

Trends in Commutative Algebra

**Luchezar L. Avramov, Mark Green,
Craig Huneke, Karen E. Smith,
and Bernd Sturmfels**
Editors

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
RESEARCH INSTITUTE
PUBLICATIONS

51

MSRI



0153.3
T794

Trends in Commutative Algebra

Edited by

Luchezar L. Avramov

University of Nebraska

Mark Green

University of California, Los Angeles

Craig Huneke

University of Kansas

Karen E. Smith

University of Michigan

Bernd Sturmfels

University of California, Berkeley



E2011001360



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521168724

© Mathematical Sciences Research Institute 2004

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004
First paperback edition 2010

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Trends in commutative algebra / edited by Luchezar L. Avramov . . . [et al.].
p. cm.

(Mathematical Sciences Research Institute publications ; 51)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-83195-4 (hb)

1. Commutative algebra. I. Avramov, L. L. (Luchezar L.), 1948–

II. Title. III. Series.

QA251.3.T74 2005

512'.44–dc22 2004022712

ISBN 978-0-521-83195-6 Hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-16872-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in
this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

This book is based on expository lectures by six internationally known experts presented at the 2002 MSRI introductory workshop on commutative algebra. They focus on the interaction of commutative algebra with other areas of mathematics, including algebraic geometry, group cohomology and representation theory, and combinatorics, with all necessary background provided. Short complementary papers describing work at the research frontier are also included. The unusual scope and format make the book invaluable reading for graduate students and researchers interested in commutative algebra and its various uses.

**Mathematical Sciences Research Institute
Publications**

51

Trends in Commutative Algebra

Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications

- 1 Freed/Uhlenbeck: *Instantons and Four-Manifolds*, second edition
- 2 Chern (ed.): *Seminar on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*
- 3 Lepowsky/Mandelstam/Singer (eds.): *Vertex Operators in Mathematics and Physics*
- 4 Kac (ed.): *Infinite Dimensional Groups with Applications*
- 5 Blackadar: *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, second edition
- 6 Moore (ed.): *Group Representations, Ergodic Theory, Operator Algebras, and Mathematical Physics*
- 7 Chorin/Majda (eds.): *Wave Motion: Theory, Modelling, and Computation*
- 8 Gersten (ed.): *Essays in Group Theory*
- 9 Moore/Schochet: *Global Analysis on Foliated Spaces*
- 10–11 Drasin/Earle/Gehring/Kra/Marden (eds.): *Holomorphic Functions and Moduli*
- 12–13 Ni/Peletier/Serrin (eds.): *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States*
- 14 Goodman/de la Harpe/Jones: *Coxeter Graphs and Towers of Algebras*
- 15 Hochster/Huneke/Sally (eds.): *Commutative Algebra*
- 16 Ihara/Ribet/Serre (eds.): *Galois Groups over \mathbb{Q}*
- 17 Concus/Finn/Hoffman (eds.): *Geometric Analysis and Computer Graphics*
- 18 Bryant/Chern/Gardner/Goldschmidt/Griffiths: *Exterior Differential Systems*
- 19 Alperin (ed.): *Arboreal Group Theory*
- 20 Dazord/Weinstein (eds.): *Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems*
- 21 Moschovakis (ed.): *Logic from Computer Science*
- 22 Ratiu (ed.): *The Geometry of Hamiltonian Systems*
- 23 Baumslag/Miller (eds.): *Algorithms and Classification in Combinatorial Group Theory*
- 24 Montgomery/Small (eds.): *Noncommutative Rings*
- 25 Akbulut/King: *Topology of Real Algebraic Sets*
- 26 Judah/Just/Woodin (eds.): *Set Theory of the Continuum*
- 27 Carlsson/Cohen/Hsiang/Jones (eds.): *Algebraic Topology and Its Applications*
- 28 Clemens/Kollár (eds.): *Current Topics in Complex Algebraic Geometry*
- 29 Nowakowski (ed.): *Games of No Chance*
- 30 Grove/Petersen (eds.): *Comparison Geometry*
- 31 Levy (ed.): *Flavors of Geometry*
- 32 Cecil/Chern (eds.): *Tight and Taut Submanifolds*
- 33 Axler/McCarthy/Sarason (eds.): *Holomorphic Spaces*
- 34 Ball/Milman (eds.): *Convex Geometric Analysis*
- 35 Levy (ed.): *The Eightfold Way*
- 36 Gavosto/Krantz/McCallum (eds.): *Contemporary Issues in Mathematics Education*
- 37 Schneider/Siu (eds.): *Several Complex Variables*
- 38 Billera/Björner/Green/Simion/Stanley (eds.): *New Perspectives in Geometric Combinatorics*
- 39 Haskell/Pillay/Steinhorn (eds.): *Model Theory, Algebra, and Geometry*
- 40 Bleher/Its (eds.): *Random Matrix Models and Their Applications*
- 41 Schneps (ed.): *Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups*
- 42 Nowakowski (ed.): *More Games of No Chance*
- 43 Montgomery/Schneider (eds.): *New Directions in Hopf Algebras*
- 44 Buhler/Stevenhagen (eds.): *Algorithmic Number Theory*
- 45 Jensen/Ledet/Yui: *Generic Polynomials: Constructive Aspects of the Inverse Galois Problem*
- 46 Rockmore/Healy (eds.): *Modern Signal Processing*
- 47 Uhlmann (ed.): *Inside Out: Inverse Problems and Applications*
- 48 Gross/Kotiuga: *Electromagnetic Theory and Computation: A Topological Approach*
- 49 Darmon/Zhang (eds.): *Heegner Points and Rankin L-Series*
- 50 Bao/Bryant/Chern/Shen (eds.): *A Sampler of Riemann–Finsler Geometry*
- 51 Avramov/Green/Huneke/Smith/Sturmfels (eds.): *Trends in Commutative Algebra*

