

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

Thomas A. Mauet

TRIAL TECHNIQUES

SEVENTH EDITION



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

TRIAL TECHNIQUES

Seventh Edition

THOMAS A. MAUET

Director of Trial Advocacy
and Milton O. Riepe Professor of Law
University of Arizona



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

© 2007 Thomas Mauet
Published by Aspen Publishers. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Permissions Department
76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10011-5201

To contact Customer Care, e-mail customer.care@aspenpublishers.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-0-7355-5559-4

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Mauet, Thomas A.
Trial techniques / Thomas A. Mauet. – 7th ed.
p. cm
Includes index.
ISBN 978-0-7355-5559-4
1. Trial practice—United States. I. Title.

KF8915.M38 2007
347.73'75—dc22

2006100456

TRIAL TECHNIQUES

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Vicki Been

Elihu Root Professor of Law
New York University School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky

Alston & Bird Professor of Law
Duke University School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law
University of Chicago Law School
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow
The Hoover Institution
Stanford University

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business
Stanford University
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business
Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law
The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law
Hofstra University School of Law

David Alan Sklansky

Professor of Law
University of California, Berkeley School of Law

Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor
Washington University School of Law

Elizabeth Warren

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law
Harvard Law School



About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading provider of research information and workflow solutions in key specialty areas. The strengths of the individual brands of Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International and Loislaw are aligned within Wolters Kluwer Law & Business to provide comprehensive, in-depth solutions and expert-authored content for the legal, professional and education markets.

CCH was founded in 1913 and has served more than four generations of business professionals and their clients. The CCH products in the Wolters Kluwer Law & Business group are highly regarded electronic and print resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers is a leading information provider for attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, Aspen products offer analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International supplies the global business community with comprehensive English-language international legal information. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on the Kluwer Law International journals, loose-leafs, books and electronic products for authoritative information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a premier provider of digitized legal content to small law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a unit of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York and Riverwoods, Illinois. Wolters Kluwer is a leading multinational publisher and information services company.

PREFACE

My experiences as a trial lawyer and trial advocacy teacher have made me realize that effective trial lawyers always seem to have two complementary abilities. First, they have developed an effective method for analyzing and preparing each case for trial. Second, they have the technical skills necessary to present their side of a case persuasively during trial. It is the combination of both qualities — preparation and execution — that produces effective trial advocacy.

This text approaches trial advocacy the same way. It presents a method of trial preparation and reviews the thought processes a trial lawyer uses before and during each phase of a trial. In addition, it discusses and gives examples of the basic technical courtroom skills that must be developed to present evidence and arguments persuasively to the jury. This is done in the firm belief that effective trial advocacy is both an art and a skill, and that while a few trial lawyers may be born, most are made. Artistry becomes possible only after basic skills have become mastered.

In trial work, as in many other fields, there is no one “right way” to proceed. There are just effective, time-tested methods that are as varied as lawyers are numerous. Consequently, while the text presents standard methods of examining witnesses, introducing exhibits, and making arguments, there are different approaches to all the tasks involved in trial work. Thus, the examples in the text are not the only way of effectively accomplishing the particular task involved. The text uses these examples because inexperienced trial lawyers need specific examples of effective techniques they can learn and use in court. Other effective ways of doing things are necessarily a product of experience, and only through experience will you learn what works best for you.

The emphasis of this text is on jury trials, since a lawyer who can persuasively try cases to a jury should also be competent during a bench trial. The examples are principally from personal injury and criminal cases, since they involve easily isolated examples of trial techniques, and they constitute the substantial majority of cases tried to juries. If the method and techniques applicable to uncomplicated, recurring situations presented here are mastered, more complex cases can be handled competently as well.

Thomas A. Mauet

Tucson, Arizona
January 2007

TRIAL TECHNIQUES

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xxi
I. THE TRIAL PROCESS	1
II. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF PERSUASION	13
III. JURY SELECTION	31
IV. OPENING STATEMENTS	61
V. DIRECT EXAMINATION	97
VI. EXHIBITS	169
VII. CROSS-EXAMINATION	251
VIII. EXPERTS	313
IX. CLOSING ARGUMENTS	387
X. OBJECTIONS	447
XI. TRIAL PREPARATION AND STRATEGY	483
XII. BENCH TRIALS	527
FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE (as amended through December 1, 2006)	547
<i>Index</i>	579

