

Bridging the Peace and Justice Divide

Louise Mallinder

INTERNATIONAL LAW

Amnesty, Human Rights and Political Transitions

Bridging the Peace and Justice Divide

Louise Mallinder



Published in North America (US and Canada) by Hart Publishing c/o International Specialized Book Services

c/o International Specialized Book Services 920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300 Portland, OR 97213-3786 LISA

Tel: +1-503-287-3093 or toll-free: (1)-800-944-6190 Fax: +1 503 280 8832 E-mail: orders@isbs.com Website: www.isbs.com

© Louise Mallinder 2008

Louise Mallinder has asserted her right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the author of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing at the address below.

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530 Fax: +44(0)1865 510710 E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk Website: http://www.hartpub.co.uk

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-771-1

Typeset by Hope Services, Abingdon Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

Acknowledgments

The origins of this book go back to my doctoral thesis, which I completed at Queen's University Belfast in December 2006. Whilst researching this thesis, I had two fantastic supervisors, Prof Tom Hadden and Prof Kieran McEvoy. Throughout the ups and downs of this research both Kieran and Tom patiently provided me with encouragement, support and inspiration and for that I am truly grateful. I would also like to acknowledge the valuable contribution made by my examiners Prof Jeremy Sarkin and Prof Colin Harvey, whose positive comments on the thesis spurred me on to write this book. In addition, I would like to thank my colleagues at the Law School at Queen's University Belfast, particularly Prof Brice Dickson and Dr Jean Allain, for commenting on sections of the book manuscript. I would also like to acknowledge the Law School for providing me with financial support to attend training courses and conferences, which have all enriched this book. During my doctoral research, I was also very grateful to have received funding from the Department for Employment and Learning in Northern Ireland.

During the process of preparing this book, I have been very fortunate to work with Hart Publishing Ltd. In particular, I would like to thank Richard Hart for his enthusiasm for this project and for the clarity he has provided me with on what seemed to me at times a daunting process. I would also like to thank Rachel Turner at Hart. Thanks should go also to the reviewers of my book proposal, Prof Diane Orentlicher and Prof David Dyzenhaus, for their interest in my work and for their helpful comments.

I further feel indebted to those individuals who kindly gave their time to read the earlier drafts and provide me with comments, even though they may not have agreed with all my arguments. Firstly, I want to thank Mark Freeman who has been a star, in not just reading the whole manuscript, but also being willing to exchange ideas and texts of amnesty laws. I would also like to thank Dr Leslie Vinjamuri for her comments and encouragement. Finally, I am grateful to Christopher Hall at Amnesty International for providing me with his views on the legal issues.

During the years in which I was researching this project and creating the Amnesty Law Database, I have also received help from many individuals, particularly librarians and NGO workers, who kindly responded to my pleas for information on the harder to find amnesties. I would also like thank those individuals, such as Prof Ron Slye, who kindly shared unpublished work with me.

vi Acknowledgements

Finally, I would like to give my sincere and heartfelt thanks to all my friends and family, who have listened sympathetically when I was finding the research difficult and have celebrated with me during the high points. Particular thanks should go to my parents and my sister Leanne, and also to the Hayes family. I would particularly like to thank my 'PhD girls', Dr Anna Eriksson and Dr Vicky Conway for all those evenings we spent drinking wine and making sense of our theses, and also Prof George Pavlakos and Estelle Zinsstag. Most especially, however, I want to thank my darling Richard for always believing in me and supporting me through this process.

List of Case Studies

Case Study 1: Reparative v blanket amnesty: the Uruguayan experience

Case Study 2: The changing scope of the Ugandan amnesty

Case Study 3: Post-Franco Amnesties in Spain

Case Study 4: The Algerian experience of 'rolling' amnesties

Case Study 5: 'Pinochet's amnesty': self-amnesty in Chile

Case Study 6: Limited amnesties in Bosnia-Herzegovina

Case Study 7: UNTAET's approach to divergent levels of responsibility in Timor-Leste

Case Study 8: Rwanda, gacaca and international justice

Case Study 9: Amnesty in exchange for truth in South Africa

Case Study 10: Sequencing amnesty and truth in El Salvador

Case Study 11: Colombia's Justice and Peace Law (Law 975) 2005

Case Study 12: Amnesty for Haiti's military junta

Case Study 13: Divergence in UN attitudes: the experience of amnesty in Sierra Leone

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Amnesties	by	time
Figure 2:	Amnesties	by	region

