


EXAMPLES & EXPLANATIONS

Professional Responsibility

Third Edition

W. Bradley Wendel



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business


EXAMPLES & EXPLANATIONS

Professional Responsibility

Third Edition

W. Bradley Wendel

Professor of Law
Cornell University



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

© 2011 Aspen Publishers. All Rights Reserved.
www.AspenLaw.com

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Permissions Department
76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10011-5201

To contact Customer Care, e-mail customer.service@aspenpublishers.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-0-7355-9959-8

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Wendel, W. Bradley, 1969-

Professional responsibility : examples and explanations / W. Bradley Wendel. — 3rd ed.
p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-7355-9959-8

1. Legal ethics — United States. I. Title.

KF306.W46 2010

174'.30973 — dc22

2010041930

Professional Responsibility

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Vicki Been

John Hoot Professor of Law

New York University School of Law

Ryan Chertsky

Dean and Distinguished

University of California

Richard A. Epstein

University of Chicago Law School

Robert and Kathryn Professor of Law

The University of California

Sharon E. Garfield

University of California

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business

Columbia University

James and E. Jean Professor of Law and Business

Columbia Law School

James H. Hodge

Earl Warren Distinguished Professor of Law

The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law

Florida University School of Law

Robert H. Roth

John L. Gray Professor of Law

Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklar

Professor of Law

University of California, Berkeley School of Law

Kent D. Sutherland

Dean and Edgar A. H. Snodgrass Professor

Washington University School of Law

Elizabeth Warren

Los Angeles Professor of Law

Harvard Law School

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

Vicki Been

Elihu Root Professor of Law
New York University School of Law

Erwin Chemerinsky

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law
University of California, Irvine, School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law
University of Chicago Law School
Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow
The Hoover Institution
Stanford University

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business
Stanford University
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business
Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law
The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law
Hofstra University School of Law

Robert H. Sitkoff

John L. Gray Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklansky

Professor of Law
University of California at Berkeley School of Law

Kent D. Syverud

Dean and Ethan A. H. Shepley University Professor
Washington University School of Law

Elizabeth Warren

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading provider of research information and workflow solutions in key specialty areas. The strengths of the individual brands of Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International and Loislaw are aligned within Wolters Kluwer Law & Business to provide comprehensive, in-depth solutions and expert-authored content for the legal, professional and education markets.

CCH was founded in 1913 and has served more than four generations of business professionals and their clients. The CCH products in the Wolters Kluwer Law & Business group are highly regarded electronic and print resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and health-care reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers is a leading information provider for attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, Aspen products offer analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International supplies the global business community with comprehensive English-language international legal information. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on the Kluwer Law International journals, loose-leafs, books and electronic products for authoritative information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a premier provider of digitized legal content to small law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a unit of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York and Riverwoods, Illinois. Wolters Kluwer is a leading multinational publisher and information services company.

For Ben and Hannah

Preface

No matter what area of law you choose to specialize in, I guarantee that you will practice the subject of this course. You may never file a motion for summary judgment, appear at a preliminary hearing or sentencing, perfect a security interest, prepare a will, or draft comments on proposed new regulations, but you will, at some time, think about what you are learning in your professional responsibility course. You may have to decide whether you can represent a new client in light of your obligations to an existing client. You certainly will have to keep client confidences, and at some point you may need to assert a privilege covering certain confidential communications to prevent them from being discovered by others. You may bill clients for your services, in which case you need to know the rules governing attorneys' fees, and you may even advertise for business. One way or the other, you will have to know the law of professional responsibility.

One of the major themes of this book is that you cannot understand your duties and responsibilities as a lawyer just by looking at the disciplinary rules, sometimes called "ethics codes" or "rules of ethics." The ABA's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, in whatever version they are adopted in your state, are the basis for potential professional discipline by state bar authorities, but this discipline is not the only thing lawyers must worry about in practice. Your day-to-day actions also will be influenced by the possibility of liability for malpractice to your client, sanctions imposed by a court under its inherent power or rules of procedure, potential loss of entitlement to fees, disqualification from representing a client, and waiver of evidentiary privileges. Not to slight the importance of the disciplinary system, but by the calculations of some scholars, a lawyer is over a thousand times more likely to pay out in excess of \$10,000 in a malpractice lawsuit, by way of judgment or settlement, than to be disbarred. Which risk do you think is more likely to demand one's attention? Furthermore, many significant areas of professional responsibility law, such as conflicts of interest and the attorney-client privilege, are driven almost entirely by considerations unrelated to professional discipline, such as disqualification and loss of fees in the case of conflicts, or the desire to protect client communications from disclosure in court in the case of the attorney-client privilege. Thus, it is vitally important to consider the whole field of the law governing lawyers—not just the disciplinary rules.