CONTENTS

Preface

xxi

I. THE TRIAL PROCESS

§1.1.	Introduction	1
§1.2.	Local practices and procedures	2
§1.3.	Trial date assignment	2
§1.4.	Jury selection	3
§1.5.	Preliminary instructions of law	4
§1.6.	Opening statements	4
§1.7.	Plaintiff's case-in-chief	5
§1.8.	Motions after plaintiff rests	7
§1.9.	Defendant's case-in-chief	7
§1.10.	Motions after defendant rests	7
§1.11.	Plaintiff's rebuttal and defendant's surrebuttal cases	8
§1.12.	Motions at the close of all evidence	8
§1.13.	Instructions conference	8
§1.14.	Closing arguments	9
§1.15.	Jury instructions	9
§1.16.	Jury deliberations and verdict	9
§1.17.	Post-trial motions and appeal	10
§1.18.	Conclusion	11

II. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF PERSUASION

§2.1.	Introduction	13
§2.2.	Behavioral science and jury research	13
	1. Affective reasoning	14
	2. Beliefs and attitudes	15
	3. Decision making	16
	4. What influences the jury	18
	a. Sender credibility	18
	b. Receiver capacities	19
	c. Effective messages	20
§2.3.	What research means for trial lawyers	23
	1. Prepare from the jury's point of view	23
	2. Develop a theory of the case	24

3.	Select themes and labels	25
4.	Emphasize the people	26
5.	Use storytelling techniques	26
6.	Focus on the key disputed facts and issues	27
7.	Understand your role as an advocate	27
§2.4.	Conclusion	28

III. JURY SELECTION

§3.1.	Introduction	31
§3.2.	Do you want a jury?	31
1.	Who is the judge?	31
2.	Does your case have jury appeal?	32
§3.3.	Jury examination and selection methods	32
1.	How many jurors and alternates will be selected?	33
2.	What jury questioning system will be used?	33
a.	Lawyer examination	34
b.	Judge examination	34
c.	Hybrid system	34
d.	Questionnaires	34
e.	Individual and group questioning	35
3.	What kinds of questions will be permitted?	35
a.	Open approach	35
b.	Restrictive approach	35
4.	What jury selection system will be used?	36
a.	Strike system	36
b.	Panel system	37
5.	How many challenges does each side have, and how will they be exercised?	38
a.	Cause challenges	38
b.	Peremptory challenges	38
c.	How many peremptory challenges does each side have?	39
d.	How will challenges be exercised in court?	39
§3.4.	Psychology of prospective jurors	41
1.	What are prospective jurors feeling and thinking?	41
2.	What are you trying to accomplish during jury selection?	42
3.	Approaches to questioning prospective jurors	43
a.	Beliefs and attitudes	43
b.	Likability	45
c.	Body language	45
d.	Persuaders, participants, and nonparticipants	46
4.	Juror profile chart	47
§3.5.	How to question jurors	48
1.	Topics checklist	48
2.	Questioning techniques	51
a.	Getting information from jurors	51

	b. Conveying concepts to jurors	54
	c. Introductory and concluding comments	56
	d. Group questions	57
3.	Example of voir dire	58
§3.6.	Summary checklist	60

IV. OPENING STATEMENTS

§4.1.	Introduction	61
§4.2.	Opening statements from the jury's perspective	61
	1. Explain your theory of the case	62
	2. Themes, labels, and the first minute	62
	3. Storytelling and people	64
	4. Request a verdict	67
§4.3.	Strategic and evidentiary considerations	67
	1. Be efficient	67
	2. Do not argue or state personal opinions	68
	3. Do not overstate the evidence	69
	4. Consider using exhibits and visual aids	69
	5. Anticipate weaknesses	70
	6. Waiving or reserving opening statements	71
	7. Lawyer's position and delivery	72
§4.4.	Content of effective opening statements	74
	1. Introduction	74
	2. Parties	75
	3. Scene	76
	4. Instrumentality	78
	5. Date, time, weather, and lighting	78
	6. Issue	79
	7. What happened	79
	8. Basis of liability/nonliability or guilt/nonguilt	81
	9. Anticipating and refuting other side	82
	10. Damages (civil cases only)	83
	11. Conclusion	84
§4.5.	Examples of opening statements	85
	1. Criminal case (murder): <i>People v. Sylvester Strong</i>	85
	Opening statement — prosecution	85
	Opening statement — defense	89
	2. Civil case (products liability): <i>Hi-Temp., Inc. v. Lindberg Furnace Company</i>	91
	Opening statement — plaintiff	91
	Opening statement — defendant	94

