

League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry (2006) 731

#### 11 THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY 740

The Constitutional Basis 741

What the Right to Privacy Protects 742

Qualifications on the Right to Privacy 753

Privacy and the Right to Die 754

Notes 754

Selected Reading 757

#### CASES

Troxel v. Granville (2000) 759

Griswold v. Connecticut (1965) 762

Roe v. Wade (1973) 767

Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey (1992) 772

Gonzales v. Carhart (2007) 786

Lawrence v. Texas (2003) 799

In re Marriage Cases (2008) 805

Defense of Marriage Act of 1996 812

Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health (1990) 813

Washington v. Glucksberg (1997) 820

Vacco v. Quill (1997) 826

# THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 829 JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT 843 GLOSSARY OF COMMON LEGAL TERMS 847 TABLE OF CASES 851



# Interpretation of the Constitution

Approaches to Constitutional Interpretation

The Approaches in Perspective

The Ends of the Constitution

Constitutional Means to Constitutional Ends