This does not mean we will not consider the disciplinary rules carefully. In fact, the Model Rules are central to much of the book. In many cases,

Preface

however, we will move beyond the state bar disciplinary rules and discuss the relationship with other sources of law. For example, the professional duty of confidentiality, stated in Model Rule 1.6, is often confused with the attorney-client privilege, which is a creature of the law of evidence. By looking at the evidentiary privilege with some care, you can see the distinctions and overlap between these two doctrines. Even if your law school course is limited to study of the Model Rules, the contrast with the attorney-client privilege can sharpen your understanding of the precise boundaries of the duty of confidentiality. It is more likely, however, that your professional responsibility course will touch on other areas of law, such as the evidence rules governing privileges; the Sixth Amendment doctrines pertaining to ineffective assistance of counsel, the law of agency, partnership, and corporations as it pertains to conflicts of interest; client identification; fiduciary duties; and the tort and regulatory law of fraud.

Thus, the first goal of this book is to integrate these other sources of law with specific professional responsibility rules so that you can get a complete picture of your duties as a lawyer. Unlike some other textbooks, this book does not draw an artificial distinction between analysis of the disciplinary rules and other law that applies to lawyers representing clients. In some places the result of this integration may be greater complexity and length of treatment, but I believe it is warranted by the importance of understanding the interaction between the bar's own rules and other legal norms that lawyers must take into account. The second goal of the book is to make you aware of the theory and policy reasons underlying the law governing lawyers. Your law school course may be aimed more at ethics (in the philosophical sense of reasoning about right and wrong) than at law. In other words, you may be asked to step back from the law and understand the role of lawyer in terms of its function in our society, the history of the profession, general rational standards of right and wrong, or the perspective of some other discipline. Although no textbook of reasonable length can do justice to these alternative perspectives on the legal profession, I do hope at least to introduce them and show how they affect the law as it develops.

The field of professional responsibility law has never been more exciting. Since the year 2000, the ABA completed a major revision to the Model Rules, the American Law Institute completed its Restatement of the Law Governing Lawyers, and there has been renewed attention to the role of lawyers in large-scale abuses of trust by powerful clients. As I was preparing this book, there were news stories almost every day that touched on the ethical and legal responsibility of lawyers, of which the participation of professionals in the massive accounting frauds of the late 1990s were only the most prominent example. I hope you come away from your professional responsibility course with some appreciation for just how fascinating and important this subject is for all lawyers, and also with a better understanding of the law governing the practice of law and its basis in public policy.

Acknowledgments

The influence of a number of professional responsibility scholars, several of whom are authors of casebooks and treatises, will be evident in this book. I owe an additional debt of gratitude to the lively and diverse community of lawyers and academics who populate the *legalethics* Listserv, whose informative discussions of many issues were extremely helpful to me along the way. A number of those list members generously shared their course materials and exams with me. Special thanks are due to those who maintain free online resources, from which I have frequently learned a great deal. In particular, William Freivogel and Lucian Pera for their electronic newsletter *Ethics and Lawyering Today*, William Freivogel for his online mini-treatise *Freivogel on Conflicts*, and Tom Spahn for his periodic bulletin *Privilege Points*. I also am grateful to my colleagues in other fields who helped clarify specialized points of law as they relate to the professional responsibility issues presented here. Finally, thanks to the many students at Washington and Lee and Cornell who have challenged me to think more carefully about the law of lawyering. At many points in the evolution of this book, I can recall a student pressing a point in class or in an e-mail exchange afterwards, and these discussions are often reflected in the text or the examples. As convenient as it would be to blame any remaining errors on my students or the community of professional responsibility specialists, I of course take sole responsibility for them.