Figure 3: Amnesties by type of government

Figure 4: Amnesties and their enactment processes

Figure 5: Amnesty motivations

Figure 6: Amnesties by group of beneficiaries

Figure 7: Treatment of categories of crimes in amnesty laws

Figure 8: Relationship of amnesties to crimes under international law Jan 1980–Dec 2005

Figure 9: Distribution of conditions attached to amnesty laws

Figure 10: Truth commissions coinciding with amnesties between 1980 and 2005

Figure 11: Amnesties and reparations programmes

Figure 12: Results of national cases

Figure 13: National cases results by time

Figure 14: Results of cases in according to the treatment of crimes under international law within the amnesty

Figure 15: Outcomes of judicial review cases

Figure 16: International attitudes towards amnesty laws

Figure 17: Attitudes by type of international actor

Figure 18: Attitudes of international actors towards amnesties for crimes under international law

Figure 19: Maslow's hierarchy of needs

Figure 20: Methods to encourage participation in amnesty processes

Abbreviations

AU African Union, formerly Organization of African Unity

(OAU)

CAVR Comissão de Acolhimento, Verdade e Reconciliação de Timor

Leste (Commission for Reception, Truth and

Reconciliation)

CAT Convention Against Torture

CONADEP Comisión Nacional Sobre la Desaparición de Personas

(National Commission on Disappeared Persons, 'Sabato

Commission')

DDR Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration

DINA Dirección Nacional de Inteligencia (Directorate of

National Intelligence)

DRC Democratic Republic of Congo ECHR European Court of Human Rights

ECOWAS Economic Community of West African States

ESMA Escuela de mecánica de la armada (Naval School of

Mechanics)—a notorious torture centre in Buenos Aires

during the Argentine military dictatorship

EU European Union

FMLN Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional

FYR Former Yugoslav Republic of ICC International Criminal Court ICJ International Court of Justice

ICRC International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTJ International Center for Transitional Justice
ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the Former

Yugoslavia

ILO International Labour Organization

Inter-Am CHR Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

Inter-Am Ct HR Inter-American Court of Human Rights

JSMP Judicial System Monitoring Programme (an East

Timorese NGO)

LRA Lord's Resistance Army

MIR Movimiento de la Izquierda Revolucionaria (Movement of

the Revolutionary Left)

NGO Non-governmental organisation OAS Organization of American States

xlvi Abbreviations

PCIJ Permanent Court of International Justice

RUF Revolutionary United Front SADF South African Defence Force SCSL Special Court of Sierra Leone

TRC Truth and Reconciliation Commission

UN United Nations

UNGA United Nations General Assembly
UNHCR UN High Commission for Refugees
UNHRC UN Human Rights Committee

VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	7
List of Case Studies	xii
Table of Cases	XV
International Cases	XV
Municipal Cases	xvi
Table of Municipal Legislation	xxii
Table of Official International Documents	xxxv
Treaties	xxx
Other Documents xx	xviii
List of Figures	xlii
Abbreviations	xlv
Introduction	1
Defining 'Amnesty'	3
Controversial Nature of Amnesty Laws	3 7
Amnesties, International Law and Legal Claims-making	7
Amnesties and the Views of Victims	10
Amnesties and Pragmatic Peacemaking	12
Amnesties and Dealing with the Past	13
Amnesty and Reconciliation	16
Trends in the Introduction of Amnesty Laws	18
Creating the Amnesty Law Database	22
Structure and Purpose of the Book	24
Part I Amnesties and Peacemaking: Context and Content	27
1 Enacting Amnesties	29
Introduction	29
How are Amnesty Laws Introduced?	30
Exercises of Executive Discretion	31
Negotiated Peace Agreements	32
Statutes	33
Public Consultation	34
Why Do States Introduce Amnesty?	37
Amnesty as a Reaction to Internal Unrest and Domestic Pressure	41
Amnesty as a Tool for Peace and Reconciliation	46
Amnesty as a Response to International Pressure	61