Preface

Over the last fifteen years, commutative algebra has experienced a striking evolution. During this period the outlook of the subject has been altered, new connections to other areas have been established, and powerful techniques have been developed. To foster further development a year-long program on commutative algebra was held at MSRI during the 2002–03 academic year, starting with an introductory workshop on September 9–13, 2002. This workshop concentrated on the interplay and growing connections between commutative algebra and other areas, such as algebraic geometry, the cohomology of groups, and combinatorics.

Six main speakers each gave a series of three talks during the week: David Benson, David Eisenbud, Mark Haiman, Melvin Hochster, Rob Lazarsfeld, and Bernard Teissier. The workshop was very well attended, with more than 120 participants. Every series of main talks was supplemented by a discussion/talk session presented by a young researcher: Manuel Blickle, Ana Bravo, Srikanth Iyengar, Graham Leuschke, Ezra Miller, and Jessica Sidman. Each of these speakers has contributed a paper, or in some cases a combined paper, in this volume.

David Benson spoke on the cohomology of groups, presenting some of the many questions which are unanswered and which have a close relationship to modern commutative algebra. He gave us many convincing reasons for working in the “graded” commutative case, where signs are introduced when commuting elements of odd degree. Srikanth Iyengar gives background information for Benson’s notes.

David Eisenbud spoke on a classical subject in commutative algebra: free resolutions. In his paper with a chapter by Jessica Sidman, he visits this classic territory with a different perspective, by drawing close ties between graded free resolutions and the geometry of projective varieties. He leads us through recent developments, including Mark Green’s proof of the linear syzygy conjecture.

Mark Haiman lectured on the commutative algebra of n points in the plane. This leads quite rapidly to the geometry of the Hilbert scheme, and to substantial combinatorial questions (and answers) which can be phrased in terms of common questions in commutative algebra such as asking about the Cohen–Macaulay

property for certain Rees algebras. Ezra Miller writes an appendix about the Hilbert scheme of n points in the plane.

Mel Hochster gave three lectures on tight closure, telling eleven reasons to study tight closure. Hochster presents tight closure as a test for ideal membership which is necessary, but not sufficient, except for certain rings such as regular rings. Graham Leuschke's appendix gives examples of computation of tight closure.

The theory of multiplier ideals has been expanding rapidly in the last few years and bears a close relationship to commutative algebra, particularly tight closure. Rob Lazarsfeld and Manuel Blickle present a gentle introduction to this theory, with emphasis on the important theorems and concepts, applications, and examples.

Resolution of singularities has long played a crucial role in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. Bernard Teissier talked about new ideas for understanding resolution coming from the simplest of all polynomials: monomials and binomials. Toric geometry of course enters into this story in a crucial way. Ana Bravo provides a summary of results on SAGBI bases which enter into this story.

