DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL TEACHING

BY

LEO J. BRUECKNER

Professor of Elementary Education University of Minnesota

AND

ERNEST O. MELBY

Professor of Education Northwestern University



HOUGHTON MIFFLIN COMPANY

BOSTON · NEW YORK · CHICAGO · DALLAS
ATLANTA · SAN FRANCISCO

The Riverside Press Cambridge

COPYRIGHT, 1931

BY LEO J. BRUECKNER AND ERNEST O. MELBY

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO REPRODUCE
THIS BOOK OR PARTS THEREOF IN ANY FORM

The Riverside Press

CAMBRIDGE · MASSACHUSETTS
PRINTED IN THE U.S.A.

RIVERSIDE TEXTBOOKS IN EDUCATION

EDITED BY ELLWOOD P. CUBBERLEY DEAN OF THE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

A QUARTER of a century ago both teachers and principals paid but little attention to any individual differences that may have been evident in the learning ability of children under their control. Semi-annual promotions and an occasional special advancement of a capable pupil constituted practically all that was attempted by way of adjusting instruction to individual needs. The vast differences in pupil abilities which we now know to exist, and make some attempts to adjust our instruction to meet, were then largely ignored or entirely unknown. The coming of the test and measurement movement after about 1908, which revealed the wide differences in accomplishment of pupils, and the development of intelligence testing since 1911, which has made us aware of the vast differences in ability to learn, have in time changed the whole aspect of both school instruction and school supervision. What before had been but dimly sensed by a few now stands revealed to all, and it is seen that school work must be changed from guesswork to scientific accuracy, and school supervision from a managerial type of job for which little or no professional preparation is needed to an expert professional service for which careful preparation is required.

Since the beginning of the test and measurement movement we have traveled far. From one standardized test in 1908 we today have around six hundred standardized measuring instruments, in many school subjects and for many different educational purposes. Besides tests for intelligence and achievement, there are analytical subject tests, general survey tests, diagnostic tests, prognostic tests,

rate tests, accuracy tests, and personal-trait tests, to mention the more important types, and the problem now is how and when and for what purposes should these different types be used, how the results obtained from their use are to be interpreted in the light of pupil needs, and how teaching adjustments that will be effective are to be made. Stated another way, how can these new tools best be used as guides in adapting the instruction of the schoolroom to that wide range of individual differences which we now recognize that children possess?

The authors of the present volume have taken this problem for their theme, and have offered us a treatise dealing with diagnostic procedure and remedial treatment as applied to the work of the elementary school. After setting forth the nature and function of standardized educational tests. the aspects of intellectual progress that are to be measured. and the nature and the technique of educational diagnosis, they proceed, in some detail, to deal with diagnostic and remedial procedures for each of the elementary school subjects. For each subject considered they first set forth the agreed-upon objectives in instruction, the levels of attainment, and the specific skills that need to be developed. They next describe and illustrate the different standardized tests that may be used for different diagnostic purposes, explain how to measure progress and how to diagnose peculiar difficulties, for both individuals and groups, and finally they describe the different remedial techniques that have been developed.

A helpful book of this type, covering the elementary school field, has been needed for some time, and a study of the procedures here presented should be enlightening to both teachers and supervisory officers. The volume at the same time contains materials that make it an important classroom textbook in the use of tests and measurements, and an

exceedingly useful reference work on both diagnostic procedures and remedial techniques in the instructional work of the elementary school. It is a noteworthy contribution to our educational literature.

ELLWOOD P. CUBBERLEY

PREFACE

The development of the measurement movement in education has influenced instruction in many ways. It has provided techniques whereby the individual differences of children have been determined quantitatively and portrayed in such a striking manner that the schools can no longer ignore them. More recently, efforts have been made to develop methods for the utilization of measuring devices in the adaptation of instruction to the needs, interests, and capacities of children. This book gives primary consideration to the ways in which test procedures have modified classroom teaching, the techniques that may be used to diagnose the nature and causes of pupil difficulties, and the methods by which remedial adjustments of various kinds may be made.

