Antitrust

Herbert Hovenkamp

BLACK LETTER OUTLINES

WEST

Ambiguest

by Herbert Hovenkamp

Ben V. & Dorothy Willie Professor University of Iowa College of Law

FIFTH EDITION

常州大学山书饰藏书章

WEST®

A Thomson Reuters business

Mat #41121541

Thomson Reuters created this publication to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered. However, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. Thomson Reuters does not render legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

Black Letter Series and Black Letter Series design appearing on the front cover are trademarks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

COPYRIGHT © 1986, 1993 WEST PUBLISHING CO.
© West, a Thomson business, 1999, 2005
© 2011 Thomson Reuters
610 Opperman Drive
St. Paul, MN 55123
1-800-313-9378

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-0-314-27448-9

West's Law School Advisory Board

JESSE H. CHOPER

Professor of Law, University of California, Berkeley

JOSHUA DRESSLER

Professor of Law, Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

YALE KAMISAR

Professor of Law, University of San Diego Professor of Law, University of Michigan

MARY KAY KANE

Professor of Law, Chancellor and Dean Emeritus, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

LARRY D. KRAMER

Dean and Professor of Law, Stanford Law School

JONATHAN R. MACEY

Professor of Law, Yale Law School

ARTHUR R. MILLER

University Professor, New York University Formerly Bruce Bromley Professor of Law, Harvard University

GRANT S. NELSON

Professor of Law, Pepperdine University Professor of Law Emeritus, University of California, Los Angeles

A. BENJAMIN SPENCER

Professor of Law, Washington & Lee University School of Law

JAMES J. WHITE

Professor of Law, University of Michigan

Dedication

To Arie, Erik and Mira

HERBERT HOVENKAMP holds the Ben V. and Dorothy Willie Chair at the University of Iowa College of Law. He received his Ph.D. and J.D. at the University of Texas. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the recipient of the Sherman Award from the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, for his lifelong contributions to antitrust. He has written numerous books and articles, including the 21 Volume Antitrust Law treatise (formerly with the late Phillip E Areeda and the late Donald F. Turner); and The Antitrust Enterprise: Principle and Execution (Harvard Univ. Press 2006). He has also published several books for West Publishing Co., including Federal Antitrust Policy: The Law of Competition and its Practice (4th Ed. 2011); and Cases and Materials on American Property Law (with Sheldon Kurtz); and The Law of Property, an Introductory Survey (with Kurtz). In addition to antitrust, Professor Hovenkamp teaches property, torts, and introduction to intellectual property.

Preface

This "Black Letter" is designed to help a law student recognize and understand the basic principles and issues of law covered in a law school course. It can be used both as a study aid when preparing for classes and as a review of the subject matter when studying for an examination.

Each "Black Letter" is written by experienced law school teachers who are recognized national authorities on the subject covered.

The law is succinctly stated by the authors of this "Black Letter." In addition, the exceptions to the rules are stated in the text. The rules and exceptions have purposely been condensed to facilitate quick and easy recollection. For an in-depth study of a point of law, citations to major student texts are given. In addition, a Text Correlation Chart provides a convenient means of relating material contained in the Black Letter to appropriate sections of the casebook the student is using in his or her law school course.

If the subject covered by this text is a code or code-related course, the code section or rule is set forth and discussed wherever applicable.

FORMAT

The format of this "Black Letter" is specially designed for review. (1) **Text.** First, it is recommended that the entire text be studied and, if deemed necessary, supplemented by the student texts cited. (2) **Capsule Summary.** The Capsule Summary is an abbreviated review of the subject matter which can be used both before and after studying the main body of the text. The headings in the Capsule

Summary follow the main text of the "Black Letter." (3) **Table of Contents.** The Table of Contents is in outline form to help you organize the details of the subject and the Summary of Contents gives you a final overview of the materials. (4) **Sample Examination.** The Sample Examinations in Appendix A give you the opportunity to test yourself with the type of questions asked on an exam and compare your answer with a model answer.

In addition, a number of other features are included to help you understand the subject matter and prepare for examinations:

Perspective: In this feature, the authors discuss their approach to the topic, the approach used in preparing the materials, and any tips on studying for and writing examinations.

Analysis: This feature, at the beginning of each section, is designed to give a quick summary of a particular section to help you recall the subject matter and to help you determine which areas need the most extensive review.

Examples: This feature is designed to illustrate, through fact situations, the law just stated. This, we believe, should help you analytically approach a question on the examination.