The editors of this volume, who formed the organizing committee for the year program, would like to thank the many people who made the year possible, and thank the speakers for their wonderful contributions. A special thanks to David Eisenbud, the director of MSRI, without whom none of this would have been possible. We thank Michael Singer, the acting director of MSRI during the academic year when the program took place, for his generous help, and for the loan of Eisenbud to participate in our program. The great staff at MSRI were unfailingly helpful, friendly and professional. We thank the MSRI editor, Silvio Levy, for all his work on this volume. Finally, we thank the National Science Foundation for its support of institutes of mathematics in general, and of MSRI in particular.

We hope the papers in this volume will be a springboard for further learning and research for both experts and beginners.

Luichezar Avramov
Mark Green
Craig Huneke
Karen Smith
Bernd Sturmfels

Note: The lectures this volume is based on were videotaped. They are available on streaming video and for downloading at www.msri.org/publications/video or www.cambridge.org/0521831954.

Contents

Preface	ix
Commutative Algebra in the Cohomology of Groups	1
DAVE BENSON	
Modules and Cohomology over Group Algebras	51
SRIKANTH IYENGAR	
An Informal Introduction to Multiplier Ideals	87
MANUEL BLICKLE AND ROBERT LAZARSFELD	
Lectures on the Geometry of Syzygies	115
DAVID EISENBUD, with a chapter by JESSICA SIDMAN	
Commutative Algebra of n Points in the Plane	153
MARK HAIMAN, with an appendix by EZRA MILLER	
Tight Closure Theory and Characteristic p Methods	181
MELVIN HOCHSTER, with an appendix by GRAHAM J. LEUSCHKE	
Monomial Ideals, Binomial Ideals, Polynomial Ideals	211
BERNARD TEISSIER	
Some Facts About Canonical Subalgebra Bases	247
ANA BRAVO	

Commutative Algebra in the Cohomology of Groups

DAVE BENSON

ABSTRACT. Commutative algebra is used extensively in the cohomology of groups. In this series of lectures, I concentrate on finite groups, but I also discuss the cohomology of finite group schemes, compact Lie groups, p -compact groups, infinite discrete groups and profinite groups. I describe the role of various concepts from commutative algebra, including finite generation, Krull dimension, depth, associated primes, the Cohen–Macaulay and Gorenstein conditions, local cohomology, Grothendieck’s local duality, and Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Some Examples	2
3. Group Cohomology	8
4. Finite Generation	12
5. Krull Dimension	15
6. Depth	16
7. Associated Primes and Steenrod Operations	19
8. Associated Primes and Transfer	22
9. Idempotent Modules and Varieties	23
10. Modules with Injective Cohomology	26
11. Duality Theorems	30
12. More Duality Theorems	34
13. Dual Localization	36
14. Quasiregular Sequences	38
Appendix: Two-Groups of Order 64 and Their mod 2 Cohomology	43
Acknowledgements	45
References	45

1. Introduction

The purpose of these lectures is to explain how commutative algebra is used in the cohomology of groups. My interpretation of the word “group” is catholic: the kinds of groups in which I shall be interested include finite groups, finite group schemes, compact Lie groups, p -compact groups, infinite discrete groups, and profinite groups, although later in the lectures I shall concentrate more on the case of finite groups, where representation theoretic methods are most effective. In each case, there are finite generation theorems which state that under suitable conditions, the cohomology ring is a graded commutative Noetherian ring; over a field k , this means that it is a finitely generated graded commutative k -algebra.

Although graded commutative is not quite the same as commutative, the usual concepts from commutative algebra apply. These include the maximal/prime ideal spectrum, Krull dimension, depth, associated primes, the Cohen–Macaulay and Gorenstein conditions, local cohomology, Grothendieck’s local duality, and so on. One of the themes of these lectures is that the rings appearing in group cohomology theory are quite special. Most finitely generated graded commutative k -algebras are not candidates for the cohomology ring of a finite (or compact Lie, or virtual duality, or p -adic Lie, or ...) group. The most powerful restrictions come from local cohomology spectral sequences such as the Greenlees spectral sequence $H_m^{s,t}H^*(G, k) \Rightarrow H_{-s-t}(G, k)$, which can be viewed as a sort of duality theorem. We describe how to construct such spectral sequences and obtain information from them.

