The Lisbon Treaty

A Legal and Political Analysis

Jean-Claude Piris
with a Foreword by Angela Merkel

THE LISBON TREATY

A Legal and Political Analysis

JEAN-CLAUDE PIRIS



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521197922

© Jean-Claude Piris 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Piris, Jean-Claude.

The Lisbon Treaty: a legal and political analysis / Jean-Claude Piris.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in European law and policy)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-19792-2 (hardback)

1. Treaty on European Union (1992). Protocols, etc., 2007 Dec. 13. 2. Constitutional law – European Union countries. I. Title. II. Series.

KJE4443.32007.P57 2010 341.242'2 - dc22 2010011465

ISBN 978-0-521-19792-2 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-14234-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

DISCLAIMER

All opinions expressed in this book are purely personal. They do not represent the views of the Council of the European Union.

THE LISBON TREATY

Given the controversies and difficulties which preceded the coming into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is easy to forget that the Treaty is a complex legal document in need of detailed analysis for its impact to be fully understood. Jean-Claude Piris, the Director-General of the Legal Service of the Council of the European Union (EU), provides such an analysis, looking at the historical and political contexts of the Treaty, its impact on the democratic framework of the EU and its provisions in relation to substantive law. Impartial legal analysis of the EU's functions, its powers and the treaties which govern it make this the seminal text on the most significant recent development in EU law.

SINCE 1988, JEAN-CLAUDE PIRIS has served as the Legal Counsel of the Council of the EU and Director-General of its Legal Service. He is an Honorary Counsellor of State of France, a former diplomat at the UN and the former Director of Legal Affairs of the OECD. He was the Legal Advisor of the successive Intergovernmental Conferences which negotiated and adopted the treaties of Maastricht in 1992, Amsterdam in 1997 and Nice in 2001, the Constitutional Treaty signed in Rome in 2004 and, finally, the Lisbon Treaty in 2007.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN EUROPEAN LAW AND POLICY

This series aims to produce original works which contain a critical analysis of the state of the law in particular areas of European law and set out different perspectives and suggestions for its future development. It also aims to encourage a range of work on law, legal institutions and legal phenomena in Europe, including 'law in context' approaches. The titles in the series will be of interest to academics; policymakers; policy formers who are interested in European legal, commercial and political affairs; practising lawyers including the judiciary; and advanced law students and researchers.

Joint Editors

Professor Dr Laurence Gormley, University of Groningen Professor Jo Shaw, University of Edinburgh

Editorial advisory board

Professor Richard Bellamy, University of Reading

Professor Catherine Barnard, University of Cambridge
Professor Marise Cremona, European University Institute, Florence
Professor Alan Dashwood, University of Cambridge
Professor Dr Jacqueline Dutheil de la Rochère, Université de Paris II,
Director of the Centre de Droit Européen, Paris
Dr Andrew Drzemczewski, Council of Europe, Strasbourg
Sir David Edward, KCMG, QC, former Judge, Court of Justice of the

European Communities, Luxembourg

Professor Dr Walter Baron van Gerven, Emeritus Professor, Leuven and
Maastricht, and former Advocate General, Court of Justice of the European
Communities

Professor Daniel Halberstam, University of Michigan Professor Dr Ingolf Pernice, Director of the Walter Hallstein Institut, Humboldt University

Michel Petite, Former Director-General of the Legal Service, Commission of the European Communities, Brussels

Professor Dr Sinisa Rodin, University of Zagreb
Professor Neil Walker, University of Aberdeen and European University
Institute, Fiesole

Book in the series

EU Enlargement and the Constitutions of Central and Eastern Europe Anneli Albi

Social Rights and Market Freedom in the European Constitution: A Labour Law Perspective

Stefano Giubboni

The Constitution for Europe: A Legal Analysis

Jean-Claude Piris

The European Convention on Human Rights: Achievements, Problems and Prospects

Steven Greer

European Broadcasting Law and Policy

Jackie Jarrison and Lorna Woods

The Transformation of Citizenship in the European Union: Electoral Rights and the Restructuring of Political Space