The discussion is directed to the teacher rather than to the specialist. Emphasis is placed on the techniques of diagnostic and remedial teaching which experience has shown can be effectively employed by the average teacher. In a number of places devices in early and imperfect stages of development have been included in the belief that they may be suggestive to teachers in their work of developing new techniques. A definite attempt has been made to show how teaching procedures related to the skill subjects may be based on test methods or other objective devices in such a way that the traditional mass instruction found in many of our schools may be broken down. Methods of adapting instruction to individual differences are described and evalu-The typical causes of difficulty in the several school subjects are discussed, and types of remedial work that experimentation has found helpful are summarized.

effort has been made to indicate to teachers how practice may be made purposeful and more effective through the use of self-diagnostic methods which enable the pupil to measure his progress and to determine the points at which his work is deficient or below standard. In this way much of the routine practice which has so frequently characterized the drill work in our elementary schools may be eliminated. Emphasis is given to the point of view that teachers who are conscious of the types of difficulty pupils encounter in their school work can do much to avert their development by "preventive teaching." The authors believe that the use of tests that is emphasized in this book is in accord with progressive educational theory and practice.

In the discussion of tests and other illustrative materials no effort has been made to mention all tests and materials available, those included having been selected because they illustrate various procedures that are presented. Much of the discussion involves the results of original research by the authors and their students, as well as of other workers. The names of these investigators appear in footnote references and bibliographies. The authors wish to express their appreciation for permission to quote from numerous studies. The authors also wish to acknowledge their indebtedness to the many publishers who have granted permission to quote copyrighted materials.

LEO J. BRUECKNER ERNEST O. MELBY

CONTENTS

$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{H}A}$	APTER I. EVIDENCES AND CAUSES (OF ML	ALA:	DJUS	STM	INT .	IN	
	Our Schools	•					•	1
I.	Evidences of Maladjustment							1
II.	Contributory Factors Leading to Mala	djusti	nent					5
III.	The Scope of Diagnostic and Remedia	al Tea	chir	g				11
				_				
CHA	APTER II. ADAPTING INSTRUCTION	OT	THI	S 11	VDIV	IDU.	\mathbf{AL}	
	DIFFERENCES OF PUPILS	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
I.	The Problem of Individual Differences	з.						17
11	Typical Plans for Meeting Individual	Differ	ence	s				23
11.	A. Administrative Devices for Handli	ing In	divi	lual			•	
	ences			•				23
	1. Flexible Promotion Plans .							23
	2. Double-track Plans							26
	3. Ability Grouping							27
	4. Individual Instruction							37
	B. Curricular Devices for Handling I							45
	C. Method Devices for Handling Indi	ividua	l Di	fere	nces			48
	m >				~			
CHA	APTER III. THE NATURE AND FU			OF	STA	NDA	RD	
	AD C	٠	•	•	•	•	•	54
I.	Characteristics of Intellect to be Con	nsider	ed i	n M	easu	re-		
	ment and Diagnosis						•	54
	1. Rate of Response					•	•	54
	2. Accuracy or Quality of Work .	•			•		•	56
					•		•	58
	4. Area or Range of Ability at Given	Level	S				•	59
	5. Methods of Work		•	•		•	•	61
II.	The Traditional Examination and the	Stan	lard	Tes	t.			63
III.	Standard Tests Classified According to	o Puri	oses					69
	1. Intelligence Tests							69
	2. General Survey Tests							71
	3. Subject Achievement Tests							71
	4. Analytical Subject Tests							72
	5. Diagnostic Tests and Devices .							73
	6. Curriculum Tests	•			•		•	74
	7. Prognostic or Aptitude Tests .				•	•		78
IV.	. Construction of Achievement Tests							78
	Criteria for Selecting Tests	_	_		_	_	_	79