The companion article to this one, [Iyengar 2004], explains some of the background material that may not be familiar to commutative algebraists. A number of references are made to that article, and for distinctiveness, I write [Sri].

2. Some Examples

For motivation, let us begin with some examples. We defer until the next section the definition of group cohomology

$$H^*(G, k) = \operatorname{Ext}_{kG}^*(k, k)$$

(or see § 6 of [Sri]). All the examples in this section are for finite groups G over a field of coefficients k .

(2.1) The first comment is that in the case where k is a field of characteristic zero or characteristic not dividing the order of G , Maschke’s theorem in representation theory shows that all kG -modules are projective (see Theorem 3.1 of [Sri]). So for any kG -modules M and N , and all $i > 0$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{kG}^i(M, N) = 0$. In particular, $H^*(G, k)$ is just k , situated in degree zero. Given this fact, it makes sense to look at examples where k has characteristic p dividing $|G|$.

(2.2) Next, we discuss finite abelian groups. See also § 7.4 of [Sri]. The Künneth theorem implies that

$$(2.2.1) \quad H^*(G_1 \times G_2, k) \cong H^*(G_1, k) \otimes_k H^*(G_2, k).$$

So we decompose our finite abelian group as a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order. The factors of order coprime to the characteristic may be thrown away, using (2.1). For a cyclic p -group in characteristic p , there are two possibilities (Proposition 7.3 of [Sri]). If $p = 2$ and $|G| = 2$, then $H^*(G, k) = k[x]$ where x has degree one. In all other cases (i.e., p odd, or $p = 2$ and $|G| \geq 4$), we have $H^*(G, k) = k[x, y]/(x^2)$ where x has degree one and y has degree two. It follows that if G is any finite abelian group then $H^*(G, k)$ is a tensor product of a polynomial ring and a (possibly trivial) exterior algebra.

(2.2.2) In particular, if G is a finite elementary abelian p -group of rank r (i.e., a product of r copies of \mathbb{Z}/p) and k is a field of characteristic p , then the cohomology ring is as follows. For $p = 2$, we have

$$H^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^r, k) = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

with $|x_i| = 1$, while for p odd, we have

$$H^*((\mathbb{Z}/p)^r, k) = \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r) \otimes k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$$

with $|x_i| = 1$ and $|y_i| = 2$. In the latter case, the nil radical is generated by x_1, \dots, x_r , and in both cases the quotient by the nil radical is a polynomial ring in r generators.

(2.3) The next comment is that if S is a Sylow p -subgroup of G then a transfer argument shows that the restriction map from $H^*(G, k)$ to $H^*(S, k)$ is injective. What's more, the stable element method of Cartan and Eilenberg [1956] identifies the image of this restriction map. For example, if $S \trianglelefteq G$ then $H^*(G, k) = H^*(S, k)^{G/S}$, the invariants of G/S acting on the cohomology of S (see § 7.6 of [Sri]). It follows that really important case is where G is a p -group and k has characteristic p . Abelian p -groups are discussed in (2.2), so let's look at some nonabelian p -groups.

(2.4) Consider the quaternion group of order eight,

$$(2.4.1) \quad Q_8 = \langle g, h \mid gh = h^{-1}g = hg^{-1} \rangle.$$

There is an embedding

$$g \mapsto i, \quad h \mapsto j, \quad gh \mapsto k, \quad g^2 = h^2 = (gh)^2 \mapsto -1$$

of Q_8 into the unit quaternions (i.e., $SU(2)$), which form a three dimensional sphere S^3 . So left multiplication gives a free action of Q_8 on S^3 ; in other words, each nonidentity element of the group has no fixed points on the sphere. The quotient S^3/Q_8 is an orientable three dimensional manifold, whose cohomology

therefore satisfies Poincaré duality. The freeness of the action implies that we can choose a CW decomposition of S^3 into cells permuted freely by Q_8 . Taking cellular chains with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 , we obtain a complex of free $\mathbb{F}_2 Q_8$ -modules of length four, whose homology consists of one copy of \mathbb{F}_2 at the beginning and another copy at the end. Making suitable choices for the cells, this looks as follows.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 Q_8 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g-1 \\ h-1 \end{pmatrix}} (\mathbb{F}_2 Q_8)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} h-1 & hg+1 \\ gh+1 & g-1 \end{pmatrix}} (\mathbb{F}_2 Q_8)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} g-1 & h-1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{F}_2 Q_8 \rightarrow 0$$