viii Contents

	Amnesty as a Cultural or Religious Tradition	63
	Amnesty as Reparation	64
	Amnesty as a Shield for State Agents	66
	Repeated and 'Rolling' Amnesties	68
	Conclusion	71
2	Whom do Amnesties Protect? The Personal Jurisdiction of	
	Amnesty Laws	7 5
	Introduction	75
	Amnesties, Equality and the 'Myth of Equivalency'	76
	How have States Distinguished between Offenders with	
	Different Allegiances?	82
	State Agents	85
	Opponents of the State	87
	Non-Violent Political Prisoners	88
	Exiles and Refugees	89
	Foreign Nationals	91
	Can an Offender's Reasons for Committing a Crime Justify an	
	Amnesty?	92
	Ideology and Political Offenders	93
	Duress	96
	Self Protection and Personal Gain	97
	Victim-Perpetrator Axis	98
	Importance of Rank: Should States distinguish different Levels of	
	Responsibility	100
	Amnesty for Subordinates?	100
	Amnesty for Superiors?	102
	Individual v Blanket Amnesties	110
	Can Amnesties Prevent Individuals from Proving their	
	Innocence?	111
	Conclusion	113
3	Granting Immunity? The Material Scope of Amnesty Laws	117
	Introduction	117
	Which Crimes Are Granted Amnesty?	118
	Amnestying Atrocities? Can States Amnesty Crimes under	110
	International Law?	123
	Should Amnesties Treat Political Crimes Differently?	135
	Impinging on Individual Rights: Amnesties for Crimes Against	100
	Civilians and Combatants who are Hors de Combat	144
	Do States Amnesty Economic Crimes?	145
	Restricting Amnesties and the Scope of the Duty to Prosecute	148
	Conclusion	150

4	Towards Greater Accountability: The Role of Conditional	
	Amnesties	153
	Introduction	153
	Which Conditions are Attached to Amnesties?	154
	Amnesty for Surrender and Disarmament	156
	Application Deadlines for Amnesties	159
	Amnesty and Repentance	160
	Amnesty and the Search for Truth	163
	Amnesty and Repairing the Harm	171
	Amnesty and Restorative Approaches to Justice	184
	Lustration and Vetting Procedures and Amnesty	189
	Enforcing Conditions and the Potential of Temporary Amnesties Conclusion	192 197
Pa	art II Approach of Courts to Amnesties	201
5	Implementing the Amnesty: The Approach of National Courts	203
	Introduction	203
	Trends in the Responses of National Courts to Amnesty Laws How have National Courts explained their Approach to	204
	Amnesty Laws?	209
	Legality of Amnesty Processes Under Municipal Law	210
	Legality of Amnesty Processes Under International Law	218
	Adhering to the Separation of Powers Doctrine	232
	Learning from Experiences Elsewhere	236
	Promoting Peace and Reconciliation	239
	Disclosing or Concealing the Truth	241
	Conclusion	244
6	International Courts and National Amnesty Laws	247
	Introduction	247
	Whom do International Courts Hold Accountable?	248
	Who has Standing?	252
	When do International Courts have Jurisdiction to Rule on	
	National Amnesties?	253
	Potential to Create Change: How the Rulings of International Courts Can Affect National Amnesties?	261
	Amnesties and Victims' Rights: The Verdicts of the International	201
	Courts	262
	Right to a Remedy	262 263
	Duty to Investigate	266
	Duty to Prosecute and Punish	270
	Right to Reparations	277
	v i	

x Contents

	Potential Approach of the International Criminal Court	279
	Security Council Deferral (Article 16)	282
	Complementarity (Article 17)	282
	Non bis in idem (Article 20)	285
	Prosecutorial Discretion (Article 53)	286
	Conclusion	291
7	Beyond Territoriality: Transnational Prosecutions and Amnesties	293
	Introduction	293
	Jurisdiction of Courts in Third States to Rule on Amnesties	
	Introduced Elsewhere	294
	Treaty Law	295
	Customary International Law	299
	Domestic Law	302
	Scope of Universal Jurisdiction within Third States	304
	Role of Subsidiarity	304
	Executive Discretion	308
	'Nexus Requirement' and In Absentia Prosecutions	309
	Selectivity	311
	'Ripple Effect': The Impact of Investigations in Third States on	
	National Amnesties	311
	Conclusion	314
Pa	rt III Views of Stakeholder Groups	317
8	Legal Obligations v Self-interest: The Contradictory Approach of	
	International Actors to Amnesty	319
	•	
	Introduction	319
	What Motivates International Actors' Decisions on Involvement in	
	Domestic Amnesty Processes?	321
	Attitudes of International Actors towards Amnesties	327
	Diplomatic Pressure	331
	Economic Pressure	340
	Legal Pressure	342
	Military Pressure Conclusion	350
	Conclusion	351
)	Prioritising Needs: Amnesties and the Views of Victims	355
	Introduction	355
	Research on Victims	356
	Identifying Victims and their Needs	358
	How do Amnesty Laws Affect Victims?	365