CHA	APTER IV. THE INTERPRETATION AND USE	OF	Test	RE-	
	SULTS				90
I.	Interpreting Test Scores	_			90
	1. The Need of Norms and Standards				90
	2. Kinds of Norms				91
	3. Expressing Relationships Between Scores on T	Γest	s		99
	4. The Inadequacy of Present Norms				100
II.	Uses of Educational and Mental Measurements				107
	1. Administrative and Supervisory Uses .				107
	2. Uses in Measurement in Teaching				109
III.	Case Studies on Uses of Tests		•		115
Сн	APTER V. THE NATURE AND TECHNIQUES OF	En	UCATI	ONAL	
	Diagnosis		•		121
	1. Importance of Proper Diagnostic Procedures				121
		•	:		123
	 Kinds of Diagnosis The Techniques of Psychological Diagnosis 	•	:		129
	5. The rechniques of rayehological Diagnosis	•	•	• •	1.00
Cn.	APTER VI. THE NATURE AND USE OF OBJ	EC.	rtve '	TEST	
U 111	Exercises				144
	1. The Need of New Types of Examinations	•	-	-	144
	2. Characteristics of the Objective Exercise.	•	•		147
	3. Varieties of New-Type or Objective Exercises	•	:		
	· mi Girt a G lina lim ·	:			157
	1. The Criteria of a Good Informatives.	•	•	• •	10.
C _{tt}	APTER VII. DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL	Tra	CHIN	G IN	
CH	ARITHMETIC				159
-	-	•			159
	Objectives and Levels in Instruction	•	•		$\frac{159}{167}$
11.	Standard Tests in Arithmetic	•	•		167
	1. Survey Tests	•	•		$\frac{107}{178}$
		•	•	• •	$\frac{176}{187}$
	3. Teaching Tests	٠.,	'n		104
Ш.	The Techniques of Diagnosis Applied to Arithm	neti	c Pro-	•	100
	cesses	•	•		190
	1. The Basic Number Facts in Arithmetic .	•	•	-	199
	2. The Basic Skills in Arithmetic Processes .	•	• 、		196
	3. The Most Common Difficulties of Pupils .	•	•		201
	4. The Techniques of Detailed Diagnosis .	•	•		211
IV.	Remedial Work in Arithmetic Processes .	•	•		214
V.	The Possibility of Improving Ability to Solve A	\rit	hmetic	2	
	Problems				220
VI.	Diagnosis of Pupil Difficulty in Problem-Solving	2	_		229

CH.	APTER VIII. DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL	LEACHING	IN	
	READING			247
I.	Developments in Reading Instruction			248
	1. Kinds and Types of Reading			248
	2. Inventory of Specific Skills and Abilities .			249
	3. Skills and Enrichment		-	255
	4. Levels of Teaching in Reading	• • •		257
	Diagnosis in Reading		-	261
III.	Diagnosis by Group Measurements			267
	1. Tests for General Diagnosis			268
	2. Tests for Analytical Diagnosis			271
	3. Measurement of Rate of Reading	• • •		286
	4. Measurement of Appreciation5. Measurement of Reading Attitudes and Interest	· · ·		290 295
	6. Preparation of Informal Diagnostic Tests in Ro			297
T 3.7	Individual Diagnosis in Reading	ading ,		303
14.	1. Diagnosis in Motor Phases of Reading	• • •		304
	2. Detailed Studies of Reading Abilities			307
17	Remedial Instruction in Reading	• • •		310
٧.	1. Remedial Instruction for Individual Pupils	• • •		313
		· · ·		317
	3. Case Study in Remedial Reading Instruction			323
Снл	APTER IX. DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL T	TEACHING	IN	
	LANGUAGE		•	332
I.	Measurement in Composition			336
	1. General Composition Scales			336
	2. Analytical Composition Scales			339
	3. Special Types of Scales	• • •		343
	4. Standard Tests in Grammar and Punctuation		-	345
	5. Reliability of Ratings of Compositions .			346
	Techniques of Diagnosis in Composition .	• • •		348
III.	Factors to be Considered in Diagnosis in Compos	sition .		350
	1. Diagnosis of Rhetorical Factors	• • •		350
	2. Diagnosis of Grammatical Factors	• • •		353
IV.	Remedial Teaching in Composition	• • •	_	364
	1. Stimulating the Desire to Write			364
	 Correcting Mechanical and Technical Defects Results of a Campaign to Eliminate Errors in 	English .		367 370
	4. General Remedial Suggestions Based on Expo	erimental	•	310
	Evidence		•	374