So we can form a Yoneda splice of an infinite number of copies of this sequence to obtain a free resolution of \mathbb{F}_2 as an $\mathbb{F}_2 Q_8$ -module. The upshot of this is that we obtain a decomposition for the cohomology ring

$$(2.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^*(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2) &= \mathbb{F}_2[z] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^*(S^3/Q_8; \mathbb{F}_2) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2[x, y, z]/(x^2 + xy + y^2, x^2y + xy^2), \end{aligned}$$

where z is a polynomial generator of degree four and x and y have degree one. This structure is reflected in the Poincaré series

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \dim H^i(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2) = (1 + 2t + 2t^2 + t^3)/(1 - t^4).$$

The decomposition (2.4.2) into a polynomial piece and a finite Poincaré duality piece can be expressed as follows (cf. § 11):

$H^*(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2) \text{ IS A GORENSTEIN RING.}$

(2.5) We recall that the meanings of Cohen–Macaulay and Gorenstein in this context are as follows. Let R be a finitely generated graded commutative k -algebra with $R_0 = k$ and $R_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Then Noether’s normalization lemma guarantees the existence of a homogeneous polynomial subring $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ over which R is finitely generated as a module.

PROPOSITION 2.5.1. *If R is of the type described in the previous paragraph, then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *There exists a homogeneous polynomial subring $k[x_1, \dots, x_r] \subseteq R$ such that R is finitely generated and free as a module over $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.*
- (b) *If $k[x_1, \dots, x_r] \subseteq R$ is a homogeneous polynomial subring such that R is finitely generated as a $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ -module then R is a free $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ -module.*
- (c) *There exist homogeneous elements of positive degree x_1, \dots, x_r forming a regular sequence, and $R/(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ has finite rank as a k -vector space.*

We say that R is *Cohen–Macaulay* of dimension r if the equivalent conditions of the above proposition hold.

(2.6) If R is Cohen–Macaulay, and the quotient ring $R/(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ has a simple socle, then we say that R is *Gorenstein*. Whether this condition holds is independent of the choice of the polynomial subring. Another way to phrase the condition is that $R/(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is injective as a module over itself. This quotient satisfies Poincaré duality, in the sense that if the socle lies in degree d (d is called the *dualizing degree*) and we write

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \dim_k(R/(x_1, \dots, x_r))_i$$

then

$$(2.6.1) \quad t^d p(1/t) = p(t).$$

Setting

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \dim_k R_i,$$

the freeness of R over $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ implies that $P(t)$ is the power series expansion of the rational function $p(t)/\prod_{i=1}^r(1-t^{|x_i|})$. So plugging in equation (2.6.1), we obtain the functional equation

$$(2.6.2) \quad P(1/t) = (-t)^r t^{-a} P(t),$$

where $a = d - \sum_{i=1}^r (|x_i| - 1)$. We say that R is Gorenstein with a -invariant a .

Another way of expressing the Gorenstein condition is as follows. If R (as above) is Cohen–Macaulay, then the local cohomology $H_m^{s,t} R$ is only nonzero for $s = r$. The graded dual of $H_m^{r,*} R$ is called the *canonical module*, and written Ω_R . To say that R is Gorenstein with a -invariant a is the same as saying that Ω_R is a copy of R shifted so that the identity element lies in degree $r - a$.

In the case of $H^*(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2)$, we can choose the polynomial subring to be $k[z]$. The ring $H^*(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a free module over $k[z]$ on six generators, corresponding to a basis for the graded vector space $H^*(S^3/Q_8; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(Q_8, \mathbb{F}_2)/(z)$, which satisfies Poincaré duality with $d = 3$. So in this case the a -invariant is $3 - (4 - 1) = 0$. We have $p(t) = 1 + 2t + 2t^2 + t^3$ and $P(t) = p(t)/(1 - t^4)$.