	How can Amnesty Laws Be Made More Responsive to the Needs of Victims?	37 3
Co	nclusion	376
10	Promoting Participation: Making Amnesties Attractive to the Targeted Groups	379
	Introduction Combatants, Perpetrators, Victims or Freedom Fighters?	379
	The Complex Terminology for Amnesty Beneficiaries Amnesty and Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration Methods to Encourage Participation	380 381 384
	Publicising Amnesties Sensitising Communities Providing Financial Incentives	385 387 390
	Establishing Training and Employment Programmes Integrating Former Combatants into the Armed Forces Establishing Power-sharing Governments	393 394 396
	Impact of Truth commissions on Potential Amnesty Applicants Conclusion	398 400
Coı	nclusions	403
Ap	pendix 1: List of Amnesty Processes	415
Ap	pendix 2: International Court System	423
Ap:	pendix 3: Provisions of the Universal Jurisdiction Legislation in Third States	429
Bib	liography	433
Ind	ex	569

Table of Cases

International Cases

Abdülsamet Yaman v Turkey (App No 32446/96), ECHR (2004)264
Aksoy v Turkey, ECHR, judgment of 18 December 1996,
Reports of Judgments and Decisions 1996-VI263, 268, 273
Alfonso René Chanfeau Orayce et al v Chile, Cases 11.505, 11.532,
11.541, 11.546, 11.549, 11.569, 11.572, 11.573, 11.583, 11.585, 11.595,
11.652, 11.657, 11.675, and 11.705, Inter-Am CHR, Report 28/98,
OEA/SerL/V/II.98 (1998)255, 266
Alicia Consuelo Herrara et al v Argentina, Cases 10.147, 10.181,
10.240, 10.262, 10.309 and 10.311, Inter-Am CHR, Report 28/92,
OEA/Ser/L/V/II.83 (1992)265-6, 273-4
Almonacid-Arellano et al v Chile, Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C)
no 154 (2006)255, 257, 269, 272
Aloeboetoe et al v Suriname, Inter-Am. Ct HR (ser C) No 11
(1991)171
Angel Escobar Jurado v Peru, Case 10.521, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 42/97, OEA/SerL/V/II.98 (1998)275
Arrest Warrant case (Democratic Republic of Congo v Belgium)
2002 ICJ 3 (14 Feb 2002)300
Barberà, Messegué and Jabardo v Spain, App No 10590/83,
ECHR 19 (1988)266
Barrios Altos Case (Chumbipuma Aguirre et al v Peru),
Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C) No 74 (2001)211, 221, 271, 278
Basilio Laureano Atachahua v Peru, Comm No 540/1993,
UNHRC, UN Doc CCPR/C/56/D/540/1993 (1996)264, 269
Carmelo Soria Espinoza et al v Chile, Case 11.725, Inter-Am
CHR, Report 133/99, OEA/SerL/V/II.106 (1999)256-7, 269, 278
Case of the Rochela Massacre v Colombia Inter-Am Ct HR
(ser C), No 163 (2007)
Castillo Páez v Peru, Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C) No 34
(1997)
Chumbipuma Aguirre et al v Peru see International Cases,
Barrios Altos Case
Commission Nationale des Droits de l'Homme et des Libertés v
Chad, Comm No 74/92, Afr CHR (1995)268
Democratic Republic of Congo v Belgium see International Cases,
Arrest Warrant case