Glossary: This feature is designed to refamiliarize you with the meaning of a particular legal term. We believe that the recognition of words of art used in an examination helps you to better analyze the question. In addition, when writing an examination you should know the precise definition of a word of art you intend to use.

We believe that the materials in this "Black Letter" will facilitate your study of a law school course and assure success in writing examinations not only for the course but for the bar examination. We wish you success.

THE PUBLISHER

Summary of Contents

| | | Gummary | 73 | | | | |
|------|---|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| ı. | ANTITRUST ECONOMICS: PRICE THEORY AND INDUSTRIAL | | | | | | |
| | OR | GANIZATION | 77 | | | | |
| | A. | An Overview of Basic Price Theory for Antitrust | 79 | | | | |
| | В. | Industrial Organization: Economies of Scale and the Dilemma of | | | | | |
| | | Antitrust Policy | 89 | | | | |
| | C. | The Economic Model and Real World Markets | 92 | | | | |
| | D. | Review Questions | 93 | | | | |
| II. | CAF | RTELS, TACIT COLLUSION, JOINT VENTURES AND OTHER | | | | | |
| | COI | MBINATIONS OF COMPETITORS | 95 | | | | |
| | A. | How and When Price Fixing Works | 98 | | | | |
| | В. | Express and Tacit Collusion: An Introduction to the Oligopoly | | | | | |
| | | Problem | 104 | | | | |
| | C. | Joint Ventures and Other Ancillary Restraints Under § 1 of the | | | | | |
| | | Sherman Act | 114 | | | | |
| | D. | Horizontal Agreements and the Per Se Rule: The Problem of | | | | | |
| | | Characterization | 122 | | | | |
| | E. | The Death of the Intra-Enterprise Conspiracy Doctrine | 126 | | | | |
| | F. | Review Questions | 127 | | | | |
| III. | MONOPOLIZATION, ATTEMPT TO MONOPOLIZE AND PREDATORY | | | | | | |
| | PRI | CING | 129 | | | | |
| | A. | Single-Firm Monopolization | 132 | | | | |
| | В. | Attempt to Monopolize | 157 | | | | |
| | C. | Predatory Pricing as Monopolization or Attempt to Monopolize | 160 | | | | |
| | D. | Predatory Pricing Under the Robinson–Patman Act | 168 | | | | |
| | E. | Predatory Buying | 170 | | | | |
| | F. | Conspiracy to Monopolize | 170 | | | | |
| | G. | Review Questions | 171 | | | | |
| IV. | VEF | RTICAL INTEGRATION AND VERTICAL MERGERS | 173 | | | | |
| | A. | Introduction: The Nature of Vertical Integration | 175 | | | | |
| | В. | Vertical Integration, Efficiency and Antitrust Policy | 177 | | | | |
| | C. | Vertical Mergers | 185 | | | | |
| | D. | Review Questions | 190 | | | | |

| V. | TIE-INS, RECIPROCITY, EXCLUSIVE DEALING AND THE FRANCHISE | |
|-------|---|---|
| | A. Introduction B. Judicial Tests for the Illegality of Tie-Ins C. The Ambiguous Problem of Tie-Ins and Consumer Welfare D. Tie-Ins, Market Power, and the Per se Rule E. Why Do Courts Condemn Tie-Ins and Why Do Firms Use Them? F. Tie-Ins and the Franchise Contract G. Reciprocity H. Exclusive Dealing I. Summary: The Rule of Reason for Exclusive Dealing J. Review Questions | 193 195 195 195 196 200 213 214 216 221 222 |
| VI. | RESALE PRICE MAINTENANCE AND VERTICAL NONPRICE RESTRAINTS A. Introduction B. Why Do Suppliers Use Restrictions on Distribution? C. Resale Price Maintenance in the Courts D. Vertical Nonprice Restraints and the Rule of Reason E. Review Questions | 227 229 236 240 |
| VII. | REFUSALS TO DEAL A. Antitrust Policy and Refusals to Deal B. Concerted Refusals to Deal C. Unilateral Refusals to Deal D. Review Questions | 247 250 |
| VIII. | HORIZONTAL MERGERS A. Horizontal Mergers and Competition B. The Basic Tests for Legality in Horizontal Merger Cases C. Pre-Merger Notification D. Interlocking Directorates or Officers Under § 8 of the Clayton Act E. Review Questions | 277 298 |
| IX. | CONGLOMERATE AND POTENTIAL COMPETITION MERGERS A. Antitrust Policy and the Conglomerate Merger B. Competition and Conglomerate Merger Policy C. Review Questions | 306 |
| Χ. | PRICE DISCRIMINATION AND DIFFERENTIAL PRICING UNDER THE ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT A. The Economics of Price Discrimination B. The Robinson-Patman Act C. Review Questions | 315 317 323 330 |