To Shaw

Implementing EU Pollution Control: Law and Integration

Bettina Lange

The Evolving European Union: Migration Law and Policy

Dora Kostakopoulou

Ethical Dimensions of the Foreign Policy of the European Union: A Legal Appraisal

Urfan Khaliq

The European Civil Code: The Way Forward

Hugh Collins

State and Market in European Union Law

Wolf Sauter and Harm Schepel

The Ethos of Europe: Values, Law and Justice in the EU

Andrew Williams

The European Union's Fight against Corruption: The Evolving Policy towards Member States and Candidate Countries

Patrycja Szarek-Mason

The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis

Jean-Claude Piris

To Margrét Thoroddsdottir-Piris and Anne-Sophie Sunna Piris, my wife and daughter, for their constant support and patience during the time of writing this book. I do absolutely believe in the European project. I think it is the most noble political ideal in European history in a thousand years.*

* Peter Sutherland, chairman of British Petroleum, chairman of Goldman Sachs International, chairman of London School of Economics, former EU Commissioner and former Director-General of the World Trade Organization. Interview by Harry Eyres, *Financial Times*, 3–4 January 2009.

BOXES

1	The successive enlargements of the EU 3
2	Protocol on the institutions with the prospect of enlargement of the
	European Union (Amsterdam 1997) 10
3	The four questions put by the Declaration on the Future of the Union
	(Nice 2000) 11
4	Declaration on the Future of the European Union (Laeken, December 2001) 12
5	Turnout for the elections to the European Parliament 14
6	Declaration on the ratification of the Treaty establishing a Constitution for
	Europe, European Council, 15–16 June 2005 24
7	European Council, 15–16 June 2006, Presidency Conclusions 25
8	Berlin Declaration, 25 March 2007 29
9	European Council, 19–20 June 2008, Presidency Conclusions 52
10	European Council, 11–12 December 2008, Presidency Conclusions 54
11	Statement of the Concerns of the Irish People on the Lisbon Treaty as set
	out by the Taoiseach, 11–12 December 2008 55
12	European Council, 18–19 June 2009, Presidency Conclusions 57
13	Decision of the Heads of State or Government of the 27 Member States of
	the EU, meeting within the European Council, on the concerns of the Irish
	people on the Lisbon Treaty, 18–19 June 2009 58
14	European Council, 29–30 October 2009, Presidency Conclusions 62
15	The Union's values (Article 2 TEU) 71
	The objectives of the EU (Article 3 TEU) 72
	The division of competences between the EU and its Member States 75
	Declaration no. 17 concerning primacy 81
	The 'comitology' system before the Lisbon Treaty 101
20	The Declaration on the Future of the European Union (Laeken, December
	2001) 113
	Article 10 TEU 114
	Article 12 TEU 126
	Europol and Eurojust 182
	The 'brake-accelerator' mechanism 185
25	The 'accelerator' mechanism 187

BOXES XIII

26	The rotation of Council Presidencies from 2007 to 2020 210
27	Article 16 TEU (definition of QMV within the Council) and Article
	235(1), 2nd subparagraph, TFEU (definition of QMV within the
	European Council) 214
28	Evolution of the weight of Council members' votes since 1957 218
29	The 'Ioannina Decision' of 1994 223
30	The 2009 'Ioannina-bis' mechanism 224
31	ESDP operations and missions of the EU (2003–9) 269
32	Payment appropriations as a percentage of GNI, 2000–13 290
33	The UK rebate 290
34	EU expenditure 2007–13 291
35	Interinstitutional Agreement, Financial Framework 2007–13 293
36	A particular example of the extensive use by the European Parliament of
	its budgetary powers 300
37	Protocol no. 27 on the internal market and competition 308
38	Protocol no. 26 on services of general interest 315
39	Article 194(1) TFEU on energy 319

FOREWORD

Mit dem Vertrag von Lissabon wurde ein neues Kapitel der europäischen Geschichte aufgeschlagen. Einen entscheidenden Impuls für diesen neuen Vertrag gaben die Feierlichkeiten zum 50. Jahrestag der Unterzeichnung der Römischen Verträge am 25. März 2007. Denn hier bekannten sich die europäischen Staats- und Regierungschefs in der "Berliner Erklärung" zu den gemeinsamen Werten, Aufgaben und Strukturen der Europäischen Union – und dazu, die Europäische Union auf eine erneuerte gemeinsame Grundlage zu stellen.