Finally, thanks to the editorial staff at Aspen Publishing and Wolters Kluwer for their skilled and cheerful assistance over the life of this book. Particular mention is owed to Richard Mixter, Barbara Roth, and numerous anonymous reviewers of the book proposal and the resulting drafts, who provided extremely useful feedback on the first edition, and to Troy Froebe and Lisa Polikov, who assisted with production. Peter Skagestad and Rebecca Logan have done a terrific job keeping the book updated and have been exceptionally accommodating with deadlines and schedules. I am looking forward to continuing to work with this excellent team.

Citations and Other Stylistic Practices

Other than citations to sources of law, such as disciplinary rules and the Restatement, I will be sparing with cites. In some cases you may wish to refer to a more detailed reference work for additional guidance, so there are occasional citations to treatises, Restatement commentary, and the like. I have tried to keep footnotes to a minimum, except for citations, but occasionally there is some parenthetical information in a footnote, such as a definition of a word used in the text or an aside on some point that is interesting but peripheral to the discussion in the text. Alterations and omissions to text are indicated by ellipses or brackets, but I have freely italicized text for emphasis without putting “emphasis added” notices everywhere. You can assume that italics are mine unless otherwise indicated.

I will not be a stickler for the Bluebook here. Instead, to save space, I will use the following abbreviations.

SOURCES OF LAW

Model Rules	ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct (2002 version, also known as Ethics 2000 or E2K version), as amended in August 2003
MR	Model Rule
1983 vers.	Version of the Model Rules adopted by the ABA House of Delegates in 1983 and amended through August 2001
Model Code or MC	ABA Model Code of Professional Responsibility (adopted in 1969, as amended through 1981)
EC	Ethical Consideration
DR	Disciplinary Rule
Rest. §__	Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers (2000)
cmt.	Comment (to Model Rules or Restatement section)

Citations and Other Stylistic Practices

ABA Formal Op.	Formal “ethics” opinion issued by the ABA Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility
ABCNY	Association of the Bar of the City of New York, whose Committee on Professional and Judicial Ethics issues many thoughtful advisory opinions

REFERENCE WORKS

H&H	Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. & W. William Hodes, <i>The Law of Lawyering</i> (3d ed. 2001)
Wolfram	Charles Wolfram, <i>Modern Legal Ethics</i> (1986)
Rotunda	Ronald D. Rotunda, <i>Professional Responsibility: A Student’s Guide</i> (2002)

In comparing the 1983 and Ethics 2000 versions of the Model Rules, I have drawn in many places from the very useful article by Margaret Colgate Love, *The Revised ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct: Summary of the Work of Ethics 2000*, 15 *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 441 (2002).

Unless otherwise specified, the examples and explanations in the book take place in the fictional U.S. State of Perplexity, which has adopted the ABA’s Model Rules of Professional Conduct (2002 or “Ethics 2000” version) verbatim as its disciplinary rules.

A brief note about pronouns is also in order. The problem is, of course, that English lacks a third-person singular pronoun that is not gender-specific. Arguably, the masculine pronoun once carried the conventional meaning “he or she,” but this usage is increasingly less acceptable. There really is not a good alternative. I tend to use the feminine pronoun generically in academic writing, but I am the first to admit it makes no sense, because “she” has never carried the conventional meaning “he or she.” My justification for this practice is based on the observation that people make unconscious use of images in what purports to be purely logical analysis. Try an example. A partner at a law firm gets a call . . . Stop! You’ve probably already formed a mental picture of the setting. The partner is a man, probably white, with graying hair, wearing a gray pinstriped suit and conservative tie, in a corner office with spectacular views, sitting behind a mahogany desk on which are piled neat stacks of correspondence and documents. We cannot help using images like this, but it is troublesome that men figure so prominently in our images of people in power. Using the

Citations and Other Stylistic Practices

feminine singular pronoun is my feeble effort to introduce alternative images of women as lawyers, judges, and clients. Light a candle or curse the darkness. Some people find this practice distracting, and others will cry “politically correct!” Of course, an equal number of people are distracted or politically provoked by the incessant use of the masculine pronoun. My solution — sure to satisfy no one — is to mix up he and she, pretty much at random, unless it is possible to write around the pronoun in some fashion.