| XI. | | ISDICTIONAL, PUBLIC POLICY AND REGULATORY LIMITATIONS THE DOMAIN OF ANTITRUST | 331 | | |
|------|-------------------|---|-----|--|--|
| | A. | The Jurisdictional Reach of the Antitrust Laws | 333 | | |
| | В. | Legislative and Constitutional Limitations on Antitrust | | | |
| | | Enforcement | 337 | | |
| | C. | Antitrust in Federally Regulated Industries and the Doctrine of | | | |
| | _ | Primary Jurisdiction | 342 | | |
| | D. | Federalism and the "State Action" Doctrine | 345 | | |
| | E. | Review Questions | 350 | | |
| XII. | ENF | ORCEMENT, PROCEDURE AND RELATED MATTERS | 353 | | |
| | A. | Public Enforcement | 355 | | |
| | В. | Private Enforcement | 357 | | |
| | C. | Review Questions | 372 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| APP | ENDIC | CES | | | |
| App. | | | | | |
| A. | | ers to Review Questions | | | |
| B. | | ce Exam | 387 | | |
| C. | Correlation Table | | | | |
| D. | | ary | 413 | | |
| E. | | of Cases | 427 | | |
| F. | Index | | 433 | | |

Table of Contents

| erspe | | | |
|-------|-----|----|--|
| | | | UST ECONOMICS: PRICE THEORY AND INDUSTRIAL |
| | ORC | | IIZATION |
| | A. | An | Overview of Basic Price Theory for Antitrust |
| | | 1. | Supply and Price Under Perfect Competition |
| | | | a. The Value of the Perfect Competition Model |
| | | | b. Supply and Demand in Perfect Competition |
| | | | 1) The "Reservation Price" |
| | | | 2) The Supply Curve |
| | | | 3) Equilibrium |
| | | | 4) Consumers' Surplus and Producers' Surplus |
| | | | 5) Market Elasticities of Supply and Demand |
| | | | c. The Output Decision of the Individual Firm in Perfect |
| | | | Competition: Marginal Cost |
| | | | 1) The Perfect Competitor's Price Decision |
| | | | 2) The Perfect Competitor's Output Decision |
| | | 2. | Monopoly |
| | | | a. The Monopolist's Price and Output Decisions |
| | | | 1) The Marginal Revenue Curve |
| | | | 2) The Monopolist's Profit–Maximizing Price |
| | | | b. The <i>De Facto</i> Monopolist in the Real World |
| | | | c. The Social Cost of Monopoly |
| | | | 1) The Monopoly Wealth Transfer |
| | | | 2) The Deadweight Loss Triangle |
| | В. | | dustrial Organization: Economies of Scale and the Dilemma of |
| | | An | titrust Policy |
| | | 1. | Economies of Scale |
| | | | a. Economies of Scale and Technology |
| | | | b. Minimum Optimal Scale |
| | | 2. | Natural Monopoly |
| | | 3. | The Dilemma of Antitrust Policy: Coping With Bigness |
| | C. | Th | e Economic Model and Real World Markets |
| | | 1. | Product Differentiation |
| | | 2. | Price Discrimination |
| | | 3. | Transaction Costs |