Diesen Willen in ein Mandat für eine Regierungskonferenz umzusetzen, war sicherlich nicht einfach. Dass dieses Ziel schließlich noch während der deutschen Ratspräsidentschaft auf dem Europäischen Rat vom 21. bis 23. Juni 2007 erreicht wurde, ist auch ein Verdienst von Jean-Claude Piris als Generaldirektor des Juristischen Dienstes des Rates. Die Mühen haben sich gelohnt. Denn der Vertrag von Lissabon macht die Europäische Union nach außen stärker und selbständiger und nach innen demokratischer. Die Union ist damit für die neuen Herausforderungen der zunehmend globalisierten Welt besser gerüstet.

Mit Inkrafttreten des Vertrags von Lissabon am 1. Dezember 2009 wurde ein vielschichtiger Reformzyklus erfolgreich abgeschlossen, der bereits mit den Verhandlungen zum Vertrag von Maastricht begann. Das vorliegende Buch zeichnet die historischen Linien nach. Dadurch werden die Wurzeln und Bezüge der zentralen Regelungen klar herausgearbeitet. Daneben stellt das Werk auch die wichtigsten Urteile zum Vertrag von Lissabon dar – beispielsweise das Urteil des deutschen Bundesverfassungsgerichts. Das Buch leistet somit eine umfassende Einordnung des geltenden Primärrechts der Europäischen Union aus einer berufenen Hand.

Angela Merkel Bundeskanzlerin Bundesrepublik Deutschland

xiv

The Lisbon Treaty opened a new chapter in European history. The celebrations on 25 March 2007 to mark the fiftieth anniversary of the signing of the Treaties of Rome were a significant driver of this new Treaty, for it was on this occasion that the European heads of state or government, in signing the Berlin Declaration, committed themselves not only to a set of shared values, tasks and structures within the European Union but also to placing the European Union on a renewed common basis.

Converting this commitment into a mandate for an Intergovernmental Conference was by no means easy. The fact that this goal was indeed accomplished at the European Council meeting held on 21–23 June 2007 – and still within the period of the German EU Council Presidency – was thanks in no small part to Jean-Claude Piris in his role as Director-General of the Council Legal Service. It was worth the effort. For the Lisbon Treaty makes the European Union stronger and more independent in foreign policy but internally more democratic, which means it is better equipped to face the new challenges of an increasingly globalised world.

With the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on 1 December 2009, a complex cycle of reform that had in fact begun with the negotiations for the Maastricht Treaty was successfully completed. This book traces the outline of the historical process and in so doing clearly elucidates the roots of the core provisions and also the relationships between them. At the same time the work illuminates the most significant judgments relating to the Lisbon Treaty – for instance the judgment by the German Federal Constitutional Court. The book achieves in effect a comprehensive assessment of existing primary law in the European Union, written by an authoritative hand.

Angela Merkel Chancellor Federal Republic of Germany (Berlin, November 2009)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Thérèse Blanchet for her invaluable assistance in the writing of this book.

He also thanks Patricia Hancq for her constant help, as well as Vendula Kuncová.

He thanks all his friends and colleagues, in particular Michael Bishop, who agreed to read the manuscript, for the many improvements they brought to it. Any mistakes are those of the author.