V. DIRECT EXAMINATION

§5.1.	Introduction	97
§5.2.	Elements	98

1.	Keep it simple	98
2.	Organize logically	99
3.	Use introductory and transition questions	99
4.	Introduce witness and develop background	100
5.	Set the scene	103
6.	Recreate the action	106
	a. Point of view	106
	b. Pace	107
	c. Sensory language	109
	d. Present tense	110
7.	Use nonleading questions	111
8.	Have the witness explain	113
9.	Volunteer weaknesses	114
10.	Use exhibits to highlight and summarize facts	115
11.	Listen to the answers	115
12.	Lawyer's position	115
13.	Prepare the witness	117
§5.3.	Occurrence witnesses	118
	1. Example — direct examinations in a civil case	120
	2. Example — direct examinations in a criminal case	130
	3. Example — direct examinations with a different organization	137
§5.4.	Conversations and statements	142
§5.5.	Telephone conversations	144
	1. Witness knows other person	144
	2. Witness does not know other person, but later learns identity through subsequent conversations	145
	3. Witness does not know the person, but later learns identity through some transaction	146
	4. Witness does not know the person, but has dialed a listed business telephone number and spoken with the person there	147
§5.6.	Refreshing a witness' recollection	148
§5.7.	Opinions of lay witnesses	150
§5.8.	The records witness	151
§5.9.	Character witnesses	156
	1. Law	156
	2. Foundation	157
	3. Tactics	158
§5.10.	Adverse witnesses	160
§5.11.	Hostile witnesses	161
§5.12.	Using deposition transcripts and videotapes	162
§5.13.	Judicial notice and stipulations	164
	1. Judicial notice	164
	2. Stipulations	164
§5.14.	Redirect examination	165

VI. EXHIBITS

§6.1.	Introduction	169
§6.2.	How to get exhibits in evidence	170
	Step 1. Have the exhibit marked	171
	Step 2. Show the exhibit to opposing counsel	171
	Step 3. Ask the court's permission to approach the witness	172
	Step 4. Show the exhibit to the witness	172
	Step 5. Lay the foundation for the exhibit	172
	Step 6. Offer the exhibit in evidence	173
	Step 7. Have the exhibit marked in evidence	174
	Step 8. Have the witness use or mark the exhibit, if appropriate	174
	Step 9. Obtain permission to show or read the exhibit to the jury	174
	Step 10. "Publish" the exhibit	175
§6.3.	Foundations for exhibits	177
	1. Tangible objects	180
	2. Tangible objects — chain of custody	181
	3. Photographs, motion pictures, and videotapes	185
	4. Diagrams, models, and maps	187
	5. Drawings by witnesses	189
	6. Demonstrations by witnesses	190
	7. X-ray films	191
	8. Sound and video recordings	193
	9. Computer-generated graphics and animations	196
	10. Signed instruments	197
	11. Checks	200
	12. Letters	202
	a. Letter sent to your party by another party	203
	b. Letter sent by your party to another party	204
	13. Business records	206
	14. Computer records	211
	15. Recorded recollection	212
	16. Copies	214
	17. Certified records	215
	18. Summaries	216
	19. Stipulations	218
	20. Pleadings and discovery	218
§6.4.	Planning, preparing, and using visual aids and exhibits	219
	1. Develop a visual strategy	219
	2. Prepare courtroom visual aids and exhibits	222
	a. Objects	224
	b. Photographs and videotapes	225
	c. Diagrams, models, and charts	228
	d. Records and documents	234
	e. Summaries	236

f.	Computer graphics, animations, and data storage	239
3.	When to use exhibits	240
a.	During the direct examination	241
b.	At the end of the direct examination	242
c.	Handling multiple exhibits	242
4.	How to use exhibits	243
a.	Have the witness hold the exhibit	243
b.	Have the witness mark or read from the exhibit	244
c.	Use enlargements of photographs, documents, and illustrations	245
d.	Using exhibits during the cross-examination	248
e.	Exhibits and jury deliberations	249