CHAPTER X. DIAGNOSTIC	AND	REME	DIAL	TEA	CHIN	G IN	
Spelling							380
1. The Functions of Instr	uction	in Spelli	ing .				380
2. The Measurement of S			•				382
3. How to Construct a Sp							382
4. Accuracy of Spelling in	Comp	ositions					387
5. The Place of Tests in	the Tea	aching F	Procedu	ıre			389
6. Diagnostic Procedures	in Spell	ling					391
7. Study Traits of Good	and Po	or Spelle	ers .				401
8. Suggested Remedial M				•	•		404
CHAPTER XI. DIAGNOSTIC	AND	REME	EDIAL	TEA	CHIN	G IN	
HANDWRITING		•					409
1. The Function of Instru	ction in	n Handy	writing				409
2. The Measurement of H	landwr	iting					410
3. Securing the Specimens	s for M	[easurin	g Hand	lwriti	ng		412
4. Factors Affecting Instr	uction	in Hand	Īwritin	g.	•		420
5. Norms of Progress .				•			422
6. Diagnosis in Handwriti	ing .						423
7. Remedial Work in Wri	ting .	•					430
G VII D		D		Tr	~~~~		
CHAPTER XII. DIAGNOSTI	C AND	REM.	EDIAL	1 EA	CHIN	G IN	1014
THE SOCIAL STUDIES		•	• •	•	•		437
I. Objectives and Outcomes	in the	Social S	tudies	•	•		438
1. Objective Studies			• •	•	•		439
2. Tentative Levels for T	eaching	g of Soc	ial Sci	ence	•		444
3. Problems of Content, 1					•		448
II. The Problem of Diagnosis	in the	Social S	Studies				449
A. Tests for Diagnosing I	Deficien	cies in S	Social S	Studie	es Out	comes	450
1. Background Tests							450
2. Tests of Information	n.						451
3. Thought Tests .		•		•			457
4. Tests of Understand	ding .	•					461
5. Attitude Tests .					•		462
B. Diagnosis in the Acqu	iring Sl	kills			•		465
1. Diagnosis of Vocab	ulary I	Difficulti	ies .				466
2. Tests on the Readi	ing of S	ocial-St	udies l	Mater	ials		468
3. Study of Pupil Diff	iculties						469
4. Problems in Meas	uring A	Ability	to Re	ad So	cial-S	tudies	i
Material .					•		471
III. Remedial Work in the So	cial Stu	idies					475
A. Motivation Through I			vities				475
B. Specific Instruction in							477
1. Teaching Pupils to							478

CONTENTS						xv
2. Teaching Pupils to Summarize . C. Remedying Deficiencies in Fact Knowledge	•			•	-	482 485
1. Workbooks to Accompany Texts .	ge	•	•	•		485
2. Testing and Drill Devices	•	:	•	:		486
CHAPTER XIII. DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL	w	ORK	IN	Сна	R-	
ACTER EDUCATION						490
I. Objectives in Character Education						491
II. Current Practices in Training for Character						494
1. Direct Moral Instruction		•		•		494
2. Indirect Moral Instruction						497
3. Typical Programs				•		498
III. Diagnosis in Character Education						504
1. The Problem	•	•				504
IV. Measurement in Character-Education Diagno	osis					513
1. Tests of Moral Knowledge					-	514
2. Information Tests			•		_	519
3. Attitude Tests	•	•	•	•		524
4. Tests of Conduct or Behavior	•	•	•	•	-	529
5. Measures of Individual Traits	•	٠	•	•	-	534
V. Remedial Teaching in Character Education	•	•	•	•	•	545
1. Character Education through Correlation	•	•	•	•	•	546
2. Suggested Projects	•	•	•	•	•	550
CHAPTER XIV. DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIA	ΑL	TE	ACHI	NG	IN	
HEALTH EDUCATION	•	•	•	•	•	553
I. Objectives of Health Education						554
II. Current Programs in Health Education .						556

1. Detection, Treatment or Correction of Defects and Diseases, and Immunization Against the Latter

3. The Health of Teachers and Other School Employees

2. Health and the School Plant ..

. 558

. 558

. 566

569

571

. 577

. 580

. 595

587

A. Health Service

B. Health Instruction

C. Physical Education

Index

III. Diagnosis in Health Education .

IV. Illustrative Teaching Procedures

CHAPTER I

EVIDENCES AND CAUSES OF MALADJUSTMENT IN OUR SCHOOLS

I. EVIDENCES OF MALADJUSTMENT

During the past three decades a large amount of information has been assembled showing that the schools have failed in many cases to adapt the curriculum and methods of teaching to the differences in ability, interests, and needs of individual pupils. The most significant sources of such information have been age-grade studies, studies of pupil progress, and the results of standard achievement tests. Age-grade studies have shown, in practically every case, that a large proportion of the pupils are over-age for their grade. The studies of rates of promotion and progress have shown that in many schools there is a very high percentage of pupil failure, which obviously results in an increased amount of over-ageness. The results of achievement tests have shown not only that many pupils fail to make satisfactory progress in their school work, but that there is also a wide overlapping in the abilities of pupils in the different grades. In an attempt to remedy the conditions revealed by these studies, many schools have conducted investigations to determine the causes of this maladjustment, and have made certain modifications which have in many cases greatly improved the situation.

Age-grade and progress. The first striking statistical presentation of data which brought to the attention of American educators the serious maladjustment in the schools of the United States was the age-grade table of Superintendent Maxwell, showing the extent of over-ageness

in the schools of New York. Similar age-grade studies have been made in many of our cities, and in most cases a serious condition has been revealed. Table 1 contains a typical age-grade table showing the ranges in the ages of the pupils enrolled in the same grade.