TABLE OF CASES

Court of Justice of the European Union

- Case 6/641, Costa v. ENEL, Judgment of 15 July 1964, [1964] ECR 585 22 n. 24, 79, 82, 144
- Cases 90/63 and 91/63, Commission v. Luxembourg and Belgium, Judgment of 13 November 1964, [1964] ECR 625 22 n. 24
- Case 29/69, Stauder, Judgment of 12 November 1969, [1969] ECR 419 146 n. 1, 159 n. 31
- Case 11/70, International Handelsgesellschaft, Judgment of 17 December 1970, [1970] ECR 1125 79 n. 14, 146 n. 1, 348 n. 9
- Case 22/70, AETR, Judgment of 31 March 1971, [1971] ECR 263 238 n. 1
- Case 39/72, Commission v. Italy (premiums for slaughtering cows), Judgment of 7 February 1973, [1973] ECR 101 86 n. 24
- Case 36/74, Walrave, Judgment of 12 December 1974, [1974] ECR 1405 322 n. 61 Case 41/76, Donckerwolcke, Judgment of 15 December 1976, [1976] ECR 1921 74 n. 13
- Case 106/77, Simmenthal, Judgment of 9 March 1978, [1978] ECR 629 79 n. 14, 80 Case 128/78, Commission v. UK (tachographs), Judgment of 7 February 1979, [1979] ECR 419 86 n. 24
- Case 231/78, Commission v. UK (potatoes), Judgment of 29 March 1979, [1979] ECR 447 86 n. 24
- Case 804/79, Commission v. UK, Judgment of 5 May 1981, [1981] ECR 1045 74 n. 13 Case 294/83, Les Verts v. Parliament, Judgment of 23 April 1986, [1986] ECR 1339 22 n. 25, 152 n. 17
- Case C-159/90, SPUC v. Grogan, Judgment of 4 October 1991, [1991] ECR I-4685 156 n. 26
- Opinion 1/91 of 14 December 1991, EEA Agreement, [1991] ECR 6079 22 nn. 25-6
- Opinion 2/91 of 19 March 1993, ILO, [1993] ECR I-1061 74 n. 12
- Case C-2/92, Bostock, Judgment of 24 March 1994, [1994] ECR I-955 159 n. 29
- Case 65/93, European Parliament v. Council, Judgment of 30 March 1995, [1995] ECR I-643 85 n. 21
- Case C-415/93, *Bosman*, Judgment of 15 December 1995, [1995] ECR, I-4921 322 n. 61

- Case C-25/94, Commission v. Council (FAO fishery agreement), Judgment of 19 March 1996, [1996] ECR, I-01469 294 n. 7
- Opinion 2/94 of 28 March 1996, Accession of the EC to the ECHR, [1996] ECR I-1759 146 n. 2, 159 n. 32, 164 n. 38
- Case C-285/98, Kreil, Judgment of 11 January 2000, [2000] ECR I-69 348 n. 9
- Case C-107/97, Judgment of 18 March 2000, *Rombi and Arkopharma*, [2000] ECR I-3367 159 n. 29
- Case 50/00 P, Union de Pequeños agricultores, Judgment of 25 July 2002, [2002] ECR I-6677 231–2 n. 29
- Case C-11/00, Commission v. ECB (OLAF Regulation), Judgment of 10 July 2003, [2003] ECR I-7147 235 n. 36
- Case C-378/00, Commission v. Council (LIFE), Judgment of 21 January 2003, [2003] ECR I-937 102
- Case C-105/03, *Pupino*, Judgment of 16 June 2005, [2005] ECR I-5285 92 n. 33, 175–6 n. 28, 200 n. 73
- Case C-176/03, Commission v. Council, Judgment of 13 September 2005, [2005] ECR I-7879 183 n. 45
- Cases C-77/05 and 137/05, *UK* v. *Council*, Judgments of 18 December 2007, [2007] ECR I-11459 and I-11593 197 n. 68, 199 n. 72
- Case C-91/05, Commission v. Council (small arms-ECOWAS), Judgment of 20 May 2008, [2008] ECR I-3651 68, 231 n. 27, 280 n. 58
- Case C-341/05, *Laval*, Judgment of 18 December 2007, [2007] ECR I-11767 158 n. 28, 334
- Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05 P, Kadi v. Council and Commission, Judgment of 3 September 2008, [2008] ECR I-6351 347
- Case C-438/05, Viking Line, [2007] Judgment of 11 December 2007, ECR I-10779 158 n. 28, 334
- Case C-440/05, Commission v. Council, Judgment of 23 October 2007, [2007] ECR I-9097 183–94 n. 45
- Case C-13/07, Commission v. Council (accession of Vietnam to the WTO), Conclusions of Advocate General Juliane Kokott, 26 March 2009 [not yet published] 239 n. 2
- Case C-127/08, Metock, Judgment of 25 July 2008, [2008] ECR I-6241 333 n. 13