| | | 4. | Barriers to Entry | 92 |
|-----|-----|------|--|-----|
| | D. | Re | view Questions | 93 |
| II. | CAI | RTEI | LS, TACIT COLLUSION, JOINT VENTURES AND OTHER | |
| ••• | | | NATIONS OF COMPETITORS | 95 |
| | A. | | w and When Price Fixing Works | 98 |
| | | 1. | The Cartel Market | 98 |
| | | | a. Market Concentration | 98 |
| | | | b. Barriers to Entry | 99 |
| | | | c. Sales Methods | 99 |
| | | | d. Product Homogeneity | 100 |
| | | | e. Facilitating Devices | 100 |
| | | 2. | The Cartel Members | 101 |
| | | | a. Firm Size | 101 |
| | | | b. Efficiency | 101 |
| | | | c. Extent of Participation | 102 |
| | | | d. Incentives to Cheat and Cartel Countermeasures | 102 |
| | | 3. | Variations on Horizontal Collusion | 103 |
| | | | a. Horizontal Territorial or Customer Division | 103 |
| | | | b. Market Share Agreements | 104 |
| | | | c. Output Reduction Schemes | 104 |
| | В. | Ex | press and Tacit Collusion: An Introduction to the Oligopoly | |
| | | | oblem | 104 |
| | | 1. | Oligopoly and the Sherman Act | 105 |
| | | 2. | Possible Approaches to the Oligopoly Problem | 105 |
| | | | a. The Structural Approach | 105 |
| | | | b. The Conduct Approach | 106 |
| | | 3. | The Agreement Requirement and the Antitrust Laws | 106 |
| | | | a. Circumstantial Evidence of Express Collusion | 106 |
| | | | b. Detecting and Challenging Tacit Collusion | 109 |
| | | | 1) Evidence of Markets Conducive to Tacit Collusion | 109 |
| | | | 2) Evidence That Collusion Is Occurring | 109 |
| | | | a) Basing Point Pricing by Agreement | 112 |
| | | | b) Basing Point Pricing in the Absence of an Agreement . | 112 |
| | | | 3) Tacit Collusion and the FTC Act | 112 |
| | C. | Joi | int Ventures and Other Ancillary Restraints Under § 1 of the | |
| | | Sh | erman Act | 114 |
| | | 1. | Joint Ventures: The Threat to Competition | 114 |
| | | | a. The Firms Participating in the Venture Are Competitors | 114 |
| | | | b. The Participants Control a Large Market Share | 114 |
| | | | c. The Joint Venture's Activities Affect Price or Output | 115 |
| | | | d. The Venture Is Exclusive | 115 |
| | | 2. | Joint Ventures: Economic Rationales | 115 |
| | | | a. Economies of Scale and Scope | 115 |

| | | | b. Economies of Distribution | 116 |
|------|-----|-----|--|-----|
| | | | c. Solving Free Rider Problems | 116 |
| | | | d. Joint Ventures in Network Industries | 118 |
| | | | e. Joint Ventures and the Problem of Market Information | 119 |
| | | | 1) Market Information and Efficiency | 119 |
| | | | 2) Market Information and Collusion | 119 |
| | | | f. Joint Ventures as Market Facilitators | 121 |
| | | | g. Joint Ventures and Mergers | 122 |
| | D. | Но | rizontal Agreements and the Per Se Rule: The Problem of | |
| | | Ch | aracterization | 122 |
| | | 1. | Price-Affecting Conduct | 123 |
| | | 2. | Public Conduct | 124 |
| | | 3. | Conclusion: Some Guidelines for Deciding to Apply the Per Se | |
| | | | Rule or the Rule of Reason | 125 |
| | | 4. | The "Quick Look" for Highly Suspicious, or "Nearly Naked" | |
| | | | Restraints | 125 |
| | E. | The | e Death of the Intra-Enterprise Conspiracy Doctrine | 126 |
| | F. | Re | view Questions | 127 |
| III. | MOI | U∩P | OLIZATION, ATTEMPT TO MONOPOLIZE AND PREDATORY | |
| | | | 3 | 129 |
| | Α. | | ngle–Firm Monopolization | 132 |
| | 7.1 | 1. | The Formulation of the Monopolization Offense | 132 |
| | | 1. | a. The Development of the Offense | 132 |
| | | | b. The Modern Formulation of the Offense | 133 |
| | | 2. | Monopoly Power in a Relevant Market | 133 |
| | | | a. Measuring Market Power Directly | 134 |
| | | | b. The Market Share Proxy | 134 |
| | | | c. Measuring the Relevant Product Market | 135 |
| | | | Identifying the Relevant Product Market | 135 |
| | | | 2) Computing the Market Share | 137 |
| | | | 3) Cross Elasticity of Demand | |
| | | | a) The <i>Cellophane</i> Fallacy | |
| | | | b) The Usefulness of the Concept of Cross Elasticity of | |
| | | | Demand | 140 |
| | | | 4) Measuring Elasticity of Supply | 140 |
| | | | a) Time of Entry | 140 |
| | | | b) Measuring the Output/Capacity of Competitors | 140 |
| | | | c) Judicial Recognition of Elasticity of Supply | 142 |
| | | | d. Measuring the Relevant Geographic Market | 142 |
| | | | e. How Big a Share of the Relevant Market Is Required? | 143 |
| | | | f. Entry Barriers | 143 |
| | | 3. | Conduct Requirements | 144 |
| | | | a. The Rule of Reason in Monopolization Cases | 144 |