Table 1. Age-Grade Table Showing Number and Per Cent of Over-Age Pupils for Aurora, Illinois, for the Year 1929-30

GRADE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Totals
Age									TOTALS
6	353	41	1						395
7	130	192	38		Ī				360
8	32	100	207	44	2	1	1	ļ	385
9	7	41	115	159	36	1			359
10	5	14	39	104	138	49	13	ļ	362
11	1	2	9	42	112	145	51	2	364
12		1	4	12	45	93	119	57	331
13		ŀ		5	17	60	96	117	295
14		1	1	2	12	26	33	83	157
15				2	3	3	27	52	87
16							3	3	6
Totals	528	391	414	370	365	377	342	314	3101
Number over-age.	45	58	53	63	77	89	63	55	503
Per cent over-age.	9	15	13	17	21	24	18	18	16

Many problems are raised by the data contained in the table. Note, for example, the range in age from 8 to 15 in grade 4. Similar variations may be noted for each of the grades. The per cents of pupils over-age increase grade by grade from grade 1 to 6, when a falling-off occurs, showing that over-age pupils probably are being eliminated by leaving school. What readjustments can the school make to alleviate this condition?

The facts revealed by an age-grade table for an entire city conceal the variations that may be found among the several schools. In a recent report Superintendent Weet, of Rochester, New York, presented some striking information,

as shown in Table 2, regarding age-grade and progress conditions in twelve schools of that city.

Table 2.	SUMMARY OF AGE-GRADE AND PROGRESS OF PUPILS
	IN TWELVE ROCHESTER SCHOOLS (1928) *

	Total (per cent)	RANGE (per cent)		Total (per cent)
Under-age	6.5	2.4-15	Rapid Progress	7
Normal age	54.1	44.1-78.3	Normal Progress	45.5
Over-age	39.4	12.1-53.6	Slow progress	47.5

^{*} From The Work of the Public Schools. Board of Education, Rochester, New York, 1928.

In all twelve schools 6.5 per cent of the pupils enrolled were under-age, 54.1 per cent normal age, and 39.4 per cent were over-age. The range in per cents of pupils under-age in the twelve schools was from 2.4 per cent in one school to 15 per cent in another. The range in per cents of pupils over-age was from 12.1 to 53.6 per cent. Clearly the problems of administration and teaching in these schools must differ greatly. Any attempt to require all schools in a system to cover the same amount of subject-matter must result in serious maladjustments. The fact that 47.5 per cent of all pupils had not progressed at normal rates indicates that many of them had encountered such difficulty in their school work that they were not promoted at the end of the semester.

The extent of non-promotion. The amount of non-promotion in a school system is an indication of the extent to which attempts have been made to adjust instruction so as to eliminate pupil failure. Table 3 shows the variation in the per cents of failure in the schools of three cities.

The per cents of failure in Denver are lower in all grades than in either New York or Rochester. It will be noted also that the per cents of failure in New York were much lower in 1927 than in 1917. Records are available which show that

4 DIAGNOSTIC AND REMEDIAL TEACHING

in a single school system the per cents will vary as much as from less than 1 per cent of failure in one school to as much

Table 3. Per Cents of Non-Promotion in Three Typical Cities

GRADES	DENVER (1929)	ROCHESTER (1928)	New York (1927)	New York (1917)
1B	10.2	21.9	16.4	22.8
1A		12.2	9.1	11.7
2B	6.2	12.0	8.9	11.8
2A		10.1	7.1	9.2
3B	4.4	8.2	7.6	10.2
3A		7.9	6.6	9.4
4B	3.8	8.5	7.7	10.1
4A		10.8	7.1	10.3
5B	3.3	10.9	7.9	12.0
5A		8.9	7.1	11.5
6B	2.2	8.7	7.7	12.5
6A		7.9	6.5	11.5

TABLE 4. CAUSES OF NON-PROMOTION, ROCHESTER, NEW YORK * *From The Work of the Public Schools. Board of Education, Rochester, New York, 1928.

Number of Case M ental condition	
Immaturity. 28 Slowness. 109 Backwardness. 31 Subnormality. 33 Poor memory. 4 Lack of attention. 100 Lack of application. 28 Lack of perseverance. 24 Special reading disability. 6 Special number disability. 9	Personal illness 159 Illness in family 10 Illegal absence 20 Late start 53 Physical condition General ill health 47 Specific ill health 26 Speech impediment 15
Wrong attitude Dislike of school	Outside interests