Summary of Contents

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>Citations and Other Stylistic Practices</i>	<i>xxiii</i>

Chapter 1	The Many Ways of Regulating Lawyers	1
------------------	-------------------------------------	---

PART I. THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP 17

Chapter 2	Formation and Termination	19
Chapter 3	Aspects of an Ongoing Relationship	37
Chapter 4	Attorneys' Fees and Transactions with Clients	71
Chapter 5	Representing Entities and Groups	91
Chapter 6	Incompetence: Remedies for Malpractice and Constitutional Ineffectiveness	117
Chapter 7	Confidentiality and Secrecy	139
Chapter 8	Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product Doctrine	153
Chapter 9	Professional Duty of Confidentiality	187

PART II. SECRETS AND LIES: PERJURY AND THE PROBLEM OF CLIENT FRAUD 207

Chapter 10	Perjury in Civil and Criminal Litigation: The Lawyer's "Trilemma"	209
Chapter 11	Attorney Conduct in Litigation: Forensic Tactics, Fair and Foul	237
Chapter 12	The Client Fraud Problem	263

PART III. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST **275**

Chapter 13	Overview of Conflicts of Interest	277
Chapter 14	Current-Client Conflicts	287
Chapter 15	Current-Client Conflicts Issues in Specific Contexts	321
Chapter 16	Former-Client Conflicts and Migratory Lawyers	349
Chapter 17	Personal-Interest Conflicts	383

PART IV. ORGANIZATION AND REGULATION OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION **405**

Chapter 18	Attracting Clients: Advertising and Solicitation	407
Chapter 19	Associations of Lawyers	429
Chapter 20	The Organized Bar	441

<i>Index</i>		465
--------------	--	-----

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxi
<i>Citations and Other Stylistic Practices</i>	xxiii

Chapter 1	The Many Ways of Regulating Lawyers	I
	Introduction	1
	Who Should Regulate Lawyers?	4
	The Organized Bar: Models and Legally Binding Rules	4
	Courts and Legislatures	6
	A Bit of Ancient History, and Why It Matters	8
	The ABA Canons	8
	The Model Code	9
	The Modern Law Governing Lawyers	11
	The Model Rules	11
	The Restatement	13
	A Word About the MPRE	14

PART I. THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP	17
---	-----------

Chapter 2	Formation and Termination	19
	Introduction	19
	Shall We Dance? Formation of the Relationship	20
	Quasi-Client Relationships	21
	Prospective Clients and “Beauty Contests”	23
	Entity-Constituent Representation	24
	Fifty Ways to Leave Your Client: Termination of the Professional Relationship	31
	Client Fires the Lawyer	31
	Lawyer Fires the Client	32
	Breaking Up Is Hard to Do: Relationship Erodes over Time	33
	Duties upon Discharge	34

Chapter 3	Aspects of an Ongoing Relationship	37
	Introduction	37
	Division of Labor in the Attorney-Client Relationship	38
	Some Basic Agency Principles	39
	Allocation of Authority Between Attorney and Client	40
	Clients with Diminished Capacity	48
	Duty to Communicate	49
	Interference with the Attorney-Client Relationship	55
	Anti-Contact Rule	55
	Anti-Contact Rule Where an Entity Is the Client	58
	Surveillance, Surreptitious Taping, and Other Sneaky	
	Evidence-Gathering	62
	Anti-Contact Rule in Criminal Cases	65
Chapter 4	Attorneys' Fees and Transactions with Clients	71
	Reasonableness of Fees	71
	Contingent Fees	78
	Taking Stock in Clients	84
	Splitting Fees with Other Lawyers	86
	Holding Client Funds: Trust Accounts	86
Chapter 5	Representing Entities and Groups	91
	Introduction	91
	Complex Clients: Understanding the Beneficiary of the Lawyer's Duties	92
	Publicly Traded Corporations	96
	Structure of MR 1.13	97
	Corporate Wrongdoing	99
	The Sarbanes-Oxley Act	101
	Shareholder Derivative Actions	105
	Corporate Families	107
	Dealing with Agents of the Entity	110
Chapter 6	Incompetence: Remedies for Malpractice and Constitutional Ineffectiveness	117
	Introduction	117
	The Duty of Competence	117
	The Tort of Malpractice	118
	Duty and Standard of Care	119