(2.7) A similar pattern to the one seen above for Q_8 holds for other groups. Take for example the group $\mathrm{GL}(3, 2)$ of 3×3 invertible matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . This is a finite simple group of order 168. Its cohomology is given by

$$H^*(\mathrm{GL}(3, 2), \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[x, y, z]/(x^3 + yz)$$

where $\deg x = 2$, $\deg y = \deg z = 3$. A homogeneous system of parameters for this ring is given by y and z , and these elements form a regular sequence. Modulo the ideal generated by y and z , we get $\mathbb{F}_2(x)/(x^3)$. This is a finite Poincaré duality ring whose dualizing degree is 4. Again, this means that the cohomology is a Gorenstein ring with a -invariant $4 - (3 - 1) - (3 - 1) = 0$, but it

does not decompose as a tensor product the way it did for the quaternion group (2.4.2).

(2.8) It is not true that the cohomology ring of a finite group is always Gorenstein. For example, the semidihedral group of order 2^n ($n \geq 4$),


$$(2.8.1) \quad G = SD_{2^n} = \langle g, h \mid g^{2^{n-1}} = 1, h^2 = 1, h^{-1}gh = g^{2^{n-2}-1} \rangle$$

has cohomology ring


$$H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[x, y, z, w] / (xy, y^3, yz, z^2 + x^2w)$$

with $\deg x = \deg y = 1$, $\deg z = 3$ and $\deg w = 4$. This ring is not even Cohen–Macaulay. But what is true is that whenever the ring is Cohen–Macaulay, it is Gorenstein with a -invariant zero. See § 11 for further details.

Even if the cohomology ring is not Cohen–Macaulay, there is still a certain kind of duality, but it is expressed in terms of a spectral sequence of Greenlees, $H_m^{s,t}(G, k) \Rightarrow H_{-s-t}(G, k)$. Let us see in the case above of the semidihedral group, what this spectral sequence looks like. And let's do it in pictures. We'll draw the cohomology ring as follows.




The vertical coordinate indicates cohomological degree, and the horizontal coordinate is just for separating elements of the same degree. To visualize the homology, just turn this picture upside down by rotating the page, as follows.




We compute local cohomology using the stable Koszul complex for the homogeneous system of parameters w, x ,

$$0 \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_2)[w^{-1}] \oplus H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_2)[x^{-1}] \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_2)[w^{-1}x^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$$


where the subscripts denote localization by inverting the named element. A picture of this stable Koszul complex is as follows.




The local cohomology of $H^*(G, k)$ is just the cohomology of this complex. In degree zero there is no cohomology. In degree one there is some cohomology, namely the hooks that got introduced when w was inverted,




$$H_m^1 H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) =$$



In degree two, we get the part of the plane not hit by either of the two degree one pieces,



$$H_m^2 H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) =$$



Now the differential d_2 in this spectral sequence increases local cohomological degree by two and decreases internal degree by one, and the higher differentials are only longer. So there is no room in this example for nonzero differentials. It follows that the spectral sequence takes the form of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^{1,t-1} H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_{-t}(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_m^{2,t-2} H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

This works fine, because $H_*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is the graded dual of $H^*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2)$, as shown in (2.8.2). So the short exact sequence places the hooks of H_m^1 underneath every second nonzero column in H_m^2 to build $H_*(SD_{2^n}, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Notice that the hooks appear inverted, so that there is a separate Poincaré duality for a hook.

The same happens as in this case whenever the depth and the Krull dimension differ by one. The kernel of multiplication by the last parameter, modulo the previous parameters, satisfies Poincaré duality with dualizing degree determined by the degrees of the parameters; in particular, the top degree of this kernel is determined. In the language of commutative algebra, this can be viewed in terms of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the cohomology ring. See § 14 for more details.

The reader who wishes to understand these examples better can skip directly to § 14, and refer back to previous sections as necessary to catch up on definitions. Conjecture 14.6.1 says that for a finite group G , $\text{Reg } H^*(G, k)$ is always zero. This conjecture is true when the depth and the Krull dimension differ by at most one, as in the above example. It is even true when the difference is two, by a more subtle transfer argument sketched in § 14 and described in detail in [Benson 2004].

3. Group Cohomology

For general background material on cohomology of groups, the textbooks I recommend are [Adem and Milgram 1994; Benson 1991b; Brown 1982; Cartan and Eilenberg 1956; Evens 1991]. The commutative algebra texts most relevant