Other international courts

European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) and European Human Rights Commission

- Decision of 13 May 1980 of the European Human Rights Commission, Case X v. UK, no. 8416/79, DR 19, 244 155 n. 24
- Judgment of 7 July 1989 of the ECHR, Case 1/1989/161/217, Soering v. United Kingdom 153 n. 22

Judgment of 17 December 1996 of the ECHR, Case 71/1995/577/663, *Ahmed v. Austria* 153 n. 22

Judgment of 18 February 1999 of the ECHR, Case 24833/94, Matthews v. UK 166 n. 41

Judgment of 29 June 2004 of the ECHR, Case 44774/98, Leyla Sahin v. Turkey 156
Judgment of 30 June 2005 of the ECHR, Case 45036/98, Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm
v. Ireland 146 n. 2, 147 n. 7, 165 n. 39

International Court of Justice

Advisory Opinion of 11 April 1949, Reparation for injuries suffered in the service of the United Nations ('Bernadotte Case'), [1949] ICJ Rep. 174 87 n. 26

National Constitutional Courts

Czech Republic

Judgment of 8 March 2006, Sugar quotas, Pl. US 50/04 360 n. 3

Judgment of 3 May 2006, Arrest-warrant, Pl. US 66/04 360 n. 3

Judgment of 26 November 2008, Treaty of Lisbon (I), Pl. US 19/08 359–60

Judgment of 3 November 2009, Treaty of Lisbon (II), Pl. US 29/09 114 n. 3, 359 n. 1

France

Decision of 19 November 2004, *Traité établissant une Constitution pour l'Europe*, no. 2004–505 DC 81 n. 18

Decision of 20 December 2007, *Traité de Lisbonne*, no. 2007–560 DC 49 n. 80, 128 n. 29

Germany, Federal Republic of

Judgment of 29 May 1974, Solange I, BVerfGE 37, 271 115 n. 4, 144, 146, 164

Judgment of 22 October 1986, Solange II, BVerfGE 73, 339 147

Judgment of 18 December 1993, Treaty of Maastricht, BVerfGE 89, 155

Judgment of 7 June 2000, Bananenmarktordnung, BVerfGE 102, 147 147 n. 5

Judgment of 30 June 2009, Treaty of Lisbon, Joint Cases 2 BvE 2/08, 2 BvE 5/08, 2 BvR 1010/08, 2 BvR 1022/08, 2 BvR 1259/08 and 2 BvR 182/09 83 n. 20, 86 n. 23, 107 n. 57, 111 n. 62, 112 n. 1, 114 n. 3, 116 n. 8, 121 n. 17, 122 n. 18, 128 n. 26, 130 n. 35, 132 nn. 38–9, 134 n. 40, 139 n. 50, 140 nn. 53–4, 141–5, 152 n. 19, 180 n. 37, 181 n. 41, 183 n. 42, 184 n. 46, 186 n. 48, 217 n. 14, 233 n. 33, 236 n. 38, 309 n. 28, 313 n. 42, 335 n. 16, 341–58

Ireland

Judgment of 9 April 1987, Crotty v. Taoiseach, 1986 No. 12036P 51

Italy

Judgment of 27 December 1973, *Frontini*, no. 183/73, I, 1974, 314 147 n. 6 Judgment of 21 April 1989, *Fragd*, no. 232/89, I, 1990, 1855 147 n. 6

Spain

Decision of 13 December 2004, *Traité établissant une Constitution pour l'Europe*, no. DTC 1/2004 81 n. 18