| | | | 1) Injury to Competition and Injury to Competitors | 144 |
|----|-----|-----|--|-----|
| | | | 2) Intent | 144 |
| | | | a) Specific and General Intent | 145 |
| | | | b) Subjective and Objective Evidence of Intent | 145 |
| | | b. | Illustrative Exclusionary Practices | 145 |
| | | | 1) Predatory Pricing | 146 |
| | | | 2) Mergers to Monopoly | 146 |
| | | | 3) Purchase and Shutdown of Rivals' Plants | 146 |
| | | | 4) Expansion of Output or Capacity | 146 |
| | | | 5) Price Discrimination | 147 |
| | | | 6) Vertical Integration | 147 |
| | | | 7) Price and Supply "Squeezes" | 148 |
| | | | 8) Exclusionary Discounting Practices | 149 |
| | | | 9) Tying Arrangements | 150 |
| | | | 10) "Predatory" Product Innovation; Failure to Predisclose | 151 |
| | | | 11) Exclusionary Abuses of the Patent System | 153 |
| | | | 12) Raising Rivals' Costs | 155 |
| | | | 13) Refusal to Deal With a Competitor | 155 |
| | | | 14) "Essential Facility" Doctrine | 156 |
| В. | Att | emp | ot to Monopolize | 157 |
| | 1. | The | e Common Law and the Offense of Attempt to Monopolize | 157 |
| | 2. | Spe | ecific Intent | 157 |
| | | a. | The Problem of Identifying Illegitimate Intent | 158 |
| | | b. | Judicial Attempts to Define Illegitimate Intent | 158 |
| | 3. | Da | ngerous Probability of Success | 159 |
| | | a. | The Purpose of the Dangerous Probability Requirement | 159 |
| | | b. | Dangerous Probability and Market Power | 159 |
| | 4. | Co | nduct Requirements | 159 |
| | | a. | Basic Premises for Evaluating Conduct | 160 |
| | | b. | Business Torts Distinguished | 160 |
| C. | Pro | | ory Pricing as Monopolization or Attempt to Monopolize | 160 |
| | 1. | | titrust Policy and the Problem of Predatory Pricing | 161 |
| | 2. | | w Often Does Predatory Pricing Occur? | 161 |
| | 3. | The | e Areeda-Turner Test for Predatory Pricing | 161 |
| | | a. | The Orthodox Formulation of the Areeda–Turner Test | 161 |
| | | | 1) The Average Variable Cost (AVC) Surrogate | 162 |
| | | | 2) The Basic Presumptions of the Areeda–Turner Test | 163 |
| | | | 3) The Areeda–Turner Test and the Elements of the Attempt | |
| | | _ | Offense | 163 |
| | | b. | The Areeda–Turner Test in the Courts | 164 |
| | | | 1) Computation of Average Variable Cost | 164 |
| | | | 2) The Proper Role of Intent | 165 |
| | | | 3) The Proper Response to "Limit" Pricing | 165 |