Estiles Ruíz Dávila v Peru, Case No 10.491, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 41/97, OEA/SerL/V/II.98 (1998)269, 275
Garay Hermosilla et al v Chile, Case 10.843, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 36/96, OEA/SerL/V/II/95 [1996]31, 215, 255, 263, 266-7, 274
Godínez Cruz v Honduras—Compensatory Damages Inter-Am
Ct HR (ser C) No 8 (1989)267
Gustavo Carranza v Argentina, Case 10.087, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 30/97, OEA/ SerL/V/II.95 (1997)254
Hugo Bustios Saavedra v Peru, Case No 10.548, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 38/97, OEA/SerL/V/II.98 (1998)275
Hugo Leonardo de los Santos Mendoza et al v Uruguay,
Cases 10.029, 10.036, 10.145, 10.305, 10.372, 10.373, 10.374
and 10.375, Inter-Am CHR, Report 29/92,
OEA/Ser/L/V/II.83 (1992)254, 256, 265, 274
Ignacio Ellacuría, SJ et al v El Salvador, Case 10.488, Inter-Am
CHR, Report 136/99, OEA/SerL/V/II.106 (1999)268, 275
Ireland v the United Kingdom, ECHR, judgment of
18 January 1978, Series A no 25272–3
Irma Flaquer v Guatemala, Case 11.766, Report 67/03, Inter-Am
CHR, OEA/Ser.L/V/II.118 Doc. 70 rev. 2252, 278
Joaquin Herrara Rubio v Colombia, Comm No 161/1983, UNHRC,
UN Doc CCPR/C/31/D/161/1983 (1987)267
Jordan v United Kingdom (App No 24746/94), Eur Ct Hum Rts,
ECHR 2001-III
José Antonio Coronel et al v Colombia, Comm No 778/1997,
UNHRC, UN Doc CCPR/C/76/D/778/1997 (2002)264
José Vicente et al v Colombia, Comm No 612/1995, UNHRC,
UN Doc CCPR/C/60/D/612/1995 (1997)264
Juan Aniceto Meneses Reyes et al v Chile, Cases 11.228, 11.229,
11.231 and 11.182, Inter-Am CHR, Report 34/96,
OEA/SerL/V/II/95 (1996)255, 274–5
Loayza Tamayo v Peru—Reparations, Inter-Am Ct HR.
(ser C) No 42 (1998)255
Lomé Accord Amnesty in Prosecutor v Morris Kallon, Brima
Bazzy Kamara, SCSL-2004-15-PT-060-I, SCSL-2004-15-PT-060-II,
Appeal (13 Mar 2004)249, 303-4
Lucio Parada Cea et al v El Salvador, Case 10.480, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 1/99, OEA/SerL/V/II.102 (1999)253, 266-7
Manuel Meneses Sotacuro and Félix Inga Cuya v Peru, Case 10.904,
Inter-Am CHR, Report 46/00, OEA/SerL/V/II.106 (2000)265
Martín Javier Roca Casas v Peru, Case 11.233, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 39/97, OEA/SerL/V/II.98 (1998)267
Masacre Las Hojas v El Salvador, Case 10.287, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 26/92, OEA/Ser./L/V/II.83 (1992)273

Monsignor Oscar Arnulfo Romero and Galdámez et al v El Salvador, Case 11.481, Inter-Am CHR, Report 37/00,
OEA/SerL/V/II.106 (2000)254, 267, 275
Mouvement Burkinabé des Droits de l'Homme et des Peuples v
Burkina Faso, Comm No 204/97, Afr CHR (2001)266
Mrs W v United Kingdom, application on admissibility,
32 Collection of Decisions 190, 200 (28 February 1983)273
Marina Mack Chang & Customala Inter Am C+ HD (cor C)
Myrna Mack Chang v Guatemala, Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C) No 101 (2003)272, 278
No 101 (2005)
Nydia Erika Bautista de Arellana v Colombia, Comm No 563/1993,
UNHRC, UN Doc CCPR/C/55/D/563/1993 (1995)264, 276
Pastor Juscamaita Laura v Peru, Case 10.542, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 19/99, OEA/SerL/V/II.102 (1999)275
Prosecutor v Allieu Kondewa, SCSL-04-14-T-128-7347
(25 May 2004)
Prosecutor v Anto Furundzija, Case No IT-95-17/1-T,
Judgment (10 Dec 1998),249, 260
RD Stalla Costa v Uruguay, Comm No 198/1985, UNHRC,
UN Doc CCPR/C/30/D/ 198/1985 (1987)253
Rodolfo Robles Espinoza and Sons, Case 11.317, Inter-Am CHR,
Report 20/99, OEA/SerL/V/II.95 (1999)263, 268
Samuel Alfonso Catalán Lincoleo v Chile, Case 11.771, Inter-Am
CHR, Report 61/01, OEA/Ser/L/V/II.111 (2001)257
Selçuk and Asker v Turkey, ECHR, judgment of 24 April 1998,
Reports of Judgments and Decisions 1998-II268
Velásquez Rodríguez v Honduras Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C)
No 4 (1988)238, 267–71, 273, 277
Velásquez Rodríguez v Honduras—Preliminary Objections,
Inter-Am Ct HR (ser C) No 1 (1987)263
Municipal Cases
Argentina
Cámara en lo Criminal y Correccional Federal, Sala II, Buenos
Aires, 14/10/97, 'Privaciones Illegales de Libertad en el centro
clandestine de detención "Club Athlético"
Corte Suprema de Justicia [CSJN], 22/6/1987, 'General
Ramón J Camps, incoada en virtud del Decreto
No 280/84 del Poder Ejecutivo Nacional'210-11, 219, 233, 240-1
Corte Suprema de Justicia [CSJN], 28/4/1988, 'Raffo, José
Antonio y otros s/tormentos' R 453. XXI221
Corte Suprema de Justicia [CSJN], 13/8/1998, 'Aguiar de Lapacó
Carmen s / recurso extraordinario (causa nº 450) Suárez Mason Suarez