VII. CROSS-EXAMINATION

§7.1.	Introduction	251
§7.2.	Should you cross-examine?	251
1.	Has the witness hurt your case?	252
2.	Is the witness important?	252
3.	Was the witness' testimony credible?	252
4.	Did the witness give less than expected on direct?	253
5.	What are your realistic expectations on cross?	253
6.	What risks do you need to take?	253
§7.3.	Purposes and order of cross-examinations	254
§7.4.	Elements of cross-examinations	254
1.	Structure	254
a.	Have your cross-examination establish as few basic points as possible	254
b.	Make your strongest points at the beginning and end of your cross-examination	255
c.	Vary the order of your topics	255
d.	Don't repeat the direct examination!	255
2.	Rules for cross-examinations	255
a.	Start and end crisply	256
b.	Know the probable answer to your questions before you ask the questions	256
c.	Listen to the witness' answers	256
d.	Don't argue with the witness	256
e.	Don't ask the witness to explain	257
f.	Don't ask the one-question-too-many	257
g.	Stop when finished	258
3.	Questioning style	258
a.	Make your questions leading	258
b.	Make a statement of fact and have the witness agree to it	260
c.	Use short, clear questions, bit by bit	260
d.	Keep control over the witness	261

	e. Project a confident, take-charge attitude	263
	f. Be a good actor	263
	g. Use a natural style	263
	4. Lawyer's position	264
§7.5.	Eliciting favorable testimony	265
	1. Did part of the direct examination help?	265
	2. Can the witness corroborate your case?	265
	3. What must the witness admit?	266
	4. What should the witness admit?	266
§7.6.	Discrediting unfavorable testimony	267
	1. Discredit or limit the testimony	267
	a. Perception	268
	b. Memory	271
	c. Communication	273
	2. Discredit the conduct	275
§7.7.	Impeachment	277
	1. Impeachment requirements	278
	a. Must have good faith	278
	b. Must raise on cross-examination	278
	c. Must prove up if required	278
	d. When to prove up	279
	2. Bias, interest, and motive	279
	a. Bias and prejudice	280
	b. Interest	280
	c. Motive	281
	3. Prior convictions	282
	4. Prior bad acts	284
	5. Prior inconsistent statements	285
	a. Techniques	285
	b. Prior testimony	289
	c. Written statements	291
	d. Oral statements	292
	e. Pleadings and discovery	293
	f. Omissions	294
	6. Contradictory facts	297
	7. Bad character for truthfulness	298
	8. Completing the impeachment	298
	a. Bias, interest, and motive	299
	b. Prior convictions	299
	c. Prior inconsistent statements	300
	d. Contradictory facts	302
	e. Failure to prove up	302
	9. Impeaching out-of-court declarants	303
§7.8.	Special problems	303
	1. Evasive witnesses	304
	2. Argumentative witnesses	304
	3. "Apparent" cross-examinations	305
	4. Opposing counsel	306

§7.9.	Special witnesses	307
1.	The records witness	307
2.	The character witness	308
§7.10.	Summary checklist	310

VIII. EXPERTS

§8.1.	Introduction	313
§8.2.	The law of experts	313
1.	Is the subject matter appropriate for expert testimony?	313
2.	Is the expert qualified?	314
3.	Is the expert's testimony reliable?	314
4.	Were tests properly done in this case?	316
5.	Are the sources the expert relied on proper?	316
6.	When should the sources be disclosed?	316
7.	Are the sources themselves admissible?	316
8.	Are the forms of the expert's testimony proper?	317
9.	Can the expert testify to ultimate issues?	318
§8.3.	Experts from the jury's perspective	318
1.	The jury's concern about experts	318
2.	Expert as a teacher	319
3.	How jurors decide between competing experts	319
§8.4.	Direct examination	320
1.	Selection and preparation of experts	320
a.	Selection	320
b.	Preparation	321
2.	Order of direct examination	322
3.	Introducing the expert	323
a.	Who is the expert?	323
b.	What did the expert do?	324
c.	Can I trust the expert?	325
4.	Background	327
a.	Education and training	327
b.	Experience	329
c.	Tendering the witness as an expert	330
d.	Stipulations to qualifications	331
5.	Opinions	331
a.	"Opinion or otherwise"	331
b.	Hypothetical questions	333
6.	Bases for opinions	334
a.	Sources for opinion	334
b.	Basis for opinion	336
c.	Exhibits and visual aids	337
d.	Explanations	338
§8.5.	Examples of direct examinations	340
1.	Treating physician in personal injury case	340