| | | 1 | "Demonstrate Developed State of Comments William To Developed State on Developed | |
|-----|----------|------|--|------------|
| | | 4. | "Dangerous Probability of Success": When Is Predatory Pricing | 1// |
| | | | Plausible? | 166 |
| | | | a. High Barriers to Entryb. The Problem of Excess Capacity | 166 166 |
| | | | , and a second s | 167 |
| | D | Dro | c. Predatory Pricing and the Nonmonopolist, Recoupment | |
| | D. | | edatory Pricing Under the Robinson–Patman Act | 168 |
| | | 1. | The Recoupment Theory of Predatory Pricing | 168 |
| | | 2. | The Effect of the Robinson–Patman Act | 168 |
| | | 3. | The Brooke Group Revision of Robinson–Patman Act Predatory | 170 |
| | _ | Dua | Pricing Law | 169 |
| | E. | | edatory Buying | 170 |
| | F. | | nspiracy to Monopolize | 170 |
| | G. | He | view Questions | 171 |
| IV. | VER | TIC | AL INTEGRATION AND VERTICAL MERGERS | 173 |
| | A. | Inti | roduction: The Nature of Vertical Integration | 175 |
| | | 1. | Three Methods of Vertical Integration and Why Firms Choose | |
| | | | Them | 175 |
| | | | a. The Nature of the Service or Product | 175 |
| | | | b. The Size of the Firms | 175 |
| | | | c. The Applicable Antitrust Laws | 176 |
| | | 2. | Two Forms of Vertical Integration | 176 |
| | | | a. Ownership | 176 |
| | | | b. Contract | 176 |
| | | 3. | Antitrust Treatment of the Forms of Vertical Integration | 176 |
| | | 4. | Forward and Backward Vertical Integration | 177 |
| | В. | Ver | rtical Integration, Efficiency and Antitrust Policy | 177 |
| | | 1. | Vertical Integration and Efficiency | 178 |
| | | | a. Production Cost Savings From Vertical Integration | 178 |
| | | | b. Transaction Cost Savings From Vertical Integration | 179 |
| | | | c. "Double Marginalization," or the Problem of Market Power | |
| | | | Held by Other Firms | 180 |
| | | | d. Vertical Integration and Optimum Product Distribution | 181 |
| | | 2. | Perceived Dangers to Competition From Vertical Integration | 182 |
| | | | a. Increased Market Power | 182 |
| | | | b. Barriers to Entry | 183 |
| | | | c. Price Discrimination | 183 |
| | | | d. Rate Regulation Avoidance | 184 |
| | | | e. Vertical Integration by Cartels | 185 |
| | C. | Ver | tical Mergers | 185 |
| | | 1. | Vertical Mergers in the Case Law | 185 |
| | | | a. Subjective Intent and Pre-1950 Merger Law | 186 |
| | | | b. The Law of Vertical Mergers Since 1950 | 186 |
| | | | 1) The Foreclosure Theory | 186 |

| | | 2) The Entry Barrier Theory | 188 |
|----|-----|---|-----|
| | | 2. Vertical Mergers and the 1984 Justice Department Merger | |
| | | Guidelines | 189 |
| | | a. Increased Barriers to Entry | 189 |
| | | b. Vertical Mergers and Collusion | 190 |
| | | c. Rate Regulation Avoidance | 190 |
| | D. | Review Questions | 190 |
| V. | TIF | -INS, RECIPROCITY, EXCLUSIVE DEALING AND THE FRANCHISE | |
| •• | | NTRACT | 193 |
| | Α. | Introduction | 195 |
| | В. | Judicial Tests for the Illegality of Tie-Ins | 195 |
| | C. | The Ambiguous Problem of Tie-Ins and Consumer Welfare | 195 |
| | D. | Tie-Ins, Market Power, and the Per se Rule | 196 |
| | - | 1. The Difference Between the Sherman Act and Clayton Act Tests | 196 |
| | | 2. The Consequences of a Two–Fold Test | 196 |
| | | a. Rule of Reason Ties in the Absence of Market Power | 196 |
| | | b. Per se Illegal Tie-ins | 197 |
| | | 3. The Emerging Unity of the Sherman Act and Clayton Act Tests | 199 |
| | | 4. Market Power in Markets for Intellectual Property | 199 |
| | E. | Why Do Courts Condemn Tie-Ins and Why Do Firms Use Them? | 200 |
| | | 1. The Leverage and Entry Barrier Theories | 200 |
| | | a. Double Monopoly Profits | 201 |
| | | b. Barriers to Entry in the Tied Product Market | 202 |
| | | 2. Evasion of Statutory Price Regulation | 203 |
| | | 3. Tie–Ins as Price Discrimination and Metering Devices | 204 |
| | | a. Price Discrimination | 204 |
| | | b. Tie-Ins as Metering Devices | 206 |
| | | 4. The Two–Product Test | 207 |
| | | a. A Shortcoming in the Judicial Test? | 207 |
| | | b. The "Separate Products" Requirement | 207 |
| | | 5. Coercion | 210 |
| | | a. Coercion as Conditioning | 210 |
| | | b. Other Meanings of "Coercion" | 211 |
| | | c. Private Damages Actions and the "Coercion" Requirement | 212 |
| | F. | Tie-Ins and the Franchise Contract | 213 |
| | | 1. Franchise Ties and Price Discrimination | 213 |
| | | 2. Franchise Ties Yield More Franchise Locations | 213 |
| | | 3. Full–Line Forcing | 214 |
| | G. | Reciprocity | 214 |
| | | 1. Reciprocity and the Leverage Theory | 215 |
| | | 2. Reciprocity and Price Discrimination | 215 |
| | | 3 Reciprocity and Cartels | 216 |